

ON AN ASSERTION IN RIEMANN'S HABILITATIONSVORTRAG

Autor(en): **Di SCALA, Antonio J.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **47 (2001)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-65428>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

ON AN ASSERTION IN RIEMANN'S HABILITATIONSVORTRAG

by Antonio J. DI SCALA^{*})

ABSTRACT. We study an assertion in Riemann's Habilitation Lecture of 1854. Namely, the determination of the metric given $n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ sectional curvatures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern differential geometry was born with Riemann's Habilitation Lecture "Ueber die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen" (*On the Hypotheses which lie at the Foundations of Geometry*) of 1854 at Göttingen [R], [We]. In this lecture Riemann defines the curvature tensor R . One says that M is *flat* if M is locally isometric to \mathbf{R}^n with the usual metric; the tensor R vanishes if and only if the metric is flat. M. Spivak [Sp1] translates Riemann's Lecture and explains it in modern terms. Let

$$Q(X, Y) := \frac{\langle R(X, Y) Y, X \rangle}{|X \wedge Y|^2}$$

be the sectional curvature. Spivak [Sp1, p.4B-25], [Sp2, p.176] makes the following

^{*}) Supported by a CONICET fellowship, partially supported by CONICOR and Secyt-UNC.

ASSERTION 1.1. *If M is n -dimensional and if $Q = 0$ for $n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ independent 2-dimensional subspaces of each M_q , then M is flat.*

It is well known that the metric is flat if and only if the sectional curvature Q vanishes identically. The number $n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ of Assertion 1.1 is “deduced” from the following “counting argument” given by Riemann: the metric $ds^2 = \sum g_{ij} dx_i dx_j$ contains $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ functions while a new coordinate system involves only n functions, so that we can change only n of the g_{ij} , leaving $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ other functions which depend on the metric; thus there should be some set of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ functions which will determine the metric completely (see [Di, p.198], [Sp1, p.4B-4]). We quote from the original text as follows [We], [R]:

“...wenn also das Krümmungsmaß in jedem Punkte in $n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ Flächenrichtungen gegeben wird, so werden daraus die Maßverhältnisse der Mannigfaltigkeit sich bestimmen lassen, wofern nur zwischen diesen Werthen keine identischen Relationen stattfinden, was in der That, allgemein zu reden, nicht der Fall ist.”

“... es reicht aber nach der frühern Untersuchung, um die Maßverhältnisse zu bestimmen, hin zu wissen, daß es in jedem Punkte in $n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ Flächenrichtungen, deren Krümmungsmaße von einander unabhängig sind, Null sei.”

We remark that this text is omitted by Hermann Weyl in his discussion of Riemann’s ideas. Relating the curvature tensor to the metric is a very classical subject and we refer to [Ku, Ya, B] for further details.

In this note we construct several families of counter-examples to Assertion 1.1. In §2 we discuss the space of algebraic curvature tensors and construct an algebraic curvature tensor in dimension 3 which has vanishing sectional curvature on three independent 2-planes: this shows that Assertion 1.1 is not an algebraic consequence of the curvature tensor identities. Let H^2 , S^2 and T^k denote the hyperbolic plane, the sphere and the torus with the metrics of constant curvature -1 , 1 , and 0 . Give $M = S^2 \times H^2 \times T^k$ the product metric; this manifold is not flat. In §3 we construct local orthonormal frames $\{e_i\}$ and local coordinate frames ∂_i for the tangent bundle such that the sectional curvatures $Q(e_i, e_j)$ and $Q(\partial_i, \partial_j)$ vanish for $i \neq j$. Again this shows Assertion 1.1 is false. Finally, in §4, we use warped products to construct still other examples of non-flat metrics which are counter-examples to Assertion 1.1. It is a pleasant task to thank Professors V. Cortez and P. Gilkey for helpful discussions concerning these matters.

