

# Ternary cubic forms and étale algebras

Autor(en): **Raczek, Mélanie / Tignol, Jean-Pierre**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **55 (2009)**

Heft 1-2

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-110099>

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## TERNARY CUBIC FORMS AND ÉTALE ALGEBRAS

by Mélanie RACZEK and Jean-Pierre TIGNOL<sup>\*</sup>)

The configuration of inflection points on a nonsingular cubic curve in the complex projective plane has a well-known remarkable feature: a line through any two of the nine inflection points passes through a third inflection point. Therefore the inflection points and the 12 lines through them form a tactical configuration  $(9_4, 12_3)$ , which is the configuration of points and lines of the affine plane over the field with 3 elements ([3, p. 295], [7, p. 242]). This property was used by Hesse to show that the inflection points of a ternary cubic over the rationals are defined over a solvable extension, see [11, § 110]. As a result, any ternary cubic can be brought to a normal form  $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 - 3\lambda x_1 x_2 x_3$  over a solvable extension of the base field<sup>1)</sup>. The purpose of this paper is to investigate this extension.

Throughout the paper, we denote by  $F$  an arbitrary field of characteristic different from 3, by  $F_s$  a separable closure of  $F$  and by  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_s/F)$  its Galois group. Let  $V$  be a 3-dimensional  $F$ -vector space and let  $f \in S^3(V^*)$  be a cubic form on  $V$ . Assume that  $f$  has no singular zero in the projective plane  $\mathbf{P}_V(F_s)$ . Then the set  $\mathfrak{I}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{P}_V(F_s)$  of inflection points has 9 elements. There are 12 lines in  $\mathbf{P}_V(F_s)$  that contain three points of  $\mathfrak{I}(f)$ ; they are called *inflectional lines*. Their set  $\mathfrak{L}(f)$  is partitioned into four 3-element subsets  $\mathfrak{T}_0, \mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3$  called *inflectional triangles*, which have the property that each inflection point is incident to exactly one line of each triangle. Let  $\mathfrak{T}(f) = \{\mathfrak{T}_0, \mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3\}$ . There is a canonical map  $\mathfrak{L}(f) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(f)$ , which carries every inflectional line to the unique triangle that contains it. The Galois

---

<sup>\*</sup>) The second author is partially supported by the Fund for Scientific Research F.R.S.–FNRS (Belgium).

<sup>1)</sup> We are grateful to the erudite anonymous referee who pointed out that the normal form of cubics was obtained by Hesse in [5, § 20, Aufgabe 2] *before* he proved (in [6]) that the equation of inflection points is solvable by radicals.

group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathfrak{I}(f)$ , hence also on  $\mathfrak{L}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$ , and the canonical map  $\mathfrak{L}(f) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(f)$  is a triple covering of  $\Gamma$ -sets, in the terminology of [9, §2.2]. Galois theory associates to the  $\Gamma$ -set  $\mathfrak{L}(f)$  a 12-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra  $L(f)$ , which is a cubic étale extension of the 4-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra  $T(f)$  associated to  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$ . We show in §4 that if one of the inflectional triangles, say  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ , is defined over  $F$ , hence preserved under the  $\Gamma$ -action, then there are decompositions

$$T(f) \simeq F \times N, \quad L(f) \simeq K \times M,$$

where  $N$  and  $K$  are cubic étale  $F$ -algebras whose corresponding  $\Gamma$ -sets are  $\mathfrak{X}(N) = \{\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3\}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(K) = \mathfrak{T}_0$  respectively, and  $M$  is a 9-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra containing  $N$ , associated to  $K$  and a unit  $a \in K^\times$ . One can then identify the vector space  $V$  with  $K$  in such a way that

$$(0.1) \quad f(X) = T_K(a^{-1}X^3) - 3\lambda N_K(X) \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in F,$$

where  $T_K$  and  $N_K$  are the trace and the norm of the  $F$ -algebra  $K$ . Conversely, if  $f$  can be reduced to the form (0.1), then one of the inflectional triangles is defined over  $F$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}(K)$  is isomorphic to the set of lines of the triangle. Note that the (*generalized*) *Hesse normal form*

$$a_1 x_1^3 + a_2 x_2^3 + a_3 x_3^3 - 3\lambda x_1 x_2 x_3$$

is the particular case of (0.1) where  $K = F \times F \times F$  (i.e., the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{X}(K)$  is trivial) and  $a = (a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, a_3^{-1})$ . As an application, we show that the form  $T_K(X^3)$  can be reduced over  $F$  to a generalized Hesse normal form if and only if  $K$  has the form  $F[\sqrt[3]{d}]$  for some  $d \in F^\times$ , see Example 4.4.

The 9-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra  $M$  associated to a cubic étale  $F$ -algebra  $K$  and a unit  $a \in K^\times$  was first defined by Markus Rost in relation with Morley's theorem. We are grateful to Markus for allowing us to quote from his private notes [10] in §2.

For background information on cubic curves, we refer to [3], Chapter 11 of [7], or [2].

## 1. ÉTALE ALGEBRAS OVER A FIELD

An *étale  $F$ -algebra* is a finite-dimensional commutative  $F$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $A \otimes_F F_s \simeq F_s \times \cdots \times F_s$ ; see [1, Ch. 5, §6] or [8, §18] for various other characterizations of étale  $F$ -algebras. For any étale  $F$ -algebra  $A$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$  the set of  $F$ -algebra homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow F_s$ . This is a finite set with

cardinality  $|\mathfrak{X}(A)| = \dim_F A$ . Composition with automorphisms of  $F_s$  endows  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$  with a  $\Gamma$ -set structure, and  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a contravariant functor that defines an anti-equivalence of categories between the category  $\text{Et}_F$  of étale  $F$ -algebras and the category  $\text{Set}_\Gamma$  of finite  $\Gamma$ -sets, see [1, Ch. 5, §10] or [8, (18.4)].

