

3. The Poincaré-Tanaka and Chern-Ji theorems

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **41 (1995)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

let $G = \{e, \tau\} \cdot \text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbf{C})$, where $\tau: \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^n$ is given by $\tau(z) = \bar{z}$ and e is the identity map. By Lemmas 5 and 4 applied to the restrictions $f|_{U_j}$, there are transformations $A_j \in G$ such that $f|_{U_j} = A_j|_{U_j}$. Since an element of G is uniquely determined by its values on a nonempty open subset of \mathbf{P}_K^n and $(U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_j) \cap U_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$, it follows by induction that $A_j = A_1$ for all j . Hence $f = A_1|_U$. \square

3. THE POINCARÉ-TANAKA AND CHERN-JI THEOREMS

The Segre family \mathcal{M}_{B_n} mentioned in the introduction has the projective analogue

$$\mathcal{M}_K^n = \{(z, w) \in \mathbf{P}_K^n \times \mathbf{P}_K^n : \sum_{j=0}^n z_j w_j = 0\}.$$

(In fact \mathcal{M}_K^n is a compactification of \mathcal{M}_{B_n} ; see the proof of Corollary 8.) We let $\pi_i: \mathbf{P}_K^n \times \mathbf{P}_K^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^n$ denote the projection to the i -th factor, for $i = 1, 2$. The main result of this section is the following generalization of the Chern-Ji theorem [CJ, Theorem 2]; our generalization says that a pair of local homeomorphisms of \mathbf{P}_K^n ($K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C}) mapping \mathcal{M}_K^n into itself must be projective-linear, or possibly anti-projective-linear (if $K = \mathbf{C}$):

THEOREM 6. *Let $(a^1, a^2) \in \mathcal{M}_K^n$, where $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} , $n \geq 2$. Let U_1, U_2 be open sets in \mathbf{P}_K^n containing a^1, a^2 respectively, and let V_i be the connected component of $\pi_i(\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2)$ containing a_i , for $i = 1, 2$. If $f_i: U_i \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K^n$ ($i = 1, 2$) are continuous injective maps such that*

$$(f_1 \times f_2)(\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2) \subset \mathcal{M}_K^n,$$

then there exists $A \in \text{PGL}(n+1, K)$ such that

- (i) $f_1 = A$ on V_1 and $f_2 = {}^t A^{-1}$ on V_2 , if $K = \mathbf{R}$,
- (ii) either (i) holds or $\bar{f}_1 = A$ on V_1 and $\bar{f}_2 = {}^t A^{-1}$ on V_2 , if $K = \mathbf{C}$.

REMARK. If the sets $\pi_i(\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2)$ are connected, then $V_i = \pi_i(\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2)$ and we have $\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2 = \mathcal{M}_K^n \cap V_1 \times V_2$. In fact, if we assume that only one of the projections $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2)$ is connected, then by the uniqueness of A it follows that the conclusion of Theorem 6 holds with $V_i = \pi_i(\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof of Theorem 6. For a point $w \in \mathbf{P}_K^n$ we write

$$w^\perp = \{z \in \mathbf{P}_K^n : z \cdot w = 0\},$$

where $z \cdot w = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j w_j$. For a subset $S \subset \mathbf{P}_K^n$ we also write

$$S^\perp = \{z \in \mathbf{P}_K^n : z \cdot w = 0 \ \forall w \in S\}.$$

We consider the collection of lines

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{L \in \mathcal{L}(V_1) : L^\perp \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset\},$$

which is open in $\mathcal{L}(V_1)$. If z is an arbitrary point of V_1 , then by hypothesis we can choose $w \in U_2$ such that $(z, w) \in \mathcal{M}_K^n$. If we let L be any projective line in w^\perp containing z , then $w \in L^\perp \cap U_2$ and hence $L \in \mathcal{L}_0$. Therefore $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0 \supset V_1$.

Now let $L \in \mathcal{L}_0$ be arbitrary. We claim that we can choose points $w^1, \dots, w^{n-1} \in L^\perp \cap U_2$, such that $f_2(w^1), \dots, f_2(w^{n-1})$ are in general position: If $n = 2$, the claim is a tautology, so suppose $n \geq 3$. If the claim were false, then $f_2(L^\perp \cap U_2)$ must lie in a projective linear subspace $\mathbf{P}(E)$ of dimension $n - 3$ (where E is a linear subspace of K^{n+1} of dimension $n - 2$). But then f_2 would be a continuous injection from $(L^\perp \cap U_2)$, which has topological dimension $n - 2$ or $2n - 4$ (depending on whether K equals \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C}), into $\mathbf{P}(E)$, which has topological dimension $n - 3$ or $2n - 6$. This contradicts dimension theory.

