

# 5. Splitting of the extension ASSOCIATED TO A NONCONNECTED COMPACT LIE GROUP

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## 5. SPLITTING OF THE EXTENSION ASSOCIATED TO A NONCONNECTED COMPACT LIE GROUP

Let  $G$  still denote a compact Lie group with a nonabelian connected component; we also assume the other notations introduced previously. In this final section, we make a few observations on the following problem: when is the natural extension associated to  $G$  split, i.e. when is  $G$  isomorphic to a semidirect product  $G_0 \rtimes \Gamma$ ? Our aim is to relate this problem to the rest of this work. For a deeper analysis one should consult Chapter 6 in the book by Hofmann and Morris [14]. We start with a structure theorem for compact Lie groups based on centralizers of principal subgroups, similar to the “Sandwich Theorem for compact Lie groups” (see [14], Corollary 6.75, p.272). This theorem shows that any compact Lie group is “sandwiched” in between two semidirect products closely related to it. We then recall a theorem of de Siebenthal and compare, in some particular cases, the question of the splitting of the extension associated to  $G$  to that of the extensions associated to the normalizer  $N$  of a maximal torus and to the centralizer  $Q$  of a principal diagonal, both introduced in Section 2. As an application of the “Sandwich” Theorem, the final proposition presents a “minimal” compact Lie group  $G$  such that the associated extension is *not* split.

Let  $\bar{G}_0$  denote the adjoint group  $G_0/Z_0$ ; it is well-known that the center of  $\bar{G}_0$  is trivial.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group with a nonabelian connected component. Then there exist two surjective homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_s = G_0 \rtimes Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \bar{G} = G/Z_0 \cong \bar{G}_0 \rtimes \Gamma, \\ (g_0, z) & \longmapsto & g_0 \cdot z & & \end{array}$$

where the centralizer  $Z$  of a fixed principal subgroup acts on  $G_0$  by conjugation, and where  $\pi_2$  is the canonical projection corresponding to the normal subgroup  $Z_0$  of  $G$ .

For the kernels, we have  $\ker \pi_1 \cong Z_0$  and  $\ker \pi_2 = Z_0$ ; in particular

$$G \cong (G_0 \rtimes Z)/Z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G/Z_0 \cong \bar{G}_0 \rtimes \Gamma.$$

*Proof.* As  $\bar{G}_0$  is centerless,  $\bar{G}$  must be isomorphic to  $\bar{G}_0 \rtimes \Gamma$  by the proof of Proposition 3.1. The other assertions about  $\pi_2$  are clear.

The map  $\pi_1$  is well-defined and surjective (because  $Z$  intersects every component of  $G$ ). Straightforward computations show that it is a homomorphism and that  $\ker \pi_1 \cong Z_0$ .  $\square$

REMARK 5.2. 1) The component of the identity of  $G_s$  is equal to  $G_o$  if and only if  $G_o$  is semisimple.

2) The present version of the "Sandwich" Theorem has the advantage of being more explicit than the one in [14] (the result therein is an existence theorem). Its drawbacks are the fact that  $Z$  is not finite if  $G_o$  is not semisimple, and that it obviously makes no sense for compact Lie groups with an abelian connected component.

3) Given a homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_o)$ , and an extension  $Z_o \xrightarrow{\mu} E \xrightarrow{\nu} \Gamma$  for which the action coincides with the "restriction"  $\bar{\varphi}$ , there is a more direct way than the cohomological one to recover the corresponding compact Lie group  $G$ , i.e. the one that fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_o & \hookrightarrow & E & \twoheadrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ G_o & \hookrightarrow & G & \twoheadrightarrow & \Gamma \end{array}$$

Let us define the composition  $\bar{\sigma}: E \xrightarrow{\nu} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Out}(G_o) \xrightarrow{s} \text{Aut}(G_o)$ , where  $s$  is as in Theorem 2.4. Then by Bourbaki (see [4], Lemme 7, pp. 210–211), we have

$$G = (G_o \rtimes_{\bar{\sigma}} E) / \Delta Z_o,$$

where  $\Delta Z_o$  is the image of the injection  $z_o \mapsto (z_o^{-1}, \mu(z_o))$ . Taking  $E = Z$ , this gives another proof of the assertions concerning  $\pi_1$  in Theorem 5.1.

Using Cartan subgroups (in the sense of Segal [22], i.e. those Adams called "SS subgroups" in honour of Segal and de Siebenthal [1]), de Siebenthal gave some explicit sufficient conditions for the splitting of the extension associated to  $G$  ([9], Théorème p. 74).

