Zeitschrift: Helvetica Physica Acta

Band: 26 (1953)

Heft:

Artikel: Theory of angular correlations

Autor: Coester, F. / Jauch, J.M.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-112396

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 06.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Theory of Angular Correlations

by F. Coester and J. M. Jauch.

Department of Physics, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa.

(30. X. 1952.)

Abstract. The most general angular correlation function may be written in the form $W = Tr \ (\in \varrho)$, where ϱ is the density matrix describing the ensemble of final nuclei and reaction products and the "efficiency matrix" \in is completely determined by the counter arrangement and the nature of the particles to be counted. Expansion of \in and ϱ into sums of irreducible tensors greatly simplifies the evaluation of the trace. Explicit general rules are derived for the construction of any angular correlation function from a few simple building stones.

I. Introduction.

Since the work of Myers¹) and Hamilton²) on angular distributions and angular correlations many papers³ have been written which deal with the general theory of angular correlation of nuclear radiations. Their main concern is with the derivation of explicit formulae for various correlation functions. The probabilities for transitions between definite pure states specified by a complete set of quantum numbers are calculated, usually by perturbation methods, and the angular dependence is derived from the transformation properties of the relevant matrix elements. In actual experiments one observes not individual systems in a pure state but a statistical ensemble and not all the observables specifying a pure state of the system are actually observed. In the final formula one therefore sums or averages over unobserved quantum numbers.

Considerable simplification in the mathematical formalism can be achieved by using the density matrix ϱ^4) to describe the ensemble of systems each consisting of all the products of a nuclear reaction or cascade decay⁵). With ϱ , the statistical average of any observable and the probability for any observable effect can be calculated. We are particularly interested in a "yes-no experiment" in which a counter arrangement detects all systems in a state specified by the set of quantum number ϱ with an efficiency ε_{ϱ} ; $0 \leqslant \varepsilon_{\varrho} \leqslant 1$. The probability for the answer "yes" is

$$W = \sum_{Q} \in_{Q} (Q \mid \varrho \mid Q). \tag{1}$$

We may consider \in_a to be the eigenvalues of an "efficiency matrix" \in and write (1) in the form

$$W = Tr(\in \varrho) \tag{2}$$

where the trace in (2) may be evaluated in any representation. Most convenient is a representation specified by the quantum numbers jm of the total angular momentum and other quantum numbers collectively denoted by $A, A' \dots$ The evaluation of the trace is facilitated if we introduce the irreducible tensors ϱ_k^n and ε_k^n by the definition

$$(jA \mid \varrho_k^n \mid j'A') = \sum_{m m'} (-1)^{j'-m'} (j j'm - m' \mid k n) (j mA \mid \varrho \mid j' m'A') \quad (3)$$
and

$$(jA \mid \in_{k}^{n} \mid j'A') = \sum_{m m'} (-1)^{j'-m'} (j j'm - m' \mid k n) (j mA \mid \in \mid j' m'A') \quad (4)$$

where the first factor on the right hand side is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient for the addition of angular momenta⁶). According to their definition \in_k^n and ϱ_k^n are matrices with respect to j and A but not with respect to m; they shall be called efficiency tensors and statistical tensors respectively. Their knowledge is equivalent to the knowledge of the density matrix and the efficiency matrix, for with eq. (A2) of Appendix A there follows from (3) and (4)

$$(j \, mA \mid \varrho \mid j' \, m'A') = \sum_{k \, n} \, (-1)^{j'-m'} \, (j \, j'm - m' \mid k \, n) \, (jA \mid \varrho_k^n \mid j'A') \quad (5)$$
 and

$$(j\,mA\,|\!\in\!|\,j'\,m'A') = \sum_{k\,n}\,(-1)^{j'-m'}\,(j\,j'm-m'|\,k\,n)\,(jA\,|\!\in^n_k\!|\,j'A'). \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) follows with (A1) and (A3)

$$W = Tr(\in \varrho) = \sum_{j,j'} \sum_{AA'} \sum_{k,n} (jA \mid \in_k^n \mid j'A') (jA \mid \varrho_k^n \mid j'A')^*. \tag{7}$$

We also use the fact that the density matrix is hermitian.

The \in_k^n will depend explicitly on the geometry of the counter arrangement while ϱ_k^n will depend on the geometric characteristics of the initial states. If we consider the decay of isotropically distributed nuclei the density matrix must be isotropic, that is diagonal in m and independent of m. Hence we find by (3) $(jA \mid \varrho_k^n \mid jA') = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. If we consider a nuclear reaction between non polarized particles we have cylindrical symmetry about the beam. The density matrix is diagonal in m if the direction of the beam is chosen as axis of quantization. There follows from (3) $(jA \mid \varrho_k^n \mid jA') = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.

(7) is the most general angular correlation function. In order to get formulae useful for the interpretation of specific experiments we must derive explicit expressions for the ϱ_k^n and the ϵ_k^n . This will be done for the ϱ_k^n in Sec. II and for the ϵ_k^n in Sec. III.

