

13. About mapping-torus groups

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **29.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

12.2 PROOF OF THEOREM 12.4

LEMMA 12.5. *Let (Γ, d_Γ) be an \mathbf{R} -forest. Let ψ be a weakly bi-Lipschitz forest-map of (Γ, d_Γ) . Let (K_ψ, f, σ_t) be the mapping-telescope of (ψ, Γ) , equipped with a structure of forest-stack as defined in Section 2. Then the semi-flow $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+}$ is a bounded-cancellation and bounded-dilatation semi-flow with respect to any horizontal d_Γ -metric (see Lemma 12.1).*

Proof. The horizontal metric \mathcal{H} agrees with the metric d_Γ on all the strata $f^{-1}(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ (see Lemma 12.1). Consider any horizontal geodesic g in the stratum $f^{-1}(0)$. If ψ is weakly bi-Lipschitz with constants μ_0 and K_0 , then for any integer $n \geq 0$, we have $|[g]_n|_n \geq \frac{1}{\mu_0^n} |g|_0 - K_0 \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\mu_0^{n-2}} + \dots + 1 \right)$. Since $0 < \frac{1}{\mu_0} < 1$, the sum tends to $\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_0 - 1}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Setting $\lambda_- = \frac{1}{\mu_0}$ and $K = K_0 \frac{\mu_0}{\mu_0 - 1}$, this proves the inequality of item (1) for horizontal geodesics in $f^{-1}(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and an integer time t . For the case in which t is any positive real number and $g \in f^{-1}(r)$, r any real number, just decompose $\sigma_t = \sigma_{t-E[t]} \circ \sigma_{E[t-(E[r]+1-r)]} \circ \sigma_{E[r]+1-r}$. The map σ_t is a homeomorphism from $f^{-1}(r)$ onto $f^{-1}(r+t)$ for any $t \in [0, E[r]+1-r)$. That is, for any real r , $|[g]_{r+t}|_{r+t} = |\sigma_t(g)|_{r+t}$ for $t \in [0, E[r]+1-r)$. The monotonicity of the maps $l_{r,g}$ (see Lemma 12.1, item (2)) implies, for any r and $t \in [0, E[r]+1-r)$, that $|\sigma_t(g)|_{r+t} \geq \frac{1}{\mu_0} |g|_r$. The conclusion follows. \square

LEMMA 12.6. *With the assumptions and notation of Lemma 12.5, if the map ψ is a (strongly) hyperbolic forest-map of (Γ, d_Γ) then the semi-flow $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+}$ is (strongly) hyperbolic with respect to any horizontal d_Γ -metric.*

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 12.5. \square

Proof of Theorem 12.4. By Lemmas 12.5 and 12.6, a mapping-telescope admits a structure of forest-stack $(\tilde{X}, f, \sigma_t, \mathcal{H})$ with horizontal metric \mathcal{H} such that the semi-flow $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+}$ is a strongly hyperbolic semi-flow with respect to \mathcal{H} . Hence Theorem 4.4 implies Theorem 12.4. \square

13. ABOUT MAPPING-TORUS GROUPS

We first recall the definition of a *hyperbolic endomorphism* of a group introduced by Gromov [19].

DEFINITION 13.1 ([19], [3]). An injective endomorphism α of the rank n free group F_n is hyperbolic if there exist $\lambda_\alpha > 1$ and $j_\alpha > 0$ such that for any $w \in F_n$, either $\lambda_\alpha |w| \leq |\alpha^{j_\alpha}(w)|$ or w admits a preimage $\alpha^{-j_\alpha}(w)$ such that $\lambda_\alpha |w| \leq |\alpha^{-j_\alpha}(w)|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual word-metric.

We recall that a subgroup H in a group G is *malnormal* if $w^{-1}Hw \cap H = \{1\}$ for any element $w \notin H$ of G . We state our theorem about mapping-torus groups as follows:

THEOREM 13.2. Let α be an injective hyperbolic endomorphism of the rank n free group F_n . If the image of α is a malnormal subgroup of F_n then the mapping-torus group $G_\alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, t ; t^{-1}x_it = \alpha(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ is a hyperbolic group.

