

## 3.2 Berest's formula for $L_q$

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

### **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

differential operator  $S_m$  of the form  $\delta_m(x)\delta_m(\partial_x)+l.o.t.$ , with  $\delta_m(x) = \prod_{s \in \Sigma} \alpha_s^{m_s}$  such that

$$L_q S_m = S_m q(\partial)$$

for every  $q \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] = \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$ . From this, if we set  $\psi(k, x) = S_m e^{(k, x)}$ , we get

$$(7) \quad L_q \psi = S_m q(\partial) e^{(k, x)} = q(k) \psi,$$

$q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$ .

We claim that equation (7) must in fact hold for all  $q \in Q_m$ . Indeed, near a generic point  $x$ , the functions  $\psi(wk, x)$  are obviously linearly independent and satisfy (7) for symmetric  $q$ . Thus, they are a basis in the space of solutions (we know that this space is  $|W|$ -dimensional). Consider the matrix of  $L_q$  in this basis for any  $q \in Q_m$ . Since  $\psi(k, x)$  is a polynomial multiplied by  $e^{(k, x)}$ , this matrix must be diagonal with eigenvalues  $q(k)$ , as desired.

EXAMPLE 3.1. As we have seen in the previous section, for  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$S_m = (x\partial - 2m + 1)(x\partial - 2m - 1) \cdots (x\partial - 1).$$

### 3.2 BEREST'S FORMULA FOR $L_q$

We are now going to give an explicit construction of the operators  $L_q$  for any  $q \in Q_m$ .

Let us identify, using our  $W$ -invariant scalar product,  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , and let us choose an orthonormal basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we will write  $D_x$  for the Dunkl operator relative to the vector in  $\mathfrak{h}$  corresponding to  $x$  under our identification. Thus

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{x_i}^2.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2 (Berest [Be]). *If  $q \in Q_m$  is a homogeneous element of degree  $d$ , then*

$$(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q = 0.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that

$$((\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q) \psi(k, x) = 0.$$

Indeed, it follows from the definition of  $\psi(k, x)$  that in the ring  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  this implies:  $((\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q) S_m = 0$ , so that  $(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q = 0$ , since  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  is a domain.

Given  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ , we will denote by  $L_q^{(k)}$  the operator  $q(D_{k_1}, \dots, D_{k_n})$ . Notice that since  $\psi(k, x) = \psi(x, k)$ , we have  $L_q^{(k)}\psi = q(x)\psi$ . Thus we deduce, for  $p, q, r \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_q r(x) L_p \psi &= L_q r(x) p(k) \psi = p(k) L_q r(x) \psi \\ &= p(k) L_q L_r^{(k)} \psi = p(k) L_r^{(k)} L_q \psi = p(k) L_r^{(k)} q(k) \psi. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(\text{ad } L)^{d+1} q \psi = (-1)^{d+1} (\text{ad}(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2))^{d+1} L_q^{(k)} \psi.$$

Since  $L_q$  is a differential operator of degree  $d$ , we get  $\text{ad}(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2)^{d+1} L_q^{(k)} = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

Notice now that the operator  $(\text{ad } L)^d q(x)$  commutes with  $L$ . Its symbol is given by  $(\text{ad } \Delta)^d q(x) = 2^d d! q(\partial)$ . So we deduce the following

**COROLLARY 3.3** (Berest's formula, [Be]). *If  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_m$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$ , then*

$$L_q = \frac{1}{2^d d!} (\text{ad } L)^d q(x).$$

*Proof.* This is clear from Proposition 2.8, once we remark that  $(\text{ad } L)^d q(x)$  has the required homogeneity.  $\square$

We want to give a representation theoretical interpretation of what we have just seen. Consider the three operators

$$(8) \quad F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2}, \quad E = -\frac{L}{2}, \quad H = [E, F].$$

It is easy to check that  $[H, E] = 2E$ ,  $[H, F] = -2F$ . We deduce that the elements  $E, F, H$  span an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  acts by conjugation on  $\mathcal{D}(U)$ . We can then reformulate Proposition 3.2 as follows:

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Any polynomial  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_m$  of degree  $d$  is a lowest weight vector for the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action of weight  $-d$  and generates a finite dimensional module (necessarily of dimension  $d+1$ ) for which  $L_q$  is a highest weight vector.*

*Proof.* An easy direct computation shows that

$$H = [E, F] = - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Thus if  $q$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$ , we have  $[H, L_q] = dL_q$ .