II. The Density Matrix.

The density matrix ϱ in (1) describes the end products of a nuclear reaction or a cascade decay. The initial nuclei are described by the density matrix $(jmA_0 \mid \overline{\varrho} \mid j'm'A_0')$ or by the statistical tensors $(jA_0 \mid \overline{\varrho}_k^n \mid j'A_0')$. The probability for a transition from a state Q to a state P during the time t is for large t equal to $t/2\pi |R_{PQ}|^2$ where S = R + 1 is the so called Heisenberg S-Matrix?). If interactions with external magnetic fields of macroscopic or atomic origin are negligible. $(jmA|S|j'm'A_0)$ is invariant under rotations of the coordinate system. It is therefore diagonal in j and m and independent of m and may be written in the form $(A|S_j|A_0)$. The density matrices ϱ and $\overline{\varrho}$ are related by the equation?)

$$(j \, m A \, | \, \varrho \, | \, j' m' A') = \text{const.} \sum_{A_0, A_0'} (j \, m A_0 \, | \, \overline{\varrho} | \, j' m' A'_0) R_j(A, A_0) R_{j'}^*(A', A'_0). \tag{8}$$

Consequently we have with (3)

$$(jA'|\varrho_k^n|j'A') = \text{const.} \sum_{A_0,A_0'} (jA_0|\bar{\varrho}_k^n|j'A_0') R_j(A,A_0) R_{j'}^*(A',A_0').$$
(9)

In the case of the decay of isotropically distributed metastable nuclei $(jA_0|\bar{\varrho}_k^n|j'A_0')$ is different from zero only for one value of j, j'=j, and one value of A_0 , $A_0'=A_0$. A_0 can therefore be dropped from the notation and we have

$$(j\,m\,|\,\overline{\varrho}\,|\,j\,m') = \frac{1}{2\,j+1}\,\delta_{m\,m'} \tag{10}$$

and hence

$$(j \mid \overline{\varrho}_k^n \mid j) = \sqrt{2j+1} \, \delta_{ko} \, \delta_{no} \,. \tag{11}$$

If the initial nuclei are partially aligned $(j|\bar{\varrho}_k^n|j)$ will be different from zero for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2j$, $-k \leqslant n \leqslant k$. These tensor elements describe the state of polarization.

We proceed to determine $\overline{\varrho}_k^n$ for nuclear reactions. j is now the total angular momentum of the target nucleus plus incoming particle which may be a photon or a heavy particle (proton, α -particle, neutron, etc.). If a compound nucleus is formed j is the spin of the compound nucleus. The spin quantum numbers of the target nucleus are $j_t m_t$. L is the total angular momentum of the incoming particle

with respect to the target nucleus; the quantum numbers are L, M. The center of mass of the entire system is supposed to be at rest. For particles of definite energy one more quantum number besides L and M is needed to specify a state. This will be the parity \mathfrak{p}^*) for photons and the orbital angular momentum l for heavy particles. We use ξ as a short notation for both L, \mathfrak{p} and L, l. Instead of the angular momentum quantum numbers the direction of the momentum $\Omega = (\Theta, \varphi)$ and the component of the spin in that direction σ may be used to specify the state of one particle. The transformation matrix between the two representations is given by

$$(\Omega \sigma | \xi M) = \sum_{M'} (0 \sigma | \xi M') D_{MM'}^{L}(\varphi, \vartheta, 0)^*$$
(12)

where $D_{MM'}^L(\varphi, \vartheta, \chi)$ are an irreducible representation of the rotation through the Euler angles $\varphi, \vartheta, \chi^{10}$). For heavy particles with spin s $(0 \sigma | L l M)$ is given by

$$(0\,\sigma\,|\,L\,l\,M) = \sqrt{\frac{2\,l+1}{4\,\pi}}\,(l\,s\,0\,\sigma\,|\,LM) \tag{13}$$

which vanishes unless $M = \sigma$. For magnetic multipole photons, $\mathfrak{p} = (-1)^{L+1}$, one finds

$$(0\,\sigma\,|\,LM\,(-1)^{L+1}) = -(L\,1\,\,0\,\sigma\,|\,LM)\,\sqrt{\frac{2\,L+1}{4\,\pi}} = \sigma\,\sqrt{\frac{2\,L+1}{8\,\pi}}\,\delta_{\sigma M} \qquad (14)$$

(14) vanishes for $\sigma = 0$ as required by the absence of longitudinal photons. For electric multipole photons, $\mathfrak{p} = (-1)^{L}$,

$$(0\,\sigma\,|\,LM(-1)^L) = \sum_{l=L+1} (l\,1,0\,\sigma\,|\,LM) \,\sqrt{\frac{2\,l+1}{4\,\pi}}\,c_l \tag{15}$$

where the coefficients c_l are to be determined by the requirement that the right hand side of (15) vanishes for $\sigma = 0$ and $\sum_{l=L\pm 1} c_l^2 = 1$. This gives

$$\left(0\,\sigma\,|\,LM\,(-1)^L\right) = \delta_{\sigma M}\,\sqrt{\frac{2\,L+1}{8\,\pi}}\,.\tag{16}$$

A beam of particles moving in the direction Ω is described by a density matrix

$$(\omega \xi M |\varrho(\Omega)| \omega' \xi' M') = \sum_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta(\omega - \omega') \varrho_{\sigma \sigma'}(\omega) (\Omega \sigma |\xi M)^* (\Omega \sigma' |\xi' M') \quad (17)$$
 where

$$Tr \ \varrho = \int \delta \omega \sum_{\sigma} \varrho_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega) = 1 \tag{18}$$

^{*)} $\mathfrak{p} = (-1)^{L+1}$ for magnetic multipoles, $\mathfrak{p} = (-1)^L$ for electric multipoles.