2. AN ALGEBRAIC EXAMPLE

Let V be an n -dimensional real vector space and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a positive definite inner product defined on V . A bilinear $R: V \times V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is called an *algebraic curvature tensor* if it has the following three properties:

- (1) $\langle R(x, y)z, w \rangle = -\langle R(y, x)z, w \rangle$
- (2) $\langle R(x, y)z, w \rangle = -\langle R(x, y)w, z \rangle$
- (3) $\langle R(x, y)z, w \rangle + \langle R(y, z)x, w \rangle + \langle R(z, x)y, w \rangle = 0$

These three properties then imply the following symmetry property

$$\langle R(x, y)z, w \rangle = \langle R(z, w)x, y \rangle;$$

see [KN, p. 198] or [Sp1, p. 4D-17]) for details. We can also identify the space of algebraic curvature tensors with the space K of symmetric endomorphisms of the second exterior product $\wedge^2(V)$ such that:

- (4) $\langle K(x \wedge y), z \wedge w \rangle + \langle K(y \wedge z), x \wedge w \rangle + \langle K(z \wedge x), y \wedge w \rangle = 0$.

Here the inner product on $\wedge^2(V)$ is induced from the inner product on V . We say that a collection of 2-dimensional subspaces are linearly independent if the associated elements of $\wedge^2(V)$ are linearly independent in $\wedge^2(V)$. For example, let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of V . Then the 2-subspaces spanned by $\{e_i, e_j\}_{i \neq j}$ are independent. The bi-quadratic tensor $\langle R(x, y)y, x \rangle$ determines R ; we refer to [KN, p. 198] for the proof of the following result:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let R be an algebraic curvature tensor such that*

$$\langle R(x, y)y, x \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \text{ and } y.$$

Then $R = 0$.

The space of curvature tensors has dimension $\frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}$; see for example M. Berger [B, p. 63]. Thus, if $n = 3$ then equations (3) and (4) follow from equations (1) and (2). Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . We define a symmetric endomorphism K of $\wedge^2(V)$ by:

$$K(e_1 \wedge e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_1, \quad K(e_2 \wedge e_3) = 0, \quad K(e_3 \wedge e_1) = e_1 \wedge e_2.$$

Note that K is a non-trivial algebraic curvature tensor with the following three vanishing sectional curvatures:

$$Q_K(e_1 \wedge e_2) = Q_K(e_2 \wedge e_3) = Q_K(e_3 \wedge e_1) = 0.$$

More generally let $n \geq 3$ and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . If we impose the condition that $Q_K(e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$ with $i < j$, then we have imposed $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ conditions. Since the dimension of the space of algebraic curvature tensors is $\frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12} > \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, a simple counting argument then shows there are non-trivial algebraic curvatures with $Q_K(e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$ for $i < j$; thus Assertion 1.1 fails in the algebraic setting.

3. CURVATURE ZERO 2-PLANES IN $S^a \times H^a \times T^b$

In this section we discuss two examples showing Assertion 1.1 is false. Let H^a , S^a , and T^b be spaces of constant sectional curvature -1 , $+1$, and 0 where $a \geq 2$. We begin by studying orthonormal frame fields.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $M(a, b) := S^a \times H^a \times T^b$ with the product metric, where $a \geq 2$. There exists a local orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ for the tangent bundle of $M(a, b)$ such that $Q(e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 2a + b$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ be local orthonormal frames for the tangent bundles of S^a and H^a for $1 \leq i \leq a$. Let $\{w_j\}$ be a local orthonormal frame for the tangent bundle of T^b for $1 \leq j \leq b$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2i-1} &:= \frac{u_i + v_i}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ e_{2i} &:= \frac{u_i - v_i}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ e_{2a+j} &:= w_j & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

