

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 44 (1998)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** POLYNOMIALS MODULO  $p$  WHOSE VALUES ARE SQUARES  
(ELEMENTARY IMPROVEMENTS ON SOME CONSEQUENCES OF  
WEIL'S BOUNDS)  
**Autor:** Zannier, Umberto  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-63899>

### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 09.07.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

POLYNOMIALS MODULO  $p$  WHOSE VALUES ARE SQUARES  
(ELEMENTARY IMPROVEMENTS  
ON SOME CONSEQUENCES OF WEIL'S BOUNDS)

by Umberto ZANNIER

ABSTRACT. We introduce a simple elementary method to prove lower bounds for the number of solutions of congruences of the type  $y^2 \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$ . When the degree  $d$  of  $f$  does not exceed  $\sqrt{2p} - (3/2)$ , the estimates are nontrivial. In particular, for  $\sqrt{2p} - (3/2) > d > 3 + \sqrt{p}$  we improve on what follows from the Riemann Hypothesis for a hyperelliptic function field. We illustrate the method by proving a lower bound for the minimal degree of a non-square polynomial all of whose values on  $\mathbf{F}_p$  are squares in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ .

§ 1. INTRODUCTION

The present note arose with the author's attempt to describe to undergraduate students a proof 'as quick as possible' of the fact that congruences like  $y^2 \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$  usually have some solution<sup>1</sup>).

Concerning such congruences, many methods and results are offered by the literature. We may mention e.g. a method based on Gaussian sums ([Mo, p.39]) which works in special cases. Also, we have of course Hasse's Theorem in case  $f$  has degree 3 (see [Sil] for a recent exposition) and its far reaching generalization provided by Weil's Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields.

We recall briefly that Weil's results imply in particular an estimate for the number of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points of an absolutely irreducible nonsingular projective curve defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . To apply the theorem to our hyperelliptic affine curve  $Y^2 = f(X)$ , where  $f(X) = a_0X^d + \cdots + a_d \in \mathbf{F}_q[X]$  has

---

<sup>1</sup>) This is of course useful in testing whether a given hyperelliptic affine curve over  $\mathbf{Q}$  has points locally everywhere, i.e. over all  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

degree  $d$ , one must take into account a nonsingular projective model. The conclusion is as follows. Suppose that  $f$  has no repeated roots and define  $N := \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{F}_q^2 : y^2 = f(x)\}$ . Then

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} |N - q| \leq (d - 1)\sqrt{q} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ |N - q + 1| \leq (d - 2)\sqrt{q} & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } a_0 \text{ is a square in } \mathbf{F}_q \\ |N - q - 1| \leq (d - 2)\sqrt{q} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Weil's original proof [We] was quite sophisticated. Subsequently, elementary proofs were found independently by Bombieri and Schmidt, both arguments stemming from a method by Stepanov, who was in fact able to treat the equations we are considering here (see the survey [Bo] and the book [Sch]). Also, we point out the work by Stark [St] on hyperelliptic curves and the work by Stöhr and Voloch [SV] (which contains the full Weil bound); in both papers certain improvements on Weil's results are obtained in some cases.

The mentioned proofs, while more elementary than Weil's, are however quite delicate. Here we present a very simple method which seems new. Though it does not imply (1), it leads with minimal effort to the existence of solutions as soon as the characteristic exceeds some function of the degree. (See e.g. the beginning of §2 for a short example.)

Actually, in some cases we may go beyond (1). Note that (1) becomes trivial when  $d \geq 3 + \sqrt{q}$ . Our method, in case  $q$  is a prime, gives something nontrivial provided  $d < \sqrt{2q} - (3/2)$ . (Stark, too, sometimes improves on (1), but he requires  $d \leq 3 + \sqrt{q}$ .) The present proofs are similar to those of Stark, in that they use the iteration of certain differential operators. However we do not need to construct auxiliary functions (as in Stark's arguments) and our recursion formulae are extremely simple. It is quite possible that the method falls into the much more general and conceptual setting developed by Stöhr and Voloch (who remark that their ideas may lead to improvements on Weil in many special cases); however we have not attempted to carry out such a reconstruction.