 $\varrho_{\sigma\sigma'}$ describes the polarization of the beam¹¹) and the energy distribution in the beam. To simplify the notation it will be convenient to drop the δ -function with respect to the energies and have it understood that the matrix elements are functions of the energy. Since all matrices discussed in the following will be diagonal in the energies this procedure will be used throughout. If the beam is practically monoenergetic it is appropriate to treat ω as a fixed parameter and normalize the density matrix by

$$\sum_{\sigma} \varrho_{\sigma\sigma} = 1. \tag{19}$$

According to (3), we have with (A3), (C5) and (C4)

$$\begin{split} \left(\xi \,\middle|\, \varrho_{k}^{n}(\varOmega) \,\middle|\, \xi'\right) &= \sum_{n'} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \varrho_{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega) \left(0\,\sigma \,\middle|\, \xi\,\sigma\right) \left(0\,\sigma' \,\middle|\, \xi'\sigma'\right) \;\; \times \\ &\times (-1)^{L'-\sigma'} (LL'\,\sigma - \sigma' \,\middle|\, k\,n') \; D_{n\,n'}^{\,k}(\varphi,\vartheta,0) \;. \end{split} \tag{20}$$

If the particles are not polarized $\varrho_{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega) = \varrho(\omega) \, \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$ and

$$(\xi | \varrho_k^n | \xi') = \varrho(\omega) C_k(\xi, \xi') D_{n0}^k(\varphi, \vartheta, 0)$$
(21)

where

$$C_{k} = \frac{(-1)^{L+k-s}}{4\pi} \sqrt{(2l+1)(2l'+1)(2L+1)(2L'+1)} \times \times (ll'00|k0) W(ll'LL', ks)$$
eavy particles and (22)

for heavy particles and

$$C_{k} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{(2L+1)(2L'+1)} \frac{1}{2} \times \times (1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}'(-1)^{k}) (-1)^{L'-1} (LL'1 - 1 \mid k \mid 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{(2L+1)(2L'+1)} \frac{1}{2} \times (23)$$

for photons. The definition of the Racah coefficient W which appears in equ. (22) is given in Appendix B.

The target is described by a set of statistical tensors $(j_t \mid \varrho_{k_t}^{n_t} \mid j_t)$ which vanish for $k_t \neq 0$ if the nuclei are not aligned. The statistical tensors of the entire system can now be constructed as follows

$$(j \, \xi \, j_t \, | \, \overline{\varrho}_k^n \, | \, j' \, \xi' \, j_t) = \sum_{k_t \, n_t} \sum_{k_0 \, n_0} (j_t \, | \, \varrho_{k_t}^{n_t} \, | \, j_t) \, (\xi \, | \, \varrho_{k_0}^{n_0} \, | \, \xi') \times \\ \times (j \, j' \, | \, \Gamma(j_t \, j_t' \, LL' \, k) \, | \, k_t \, k_0) \, (k_t \, k_0 \, n_t \, n_0 \, | \, k \, n)$$

$$(24)$$

where Γ is the transformation coefficient defined in (B6) of Appendix B.

For heavy particles it is possible to describe the spin and the orbital motion of the incoming particles by separate density matrices and combine the angular momenta according to the scheme: $j_t + s = I$, I + l = j. I is the so called channel spin.

$$(j I l j_{t} s | \overline{\varrho}_{k}^{n} | j' I' l' j_{t} s) = \sum_{k_{t} n_{t}} \sum_{k_{s} n_{s}} \sum_{k_{0} n_{0}} (j_{t} | \varrho_{k_{t}}^{n_{t}} | j_{t}) (s | \varrho_{k_{s}}^{n_{s}} | s) \times \\ \times (l | \varrho_{k_{0}}^{n_{0}}(\Omega) | l') \sum_{KN} (I I' | \Gamma(j_{t} j_{t} s s K) | k_{t} k_{s}) \times \\ \times (k_{t} k_{s} n_{t} n_{s} | KN) (j j' | \Gamma(I I' l l' k) | K k_{0}) (K k_{0} N n_{0} | k n)$$
(25)

where

$$(l | \varrho_k^n(\Omega) | l') = \frac{(-1)^{l'}}{4\pi} \sqrt{(2l+1)(2l'+1)} (l l' 00 | k o) D_{n0}^k(\varphi, \vartheta, 0). \quad (26)$$

This representation is particularly useful only if both target and beam are unpolarized, that is if

$$(j_t | \varrho_{k_t}^{n_t} | j_t) = \delta_{k_t \, 0} \, \delta_{n_t \, 0} \, \sqrt{2 \, j_t + 1} \tag{27}$$

and

$$\left(s\left|\varrho_{k_{s}}^{n_{s}}\right|s\right)=\delta_{k_{s}0}\,\delta_{n_{s}0}\,\varrho\left(\omega\right)\,.\tag{28}$$

With (B6), (B4) and (C3) one obtains then from (25) and (26)

$$(j I l j_{t} s | \varrho_{k}^{n}(\Omega) | j' I' l' j_{t} s) =$$

$$= \delta_{II'} \sqrt{\frac{(2 j+1) (2 j'+1) (2 l+1) (2 l'+1)}{(2 s+1) (2 j_{t}+1) (2 I+1)}} \frac{(-1)^{l'}}{4 \pi} (l l' 0 0 | k 0) \times$$

$$\times W(I j l' k, l j') \sqrt{\frac{4 \pi}{2 k+1}} Y_{k}^{n}(\Omega)^{*}.$$
(29)

It is, of course, always possible to transform a jLls representation to a jIls representation by (B5).