Let  $G$  be a finite group of automorphisms of an étale  $F$ -algebra  $A$ . The group  $G$  acts faithfully on the  $\Gamma$ -set  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.1. *If  $G$  acts freely (i.e., without fixed points) on  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ , then*

$$H^1(G, A^\times) = 1.$$

*Proof.* The  $G$ -action on  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$  maps each  $\Gamma$ -orbit on a  $\Gamma$ -orbit, since the actions of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  commute. We may thus decompose  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$  into a disjoint union

$$\mathfrak{X}(A) = \mathfrak{X}_1 \coprod \dots \coprod \mathfrak{X}_n,$$

where each  $\mathfrak{X}_i$  is a union of  $\Gamma$ -orbits permuted by  $G$ . Using the anti-equivalence between  $\text{Et}_F$  and  $\text{Set}_\Gamma$ , we obtain a corresponding decomposition of  $A$  into a direct product of étale  $F$ -algebras

$$A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n.$$

The  $G$ -action preserves each  $A_i$ , hence

$$H^1(G, A^\times) = H^1(G, A_1^\times) \times \dots \times H^1(G, A_n^\times).$$

It therefore suffices to prove that  $H^1(G, A^\times) = 1$  when  $G$  acts transitively on the  $\Gamma$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ . These  $\Gamma$ -orbits are in one-to-one correspondence with the primitive idempotents of  $A$ . Let  $e$  be one of these idempotents and let  $H \subseteq G$  be the subgroup of automorphisms that leave  $e$  fixed. Let also  $B = eA$ . The map  $g \otimes b \mapsto g(b)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $b \in B$  induces isomorphisms of  $G$ -modules

$$A = \mathbf{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H]} B, \quad A^\times = \mathbf{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[H]} B^\times,$$

hence the Eckmann–Faddeev–Shapiro lemma (see for instance [4, Prop. (6.2), p. 73]) yields an isomorphism

$$H^1(G, A^\times) \simeq H^1(H, B^\times).$$

Now,  $B$  is a field and each element  $h \in H$  restricts to an automorphism of  $B$ . Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$  be such that  $\xi(e) = 1$ , hence  $\xi(x) = \xi(ex)$  for all  $x \in A$ . If  $h \in H$  restricts to the identity on  $B$  then

$$eh(x) = h(ex) = ex \quad \text{for all } x \in A,$$

and hence

$$\xi(h(x)) = \xi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

It follows that  $h$  leaves  $\xi$  fixed, hence  $h = 1$  since  $G$  acts freely on  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ . Therefore  $H$  embeds injectively in the group of automorphisms of  $B$ . Hilbert's Theorem 90 then yields  $H^1(H, B^\times) = 1$ , see [8, (29.2)].

## 2. MORLEY ALGEBRAS

Let  $K$  be an étale  $F$ -algebra of dimension 3. To every unit  $a \in K^\times$  we associate an étale  $F$ -algebra  $M(K, a)$  of dimension 9 by a construction due to Markus Rost [10], which will be crucial for the description of the  $\Gamma$ -action on inflectional lines of a nonsingular cubic, see Theorem 3.2.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $D$  be the *discriminant algebra* of  $K$  (see [8, p. 291]); this is a 2-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra such that  $K \otimes_F D$  is the  $S_3$ -Galois closure of  $K$ , see [8, § 18.C]. We thus have  $F$ -algebra automorphisms  $\sigma, \rho$  of  $K \otimes_F D$  such that

$$\sigma|_D = \text{Id}_D, \quad \rho|_K = \text{Id}_K, \quad \sigma^3 = \rho^2 = \text{Id}_{K \otimes_F D}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho\sigma = \sigma^2\rho.$$

We identify each element  $x \in K$  with its image  $x \otimes 1$  in  $K \otimes_F D$  and denote its norm by  $N_K(x)$ .

Now, fix an element  $a \in K^\times$ . Let  $s, t$  be indeterminates and consider the quotient  $F$ -algebra

$$A = K \otimes_F D[s, t] / (s^3 - \sigma^2(a)\sigma(a)^{-1}, t^3 - N_K(a)).$$

Since the characteristic is different from 3, every  $F$ -algebra homomorphism  $K \otimes_F D \rightarrow F_s$  extends in 9 different ways to  $A$ , so  $A$  is an étale  $F$ -algebra. Abusing notation, we also denote by  $s$  and  $t$  the images in  $A$  of the indeterminates. Straightforward computations show that  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  extend to automorphisms of  $A$  by letting

$$\sigma(s) = s t \sigma^2(a)^{-1}, \quad \sigma(t) = t, \quad \rho(s) = s^{-1}, \quad \rho(t) = t,$$

and that the extended  $\sigma, \rho$  satisfy  $\sigma^3 = \rho^2 = \text{Id}_A$  and  $\rho\sigma = \sigma^2\rho$ , so they generate a group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $A$  isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_3$ . The subalgebra of  $A$  fixed under  $G$  is called the *Morley  $F$ -algebra* associated with  $K$  and  $a$ . It is denoted by  $M(K, a)$ .

Since  $G$  acts freely on  $\mathfrak{X}(K \otimes_F D)$ , it also acts freely on  $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ , hence

$$\dim_F M(K, a) = 9.$$

It readily follows from the definition that  $M(K, a)$  contains the 3-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra

$$N(K, a) = F[t], \quad \text{with } t^3 = N_K(a).$$

Clearly, if  $a' = \lambda k^3 a$  for some  $\lambda \in F^\times$  and  $k \in K^\times$ , then there is an isomorphism  $M(K, a') \simeq M(K, a)$  induced by  $s' \mapsto s\sigma^2(k)\sigma(k)^{-1}$ ,  $t' \mapsto t\lambda N_K(k)$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let  $K = F \times F \times F$  and  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in K^\times$ . Then  $D \simeq F \times F$ , so  $K \otimes_F D \simeq F^6$ . We index the primitive idempotents of  $K \otimes D$  by the elements in  $G$ , so that the  $G$ -action on the primitive idempotents  $(e_\tau)_{\tau \in G}$  is given by

$$\theta(e_\tau) = e_{\theta\tau} \quad \text{for } \theta, \tau \in G.$$

We identify  $K$  with a subalgebra of  $K \otimes D$  by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1(e_{\text{Id}} + e_\rho) + x_2(e_\sigma + e_{\rho\sigma}) + x_3(e_{\sigma^2} + e_{\rho\sigma^2})$$