To illustrate the method, we focus on the following simply stated problem and postpone to §3 some detail for a more general application. Let  $p$  be a prime number and define  $d(p)$  as the least positive integer  $d$  with the following property:

(\*) *There exists a polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  of degree  $d$ , not the square of a polynomial in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ , such that its values on  $\mathbf{F}_p$  are all squares in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ .*

What sort of function is  $d(p)$ ?

Define  $m(p)$  as the minimal positive integer  $m$  such that  $p^m > m2^p$ . We have  $m(p) \sim p \log 2 / \log p$ . In §3.3, we shall show in a simple way that  $d(p) \leq 2m(p)$  (perhaps an essentially optimal bound). Proving good lower bounds for  $d(p)$  is more difficult. With the help of (1) it is easy to show that  $d(p) > \sqrt{p}$ . This is essentially the best that we can extract from (1). In fact, we have already remarked that (1) does not provide any information for  $d > 3 + \sqrt{p}$ . Here we give a short elementary proof of the following

**THEOREM.** *We have  $d^2(p) + 3d(p) \geq 2p + 2$ , hence  $d(p) \geq \sqrt{2p} - \frac{3}{2}$ .*

An immediate corollary is that the number of solutions in  $\mathbf{F}_p^2$  of  $y^2 = f(x)$  with  $y \neq 0$ , is at least  $\sqrt{2p} - \frac{3}{2} - d$ , provided  $f \in \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  has degree  $d$  and at least one simple root. In fact, let

$$S := \{u \in \mathbf{F}_p : f(u) \text{ is a nonzero square in } \mathbf{F}_p\}$$

and put  $g(X) := \prod_{u \in S} (X - u)$ . Then observe that if  $a$  is a quadratic non-residue mod  $p$ , the polynomial  $g(X)^2 af(X)$  assumes only square values on  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , without being a square. The theorem implies  $2 \deg g + d \geq \sqrt{2p} - \frac{3}{2}$ . On the other hand,  $2 \deg g$  is precisely the number of solutions we are considering. We shall outline in §3.2 how to improve on this bound.

## §2. MAIN ARGUMENTS

We start with a simple example to outline the origin of the method. We give a self-contained nine-line proof of the following claim: *Let  $q = 2r + 1 > 3$  be an odd prime power and let  $f \in \mathbf{F}_q[X]$  be a cubic polynomial. Then the equation  $y^2 = f(x)$  has at least one solution  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{F}_q^2$ .*

(Mordell [Mo, p. 41] had to invoke fairly complicated arguments even to deal with the special case  $f(X) = X^3 + k$ .)

Assume the assertion false. Then  $f(u)^r = -1$  for all  $u \in \mathbf{F}_q$ . Hence every element of  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is a root of  $f(X)^r + 1$  and so, identically,

$$(2) \quad f(X)^r + 1 = (X^q - X)S(X),$$

where  $S \in \mathbf{F}_q[X]$  has degree  $3r - q = r - 1$ . Differentiating the equation we get

$$(3) \quad rf'(X)f(X)^{r-1} = (X^q - X)S'(X) - S(X).$$

Multiply (2) by  $rf'(X)$ , (3) by  $f(X)$  and subtract to obtain

$$(4) \quad rf'(X) = (X^q - X)(rf'(X)S(X) - f(X)S'(X)) + f(X)S(X).$$

Observe now that  $rf'(X) - f(X)S(X)$  has degree  $3 + \deg S = r + 2$  and is divisible by  $X^q - X$ , in view of (4). Hence  $r + 2 \geq q = 2r + 1$ , i.e.  $r \leq 1$  and  $q \leq 3$ .  $\square$