Let $w^1, \dots, w^{n-1} \in L^\perp \cap U_2$, such that $f_2(w^1), \dots, f_2(w^{n-1})$ are in general position, as above. By moving the points slightly if necessary, we can assume also that w^1, \dots, w^{n-1} are in general position, and hence $L = \langle w^1, \dots, w^{n-1} \rangle^\perp$. We note that by hypothesis, $f_1(w^\perp \cap U_1) \subset f_2(w)^\perp$ for all $w \in U_2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(L \cap U_1) &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} f_1(w^j \perp \cap U_1) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} f_2(w^j)^\perp \\ &= \langle f_2(w^1), \dots, f_2(w^{n-1}) \rangle^\perp \in \mathcal{L}_K^n(U_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let G be the group of projective-linear, and if $K = \mathbf{C}$, anti-projective linear, transformations of \mathbf{P}_K^n as in the proof of Theorem 3. By Theorem 3, there exists $A \in G$ such that $f_1 = A$ on V_1 ; similarly, there exists $B \in G$ such that $f_2 = B$ on V_2 . By replacing $f_1 \times f_2$ with $\bar{f}_1 \times \bar{f}_2$ if necessary, we can assume that $A \in \text{PGL}(n+1, K)$. We now show that $B = {}^t A^{-1}$: Let M be the connected component of $\mathcal{M}_K^n \cap U_1 \times U_2$ containing (a^1, a^2) . Fix a point $w \in \pi_2(M) \subset V_2$, and choose $z^1, \dots, z^n \in w^\perp \cap V_1$ in general position. Then $(Az^j, Bw) = (f_1(z^j), f_2(w)) \in \mathcal{M}_K^n$ since $(z^j, w) \in \mathcal{M}_K^n$, and thus

$$0 = Az^j \cdot Bw = z^j \cdot {}^tABw ,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore ${}^tABw \in w^{\perp\perp} = \{w\}$. Since w is an arbitrary point of $\pi_2(M)$ and since elements of G are uniquely determined by their values on the open set $\pi_2(M)$, it follows that tAB is the identity $e \in G$, and therefore $B = {}^tA^{-1} \in \text{PGL}(n+1, K)$. \square

COROLLARY 7 (Chern-Ji [CJ, Theorem 2]). *Suppose U, \hat{U}, V, \hat{V} are connected open sets in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^n$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{C}}^n \cap U \times V \neq \emptyset$. If $f: U \rightarrow \hat{U}, g: V \rightarrow \hat{V}$ are biholomorphic maps such that*

$$(f \times g)(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{C}}^n \cap U \times V) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{C}}^n ,$$

then f and g are restrictions of elements of $\text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbf{C})$.

We conclude this paper by demonstrating how the following theorem of Poincaré and Tanaka is obtained from Corollary 7.

COROLLARY 8 (Poincaré-Tanaka Theorem) [Po], [Ta]. *Let B_n denote the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n, n \geq 2$. Suppose that U is a connected open set in \mathbf{C}^n such that $U \cap \partial B_n \neq \emptyset$. If $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ is a nonconstant holomorphic map such that $f(U \cap \partial B_n) \subset \partial B_n$, then $f|_{U \cap B_n}$ extends to an automorphism of B_n .*

Proof. By an elementary argument given by H. Alexander ([A], p. 250), we can assume that the Jacobian matrix of f is nonsingular at some point $z_0 \in U \cap \partial B_n$. (We shall give Alexander's argument later.) By replacing U with a neighborhood of z_0 , we can assume that f is injective. Let $\tau: \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ be the conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. Let $V = \tau(U)$ and consider the holomorphic map $g = \tau \circ f \circ \tau: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$. We let $\hat{U} = f(U), \hat{V} = g(V) = \tau(\hat{U})$ so that the maps $f: U \rightarrow \hat{U}, g: V \rightarrow \hat{V}$ are biholomorphic. We let ψ denote the function on $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n$ given by $\psi(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j w_j - 1$ and we consider the "Segre family"

$$\mathcal{M}_{B_n} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n : \psi(z, w) = 0\} .$$