III. The Efficiency Matrix.

In order to evaluate explicit expressions for the efficiency tensors we must specify the quantum numbers denoted by A, A' in detail. Let ξ stand for the quantum numbers L, \mathfrak{p} or L, l of any emitted particle. Different particles in the same process are distinguished by subscripts 1,2,3.... We denote now the total angular momentum of the system by \mathbf{j}_a and define \mathbf{j}_b as the angular momentum of the nucleus after emission of the particle 1, $\mathbf{j}_b = \mathbf{j}_a - \mathbf{L}_1$. Similarly $\mathbf{j}_c = \mathbf{j}_b - \mathbf{L}_2$. j_a , j_b j_c ... are the nuclear spins of successive levels in a

cascade decay. We specify the quantum numbers A in successive steps: j_a , $A = j_a$, ξ_1 , j_b , B where B stands for the remaining quantum numbers, if any. j_b , $B = j_b$, ξ_2 , j_c , C. This procedure may be repeated until all emitted particles are described explicitly.

Since the efficiency matrix for the entire system is equal to the product of the efficiency matrices of the individual fragments we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(j_{a}^{A} \mid \in_{k_{a}}^{n_{a}} \mid j_{a}^{\prime} A^{\prime}) &= \sum_{k_{1} n_{1}} \sum_{k_{b} n_{b}} (j_{b} B \mid \in_{k_{b}}^{n_{b}} \mid j_{b}^{\prime} B^{\prime}) \left(\xi_{1} \mid \in_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}} (\Omega_{1}) \mid \xi_{1}^{\prime} \right) \times \\
&\times (j_{a} j_{a}^{\prime} \mid \Gamma(j_{b} j_{b}^{\prime} L_{1} L_{1}^{\prime} k_{a}) \mid k_{b} k_{1}) \left(k_{b} k_{1} n_{b} n_{1} \mid k_{a} n_{a} \right)
\end{aligned} (30)$$

where

$$(\xi \mid \in_{k}^{n} \mid \xi') = \sum_{\sigma \sigma'} \sum_{n'} \in_{\sigma \sigma'} (\overline{\omega}) (0 \sigma \mid \xi \sigma) (0 \sigma' \mid \xi' \sigma')$$

$$\times (-1)^{L'-\sigma'} (LL' \sigma - \sigma' \mid k n') D_{nn'}^{k} (\varphi, \vartheta, 0). \tag{31}$$

The derivation of (31) is quite analogous to that of (20). $\overline{\omega}$ is the particle energy in the laboratory system. If the counter efficiency does not depend on the polarization of the emitted particle we have $\in_{\sigma\sigma'}(\overline{\omega}) = \in(\overline{\omega}) \ \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$ and

$$(\xi \mid \in_k^n \mid \xi') = \in (\overline{\omega}) C_k(\xi, \xi') D_{n_0}^k(\varphi, \vartheta, 0) . \tag{32}$$

According to our derivation Ω_1 in equ. (30) is the direction of propagation of particle 1 in the center of mass reference frame. For high energy nuclear reactions this reference frame is not identical with the laboratory frame. Ω_1 is then a function of the corresponding solid angle Φ_1 in the laboratory system depending on the energies of the incoming and outgoing particles ω_0 and ω_1 . If no other particles are observed we have

$$(j_b B | \epsilon_{k_b}^{n_b} | j_0' B') = \delta_{k_b 0} \delta_{n_b 0} \sqrt{2 j_b + 1} \delta_{j_b j_b'} \delta_{BB'}.$$
 (33)

On the other hand if more particles are emitted and observed we proceed in analogy to (30)

$$(j_{b} B \mid \epsilon_{k_{b}}^{n_{b}} \mid j_{b}' B') = \sum_{k_{2} n_{2}} \sum_{k_{c} n_{c}} (j_{c} C \mid \epsilon_{k_{c}}^{n_{c}} \mid j_{c}' C') (\xi_{2} \mid \epsilon_{k_{2}}^{n_{2}} (\Omega_{2}) \mid \xi_{2}') \times (j_{b} j_{b}' \mid \Gamma(j_{c} j_{c}' L_{2} L_{2}' k_{b}) \mid k_{c} k_{2}) (k_{c} k_{2} n_{c} n_{2} \mid k_{b} n_{b}).$$
(34)

If particle 2 is the last particle observed $\in_{k_c}^{n_c}$ is given by (33) with proper change of subscripts, otherwise we may reduce it further

according to the scheme employed in (30) and (34). Ω_2 is the direction of the relative velocity of the particle 2 and the nucleus c. This is in general not identical with the direction Φ_2 observed in the laboratory system. Ω_2 is a function of Φ_2 depending on the energies ω_0 , ω_1 and ω_2 . Ω_1 and Ω_2 are the observed angles only if the recoil of the nucleus is negligible at all stages of the process.