We now prove the theorem. Suppose that  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  ( $p > 3$ ) has degree  $d \leq p - 3$ , is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  but assumes on  $\mathbb{F}_p$  only values which are squares in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Write  $f(X) = a \prod_{i=1}^h f_i(X)^{m_i}$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ , the  $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  are distinct monic irreducible polynomials and the  $m_i$  are positive integers. Factoring out suitable even powers of the  $f_i$ , we may assume<sup>2)</sup> that  $1 \leq m_i \leq 2$ . Since  $d < p$ , there exists  $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $f(u) \neq 0$ , so  $f(u)$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . If all the  $m_i$  were even, then  $a$  would be a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $f$  would be a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ , contrary to assumptions. Therefore at least one of the  $m_i$  is equal to 1, proving that  $f$  has at least a simple root  $\alpha$  (in some finite field).

Let now  $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, writing  $p = 2r + 1$ , either  $f(u) = 0$  or  $f(u)^r = 1$ . Therefore  $f(X)(f(X)^r - 1)$  is divisible by  $X^p - X$ . We write

$$(5) \quad f(X)^{r+1} - f(X) = (X^p - X)S(X),$$

where  $S \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  has degree  $(r + 1)d - p$ . Differentiate (5) to obtain

$$(6) \quad (r + 1)f'(X)f^r(X) - f'(X) = (X^p - X)S'(X) - S(X).$$

Similarly to the above example, multiply (5) by  $(r + 1)f'(X)$ , (6) by  $f(X)$  and subtract. The result is

$$(7) \quad f(X)S(X) = (X^p - X)(f(X)S'(X) - (r + 1)f'(X)S(X)) - rf(X)f'(X).$$

This equation is the first step in a recursion that we are going to construct. Define the differential operators  $\Delta_m$  on  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  by setting, for  $\phi \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ ,

$$\Delta_m(\phi)(X) := f(X)\phi'(X) - (r + m + 1)f'(X)\phi(X),$$

and put, for  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} S_0(X) := S(X), & S_{m+1}(X) := \Delta_m(S_m)(X), \\ R_0(X) := -rf(X)f'(X), & R_{m+1}(X) := \Delta_{m+1}(R_m)(X). \end{cases}$$

Then (7) reads

$$(9) \quad f(X)S_0(X) = (X^p - X)S_1(X) + R_0(X).$$

<sup>2)</sup> Note that when  $m_i$  is even we cannot factor out  $f_i(X)^{m_i}$  without danger of destroying the properties of  $f(X)$ . In fact we could have a priori  $f(u) = f_i(u) = 0$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$  while  $(f/f_i^{m_i})(u)$  could be a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It is however safe to factor out  $f_i^{m_i-2}$ .

We shall prove by induction that for all  $m \geq 0$  we have

$$(10) \quad (m+1)f(X)S_m(X) = (X^p - X)S_{m+1}(X) + R_m(X).$$

For  $m = 0$  this is just (9). Assume (10) true and apply to both sides the operator  $\Delta_m$ . Note that  $\Delta_m(\phi\psi) = \phi\Delta_m(\psi) + \phi'f\psi$ . We obtain

$$(m+1)f\Delta_m(S_m) + (m+1)f'fS_m = (X^p - X)\Delta_m(S_{m+1}) - fS_{m+1} + \Delta_m(R_m).$$

Now use (10) to substitute for  $(m+1)fS_m$  in the second term of the left side. We get

$$(m+1)fS_{m+1} + f'((X^p - X)S_{m+1} + R_m) = (X^p - X)\Delta_m(S_{m+1}) - fS_{m+1} + \Delta_m(R_m),$$

whence

$$(m+2)fS_{m+1} = (X^p - X)(\Delta_m(S_{m+1}) - f'S_{m+1}) + \Delta_m(R_m) - f'R_m.$$

Now, to conclude the inductive argument we have only to note that  $\Delta_m(\phi) - f'\phi$  equals just  $\Delta_{m+1}(\phi)$ .