for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in F$ . Then  $A \simeq F^6[s, t]$  where

$$s^3 = \frac{\sigma^2(a)}{\sigma(a)} = \frac{a_2}{a_3}e_{\text{Id}} + \frac{a_3}{a_1}e_\sigma + \frac{a_1}{a_2}e_{\sigma^2} + \frac{a_3}{a_2}e_\rho + \frac{a_2}{a_1}e_{\sigma\rho} + \frac{a_1}{a_3}e_{\sigma^2\rho}$$

and

$$t^3 = a_1 a_2 a_3.$$

Let  $r = \sum_{\tau \in G} \tau(s) e_\tau \in M(K, a)$ . Then  $r^3 = \frac{a_2}{a_3}$  and  $M(K, a) = F[r, t]$ . Note that  $\left(\frac{r^2 t}{a_2}\right)^3 = \frac{a_1}{a_3}$ , so

$$M(K, a) \simeq F\left[\sqrt[3]{\frac{a_1}{a_3}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{a_2}{a_3}}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad N(K, a) \simeq F[\sqrt[3]{a_1 a_2 a_3}].$$

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $K$  be an arbitrary cubic étale  $F$ -algebra and let  $a = 1$ . Let  $F[\omega]$  be the quadratic étale  $F$ -algebra with  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem we have

$$N(K, 1) = F[t]/(t^3 - 1) \simeq F \times F[\omega].$$

The corresponding orthogonal idempotents in  $N(K, 1)$  are

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{3}(1 + t + t^2) \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2 - t - t^2).$$

Let  $A_1 = e_1 A$  and  $A_2 = e_2 A$ , so  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$  and the  $G$ -action preserves  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} e_{11} &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + s + s^2) e_1 \in A_1, & e_{12} &= \frac{1}{3}(2 - s - s^2) e_1 \in A_1, \\ \varepsilon_1 &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + s + s^2) e_2 \in A_2, & \varepsilon_2 &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + st + s^2 t^2) e_2 \in A_2, \\ & & \varepsilon_3 &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + st^2 + s^2 t) e_2 \in A_2. \end{aligned}$$

These elements are pairwise orthogonal idempotents, and we have

$$e_1 = e_{11} + e_{12}, \quad e_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3.$$

The  $G$ -action fixes  $e_{11}$  and  $e_{12}$ , while

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\varepsilon_1) &= \varepsilon_2, & \sigma(\varepsilon_2) &= \varepsilon_3, & \sigma(\varepsilon_3) &= \varepsilon_1, \\ \rho(\varepsilon_1) &= \varepsilon_1, & \rho(\varepsilon_2) &= \varepsilon_3, & \rho(\varepsilon_3) &= \varepsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $e_1 t = e_1$  and  $e_{11} s = e_{11}$ , hence  $e_{11} A \simeq K \otimes D$  and  $e_{11} M(K, 1) \simeq F$ . On the other hand,  $e_{12} s$  is a primitive cube root of unity in  $e_{12} M(K, 1)$ . It is fixed under  $\sigma$  and  $\rho(e_{12} s) = e_{12} s^{-1}$ . Therefore we have

$$e_{12} A \simeq K \otimes D \otimes F[\omega] \quad \text{and} \quad e_{12} M(K, 1) \simeq (D \otimes F[\omega])^\rho,$$

where  $\rho$  acts non-trivially on  $D$  and  $F[\omega]$ . The quadratic étale algebra  $(D \otimes F[\omega])^\rho$  is the *composite* of  $D$  and  $F[\omega]$  in the group of quadratic étale  $F$ -algebras, see [9, Prop. 3.11]. It is denoted by  $D * F[\omega]$ . Finally, we have an isomorphism  $K \otimes F[\omega] \simeq e_2 M(K, 1)$  by mapping  $x \in K$  to  $x\varepsilon_1 + \sigma(x)\varepsilon_2 + \sigma^2(x)\varepsilon_3$  and  $\omega$  to  $e_2 t$ , so

$$M(K, 1) \simeq F \times (D * F[\omega]) \times (K \otimes F[\omega]).$$

Under this isomorphism, the inclusion  $N(K, 1) \hookrightarrow M(K, 1)$  is the map

$$F \times F[\omega] \rightarrow F \times (D * F[\omega]) \times (K \otimes F[\omega]), \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, x, y).$$

In particular, if  $F$  contains a cube root of unity, then  $F[\omega] \simeq F \times F$  and

$$M(K, 1) \simeq F \times D \times K \times K.$$

The inclusion  $N(K, 1) \hookrightarrow M(K, 1)$  is then given by

$$F \times F \times F \rightarrow F \times D \times K \times K, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, x, y, z).$$

Details are left to the reader.

In the rest of this section, we show how the  $\Gamma$ -set  $\mathfrak{X}(M(K, a))$  can be characterized as the fibre of a certain (ramified) covering of the projective plane.

Viewing  $K$  as an  $F$ -vector space, we may consider the projective plane  $\mathbf{P}_K$ , whose points over the separable closure  $F_s$  are

$$\mathbf{P}_K(F_s) = \{x \cdot F_s^\times \mid x \in K \otimes_F F_s, x \neq 0\}.$$

Let

$$(2.1) \quad \pi: \mathbf{P}_K(F_s) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K(F_s), \quad x \cdot F_s^\times \mapsto x^3 \cdot F_s^\times \quad \text{for } x \in K \otimes_F F_s, x \neq 0.$$

We show in Theorem 2.6 below that there is an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -sets

$$\mathfrak{X}(M(K, a)) \simeq \pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times) \quad \text{for } a \in K^\times.$$

In view of the anti-equivalence between  $\text{Et}_F$  and  $\text{Set}_\Gamma$ , this result characterizes the Morley algebra  $M(K, a)$  up to isomorphism.