IV. Summary of Results.

The development of the preceding sections allows us to construct explicit angular correlation functions from (7). We use two sets of subscripts to distinguish between the various particles and nuclei. Subscript a, b, c, \ldots label respectively the entire system and the residual nuclei after emission of particles labeled by subscripts 1, 2, 3... The target nucleus in a nuclear reaction is labeled by the subscript t and the incident particle by 0. With (7) and (9) we write the general correlation function in the form

$$W = \text{const.} \int \delta \omega \sum_{j_{a}j_{a'}} \sum_{AA'} \sum_{A_{0}A_{0'}} \sum_{k_{a}n_{a}} (j_{a}A \mid \in_{k_{a}}^{n_{a}} \mid j'_{a}A') \times \times (j_{a}A_{0} \mid \overline{\varrho}_{k_{a}}^{n_{a}} \mid j'_{a}A'_{0}) * R_{j_{a}}^{*}(A, A_{0}) R_{j_{a'}}(A', A_{0'}).$$
(35)

 $\int d\omega$ indicates integration over all energy variables. In the case of cascade decay of metastable nuclei $j_a = j_{a'}$ and $\overline{\varrho}_{ka}^{n_a}$ is given by (11) if the nuclei are not aligned; otherwise $\overline{\varrho}_{ka}^{n_a}$ describes the alignment. In the case of a nuclear reaction $\overline{\varrho}_{ka}^{n_a}$ is given by (24) with (20) or (21). Numerical values for the Γ 's can be found from (B6) and Biedenharn's Tables for the Racah coefficients¹²).

Explicit expressions for $\in_{k_a}^{n_a}$ are obtained in a series of steps described by eq. (30) ff. The energy variables ω_i appear in the following places: (1) the energy distribution in the beam $\varrho_{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega_0)$. (2) the energy dependence of the counter efficiencies $\in_{\sigma\sigma'}(\overline{\omega_i})$. (3) the energy dependence of the angles Ω_i introduced through the transformation to laboratory angles Φ_i . (4) the energy dependence of the matrix elements R. For sharp levels the energy dependence of the matrix elements can be effectively replaced by δ -functions; for broad levels some other plausible assumptions must be made. Selection rules and plausible assumptions about the matrix elements greatly simplify the formulae to be compared with experiment in concrete cases. The discussion of these aspects is, however, outside the scope of the present paper¹³).

Two examples should suffice to illustrate the form in which correlation functions appear. Let us first consider the directional correlation between two successive radiations from a metastable nucleus. Assuming that the intermediate level has a definite spin j_b we obtain with the rules described above

$$W(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}) = \text{const.} \sum_{k_{b} n_{b}} \sum_{k_{1} n_{1}} \sum_{k_{2} n_{2}} \sum_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}'} \sum_{\xi_{2}, \xi_{2}'} C_{k_{1}}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}') C_{k_{2}}(\xi_{2}, \xi_{2}') \times \times D_{n_{1}0}^{k_{1}}(\varphi_{1} \vartheta_{1} 0) D_{n_{2}0}^{k_{2}}(\varphi_{2} \vartheta_{2} 0)(j_{a} j_{a} | \Gamma(j_{b} j_{b} L_{1} L_{1}' 0) | k_{b} k_{1}) (k_{b} k_{1} n_{b} n_{1} | 00) \times \times (j_{b} j_{b} | \Gamma(j_{c} j_{c} L_{2} L_{2}' k_{b}) | 0 k_{2}) (0 k_{2} 0 n_{2} | k_{b} n_{b}) \times \times R_{j_{a}}^{*}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}) R_{j_{a}}(\xi_{1}', \xi_{2}').$$

$$(36)$$

With A(3), C(3) and C(4) there follows 14)

$$W(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}) = \text{const.} \sum_{k} \sum_{\xi_{1} \xi_{1}'} \sum_{\xi_{2} \xi_{2}'} C_{k}(\xi_{1} \xi_{1}') C_{k}(\xi_{2}, \xi_{2}') P_{k}(\cos \Theta) \times \frac{(-1)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 k+1}} (j_{a} j_{a} | \Gamma(j_{b} j_{b} L_{1} L_{1}'0) | k k) \times \times (j_{b} j_{b} | \Gamma(j_{c} j_{c} L_{2} L_{2}'k) | 0 k) R_{j_{a}}^{*}(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}) R_{j_{a}}(\xi_{1}', \xi_{2}')$$
(37)

where Θ is the angle between the directions Ω_1 and Ω_2 .

As a second example we take a nuclear reaction with two emerging particles. The beam is nonpolarized and the counters are insensitive to polarization¹⁵).