Recall that  $f$  has a simple root  $\alpha$ . We continue by proving the following

**CLAIM.** *Let  $m \leq r$ . Then  $\alpha$  cannot be a double root of  $S_m$ . In particular,  $S_m(X) \neq 0$  for  $m \leq r$ .*

For  $m = 0$  this follows at once from (5). Suppose the claim true for a certain  $m$  and assume by contradiction that  $\alpha$  is a double root of  $S_{m+1}(X) = f(X)S_m'(X) - (r+m+1)f'(X)S_m(X)$ , where  $m+1 \leq r$ . Then, first of all we would have  $(r+m+1)f'(\alpha)S_m(\alpha) = 0$ . This implies that  $S_m(\alpha) = 0$ , since  $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$  and since  $r+m+1 \leq 2r = p-1$ . Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m+1}'(X) &= f'(X)S_m'(X) + f(X)S_m''(X) \\ &\quad - (r+m+1)f''(X)S_m(X) - (r+m+1)f'(X)S_m'(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(\alpha) = S_m(\alpha) = S_{m+1}'(\alpha) = 0$ , we obtain that  $-(r+m)f'(\alpha)S_m'(\alpha) = 0$ . As before, this implies that  $S_m'(\alpha) = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha$  would be a double root of  $S_m(X)$ , a contradiction to the inductive assumption.

As in the example, we shall conclude by comparison of degrees. Define

$$\rho_m := \deg R_m, \quad \sigma_m := \deg S_m,$$

where we may agree that the zero polynomial has degree  $-\infty$ . We have  $\rho_0 = 2d-1$  and we derive directly from the recursion formulae (8) that  $\rho_{m+1} \leq \rho_m + d - 1$ , whence

$$(11) \quad \rho_m \leq d + (m + 1)(d - 1).$$

Also, from (5), (10) and (11) we get (recalling our definition of  $\deg 0$ ),

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_0 = (r + 1)d - p \\ \sigma_{m+1} \leq \max(\sigma_m + d, \rho_m) - p \leq \max(\sigma_m, (m + 1)(d - 1)) + d - p. \end{cases}$$

Observe that we have  $\sigma_0 = (r + 1)d - p = (r + 1)d - (2r + 1) = (d - 2)r + (d - 1) \geq d - 1$ . Suppose that the inequality

$$(13) \quad \sigma_m \geq (m + 1)(d - 1)$$

is true for  $m = 0, \dots, M - 1$ , but not for  $m = M$  (possibly  $M = \infty$ ). Then  $M \geq 1$ . Moreover, by (12) we have  $\sigma_{m+1} \leq \sigma_m + d - p$  for  $m \leq M - 1$ , whence

$$(14) \quad \sigma_m \leq \sigma_0 + m(d - p) = rd - (m + 1)(p - d), \quad \text{for } m \leq M.$$

Applying (13) and (14) with any  $m \leq M - 1$ , we get  $rd - (m + 1)(p - d) \geq (m + 1)(d - 1)$ , i.e.  $2r(m + 1) \leq rd$ . Therefore we have

$$(15) \quad M \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

Finally, apply (12) for  $m = M$  and observe that  $M \leq d/2 \leq r - 1$ , hence  $S_{M+1} \neq 0$  by the Claim. We obtain  $0 \leq \sigma_{M+1} \leq (M + 1)(d - 1) + d - p$ , whence, comparing with (15),

$$2p \leq \begin{cases} d^2 + 3d - 2 & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ d^2 + 2d - 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This proves the theorem and more.  $\square$

### §3. REMARKS

(1) The method gives some information also in the case of a general finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . The same arguments as above work everywhere, on replacing  $p$  by  $q$ , except that in the Claim we must now suppose that  $m \leq r_0$ , where  $p = 2r_0 + 1$ . The final conclusion will be that  $d \geq \min(r_0, \sqrt{2q} - (3/2))$ . This is still sufficient to prove that equations  $y^2 = f(x)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_q$  have some solution, provided  $p$  is sufficiently large compared to  $\deg f$ .