Until the end of this section, we fix  $a \in K^\times$  and denote  $M(K, a)$  simply by  $M$ . We identify  $K \otimes M$  with the subalgebra of  $A$  fixed under  $\rho$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *There exists  $u \in (K \otimes M)^\times$  such that  $s = \sigma^2(u) \sigma(u)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Define a map  $c: G \rightarrow A^\times$  by

$$c(\text{Id}) = c(\sigma^2 \rho) = 1, \quad c(\sigma) = c(\rho) = s, \quad c(\sigma^2) = c(\sigma \rho) = \sigma^2(s)^{-1}.$$

Computation shows that  $s\sigma(s)\sigma^2(s) = 1$ , and it follows that  $c$  is a 1-cocycle. Proposition 1.1 yields an element  $v \in A^\times$  such that  $c(\tau) = v\tau(v)^{-1}$  for all  $\tau \in G$ ; in particular, we have

$$s = v\sigma(v)^{-1} = v\rho(v)^{-1}.$$

Let  $u = \sigma^2(v)^{-1}$ . The equations above yield

$$s = \sigma^2(u) \sigma(u)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(u) = u.$$

Therefore  $u \in K \otimes M$ , and this element satisfies the condition.

LEMMA 2.5. *The set  $\pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times)$  has 9 elements if it is non-empty.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x_0 \in K \otimes F_s$  is such that  $x_0^3 \cdot F_s^\times = a \cdot F_s^\times$ . Then the map  $y \cdot F_s^\times \mapsto x_0 y \cdot F_s^\times$  defines a bijection between  $\pi^{-1}(1 \cdot F_s^\times)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times)$ , so it suffices to show that  $|\pi^{-1}(1 \cdot F_s^\times)| = 9$ . Identify  $K \otimes F_s = F_s \times F_s \times F_s$ , and let  $\omega \in F_s^\times$  be a primitive cube root of unity. To simplify notation, write



$(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \cdot F_s^\times$  for  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in F_s$ . It is easy to check that  $\pi^{-1}(1 \cdot F_s^\times)$  consists of the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1 : 1 : 1), & (1 : \omega : \omega^2), & (1 : \omega^2 : \omega), \\ (1 : 1 : \omega), & (1 : \omega : 1), & (\omega : 1 : 1), \\ (1 : 1 : \omega^2), & (1 : \omega^2 : 1), & (\omega^2 : 1 : 1). \end{array}$$

Each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  extends uniquely to a  $K$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\widehat{\xi}: K \otimes_F M \rightarrow K \otimes_F F_s.$$

**THEOREM 2.6 (Rost).** *Let  $u \in (K \otimes M)^\times$  be such that  $\sigma^2(u)\sigma(u)^{-1} = s$ . The map  $\xi \mapsto \widehat{\xi}(u) \cdot F_s^\times$  defines an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -sets*

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{X}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times).$$

*Proof.* If  $u \in (K \otimes M)^\times$  satisfies  $\sigma^2(u)\sigma(u)^{-1} = s$ , then

$$\sigma^2(u^3)\sigma(u^3)^{-1} = s^3 = \sigma^2(a)\sigma(a)^{-1},$$

so  $a^{-1}u^3$  is fixed under  $\sigma$ , hence  $a^{-1}u^3 \in M^\times$ . Therefore  $a^{-1}\widehat{\xi}(u)^3 \in F_s^\times$ , hence  $\widehat{\xi}(u) \cdot F_s^\times$  lies in  $\pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times)$ .

Note that the map  $\Phi$  does not depend on the choice of  $u$ : indeed,  $u$  is determined uniquely up to a factor in  $M^\times$ , and for  $m \in M^\times$  we have  $\widehat{\xi}(um) = \widehat{\xi}(u)\widehat{\xi}(m)$ , so  $\widehat{\xi}(um) \cdot F_s^\times = \widehat{\xi}(u) \cdot F_s^\times$ .

It is clear from the definition that the map  $\Phi$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. Since  $|\mathfrak{X}(M)| = |\pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times)| = 9$ , it suffices to show that  $\Phi$  is injective to complete the proof. Extending scalars, we may assume that  $K \simeq F \times F \times F$ , and use the notation of Example 2.2. Then, up to a factor in  $M^\times$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sigma^2 \rho(s) e_{\text{Id}} + \sigma(s) e_\sigma + e_{\sigma^2} + \sigma(s) e_\rho + e_{\sigma\rho} + \sigma^2 \rho(s) e_{\sigma^2\rho} \\ &= \frac{r^2 t}{a_2} (e_{\text{Id}} + e_\rho) + r (e_\sigma + e_{\sigma^2\rho}) + (e_{\sigma^2} + e_{\sigma\rho}) \\ &= \left( \frac{r^2 t}{a_2}, r, 1 \right) \in K \otimes M = M \times M \times M. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  satisfy  $\widehat{\xi}(u) \cdot F_s^\times = \widehat{\eta}(u) \cdot F_s^\times$ , then  $\xi\left(\frac{r^2 t}{a_2}\right) = \eta\left(\frac{r^2 t}{a_2}\right)$  and  $\xi(r) = \eta(r)$ . Since  $M$  is generated by  $r$  and  $t$ , it follows that  $\xi = \eta$ .

REMARK 2.7. As pointed out by Rost [10], the map  $\pi$  factors through

$$W(F_s) = \{(\lambda, x) \cdot F_s^\times \mid \lambda^3 = N_K(x)\} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{F \times K}(F_s) :$$

we have  $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$ , where

$$\pi_2: \mathbf{P}_K(F_s) \rightarrow W(F_s), \quad x \cdot F_s^\times \mapsto (N_K(x), x^3) \cdot F_s^\times$$

and

$$\pi_1: W(F_s) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K(F_s), \quad (\lambda, x) \cdot F_s^\times \mapsto x \cdot F_s^\times.$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}(M(K, a)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbf{P}_K(F_s) \\ \mathfrak{X}(i) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ \mathfrak{X}(N(K, a)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & W(F_s) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ \mathfrak{X}(F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi''} & \mathbf{P}_K(F_s), \end{array}$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}(i)$  is the map functorially associated to the inclusion

$$i: N(K, a) \hookrightarrow M(K, a)$$

and  $\Phi''$  maps the unique element of  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$  to  $a \cdot F_s^\times$ . The induced map  $\Phi'$  is an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -sets

$$\Phi': \mathfrak{X}(N(K, a)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times).$$