13.1 RELATIONSHIPS WITH MAPPING-TELESCOPES

We consider the rank n free group $F_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Let α be an injective endomorphism of F_n . Let $G_\alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, t ; t^{-1}x_it = \alpha(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ be the mapping-torus group of (α, F_n) . We consider the Cayley graph Γ associated to the given system of generators. Let l be a loop in Γ whose associated word in the edges of Γ reads a relation $t^{-1}x_it\alpha(x_i)^{-1}$. We attach a 2-cell by its boundary circle along any such loop l . The resulting topological space is a 2-complex. This is the Cayley complex of the mapping-torus group G_α for the given presentation.

Let us check that the above Cayley complex is a mapping-telescope of a forest-map. We consider the rose \mathcal{R}_n with n petals. We label each edge by a generator x_i of F_n . We denote by ψ the simplicial map on \mathcal{R}_n such that $\psi(x_i)$ is a locally injective path whose associated word in the edges of \mathcal{R}_n reads $\alpha(x_i)$. Let us denote by T the universal covering of \mathcal{R}_n (T is a tree) and by $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n$ the associated covering-map. We denote by $\hat{\psi}: T \rightarrow T$ a simplicial lift of ψ to T , that is $\pi \circ \hat{\psi} = \psi \circ \pi$. We consider the mapping-torus of (ψ, \mathcal{R}_n) , i.e. the 2-complex $\mathcal{R}_n \times [0, 1]/(x, 1) \sim (\psi(x), 0)$. Then the universal covering of this mapping-torus is the mapping-telescope of $\tilde{\psi}: F \rightarrow F$, where F and $\tilde{\psi}$ are defined as follows:

- We denote by I the set of integers from 1 to $\text{Card}(F_n/\text{Im}(\alpha))$. The different classes are written $w_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$. We denote by $\gamma: I \rightarrow \{w_0, w_1, \dots\}$ the bijection. Then the connected components of F are in bijection with $\mathbb{N}^{\text{Card}(I)}$. Each connected component is the image, by a

bijection μ , of a sequence of $\text{Card}(I)$ integers. Each connected component $\mu(x_0, x_1, \dots)$ of F is homeomorphic to T via $\beta_{(x_0, x_1, \dots)}: \mu(x_0, x_1, \dots) \rightarrow T$.

• We define the restriction of $\tilde{\psi}$ to any connected component $\mu((x_0, x_1, \dots))$ as follows:

If $\text{Card}(I) < +\infty$ then

$$\tilde{\psi}|_{\mu((x_0, x_1, \dots))}: \begin{cases} \mu((x_0, x_1, \dots)) & \rightarrow \mu((E[\frac{x_0}{\text{Card}(I)}], x_1, \dots)) \\ x & \rightarrow (\gamma(j)\beta_{(x_0, x_1, \dots)}^{-1})\hat{\psi}\beta_{(x_0, x_1, \dots)}(x) \end{cases}$$

where $j < \text{Card}(I)$ satisfies $E[\frac{x_0}{\text{Card}(I)}] = k\text{Card}(I) + j$.

If $\text{Card}(I) = +\infty$ then

$$\tilde{\psi}|_{\mu((x_0, x_1, \dots))}: \begin{cases} \mu((x_0, x_1, \dots)) & \rightarrow \mu((x_1, x_2, \dots)) \\ x & \rightarrow (\gamma(x_0)\beta_{(x_0, x_1, \dots)}^{-1})\hat{\psi}\beta_{(x_0, x_1, \dots)}(x). \end{cases}$$

The mapping-torus of (ψ, \mathcal{R}_n) is a 2-complex whose 1-skeleton is the rose with $n + 1$ petals in bijection with $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, t\}$. There is one 2-cell for each relation $t^{-1}x_it\alpha(x_i)^{-1}$. Thus the universal covering described above is the Cayley complex for G_α with the presentation $G_\alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, t; t^{-1}x_it = \alpha(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$. We have thus proved

LEMMA 13.3. *Let α be an injective endomorphism of $F_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Let $G_\alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, t; t^{-1}x_it = \alpha(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ be the mapping-torus group of α . Let $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ be the Cayley complex of G_α for the given presentation. Then $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ is the mapping-telescope of a forest-map.*

REMARK 13.4. If the endomorphism α is an automorphism then the above Cayley complex is the mapping-telescope of a tree-map. The tree is the universal covering of the rose with n petals. If the endomorphism α is not injective then some element $w \in F_n$ satisfies $w = 1$ in G_α ; the above construction fails because of the corresponding loops in the Cayley graph.