$$W(\Omega_{0}\Omega_{1}\Omega_{2}) = \text{const.} \int \delta \,\omega_{0} \int \delta \,\omega_{1} \int \delta \omega_{2} \,\varrho \,(\omega_{0}) \,\epsilon^{(1)}(\overline{\omega}_{1}) \,\epsilon^{(2)}(\overline{\omega}_{2}) \,\times \\ \times \,\delta \,(\omega_{0} - \omega_{1} - \omega_{2} + \Delta E) \sum_{\xi_{1}\xi_{1}'} \sum_{\xi_{2}\xi_{2}'} \sum_{\xi_{3}\xi_{3}'} \sum_{j_{a}j_{a}'} \sum_{j_{b}j_{b}'} \sum_{k_{0}} \sum_{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}} C_{k_{0}}(\xi_{0} \,\xi_{0}') \times \\ \times \,C_{k_{1}}(\xi_{1}\,\xi_{1}') \,C_{k_{2}}(\xi_{2}\,\xi_{2}') \,D_{n_{0}0}^{k_{0}*}(\varphi_{0}\,\vartheta_{0}\,0) \,D_{n_{1}0}^{k_{1}}(\varphi_{1}\,\vartheta_{1}\,0) \,D_{n_{2}0}^{k_{2}}(\varphi_{2}\,\vartheta_{2}\,0) \,\times \\ \times \,(j_{a}\,j_{a}' \,|\,\Gamma(j_{t}\,j_{t}\,L_{0}\,L_{0}'k_{0}) \,|\,0\,k_{0}) \,(j_{a}\,j_{a}' \,|\,\Gamma(j_{b}j_{b}'L_{1}\,L_{1}'k_{0}) \,|\,k_{2}k_{1}) \,\times \\ \times \,(k_{2}\,k_{1}\,n_{2}\,n_{1} \,|\,k_{0}\,n_{0}) \,(j_{b}\,j_{b}' \,|\,\Gamma(j_{c}\,j_{c}\,L_{2}\,L_{2}'k_{2}) \,|\,0\,k_{2}) \,R_{j_{a}}^{*}(\xi_{0}\,\xi_{1}\,\xi_{2}\,j_{b}) \,\times \\ \times \,R_{j_{a}'}(\xi_{0}'\,\xi_{1}'\,\xi_{2}'\,j_{b}') \,. \tag{38}$$

V. Internal Conversion.

According to the theory of internal conversion¹⁶) the matrix element for the ejection of a conversion electron can be written in the form

$$\sum_{LM\mathfrak{p}} (\Omega \sigma | \alpha | LM\mathfrak{p} i) (LM\mathfrak{p} b | H | a)$$
(39)

where i denotes the initial state of the electron. a and b are nuclear states and $(LM \mathfrak{p} b|H|a)$ is the matrix element for the emission of a photon in the transition $a \to b$. α depends only on an electronic wave function and universal functions but not on nuclear wave functions. Since

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i} (\varOmega \, \sigma \, | \, \alpha \, | \, LM \, \mathfrak{p} \, i)^{*} \, (\varOmega \, \sigma' \, | \, \alpha \, | \, L'M' \, \mathfrak{p}' \, i) = \\ &= \sum_{NN'} \sum_{i} (0 \, \sigma \, | \, \alpha \, | LN \, \mathfrak{p} \, i)^{*} (0 \, \sigma' \, | \, \alpha \, | L'N' \, \mathfrak{p}' \, i) D_{MN}^{L} (\varphi \, \vartheta \, 0) \, D_{MN'}^{L'} (\varphi \, \vartheta \, 0)^{*} \end{split} \tag{40}$$

we may apply all previous results to correlations with conversion electrons provided we replace (31) by

$$\begin{split} (\xi \,|\, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{n} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\xi}') &= \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \sum_{NN'} \, \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}'} \, \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}} \, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\sigma\sigma'} \, (0\,\sigma \,|\, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \,|\, LN\, \mathfrak{p}\, \boldsymbol{i}) \, (0\,\sigma \,|\, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \,|\, L'\, N'\, \mathfrak{p}'\, \boldsymbol{i}) \, \times \\ &\times (-1)^{L'-N'} \, (LL'\, N-N' \,|\, k\, n') \, D_{\boldsymbol{n}\, \boldsymbol{n}'}^{k} \, (\varphi\,\vartheta\, 0) \; . \end{split}$$

If the polarization of the conversion electron is not observed $\in_{\sigma\sigma'}$ = $\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$. For K-conversion of pure multipole radiation the coefficients in (41) have been calculated by Rose, Biedenharn and Arfken¹⁷). The result can be written in the form

$$(\xi \mid \in_k^n \mid \xi) = b_k(\xi) C_k(\xi_1 \xi) D_{n0}^k(\varphi \vartheta 0) \tag{42}$$

where C_k is given by (23) and b_k is defined by

$$b_{k} = \frac{4\pi \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{N} \sum_{i} |(0\sigma | \alpha | LN p_{i})|^{2} (LLN - N | k 0) (-1)^{L-N}}{(2L+1) (-1)^{L+1} (LL 1 - 1 | k 0)}.$$
(43)

The coefficient b_k denoted b_{ν} by Rose, Biedenharn and Arfken has been evaluated numerically. \sum_{i} in (43) is taken over K-electrons only.

Appendix A.

CLEBSCH GORDAN Coefficients¹⁸)¹⁹).

The Clebsch Gordan coeffcients for the addition of angular momenta $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$ are denoted by $(ab \alpha \beta \mid c\gamma)$. α , β , γ are the 3-components of \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{c} . $(ab \alpha \beta \mid c\gamma)$ vanishes unless $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ and c is one of the numbers a + b, a + b - 1, ..., |a - b|. The Clebsch Gordan coefficients are real and satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} (ab\alpha\beta | c\gamma) (ab\alpha\beta | c'\gamma') = \delta_{cc'} \delta_{\gamma\gamma'}$$
 (A1)

and

$$\sum_{c} (a \, b \, \alpha \, \beta^{\dagger} | \, c \, \gamma) \, (a \, b \, \alpha' \, \beta' \, | \, c \, \gamma) = \delta_{\alpha \, \alpha'} \, \delta_{\beta \, \beta'} \, \delta_{\gamma \, \alpha + \beta} \,. \tag{A2}$$

They also satisfy the following relations

$$(a b \alpha \beta | c \gamma) = (-1)^{a+b-c} (b a \beta \alpha | c \gamma) = (-1)^{a+b-c} (a b - \alpha - \beta | c - \gamma) \quad (A3)$$

and

$$(a \, b \, \alpha \, \beta \, | \, c \, \gamma) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \, c + 1}{2 \, b + 1}} \, (-1)^{a - \alpha} \, (a \, c \, \alpha - \gamma \, | \, b - \beta) =$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2 \, c + 1}{2 \, a + 1}} \, (-1)^{b + \beta} \, (c \, b - \gamma \, \beta \, | \, a - \alpha) \, . \tag{A4}$$

Appendix B.