### 3. INFLECTION POINT CONFIGURATIONS

Let  $V$  be a 3-dimensional vector space over  $F$ . Let  $S^3(V^*)$  be the third symmetric power of the dual space  $V^*$ , i.e., the space of cubic forms on  $V$ . A cubic form  $f \in S^3(V^*)$  is called *triangular* if its zero set in the projective plane  $\mathbf{P}_V(F_s)$  defines a triangle or, equivalently, if there exist linearly independent linear forms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in V^* \otimes_F F_s$  such that  $f = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3$  in  $S^3(V^* \otimes F_s)$ . The *sides of the triangle* are the zero sets of  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$ ; they form a 3-element  $\Gamma$ -set  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $f \in S^3(V^*)$  be a triangular cubic form and let  $K$  be the cubic étale  $F$ -algebra such that  $\mathfrak{X}(K) \simeq \mathfrak{S}(f)$ . Then we may identify the  $F$ -vector spaces  $V$  and  $K$  so as to identify  $f$  with a multiple of the norm form of  $K$ ,*

$$f = \lambda N_K \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in F^\times.$$

*In particular, the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  is trivial if and only if  $f$  factors into a product of three independent linear forms in  $V^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3$  for some linearly independent linear forms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in V^* \otimes F_s$ . Since  ${}^\gamma \varphi_1 {}^\gamma \varphi_2 {}^\gamma \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , it follows by unique factorization in  $S^3(V^*)$  that there exist a permutation  $\pi_\gamma$  of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and scalars  $\lambda_{\pi_\gamma(i), \gamma} \in F_s^\times$  such that

$${}^\gamma \varphi_i = \lambda_{\pi_\gamma(i), \gamma} \varphi_{\pi_\gamma(i)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since  ${}^{\gamma\delta} \varphi_i = {}^\gamma ({}^\delta \varphi_i)$  for  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\lambda_{\pi_{\gamma\delta}(i), \gamma\delta} \varphi_{\pi_{\gamma\delta}(i)} = \gamma(\lambda_{\pi_\delta(i), \delta}) \lambda_{\pi_\gamma \pi_\delta(i), \gamma} \varphi_{\pi_\gamma \pi_\delta(i)},$$

hence  $\pi_{\gamma\delta} = \pi_\gamma \pi_\delta$  and

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_{\pi_{\gamma\delta}(i), \gamma\delta} = \gamma(\lambda_{\pi_\delta(i), \delta}) \lambda_{\pi_\gamma \pi_\delta(i), \gamma}.$$

The  $\Gamma$ -set  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  is  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  with the  $\Gamma$ -action  $\gamma \mapsto \pi_\gamma$ ; therefore we may identify  $K$  with the  $F$ -algebra of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant maps

$$K = \text{Map}(\{1, 2, 3\}, F_s)^\Gamma.$$

For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , define  $a_\gamma \in \text{Map}(\{1, 2, 3\}, F_s^\times) = (K \otimes F_s)^\times$  by

$$a_\gamma(i) = \lambda_{i, \gamma}.$$

Clearly,  $a_\gamma = 1$  if  $\gamma$  fixes  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$ ; moreover, by (3.1) we have  $a_\gamma {}^\gamma a_\delta = a_{\gamma\delta}$  for  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , hence  $(a_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a continuous 1-cocycle. By Hilbert's Theorem 90 [8, (29.2)], we have  $H^1(\Gamma, (K \otimes F_s)^\times) = 1$ , hence there exists  $b \in \text{Map}(\{1, 2, 3\}, F_s^\times)$  such that  $a_\gamma = b {}^\gamma b^{-1}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $\psi_i = b(i) \varphi_i \in V^* \otimes F_s$ . Let also

$$\lambda = (b(1)b(2)b(3))^{-1}.$$

Computation shows that  ${}^\gamma \psi_i = \psi_{\pi_\gamma(i)}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $f = \lambda \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3$  in  $S^3(V^* \otimes F_s)$ , hence  $\lambda \in F^\times$ . Define

$$\Theta: V \otimes F_s \rightarrow \text{Map}(\{1, 2, 3\}, F_s) = K \otimes F_s$$

by

$$\Theta(x): i \mapsto \psi_i(x) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } x \in V \otimes F_s.$$

Since  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$  are linearly independent,  $\Theta$  is an  $F_s$ -vector space isomorphism. It restricts to an isomorphism of  $F$ -vector spaces  $V \xrightarrow{\sim} K$  under which  $f$  is identified with  $\lambda N_K$ .

Now, let  $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_V(F_s)$  be a 9-point set that has the characteristic property of the set of inflection points of a nonsingular cubic curve: the line through any two distinct points of  $\mathfrak{I}$  passes through exactly one third point of  $\mathfrak{I}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be the set of lines in  $\mathbf{P}_V(F_s)$  that are incident to three points of  $\mathfrak{I}$ . This set has 12 elements, and  $\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{L}$  form an incidence geometry that is isomorphic to the affine plane over the field with three elements, see [7, §11.1]. In particular, there is a partition of  $\mathfrak{L}$  into four subsets  $\mathfrak{T}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{T}_3$  of three lines, which we call *triangles*, with the property that each point of  $\mathfrak{I}$  is incident to one and only one line of each triangle.