Let α be an injective free group endomorphism. Let G_α be the mapping-torus group of α . Let $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ be the Cayley complex of G_α for the usual presentation $G_\alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, t; t^{-1}x_it = \alpha(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$. By Lemma 13.3, $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ is a mapping-telescope of a forest-map. We now want to see what happens with respect to metrics and dynamics. The Cayley graph of a group is equipped with a metric which makes each edge isometric to the interval $(0, 1)$. More generally, given a graph Γ , we call *standard metric*, and denote

by d_T^s , such a metric on Γ . We will call *mapping-telescope standard metric* any mapping-telescope d_T^s -metric on $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$.

LEMMA 13.5. *The mapping-torus group G_α of an injective free group endomorphism acts cocompactly, properly discontinuously and isometrically on the Cayley complex $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ equipped with any mapping-telescope standard metric.*

Proof. We consider the usual action by left translations of the group on its Cayley graph. This action is extended in a natural way to a free action on the Cayley complex $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$. Let f denote the map giving the strata for the structure of forest-stack of $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$, see Lemma 13.3. For a mapping-telescope metric, all the strata $f^{-1}(r)$ and $f^{-1}(r+1)$ are isometric. And for a mapping-telescope standard metric all the strata $f^{-1}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are equipped with the standard metric. This readily implies that the above action is isometric. \square

13.2 FREE GROUP ENDOMORPHISMS AND FOREST-MAPS

The main point of Lemma 13.6 below is the so-called ‘bounded-cancellation lemma’ of [7] for free group automorphisms, and of [10] for the injective free group endomorphisms.

LEMMA 13.6. *Let α be an injective free group endomorphism. Let F and $\tilde{\psi}$ be the forest and the forest-map on F given by Lemma 13.3. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is a weakly bi-Lipschitz forest-map of F equipped with the standard metric d_F^s .*

Proof. If w is any element in $F_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, and $|\cdot|_{F_n}$ denotes the word-metric on F_n , then $|\alpha(w)|_{F_n} \leq (\max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha(x_i)|_{F_n}) |w|_{F_n}$. By definition of the standard metric, and setting $\mu_0 = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha(x_i)|_{F_n}$, the map $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies $d_F^s(\tilde{\psi}(x), \tilde{\psi}(y)) \leq \mu_0 d_F^s(x, y)$ for any pair of vertices x, y . If x, y are not vertices, then they are joined in their stratum by a horizontal geodesic which is the concatenation of a path between two vertices, with two proper subsets of edges. By construction and simpliciality of $\tilde{\psi}$, proper subsets of edges are dilated by a bounded factor when applying $\tilde{\psi}$, so that the conclusion follows for the upper bound.

If w is any element in F_n then

$$|\alpha^{-1}(w)|_{F_n} \leq (\max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha^{-1}(x_i)|_{F_n}) |w|_{F_n}.$$

Setting $\mu_1 = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\alpha^{-1}(x_i)|_{F_n}$ we get $|\alpha(w)|_{F_n} \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1} |w|_{F_n}$. Therefore $d_F^s(\tilde{\psi}(x), \tilde{\psi}(y)) \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1} d_F^s(x, y)$ for any pair of vertices x, y . The inequality