RACAH Coefficients²⁰)¹³) and Transformations between Angular Momentum Coupling Schemas.

The RACAH coefficient W(abcd; ef) is related to the Clebsch Gordan coefficients by

$$W(a b c d; e f) \delta_{c c'} \delta_{\gamma \gamma'} = \sum_{\alpha \beta \delta} \sum_{\varepsilon \varphi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 l + 1) (2 f + 1)}} (a b \alpha \beta | e \varepsilon) \times (e d \varepsilon \delta | c \gamma) (b d \beta \delta | f \varphi) (a f \alpha \varphi | c' \gamma').$$
(B1)

It satisfies the symmetry relations

$$W(abcd; ef) = W(badc; ef) = W(cdab; ef) =$$

= $W(acbd; fe) = (-1)^{b+c-e-f} W(aefd; bc)$ (B2)

and the sum rule

$$\sum_{g} (2g+1) (-1)^{a+b+c+d-e-f-g} W(abdc; eg) W(acdb; fg) = W(abcd; ef).$$
(B3)

If any one variable vanishes the value of the RACAH coefficient can be written down immediately

$$W(abcd; 0f) = \frac{(-1)^{b+c-f} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd}}{\sqrt{(2b+1)(2c+1)}}.$$
 (B4)

Let a, b, c be three angular momentum vectors; $d = \alpha + b + c$; e = a + b, f = a + c. The transformation matrix between the coupling schemes abecd and acfbd is given by

$$(e \mid \Gamma(abcd) \mid f) = \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \sum_{\epsilon\varphi} (ba\beta\alpha \mid e\epsilon) (ec\epsilon\gamma \mid d\delta) \times (ac\alpha\gamma \mid f\varphi) (bf\beta\varphi \mid d\delta) = \sqrt{(2e+1)(2f+1)} W(abcd; ef).$$
(B5)

Let a, a' b b' be four angular momentum vectors a + b = c; a' + b' = c'; c - c' = d; a - a' = e; b - b' = f. The transformation matrix between the couling schemes abc a'b'c' d and aa'e bb'fd is given by

$$(cc' | \Gamma(aa'bb'd) | ef) = \sum_{a\alpha'} \sum_{\beta\beta'} \sum_{\gamma\gamma'} \sum_{\varepsilon\varphi} (ab\alpha\beta | c\gamma) (a'b'\alpha'\beta' | c'\gamma') \times \times (-1)^{a'-\alpha'} (aa'\alpha-\alpha' | e\varepsilon) (-1)^{b'-\beta'} (bb'\beta-\beta' | f\varphi) \times \times (-1)^{c'-\gamma'} (cc'\gamma-\gamma' | d\delta) (ef\varepsilon\varphi | d\delta) =$$

$$= \sqrt{(2e+1)(2f+1)(2c+1)(2c'+1)} \sum_{\varkappa} (2\varkappa+1) W(c'cef; d\varkappa) = \times W(acbf'; b\varkappa) W(b'c'ae; a'\varkappa).$$
(B6)

Appendix C.

The Representations of the Rotation Group.

We list here some often used formulae involving the representations $D_{MM'}^L(\varphi, \vartheta, \chi)$ of the rotation group. If $F_L^M(\Omega)$ is any irreducible tensor depending on the direction $\Omega \equiv (\vartheta, \varphi)$ we have the relation

$$F_L^M(\Omega) = \sum_{M'} F_L^{M'}(0) D_{MM'}^L(\varphi, \vartheta, 0)^*. \tag{C1}$$

This holds in particular for spherical harmonics and with

$$Y_L^M(0) = \delta_{M0} \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{4\pi}}$$
 (C2)

there follows

$$D_{M0}^{L}(\varphi \vartheta \chi)^{*} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2L+1}} Y_{L}^{M}(\vartheta, \varphi) \qquad D_{00}^{L}(\varphi \vartheta \chi) = P_{L}(\cos \vartheta) \qquad (C3)$$

where $P_L(\cos \vartheta)$ is a Legendre Polynomial. $D_{MM'}^L$ satisfies the relations

$$D^{L}_{MM'}(\varphi\,\vartheta\,\chi)^{*} = (-1)^{M-M'}D^{L}_{-M-M'}(\varphi\,\vartheta\,\chi) \tag{C4}$$

and

$$D^{L_1}_{M_1 M_1'}(\varphi\,\vartheta\,\chi)\,D^{L_2}_{M_2 M_2'}(\varphi\,\vartheta\,\chi) =$$

$$= \sum_{L} \sum_{MM'} \left(L_1 L_2 M_1 M_2 \, | \, LM \right) \left(L_1 L_2 M_1' M_2' \, | \, LM' \right) \, D_{MM'}^L(\varphi \, \vartheta \, \chi) \; . \tag{C5}$$

Bibliography.