Assume  $\mathfrak{I}$  is stable under the action of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Gamma$  preserves the triangle  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ . Let  $K$  be the cubic étale  $F$ -algebra whose  $\Gamma$ -set  $\mathfrak{X}(K)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ . By Proposition 3.1, we may identify  $V$  with  $K$  in such a way that the union of the lines in  $\mathfrak{T}_0$  is the zero set of the norm  $N_K$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *There exists  $a \in K^\times$  such that the  $\Gamma$ -set of vertices of the triangles  $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3$  is  $\pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times)$ , where  $\pi: \mathbf{P}_K(F_s) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_K(F_s)$  is defined in (2.1). The set  $\mathfrak{I}$  is the set of inflection points of the cubics in the pencil spanned by the forms  $T_K(a^{-1}X^3)$  and  $N_K(X)$ , and we have isomorphisms of  $\Gamma$ -sets*

$$\mathfrak{L} \simeq \mathfrak{X}(K) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(M(K, a)), \quad \{\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3\} \simeq \mathfrak{X}(N(K, a)).$$

*Proof.* Fix an isomorphism  $K \otimes F_s \simeq F_s \times F_s \times F_s$ , and write simply  $(x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$  for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot F_s^\times$ . The sides of  $\mathfrak{T}_0$  are then the lines with equation  $x_1 = 0$ ,  $x_2 = 0$ , and  $x_3 = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{I} = \{p_1, \dots, p_9\}$ . We label the points so that the incidence relations can be read from the representation of the affine plane over  $\mathbf{F}_3$  in Figure 1.

Say the line through  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  is  $x_1 = 0$ , and the line through  $p_4, p_5, p_6$  is  $x_2 = 0$ . We can then find  $u_1, u_2, u_3, v \in F_s^\times$  such that

$$p_i = (0 : u_i : 1) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{and} \quad p_4 = (1 : 0 : v).$$



FIGURE 1

Since  $p_7$  lies at the intersection of  $x_3 = 0$  with the line through  $p_1$  and  $p_4$ , we have

$$p_7 = (1 : -u_1 v : 0).$$

Similarly,

$$p_8 = (1 : -u_2v : 0) \quad \text{and} \quad p_9 = (1 : -u_3v : 0).$$

Finally, since  $p_5$  (resp.  $p_6$ ) lies at the intersection of  $x_2 = 0$  with the line through  $p_1$  and  $p_8$  (resp.  $p_9$ ), we have

$$p_5 = (u_1 : 0 : u_2 v) \quad \text{and} \quad p_6 = (u_1 : 0 : u_3 v).$$

Collinearity of the points  $p_2, p_6, p_7$  (resp.  $p_2, p_5, p_9$  ; resp.  $p_3, p_6, p_8$ ) yields

$$u_1^2 = u_2 u_3, \quad (\text{resp. } u_2^2 = u_1 u_3; \text{ resp. } u_3^2 = u_1 u_2).$$

Therefore

$$u_1^3 = u_2^3 = u_3^3 = u_1 u_2 u_3.$$

Since  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  are pairwise distinct, it follows that there is a primitive cube root of unity  $\omega \in F_s$  such that

$$u_2 = \omega u_1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_3 = \omega^2 u_1.$$

Straightforward computations yield the vertices of the triangles  $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}_1 : q_1 &= (1 : \omega^2 u_1 v : -v), & q'_1 &= (1 : u_1 v : -\omega^2 v), & q''_1 &= (\omega^2 : u_1 v : -v), \\ \mathfrak{T}_2 : q_2 &= (\omega : u_1 v : -v), & q'_2 &= (1 : u_1 v : -\omega v), & q''_2 &= (1 : \omega u_1 v : -v), \\ \mathfrak{T}_3 : q_3 &= (1 : \omega u_1 v : -\omega^2 v), & q'_3 &= (\omega^2 : \omega u_1 v : -v), & q''_3 &= (1 : u_1 v : -v). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_0 = (1, u_1^3 v^3, -v^3) \in (K \otimes F_s)^\times$ . It is readily verified that

$$\{q_1, q'_1, q''_1, q_2, q'_2, q''_2, q_3, q'_3, q''_3\} = \pi^{-1}(a_0 \cdot F_s^\times).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{T}$  is stable under the action of  $\Gamma$ , the point  $a_0 \cdot F_s^\times$  is fixed under  $\Gamma$ , hence for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\lambda_\gamma \in F_s^\times$  such that

$$\gamma(a_0) = a_0 \lambda_\gamma \quad \text{in } K \otimes F_s.$$

Then  $(\lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a continuous 1-cocycle of  $\Gamma$  in  $F_s^\times$ . Hilbert's Theorem 90 yields an element  $\mu \in F_s^\times$  such that  $\lambda_\gamma = \mu \gamma(\mu)^{-1}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then for  $a = a_0 \mu$  we have  $a_0 \cdot F_s^\times = a \cdot F_s^\times$  and  $\gamma(a) = a$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , hence  $a \in K^\times$ .

The inflection points of the cubics in the pencil spanned by  $\mathbb{T}_K(a^{-1}X^3)$  and  $\mathbb{N}_K(X)$  are the points  $(x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x_1^3 + (u_1 v)^{-3} x_2^3 - v^{-3} x_3^3 = 0, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solutions of this system are exactly the points  $p_1, \dots, p_9$ .

Finally, the  $\Gamma$ -set of sides of the triangle  $\mathfrak{T}_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{X}(K)$  by hypothesis, and the map that associates to each side of a triangle its opposite vertex defines an isomorphism between the set of sides of  $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3$  and the set  $\{q_1, \dots, q''_3\} = \pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times)$ . By Theorem 2.6, we have  $\pi^{-1}(a \cdot F_s^\times) \simeq \mathfrak{X}(M(K, a))$ , hence

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathfrak{X}(K) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(M(K, a)).$$

This isomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$\{\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3\} \simeq \mathfrak{X}(N(K, a)),$$

which can be made explicit by the following observation: the triangular cubic forms in the pencil spanned by  $T_K(a^{-1}X^3)$  and  $N_K(X)$  are the scalar multiples of  $N_K(X)$  (whose zero set is the triangle  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ ) and of  $T_K(a^{-1}X^3) - 3zN_K(X)$ , where  $z \in F_s^\times$  is such that  $z^3 = N_K(a^{-1})$ . The zero set of the latter form is  $\mathfrak{T}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}_2$  or  $\mathfrak{T}_3$  depending on the choice of  $z$ , and the three values of  $z$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements in the fibre of the map  $\pi_1$  in Remark 2.7.

