Objekttyp: **Group**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **39 (1993)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.03.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

(to compare this inequality to the inequality as originally stated by Zimmert one has to apply the gamma duplication formula). He chose $s = 2$ to obtain

$$\frac{R}{w} \geqslant 0.02 \cdot \exp(0.46 r_1 + 0.1 r_2) .$$

Zimmert deduced his regulator bounds by an ingenious, but quite involved, investigation of certain analytic properties of the partial Dedekind zeta function associated to the class of principal ideals of K.

In this note we show that it is possible to deduce the above theorem by a simple estimate from a certain, almost obvious, monotonicity property of Hecke's theta function associated to the maximal order of K (see below). Moreover, we indicate below how this method of proof can be refined to yield exactly Zimmert's bounds. The technique of estimating which we apply is a sort of simple variation of a method which is developped in [F-S] to obtain lower bounds for L^p-norms of a certain class of functions. It was found during a careful analysis of Zimmert's method and reflects, though it looks much easier, still very much the spirit of Zimmert's original proof.

2. PROOF. Let $|\cdot|_j$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r := r_1 + r_2$ denote the archimedean absolute values of K, let G denote the r-fold direct product of the multiplicative group of the positive reals $\mathbf{R}_+$, and let V denote the image in G of the units of K under the map

$$\eta \mapsto (\ldots, |\eta|_j^{n_j}, \ldots) ,$$

where n_j equals 1 or 2 accordingly as $|\cdot|_j$ is real or complex. Denote by δ the group homomorphism

$$\delta : G \to \mathbf{R}_+ , \quad \delta((\ldots, x_j, \ldots)) = x_1 \cdots x_r .$$

Its kernel contains V, and by Dirichlet's unit theorem $\ker \delta / V$ is compact. We can thus fix a Haar measure μ on G/V by requiring

$$\int_{G/V} g \circ \delta \, d\mu = \frac{R}{w} \int_0^\infty g(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

for any integrable function on $\mathbf{R}_+$. Let

$$Z(s) := \gamma(s) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} |\mathrm{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} \alpha|^{-s} ,$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ is a set of representatives for the non-zero elements of $\mathfrak{O}$, the ring

of integers in K, modulo units. According to Hecke [H] (and according to the choice of μ) one has, for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the integral representation

$$Z(s) = \int_{G/V} \Theta \delta^s d\mu \ .$$

Here Θ is a smooth, non-negative and V-invariant function on G, which is given by

$$\Theta(x) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{O} \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \exp\left(- \sum_{j=1}^{r} |\alpha|_j^2 x_j^{2/n_j} \right) .$$

The main observation for the proof of the theorem is the

LEMMA. *The function* $(1 + \Theta)\delta$ *is increasing in each argument.*

Proof. This follows from Hecke's theta formula [H, p. 165-166]

$$(1 + \Theta(x))\delta(x) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{r_2}}{\sqrt{|d|}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}^{-1}} \exp\left(- \pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^{r} n_j^2 |\alpha|_j^2 x_j^{-2/n_j} \right) ,$$

i.e. by applying Poisson summation to the series defining $\Theta(x)$ (here $\mathfrak{D}$ and d denote the different and discriminant of K). □

We can now give the

Proof of the theorem. For $a \in \mathbf{R}_+$ set

$$I(a) := \int_{G/V} (1 + \Theta(x))\delta(x) w((a\delta(x))^{s-1}) d\mu(x) ,$$

where we use

$$w(t) = t \max(0, \log(1/t)) ,$$

and where $s > 1$ as in the theorem.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, one has $w(t) = O(t^{\varepsilon})$ and $|w(t+h) - w(t)| / |h| \leqslant |w'(t)| = O(t^{-\varepsilon})$ as $t \to 0$. Thus, using the convergence of the integral representation of $Z(s)$ for $s > 1$, we deduce that the integral defining $I(a)$ is finite, and, on applying Lebesgue's theorem, that $I(a)$ is differentiable and its derivative is obtained by differentiating under the integral sign. Here we agree to use $w'(1)$ for the derivative on the right, i.e. $w'(1) = 0$.

On replacing x by $x/a^{1/r}$ in the integral defining $I(a)$ we deduce from the lemma that $I(a)$ is decreasing. Hence $I'(a) \leqslant 0$, from which we obtain, writing $\sigma = s - 1$,

$$-\frac{d}{da}\int_{G/V} \delta w((a\delta)^\sigma)\,d\mu \geqslant \frac{d}{da}\int_{G/V} \Theta\delta w((a\delta)^\sigma)\,d\mu$$

$$= \sigma a^{\sigma-1}\int_{G/V} \Theta\delta^s w'((a\delta)^\sigma)\,d\mu \geqslant -\sigma a^{\sigma-1}\int_{G/V} \Theta\delta^s(1+\log(a\delta)^\sigma)\,d\mu$$

$$= -\sigma^2 a^{\sigma-1} Z(s)\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} + \log a + \frac{Z'}{Z}(s)\right).$$

By the choice of μ the left-hand side equals $R\sigma/(ws^2a^2)$. Multiplying the above inequality by s^2a^2/σ and then maximizing the right hand side, i.e. choosing

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} + \log a + \frac{Z'}{Z}(s) = -\frac{1}{s},$$

we find

$$\frac{R}{w} \geqslant \frac{s(s-1)}{e}\exp\left(-\frac{s}{s-1}\right) Z(s)\exp\left(-s\frac{Z'}{Z}(s)\right). \tag{1}$$

Finally, $Z(s) \geqslant \gamma(s)$, since the Dirichlet series $D(s)$ in the definition of $Z(s)$ satisfies $D(s) > 1$, and $\frac{Z'}{Z}(s) \leqslant \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma}(s)$, since $D'(s) < 0$. Thus, (1) implies the claimed inequality. □

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS. To obtain a lower bound as sharp as Zimmert's one can proceed as above, but with a variant Θ_1 of the function Θ. Namely, fix a real number $s > 1$, and define $\Theta_1(x)$ by the same series as $\Theta(x)$ but with the term

$$\exp\left(-\sum |\alpha|_j x_j^{2/n_j}\right)$$

replaced by

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} f_j(|\alpha|_j^{n_j} x_j),$$