- 1) R. D. Myers, Phys. Rev. 54, 361 (1938).
- ²) D. R. Hamilton, Phys. Rev. **58**, 122 (1940).
- 3) G. Goertzel, Phys. Rev. 70, 897 (1946). D. R. Hamilton, Phys. Rev. 74, 782 (1948). D. S. Ling and D. L. Falkoff, Phys. Rev. 76, 1639 (1949). D. L. Falkoff and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. 79, 323, 334 (1950). I. Zinnes, Phys. Rev. 80, 386 (1950). S. P. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. 83, 716 (1951); 85, 904 (1952). G. Racah, Phys. Rev. 84, 910 (1951). K. Alder, Helv. Phys. Acta 25, 235 (1952). L. C. Biedenharn, G. B. Arfken and M. E. Rose, Phys. Rev. 83, 586 (1951); 84, 89 (1951); 5 (1952); 86, 761 (1952). G. Breit and B. T. Darling, Phys. Rev. 71, 402 (1947). C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 74, 764 (1948). L. Eisenbud, J. Franklin Institute 251, 231 (1951). J. W. Gardner, Proc. Phys. Soc. A 62, 763 (1949); A 64, 238 (1951). J. M. Blatt and L. C. Biedenharn, Phys. Rev. 82, 123 (1951) (L) and Rev. Mod. Phys. 24, 258 (1952). M. E. Rose and L. Biedenharn, Rev. Mod. Phys. in print. A brief summary of the theory can be found in an article by H. Frauenfelder, Annual Review of Nuclear Science, Vol. 3, 1953.
- ⁴) For a definition of the density matrix and a definition of its formal properties see for instance R. C. Tolman "Statistical Mechanics", Oxford, Chapter IX.
- 5) Recently U. Fano (National Bureau of Standards Rep. 1214 and Phys. Rev. to be published) has investigated the geometric properties of density matrices describing nuclei in successive levels of a cascade decay and discussed the bearing of these properties on the derivation of angular correlation functions. (In a special case this approach has also been used by H. A. Tolhoek and S. R. De Groot, Phys. Rev. 83, 189, 845 (L) (1951).) The present work was done mostly without knowledge of Fano's results. Only the initial and final states of the overall reaction are described explicitly by density matrices. The development is carried through to explicit correlation functions of greater generality than those indicated by Fano's discussion. We are indebted to Dr. Fano for sending us his manuscript prior to publication.
- 6) See Appendix A.
- 7) J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **52**, 1107 (1937). W. Heisenberg, Z. f. Phys. **120**, 513, 673 (1943).
- 8) The criteria for the validity of this assumption have been discussed by G. Goertzel, Phys. Rev. 70, 897 (1946). K. Alder, Helv. Phys. Acta 25, 235 (1952) and H. Frauenfelder, Annual Review of Nuclear Science 3, (1953).
- 9) This follows directly in a representation in which both ϱ and $\overline{\varrho}$ are diagonal; (8) itself is then obtained by appropriate change of representation. See also F. Coester, Phys. Rev. to be published, eq. (19) and L. Wolfenstein and J. Ashkin, Phys. Rev. 85, 947 (1952). The notation $R_j(A, A_0)$ instead of $(A \mid R_j \mid A_0)$ will be convenient in the following.

- $^{10})\,$ Some often used properties of D^L_{MM} , are listed for convenience in Appendix C.
- The description of a partially polarized particle beam by a density matrix $\varrho_{\sigma\sigma'}$ is related to the description of optical polarization by means of Stokes parameters, G. G. Stokes, Trans. Cambr. Phil. Soc. **9**, 399 (1852); reprinted in "Mathematical and Physical Papers", Cambridge University Press, London 1901, Vol. 3, p. 233. For photons $\sigma = \pm 1$ and the Stokes parameters are $\varrho_{++} \varrho_{--}$, $\varrho_{+-} + \varrho_{-+}$ and i ($\varrho_{-+} \varrho_{+-}$), D. L. Falkoff and J. E. Macdonald, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **41**, 862 (1951).
- ¹²) Tables of RACAH Coefficients have been prepared by L. C. BIEDENHARN, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rep. 1098.
- ¹³) For a discussion of some general properties of the matrix elements see F. Coester, Phys. Rev. 89, Febr. 1953.
- ¹⁴) This corresponds to RACAH's equation (8), Phys. Rev. 84, 911 (1951) and LLOYD's equation (18), Phys. Rev. 85, 907 (1952).
- ¹⁵) "Triple Correlations" of this type have been investigated by L. C. BIEDEN-HARN, G. B. ARFKEN and M. E. Rose, Phys. Rev. **83**, 586 (1951); **86**, 761 (1952).
- ¹⁶) N. Tralli and G. Goertzel, Phys. Rev. **83**, 399 (1951).
- ¹⁷) M. E. Rose, L. C. Biedenharn and G. B. Arfken, Phys. Rev. 85, 5 (1952).
- 18) CONDON and SHORTLEY, Theory of Atomic Spectra, Chapter III.
- ¹⁹) G. RACAH, Phys. Rev. **62**, 438 (1942). A collection of useful formulae and numerical values can also be found in K. Alder's Appendix, Helv. Phys. Acta **25**, 251 (1952).