#### 4. NORMAL FORMS OF TERNARY CUBICS

Let  $V$  be a 3-dimensional vector space over  $F$  and let  $f \in S^3(V^*)$  be a nonsingular cubic form. Recall from the introduction the notation  $\mathfrak{I}(f)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{L}(f)$ , resp.  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$ ) for the set of inflection points (resp. inflectional lines, resp. inflectional triangles) of  $f$ . The following result is a direct application of Theorem 3.2:

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be a cubic étale  $F$ -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$f$  is isometric to a cubic form  $T_K(a^{-1}X^3) - 3\lambda N_K(X)$  for some unit  $a \in K^\times$  and some scalar  $\lambda \in F$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\Gamma$  has a fixed point  $\mathfrak{T}_0 \in \mathfrak{T}(f)$  with  $\mathfrak{T}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{X}(K)$  (as  $\Gamma$ -sets of 3 elements).*

*When these conditions hold, we have*

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) \simeq \mathfrak{X}(K) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(M(K, a)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{T}(f) \simeq \{\mathfrak{T}_0\} \coprod \mathfrak{X}(N(K, a)).$$

*Proof.* If  $f(X) = T_K(a^{-1}X^3) - 3\lambda N_K(X)$ , then computation shows that the zero set of  $N_K$  is an inflectional triangle of  $f$ . This triangle is clearly preserved under the  $\Gamma$ -action. Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{T}_0 \in \mathfrak{T}(f)$  is preserved under the  $\Gamma$ -action and  $K$  is the cubic étale  $F$ -algebra such that  $\mathfrak{X}(K) \simeq \mathfrak{T}_0$ , Theorem 3.2 yields an element  $a \in K^\times$  such that the forms  $T_K(a^{-1}X^3)$  and  $N_K(X)$  span the pencil of cubics whose set of inflection points is  $\mathfrak{I}(f)$ .

Applying Corollary 4.1 in the case where  $F$  is a finite field yields a direct proof of the following result from [7, p. 276]:

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Suppose  $F$  is a finite field with  $q$  elements. For any nonsingular cubic form  $f$ , the number of inflectional triangles of  $f$  defined over  $F$  is 0, 1, or 4 if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ; it is 0 or 2 if  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is finite, the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$  factors through a cyclic group. If there is at least one fixed triangle  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ , then Corollary 4.1 yields a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{T}(f) \simeq \{\mathfrak{T}_0\} \coprod \mathfrak{X}(N(K, a)),$$

where  $N(K, a) = F[t]$  with  $t^3 = N_K(a)$ . If  $N(K, a)$  is a field, then it must be a cyclic extension of  $F$ , hence  $F$  contains a primitive cube root of unity and therefore  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Similarly, if  $N(K, a) \simeq F \times F \times F$ , then  $F$  contains a primitive cube root of unity. Thus, if  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ , the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$  has either 0 or 2 fixed points. If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  then  $F$  contains a primitive cube root of unity and either the polynomial  $x^3 - N_K(a)$  is irreducible or it splits into linear factors. Therefore the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$  has either 0, 1 or 4 fixed points.

We next spell out the special case of Corollary 4.1 where the cubic étale  $F$ -algebra  $K$  is the split algebra  $F \times F \times F$ :

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *There is a basis of  $V$  in which  $f$  takes the generalized Hesse normal form  $a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 + a_3x_3^3 - 3\lambda x_1x_2x_3$  for some  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in F^\times$  and  $\lambda \in F$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point  $\mathfrak{T}_0 \in \mathfrak{T}(f)$  and acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{T}_0$  (viewed as a 3-element subset of  $\mathfrak{L}(f)$ ).*

**EXAMPLE 4.4.** Let  $K$  be a cubic étale  $F$ -algebra and let  $f(X) = T_K(X^3)$ . By Corollary 4.1 we have

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) \simeq \mathfrak{X}(K) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(M(K, 1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{T}(f) \simeq \{\mathfrak{T}_0\} \coprod \mathfrak{X}(N(K, 1)).$$

The  $\Gamma$ -sets  $\mathfrak{X}(M(K, 1))$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(N(K, 1))$  are determined in Example 2.3:

$$\mathfrak{X}(M(K, 1)) \simeq \mathfrak{X}(F) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(D * F[\omega]) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(K \otimes F[\omega])$$

and

$$\mathfrak{X}(N(K, 1)) \simeq \mathfrak{X}(F) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(F[\omega]).$$

The map  $\mathfrak{X}(i): \mathfrak{X}(M(K, 1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(N(K, 1))$  functorially associated to the inclusion  $i: N(K, 1) \hookrightarrow M(K, 1)$  maps  $\mathfrak{X}(F) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(D * F[\omega])$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(F)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(K \otimes F[\omega])$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(F[\omega])$ .

If  $K \simeq F \times F \times F$ , then  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3$  so  $f$  has a Hesse normal form. If  $K \not\simeq F \times F \times F$ , then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{X}(K)$ , hence also on  $\mathfrak{X}(K \otimes F[\omega])$ , is nontrivial. Therefore it follows from Corollary 4.3 that  $f$  has a generalized Hesse normal form over  $F$  if and only if the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{X}(D * F[\omega])$  is trivial. This happens if and only if  $D \simeq F[\omega]$ , which is



equivalent to  $K \simeq F[\sqrt[3]{d}]$  for some  $d \in F^\times$ , by [8, (18.32)]. Indeed, for  $X = x_1 + x_2\sqrt[3]{d} + x_3\sqrt[3]{d^2}$ , computation yields

$$f(X) = 3(x_1^3 + dx_2^3 + d^2x_3^3 + 6dx_1x_2x_3).$$

Corollary 4.3 applies in particular when  $F$  is the field  $\mathbf{R}$  of real numbers:

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Every nonsingular cubic form over  $\mathbf{R}$  can be reduced to a generalized Hesse normal form.*