(2) The same method of proof produces a lower bound for the number  $N'$  of solutions of  $y^2 = f(x)$  such that  $y \neq 0$ . This bound is better than the one which has been stated above, as a corollary of the theorem itself. To

derive this bound we define  $S := \{u \in \mathbf{F}_p : f(u) \text{ is not a square in } \mathbf{F}_p\}$  and put  $g(X) := \prod_{u \in S} (X - u)$ . Then we observe that

$$g(X)f(X)^{r+1} - g(X)f(X) = (X^p - X)S(X).$$

This equation generalizes (5) above. At this point we follow completely the above proof of the theorem. The differential operators will now be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m(\phi)(X) &:= g(X)f(X)\phi'(X) \\ &\quad - ((r + m + 1)g(X)f'(X) + (m + 1)g'(X)f(X))\phi(X). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion will be that

$$2 \deg g \geq \frac{4(p-1)}{d+4} - 2(d-1).$$

Apply this result with  $af(X)$  in place of  $f(X)$ , where  $a$  is a quadratic nonresidue in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . Then observe that the left side is just  $N'$ .

(3) As announced in §1, we give a simple proof of the upper bound  $d(p) \leq 2m(p)$  (defined in the introduction). Define  $N_c$  as the number of monic polynomials in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$  which are irreducible and have degree  $c$ . By counting elements in the field  $\mathbf{F}_{p^c}$  we easily find the following formula (which goes back to Gauss), i.e.

$$\sum_{r|c} rN_r = p^c,$$

the sum running over positive divisors of  $c$ . For  $c \geq 3$  this easily implies

$$\sum_{2 \leq d \leq c} N_d \geq \frac{p^c}{c}.$$

Let  $g(X) \in \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  be monic, irreducible of degree  $d \geq 2$  and consider the vector whose entries are the Legendre symbols  $\left(\frac{g(u)}{p}\right)$ , for  $u \in \mathbf{F}_p$ . Since each entry lies in  $\{\pm 1\}$ , the number of possibilities for the vector is  $\leq 2^p$ . If we let  $g$  run through all such polynomials, with  $2 \leq d \leq c$ , the number of possibilities for  $g$  will be  $\geq p^c/c$ . For  $c = m(p)$ , this quantity exceeds  $2^p$  by definition. Hence there will be distinct choices  $g_1(X), g_2(X)$  for  $g(X)$ , giving rise to the same vector. This means that the polynomial  $f(X) := g_1(X)g_2(X)$  assumes nonzero square values on the whole of  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . Moreover, since  $g_1, g_2$  are distinct, monic and irreducible,  $f(X)$  has no square factor of positive degree. Therefore we have  $d(p) \leq \deg f \leq 2m(p)$ , as stated.



## REFERENCES

- [Bo] BOMBIERI, E. Hilbert's 8-th problem: an analogue. *AMS Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math.* 28 (1976), 269–274.
- [Mo] MORDELL, L. J. *Diophantine Equations*. Academic Press, 1969.
- [Sch] SCHMIDT, W. M. *Equations over Finite Fields*. Lecture Notes in Math. no. 536, Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [Sil] SILVERMAN, J. H. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Springer Verlag, 1986.
- [St] STARK, H. M. On the Riemann hypothesis in hyperelliptic function fields. *AMS Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math.* 24 (1973), 285–302.
- [SV] STÖHR, K. O. and J. F. VOLOCH. Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 52 (1986), 1–19.
- [We] WEIL, A. *Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s'en déduisent*. Actualités sci. et ind. no. 1041, Hermann, Paris, 1948.

(Reçu le 27 avril 1998)

Umberto Zannier

Ist. Univ. Arch. D.C.A.

S.Croce, 191

I-30135 Venezia

Italy

e-mail: zannier@brezza.iuav.unive.it