for all points x, y does not follow as easily as for the upper bound, since the map $\tilde{\psi}$ might identify points, and this could make the distance decrease sharply. However, assume the existence of a constant K_0 such that $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\psi}(y) \Rightarrow d_F^s(x, y) \leq K_0$. Any geodesic in F is the concatenation of a geodesic between two vertices with two proper subsets of edges of F . Thus the inequality $d_F^s(\tilde{\psi}(x), \tilde{\psi}(y)) \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1} d_F^s(x, y) - 2K_0$ follows in a straightforward way from the preceding assertions. Injective free group endomorphisms satisfy the so-called ‘bounded-cancellation lemma’ (see [10], and [7] for the particular case of automorphisms), i.e. there exists $A_\alpha > 0$ such that $|\alpha(w_1 w_2)|_{F_n} \geq |\alpha(w_1)|_{F_n} + |\alpha(w_2)|_{F_n} - A_\alpha$ for any w_1, w_2 in F_n with $|w_1 w_2|_{F_n} = |w_1|_{F_n} + |w_2|_{F_n}$. This inequality gives a constant $K_0 = A_\alpha + 2$ as required above, i.e. such that, if $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\psi}(y)$ then $d_F^s(x, y) \leq K_0$. Setting $\mu = \max(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ and $K = 2K_0$, we get Lemma 13.6. \square

LEMMA 13.7. *With the assumptions and notation of Lemma 13.6,*

- 1) *If α is hyperbolic then the forest-map is hyperbolic.*
- 2) *If α is hyperbolic and its image $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is malnormal, then the forest-map is strongly hyperbolic.*

Proof. (1) is easy to check. Let us prove (2). The notation used is that introduced in Section 13 when defining the forest F and the map $\tilde{\psi}$. If the map is not strongly hyperbolic, there exists an infinite sequence of pairs of connected components (T_i, T'_i) such that T_i and T'_i are identified under $\tilde{\psi}$ along a geodesic g_i and the length of g_i tends to $+\infty$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus there exists an infinite number of elements $(u_i, u'_i) \in F_n - \text{Im}(\alpha) \times F_n - \text{Im}(\alpha)$ such that some geodesic word $a_i w_i b_i$ (resp. $a'_i w_i b'_i$) connects two vertices associated to elements in $u_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$ (resp. in $u'_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$) where the length of the w_i 's tends to $+\infty$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$.

Observe that in particular $a_i w_i b_i \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a'_i w_i b'_i \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, whereas $a_i w_i b'_i \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $a'_i w_i b_i \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ because they carry an element of $u_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$ (resp. $u'_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$) to an element of $u'_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$ (resp. of $u_i \text{Im}(\alpha)$). The lengths of the a_i, b_i, a'_i, b'_i can be assumed to be at most the maximum of the lengths of the images under α of the generators of F_n , which is finite. Since there are only a finite number of pairs of elements of bounded lengths, a same pair a_I, b_I (resp. a'_I, b'_I) appears an infinite number of times when listing the sequence of words $a_i w_i b_i$ (resp. $a'_i w_i b'_i$). The same finiteness argument then gives two words $\omega_1 \subsetneq \omega_2$ with $\omega_2 = \omega \omega_1$ such that $a_I \omega_j b_I \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a'_I \omega_j b'_I \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a_I \omega_j b'_I \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $a'_I \omega_j b_I \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $j = 1, 2$.

Thus $a_I \omega_1 b_I b_I^{-1} \omega_1^{-1} \omega^{-1} a_I^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a'_I \omega_1 b'_I b_I'^{-1} \omega_1^{-1} \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, $a_I \omega_1 b'_I b_I'^{-1} \omega_1^{-1} \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$. Now $(a_I \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1})^{-1} a_I \omega^{-1} a_I^{-1} (a_I \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1}) = a_I' \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$, whereas $a_I \omega^{-1} a_I'^{-1} \notin \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $a_I \omega^{-1} a_I^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$. We thus get a contradiction to the malnormality of $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ in F_n . This completes the proof. \square

13.3 PROOF OF THEOREM 13.2

From Lemmas 13.6 and 13.7, the Cayley complex $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ is the mapping-telescope of a strongly hyperbolic forest-map, equipped with the standard metric. A Cayley complex is connected. Thus, from Theorem 12.4, $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ is a Gromov-hyperbolic metric space for any mapping-telescope standard metric. From Lemma 13.5 the group G_α acts cocompactly, properly discontinuously and isometrically on $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ equipped with a mapping-telescope standard metric. A classical lemma of geometric group theory (usually attributed to Effremovich, Svàrc, Milnor – see [19] or [17] for instance), applied to quasi geodesic metric spaces, tells us that G_α and $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha)$ are quasi-isometric so that G_α is a hyperbolic group. \square