The $\{e_k\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2a + b$ form a local orthonormal frame for the tangent space of $M(a, b) := S^a \times H^a \times T^b$. We have $\langle R(u_i, w_j) w_j, u_i \rangle = 0$, $\langle R(v_i, w_j) w_j, v_i \rangle = 0$, and $\langle R(v_i, w_j) w_j, v_i \rangle = 0$. Thus $Q(e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$ if either $i > 2a$ or $j > 2a$. We also have $\langle R(u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}) u_{i_2}, u_{i_1} \rangle = +1$ and $\langle R(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}) v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} \rangle = -1$ for $i_1 < i_2$. We can show that $Q(e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$ for $i \leq 2a$ and $j \leq 2a$ by computing:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(e_1, e_2) e_2, e_1 \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle R(e_1, e_3) e_3, e_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \{ \langle R(u_1, u_2) u_2, u_1 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_2) v_2, v_1 \rangle \} = 0, \\ \langle R(e_1, e_4) e_4, e_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \{ \langle R(u_1, u_2) u_2, u_1 \rangle + (-1)^2 \langle R(v_1, v_2) v_2, v_1 \rangle \} = 0, \text{ etc. } \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 deals with orthonormal frames. We now turn to coordinate frames. If (x_1, \dots, x_n) is a system of local coordinates, set $\partial_i^x := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $M(2, b) := S^2 \times H^2 \times T^b$. There exist local coordinates (u_1, \dots, u_{4+b}) on $M(2, b)$ such that $Q(\partial_i^u \wedge \partial_j^u) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4 + b$.*

Let ω be the volume form. Before beginning the proof of Proposition 3.2, we recall the following technical result and refer to [K, p. 6] for details:

LEMMA 3.3. *Let M^n be an orientable Riemannian manifold. Then around each point there exists a coordinate system $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $\omega(\partial_1^x, \dots, \partial_n^x) = 1$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We use Lemma 3.3 to find local coordinates (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) on S^2 and H^2 such that $\omega(\partial_1^x, \partial_2^x) = 1$ and $\omega(\partial_1^y, \partial_2^y) = 1$. Let (z_1, \dots, z_b) be the usual flat coordinates on T^b . Define local coordinates on $S^2 \times H^2 \times T^b$ by:

$$u_1 := x_1 + y_1, \quad u_2 := x_1 - y_1, \quad u_3 := x_2 + y_2, \quad u_4 := x_2 - y_2,$$

and $u_{k+4} = z_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq b$. We then have

$$\partial_1^u = \partial_1^x + \partial_1^y, \quad \partial_2^u = \partial_1^x - \partial_1^y, \quad \partial_3^u = \partial_2^x + \partial_2^y, \quad \partial_4^u = \partial_2^x - \partial_2^y,$$

and $\partial_{4+k}^u = \partial_k^z$ for $k > 0$. If N is a Riemann surface with constant sectional curvature ϵ , then $\langle R(x, y)y, x \rangle = \epsilon \omega(x, y)$. Thus, the calculations performed in the proof of Proposition 3.1 show that $Q(\partial_i^u \wedge \partial_j^u) = 0$. \square

4. CURVATURE ZERO 2-PLANES IN WARPED PRODUCTS

We can use warped products to construct additional examples where Assertion 1.1 fails. We adopt the notation of [O, p. 210].

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $M = B \times_f F$ be a warped product, where B is a small open ball around $(0, 0)$ in \mathbf{R}^2 , where $f(x, y) = x + y + xy + 1$ is positive, and where $F = \mathbf{R}$. Then M is not flat. Furthermore $Q(\partial_x \wedge \partial_y) = 0$, $Q(\partial_x \wedge \partial_z) = 0$, and $Q(\partial_y \wedge \partial_z) = 0$.*

Proof. We use [O, p. 210, Proposition 42], to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(\partial_x, \partial_y) \partial_x, \partial_z \rangle &= 0, & \langle R(\partial_x, \partial_z) \partial_x, \partial_z \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle R(\partial_y, \partial_z) \partial_y, \partial_z \rangle &= 0, & \langle R(\partial_x, \partial_z) \partial_z, \partial_y \rangle &= f. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.1 generalizes to higher dimensions by taking products with flat tori.

5. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

In order to solve the local equivalence problem (i.e. when two metrics $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2$ on a differentiable manifold M^n differ (locally) by a diffeomorphism), Riemann tried to compute $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ $\text{Diff}(M^n)$ -equivariant functions (i.e. $K(\mathbf{g}_2)(p) = K(\mathbf{g}_1)(f(p))$ for all $f \in \text{Diff}(M^n)$, $p \in M^n$, $\mathbf{g}_2 = f^*\mathbf{g}_1$). The Gaussian curvature K is such a function when $n = 2$. To do this, Riemann expanded the metric in normal coordinates and defined a map Q from \mathcal{M}_n , the space of Riemannian metrics on M^n , to $C^\infty(G_2(M^n))$, where $G_2(M^n)$ is the two-Grassmannian bundle over M^n . In other words, $Q(\mathbf{g})(\pi_p)$ is the sectional curvature of the 2-plane π_p at $p \in M^n$ with respect to the metric \mathbf{g} . Then he said that "... if the curvature is given in $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ surface directions at every point, then the metric relations of the manifold may be determined ..." [Sp2, p. 144]. More precisely, Riemann took $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ independent sections π_{ij} of the bundle $G_2(M^n)$ and he defined the $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ functions by composing with Q (i.e. a map from \mathcal{M}_n to $\{C^\infty(M^n)\}^{n\frac{n-1}{2}}$). Perhaps the expression of Q in coordinates, the two-dimensional flat case and the counting argument led Riemann to the wrong conclusion that Q can be recovered from evaluation in $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ independent 2-planes. It is hard to believe that he did not observe that this map is not actually a $\text{Diff}(M^n)$ -equivariant morphism, as follows from the fact that a generic diffeomorphism does not preserve the π_{ij} (i.e. $f^*\pi_{ij} \neq \pi_{ij}$) when $n > 2$.

REMARK 5.1. A way of defining $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ $\text{Diff}(M^n)$ -equivariant functions from \mathcal{M}_n to $C^\infty(M^n)$ such that:

(i) if $n = 2$ then the function is the Gauss curvature K ;

(ii) if the $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ functions vanish identically then the metric \mathbf{g} is flat;

is as follows. Regarding the curvature tensor R as a symmetric endomorphism of the second exterior product bundle $\bigwedge^2(M^n)$ one can take the characteristic polynomial $\chi_R(X)$ of R . Then the coefficients of $\chi_R(X)$ are the required $n\frac{n-1}{2}$ functions.

REFERENCES

- [B] BERGER, M. Riemannian geometry during the second half of the twentieth century. *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* 100 (1998), 45–208.
- [Di] DIEUDONNÉ, J. *Abrégé d'histoire des mathématiques 1700–1900*. Vol. II. Hermann, 1978.

- [K] KOBAYASHI, S. *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*. Ergeb. Math. 70. Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [KN] KOBAYASHI, S. and K. NOMIZU. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*. Vol. I. Interscience Publishers, 1963.
- [Ku] KULKARNI, R.S. Curvature and metric. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 91 (1970), 311–331.
- [O] O'NEILL, B. *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*. Academic Press, 1983.
- [R] RIEMANN, B. *Collected Papers*. (R. Narasimhan, ed.) Springer-Verlag and B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1990.
- [Sp1] SPIVAK, M. *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*. Vol. 2. Publish or Perish Inc., 1970.
- [Sp2] ———. *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*. Vol. 2, Second Edition. Publish or Perish Inc., 1979.
- [We] WEBER, H. *The Collected Works of Bernhard Riemann*. Second Edition. Dover, New York, 1953.
- [Ya] YAU, S-T. Curvature preserving diffeomorphisms. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 100 (1974), 121–130.

(Reçu le 2 juin 2000)

Antonio J. Di Scala

Fa.M.A.F., Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
Ciudad Universitaria
5000 Córdoba
Argentina
e-mail: discala@mate.uncor.edu

vide-leer-empty