Let $S: \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ be given by $S(z) = (z, \bar{z})$, so that $S^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{B_n}) = \partial B_n$ and $S \circ f = (f \times g) \circ S$. Let $\Omega = U \times V$ and $N = S(\partial B_n) = \mathcal{M}_{B_n} \cap S(\mathbf{C}^n)$. Then

$$(f \times g)(\Omega \cap N) = S \circ f(U \cap \partial B_n) \subset S(\partial B_n) = N \subset \mathcal{M}_{B_n} .$$

Choose a point $z_0 \in U \cap \partial B_n$; then $(z_0, \bar{z}_0) \in \Omega \cap N$. Since $\psi \circ (f \times g)$ vanishes on $\Omega \cap N$ and N is a totally real submanifold of (real) dimen-

sion $2n - 1$ in \mathcal{M}_{B_n} , it follows that $\psi \circ (f \times g)$ vanishes on the connected component of $\Omega \cap \mathcal{M}_{B_n}$ containing (z_0, \bar{z}_0) . After shrinking U if necessary, we can assume that $\psi \circ (f \times g)$ vanishes on $\Omega \cap \mathcal{M}_{B_n}$ and thus $(f \times g)(\Omega \cap \mathcal{M}_{B_n}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{B_n}$. We consider the embedding $\iota \times \iota: \mathbf{C}_n \times \mathbf{C}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^n \times \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^n$ given by $\iota(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\sqrt{-1}: z_1: \dots: z_n)$, which maps \mathcal{M}_{B_n} onto a (dense open) subset of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{C}}^n$. By Corollary 7 applied to the maps

$$\tilde{f} = \iota \circ f \circ \iota^{-1}: \iota(U) \rightarrow \iota(\hat{U}), \quad \tilde{g} = \iota \circ g \circ \iota^{-1}: \iota(V) \rightarrow \iota(\hat{V}),$$

there exists $A \in \text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ such that $\tilde{f} = A|_{\iota(U)}$. Thus f extends to the fractional linear map $\iota^{-1} \circ A \circ \iota$, which gives an automorphism of B_n .

We now give a simplified form of Alexander's proof [Al, p. 250] that the Jacobian matrix of the map f must be nonsingular at some point of $U \cap \partial B_n$. We begin by observing that $f^{-1}(\partial B_n)$ is nowhere dense. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $f^{-1}(\partial B_n)$ contains a connected open set U_0 and assume without loss of generality that $f(z_0) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some point $z_0 \in U_0$. Then by the maximum principle, $f_1 \equiv 1$ and hence $f \equiv (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ on U_0 and thus on U , contradicting the assumption that f is nonconstant. Now suppose on the contrary that the Jacobian determinant of f vanishes identically on $U \cap \partial B_n$. Since the zero of the Jacobian determinant is an analytic subvariety, the Jacobian determinant must vanish identically on U . As a consequence, the fibers of f contain no isolated points. Assume without loss of generality that $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in U$ and choose $r < 1$ such that the spherical cap $W := \{z \in B_n: \text{Re } z_1 > r\}$ is contained in U . Choose a point $p \in W$ such that $f(p) \notin \partial B_n$. Let A be the connected component of $f^{-1}(f(p)) \cap W$ that contains p ; A is an analytic subvariety of W of positive dimension. Furthermore $\bar{A} \setminus A \subset \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n: \text{Re } z_1 = r\}$. By the maximum principle (see for example [Gu, Theorem H2]) applied to the holomorphic function $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by $\varphi(z) = \exp z_1$, we conclude that φ is constant and thus $\bar{A} \setminus A = \emptyset$ so that A is a compact subvariety of W of positive dimension, which is impossible. \square

REFERENCES

- [Al] ALEXANDER, H. Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc. *Math. Ann.* 209 (1974), 249-256.
 [Ar] ARTIN, E. *Geometric Algebra*. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1957.
 [BB] BLASCHKE, W. and G. BOL. *Geometrie der Gewebe*. Springer, Berlin, 1938.
 [Ca] CARTAN, E. Sur le groupe de la géométrie hypersphérique. *Comm. Math. Helv.* 4 (1932), 158-171.