THEOREM 5.3 (de Siebenthal). *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group with  $G_o$  simply connected, or of adjoint type (i.e.  $Z_o$  is trivial). If  $\Gamma = \pi_o(G)$  is cyclic then  $G$  is a semidirect product, i.e.  $G \cong G_o \rtimes \Gamma$ .*

A relationship with the splitting of the extension associated to the normalizer of a maximal torus  $N$  in  $G$  is given in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *If the group of components  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  is nilpotent, then the extension  $G_o \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  is split if and only if the extension  $N_o \hookrightarrow N \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  is split.*

*Proof.* The “if” part is clear. Conversely, let  $s: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  be a section. By a result in Bourbaki (see [5], Corollaire 4, p. 49), any nilpotent subgroup of a compact Lie group is contained in the normalizer of some maximal torus. Therefore, if needed after conjugation by an element in  $G_0$ , we have  $s(\Gamma) \subset N$ , and we can conclude that the extension associated to  $N$  is split.  $\square$

For an extended maximal torus  $Q$ , the extensions can be related as follows.

**PROPOSITION 5.5.** *If the group of components  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  is cyclic, then the extension  $G_0 \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  is split if and only if the extension  $T \hookrightarrow Q \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  is split.*

*Proof.* The proposition readily follows from the fact that the conjugates of  $Q$  cover  $G$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 5.6.** This latter proposition fails in general. An obstruction to the splitting of the extension associated to the extended maximal torus  $Q$  can be found in a paper by Oliver; this obstruction involves the representation ring of  $G$  and its relation with the family of all  $p$ -toral subgroups of  $G$  (see [19], Corollary 3.11). In particular, Oliver constructs a compact Lie group  $G = \mathrm{SU}(2) \rtimes (\mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/3)$  such that the extension corresponding to the extended maximal torus  $Q$  is *not* split ([19], pp. 376–377).

We conclude with the promised example.

**PROPOSITION 5.7.** *Let  $D_8 = \langle r, s \mid r^4 = s^2 = e, srs^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle$  be a presentation of the dihedral group. Then the quotient*

$$G = (\mathrm{SU}(2) \times D_8) / \Delta \mathbf{Z}/2,$$

*where  $\Delta \mathbf{Z}/2$  denotes the central subgroup generated by  $(-\mathbf{1}, r^2)$ , is a compact Lie group with  $G_0 \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$  and  $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ , the 4-group of Klein. The associated extension*

$$\mathrm{SU}(2) \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$$

*is not split. Among the extensions associated to a compact Lie group with a nonabelian connected component, it is a minimal one having the property of being non-split, in the sense that the rank of the connected component and the size of the group of components are minimal. Moreover replacing the*

connected component by  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  (i.e. by “any” group of the same rank), or the group of components by  $\mathbf{Z}/4$  (i.e. by “any” group of the same size), will force the extension to be split.

*Proof.* The assertions about the connected component and the group of components are clear. Let us show that the extension associated to  $G$  is not split. Let us denote  $[g, \gamma] \in G$  the image of  $(g, \gamma) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times D_8$  under the canonical projection. Let  $\mathbf{S}^1$  denote the standard maximal torus in  $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ , and let  $N$  denote its normalizer in  $G$ . We have

$$N = \{[t, e] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \amalg \{[jt, e] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \amalg \{[t, r] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \amalg \{[jt, r] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \\ \amalg \{[t, s] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \amalg \{[jt, s] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \amalg \{[t, rs] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\} \amalg \{[jt, rs] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\}.$$

By contradiction, suppose that the extension associated to  $G$  is split, i.e. there exists a section. As  $\mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$  is abelian, thus nilpotent, we deduce, by Proposition 5.4, that the extension associated to  $N$  is also split. We want to show that this is not possible by considering the elements of order 2 in  $N$ . For  $n = 0, 1$ , a straightforward calculation shows that in the component corresponding to  $r^n s$ , an element  $[t, r^n s]$  is of order 2 if and only if  $t = \pm 1$ , and that the sub-component  $\{[jt, r^n s] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\}$  does not contain any element of order 2. Two of the three non-trivial elements in  $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$  must thus be mapped by the section to  $[\pm 1, s]$  and  $[\pm 1, rs]$ . Therefore, as the section is a homomorphism, the image of the third non-trivial element is

$$[\pm 1, rs] \cdot [\pm 1, s] = [\pm 1, r],$$

which is not of order 2. A contradiction that shows that the extension associated to  $G$  is not split.

The property of minimality follows by Theorem 5.3, and by the fact that any extension with  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  as normal subgroup is a direct product (because  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  is complete, i.e. centerless and with trivial outer automorphism group).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] ADAMS, J.F. Maps between classifying spaces, II. *Invent. Math.* 49 (1978), 1–65.
- [2] ADEM, A. and R.J. MILGRAM. *Cohomology of Finite Groups*. Springer, 1994.
- [3] BOREL, A. and J. DE SIEBENTHAL Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 23 (1949), 200–221.
- [4] BOURBAKI, N. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie (Chapitres 2 et 3)*. Hermann, 1972.
- [5] ——— *Groupes et algèbres de Lie (Chapitre 9)*. Masson, 1983.