*Proof.* It is clear from the Weierstrass normal form that every nonsingular cubic over  $\mathbf{R}$  has three real collinear inflection points, see [3, Prop. 14, p. 305]. The inflectional line through these points is fixed under  $\Gamma$ , hence the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$  has at least one fixed point. The same argument as in Corollary 4.2 then shows that  $\Gamma$  has exactly two fixed points in  $\mathfrak{T}(f)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{T}_0, \mathfrak{T}_1 \in \mathfrak{T}(f)$  be the fixed inflectional triangles. Assume the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{T}_0$  (viewed as a 3-element set) is not trivial, hence  $K \simeq \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}$  in the notation of Corollary 4.1; we shall prove that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathfrak{T}_1$  is trivial. By Corollary 4.1, there is a unit  $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{L}(f) \simeq \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}) \coprod \mathfrak{X}(M(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}, a)).$$

By Theorem 2.6, we have an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -sets

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{X}(M(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}, a)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(a \cdot \mathbf{C}^\times) \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}).$$

We identify  $(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$  with  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$  by mapping  $(r, x) \otimes y$  to  $(ry, xy, \bar{x}y)$  for  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbf{C}$ . Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^3$  is such that the complex conjugation  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  acts by

$$(x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto (\bar{x}_1 : \bar{x}_3 : \bar{x}_2).$$

If  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{C}$  satisfy  $\xi^3 = a_1$  and  $\eta^3 = a_2$ , and if  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$  is a primitive cube root of unity, then the proof of Lemma 2.5 shows that  $\pi^{-1}(a \cdot \mathbf{C}^\times)$  consists of the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\xi : \eta : \bar{\eta}), & (\xi : \omega\eta : \bar{\omega\eta}), & (\xi : \bar{\omega\eta} : \omega\bar{\eta}), \\ (\xi : \eta : \omega\bar{\eta}), & (\xi : \omega\eta : \bar{\eta}), & (\omega\xi : \eta : \bar{\eta}), \\ (\xi : \eta : \bar{\omega\eta}), & (\xi : \bar{\omega\eta} : \bar{\eta}), & (\bar{\omega}\xi : \eta : \bar{\eta}). \end{array}$$

The three points in the first row of this table are fixed under the  $\Gamma$ -action, whereas the  $\Gamma$ -action interchanges the second and third row. Therefore the first row corresponds to  $\mathfrak{T}_1$  under  $\Phi$ , and the proof is complete.

When the conditions in Corollary 4.1 do not hold, we may still consider the 4-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra  $T(f)$  such that  $\mathfrak{X}(T(f)) = \mathfrak{T}(f)$ , and the 12-dimensional étale  $F$ -algebra  $L(f)$  such that  $\mathfrak{X}(L(f)) = \mathfrak{L}(f)$ , which is a cubic étale extension of  $T(f)$ . The separability idempotent  $e \in T(f) \otimes_F T(f)$  satisfies  $e \cdot (T(f) \otimes T(f)) \simeq T(f)$ , and hence yields a decomposition

$$T(f) \otimes_F T(f) \simeq T(f) \times T(f)_0$$

for some cubic algebra  $T(f)_0$  over  $T(f)$ . Likewise, multiplication in  $L(f)$  yields an isomorphism

$$e \cdot (L(f) \otimes T(f)) \simeq L(f);$$

hence

$$L(f) \otimes_F T(f) \simeq L(f) \times L(f)_0$$

for some cubic algebra  $L(f)_0$  over  $T(f)_0$ . By functoriality of the construction of  $L$  and  $T$ , the cubic form  $f_{T(f)}$  over  $V \otimes_F T(f)$  obtained from  $f$  by scalar extension to  $T(f)$  satisfies

$$L(f_{T(f)}) \simeq L(f) \otimes_F T(f) \quad \text{and} \quad T(f_{T(f)}) \simeq T(f) \otimes_F T(f).$$

Corollary 4.1 applied to  $f_{T(f)}$  shows that  $f_{T(f)}$  is isometric to

$$\top_{L(f)}(a^{-1}X^3) - 3\lambda \mathbf{N}_{L(f)}(X)$$

for some  $\lambda \in T(f)^\times$  and some  $a \in L(f)^\times$  such that  $L(f)_0$  is a Morley  $T(f)$ -algebra  $L(f)_0 \simeq M(L(f), a)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] BOURBAKI, N. *Algèbre. Chapitres 4 à 7*. Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [2] BRETAGNOLLE-NATHAN, J. Cubiques définies sur un corps de caractéristique quelconque. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse (4)* 22 (1958), 175–234.
- [3] BRIESKORN, E. and H. KNÖRRER. *Plane Algebraic Curves*. Translated from the German by J. Stillwell. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, 1986.
- [4] BROWN, K. S. *Cohomology of Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1982.
- [5] HESSE, O. Über die Elimination der Variabeln aus drei algebraischen Gleichungen vom zweiten Grade mit zwei Variabeln. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 28 (1844), 68–96.
- [6] ———. Algebraische Auflösung derjenigen Gleichungen 9ten Grades, deren Wurzeln die Eigenschaft haben, daß eine gegebene rationale und symmetrische Function  $\theta(x_\lambda, x_\mu)$  je zweier Wurzeln  $x_\lambda, x_\mu$  eine dritte Wurzel  $x_\kappa$  giebt, so daß gleichzeitig:  $x_\kappa = \theta(x_\lambda, x_\mu)$ ,  $x_\lambda = \theta(x_\mu, x_\kappa)$ ,  $x_\mu = \theta(x_\kappa, x_\lambda)$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 34 (1847), 193–208.

- [7] HIRSCHFELD, J. W. P. *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] KNUS, M.-A., A. S. MERKURJEV, M. ROST and J.-P. TIGNOL. *The Book of Involutions*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 44. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [9] KNUS, M.-A. and J.-P. TIGNOL. Quartic exercises. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 68 (2003), 4263–4323.
- [10] ROST, M. Notes on Morley's theorem. Private notes dated July 22, 2003.
- [11] WEBER, H. *Lehrbuch der Algebra, Bd. II*. F. Vieweg u. Sohn, Braunschweig, 1899.

(Reçu le 8 juin 2008)

Mélanie Raczek

Jean-Pierre Tignol

Département de Mathématique  
Université Catholique de Louvain  
Chemin du Cyclotron 2  
B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve  
Belgique  
*e-mail*: melanie.raczek@uclouvain.be  
*e-mail*: jean-pierre.tignol@uclouvain.be