REMARK 13.8. Another way of stating our main theorem about ‘forest-stacks’, using the language of trees of spaces, goes roughly as follows: “An oriented \mathbf{R} -tree of \mathbf{R} -trees with the gluing-maps satisfying the conditions of hyperbolicity and strong hyperbolicity with uniform constants is Gromov-hyperbolic.” Here ‘oriented \mathbf{R} -tree’ means an \mathbf{R} -tree T equipped with an orientation going from the domain to the image of each attaching-map, and a surjective continuous map $f: T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ respecting this orientation. As a corollary of our theorem, and in order to illustrate it, we chose to concentrate on mapping-telescopes. We could as well consider spaces similar to mapping-telescopes but where we allow the attaching-maps not to be the same at each step. Our only requirement is to have uniform constants of quasi-isometry, hyperbolicity and so on. Also, with respect to groups, a corollary could have been stated dealing with HNN-extensions rather than just semi-direct products.

Another result which easily follows from our work could be more or less stated as follows. “Let T be a tree of spaces X_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Let $\psi: T \rightarrow T$ be a map of T such that the mapping-telescope of each X_i under ψ is Gromov-hyperbolic. If ψ induces a hyperbolic map on the tree resulting of the collapsing of each X_i to a point, then the mapping-telescope of the tree of spaces T under ψ is Gromov-hyperbolic.” We leave the precise statement of such corollaries to the reader. Together with [14] where a new proof of the

Bestvina-Feighn theorem is given for mapping-tori of surface groups, the last one gives, thanks to [26], a new proof of the full version of the Combination Theorem for mapping-tori of hyperbolic groups, namely: "If G is a hyperbolic group and α is a hyperbolic automorphism of G , then $G \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is a hyperbolic group."

REFERENCES

- [1] ALONSO, J.M. et al. Notes on word hyperbolic groups. Edited by H. Short. In: *Group Theory from a Geometrical Viewpoint, Trieste (1990)*, 3–63. World Sci. Publishing, 1991.
- [2] BESTVINA, M. \mathbf{R} -trees in topology, geometry and group theory. In: *Handbook of Geometric Topology*, 55–91. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [3] BESTVINA, M. and M. FEIGHN. A combination theorem for negatively curved group. *J. Differential Geom.* 35 (1) (1992), 85–101. With an addendum and correction *J. Differential Geom.* 43 (4) (1996), 783–788.
- [4] BOWDITCH, B. Stacks of hyperbolic spaces and ends of 3-manifolds. Preprint, Southampton.
- [5] BRIDSON, M. and A. HAEFLIGER. *Metric Spaces of Non-positive Curvature*. Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science 319. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [6] BRINKMANN, P. Hyperbolic automorphisms of free groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 10 (5) (2000), 1071–1089.
- [7] COOPER, D. Automorphisms of free groups have finitely generated fixed point sets. *J. Algebra* 111 (1987), 453–456.
- [8] COORNAERT, M., T. DELZANT et A. PAPADOPOULOS. *Géométrie et théorie des groupes*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1441, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [9] CULLER, M. and J.W. MORGAN. Group actions on \mathbf{R} -trees. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 55 (1987), 571–604.
- [10] DICKS, W. and E. VENTURA. The group fixed by a family of injective endomorphisms of a free group. *Contemporary Mathematics* 195 (1996).
- [11] FARB, B. and L. MOSHER. The geometry of surface-by-free groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 12 (5) (2002), 915–963.
- [12] FATHI, A., F. LAUDENBACH et V. POÉNARU. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*. *Astérisque* 66/67 (1979).
- [13] GAUTERO, F. Hyperbolicité relative des suspensions de groupes hyperboliques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 336 (11) (2003), 883–888.
- [14] GAUTERO, F. and M. LUSTIG. Relative hyperbolicity of (one ended hyperbolic)-by-cyclic groups. To appear in *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* (2005).
- [15] GERSTEN, S.M. Cohomological lower bounds for isoperimetric functions on groups. *Topology* 37 (5) (1998), 1031–1072.
- [16] GHYS, E. et P. DE LA HARPE. *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*. Progress in Mathematics 83, Birkhäuser, 1990.