This and the fact that  $[L, L_q] = 0$ , implies that  $L_q$  is a highest weight vector of weight  $d$ . Also since  $F$  is a polynomial, we deduce that  $\text{ad} F^{d+1} L_q = 0$ , so that  $L_q$  generates a  $(d+1)$ -dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module.  $\square$

One last property about these operators is given by

PROPOSITION 3.5 ([FV]). *For any  $q \in Q_m$ , the operator  $L_q$  preserves  $Q_m$ .*

*Proof.* Let us begin by proving that  $L$  preserves  $Q_m$ .

Take  $f \in Q_m$ , so that for any  $s \in \Sigma$ ,  $f - {}^s f = \alpha_s^{2m_s+1} t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{C}[h]$ . Let us start by showing that  $Lf$  is a polynomial. Clearly  $Lf = \delta_*^{-1} q$ , with  $q \in \mathbf{C}[h]$ , and  $\delta_* = \prod_{s: m_s \neq 0} \alpha_s$ . Since  $L$  is  $W$ -invariant,  $Lf - {}^s(Lf) = L(f - {}^s f)$  is clearly divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s-1}$  if  $m_s > 0$ . In particular, it is always regular along the reflection hyperplane of  $s$ . On the other hand, since  $Lf - {}^s(Lf) = \delta_*^{-1}(q + {}^s q)$ , we deduce that  $q + {}^s q$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s$  if  $m_s > 0$ . But then  $q = ((q + {}^s q) + (q - {}^s q))/2$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s$  if  $m_s > 0$ , hence it is divisible by  $\delta_*$ , so that  $Lf$  lies in  $\mathbf{C}[h]$ .

We have already remarked that  $L(f - {}^s f)$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s-1}$  if  $m_s > 0$ . In fact

$$L(f - {}^s f) = (L\alpha_s^{2m_s+1})t + \alpha_s^{2m_s} \tilde{t},$$

where  $\tilde{t}$  is a suitable polynomial.

But since

$$\begin{aligned} L\alpha_s^{2m_s+1} &= 2m_s(2m_s+1)(\alpha_s, \alpha_s)\alpha_s^{2m_s-1} - 2m_{s'}(2m_s+1) \sum_{s' \in \Sigma} (\alpha_{s'}, \alpha_s) \frac{\alpha_s^{2m_s}}{\alpha_{s'}} \\ &= -2m_{s'}(2m_s+1) \sum_{s' \in \Sigma, s' \neq s} (\alpha_{s'}, \alpha_s) \frac{\alpha_s^{2m_s}}{\alpha_{s'}}, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that  $L(f - {}^s f)$  is divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s}$ . On the other hand, since  $L(f - {}^s f) = Lf - {}^s(Lf)$ , this polynomial is either zero or it must vanish to odd order on the reflection hyperplane of  $s$ . We deduce that it must be divisible by  $\alpha_s^{2m_s+1}$ , proving that  $Lf \in Q_m$ .

We now pass to a general  $L_q$ ,  $q \in Q_m$ . We may assume that  $q$  is homogeneous of, say, degree  $d$ . By Corollary 3.3 we have that  $L_q$  is a non zero multiple of  $(adL)^d(q)$ . Since both  $q$  and  $L$  preserve  $Q_m$ , our claim follows.  $\square$

### 3.3 DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON $X_m$

Now let us return to the algebra of differential operators  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  contains two commutative subalgebras (both isomorphic to  $Q_m$ ). The first is  $Q_m$  itself, the second is the subalgebra  $Q_m^\dagger$  consisting of the differential operators of the form  $L_q$  with  $q \in Q_m$ . It is possible to prove

**THEOREM 3.6 ([BEG]).**  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is generated by  $Q_m$  and  $Q_m^\dagger$ .

Notice that by Corollary 3.3 we in fact have that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is generated by  $Q_m$  and by  $L$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.7.** If  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$  we get that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is generated by the operators

$$x^2, \quad x^{2m+1}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2m}{x} \frac{d}{dx}.$$

Theorem 3.6 together with Proposition 3.4, imply

**COROLLARY 3.8 ([BEG]).**  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is locally finite dimensional under the action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  defined in (8).

This Corollary implies that our  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  action on  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  can be integrated to an action of the group  $SL(2)$ . In particular we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q = L_q$$

for all  $q \in Q_m$ . This transformation is a generalization of the Fourier transform, since it reduces to the usual Fourier transform on differential operators on  $\mathfrak{h}$  when  $m = 0$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.9.** If  $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$ , we get that the monomials  $\{x^{2i}\} \cup \{x^{2i+2m+1}\}$  are (up to constants) all lowest weight vectors for the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  action on  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$ .  $x^n$  has weight  $-n$ . We deduce that  $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$  is isomorphic as a  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module to the direct sum of the irreducible representations of dimension  $n + 1$  for  $n$  even or  $n = 2(m + i) + 1$ , each with multiplicity one.