

8. Approximation of straight quasi geodesics in fine position

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

8. APPROXIMATION OF STRAIGHT QUASI GEODESICS IN FINE POSITION

PROPOSITION 8.1. *Let h be a horizontal geodesic. Let g be a straight (J, J') -quasi geodesic, between the orbits of the endpoints of h . There exists a constant $C_{8.1}(|h|_r, J, J')$ such that, if g is in fine position with respect to h , then g is $C_{8.1}(|h|_r, J, J')$ -close to the orbit-segments between its endpoints and those of h . Moreover $C_{8.1}(L, J, J') \leq C_{8.1}(M, J, J')$ if $0 \leq L \leq M$, and $C_{8.1}(L, J, J') > C_{8.1}(L', J, J')$ if $L > L' \geq M$.*

Proof. We consider any maximal (in the sense of inclusion) $+$ -hole b in g , with $\min_{x \in b} f(x) \geq f(h) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$. By Lemma 6.7, the horizontal geodesic I between its endpoints is dilated in the past after $C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$ if $|I|_{f(I)} \geq C_{6.7}(J, J')$. Since g and h are in fine position, this implies that $|I|_{f(I)} \leq \max(|h|_r, C_{6.7}(J, J'))$. If $f(h) \leq f(I) \leq f(h) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$, the bounded-dilatation property gives $|I|_{f(I)} \leq \lambda_+^{C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0} |h|_r$.

With the same notation, assume now that b is a maximal $-$ -hole with $f(I) \leq f(h) - C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$. The pulled-tight image of I in the stratum of h is not necessarily contained in h . However, if it is not, then we can write $I = I_1 I_2 I_3$ such that I_1 and I_3 are contained in cancellations, and the pulled-tight image of I_2 in the stratum of h is contained in h . This follows from the fact that h and g are in fine position. If $|I|_{f(I)} \geq C_{6.7}(J, J')$ then, by Lemma 6.7, I is dilated in the future after $C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$. On the other hand, $|[I_2]_{f(h)}|_{f(h)} \leq |h|_r$, and either $|I_i|_{f(I)} \leq C_{5.3}((C_{6.7}(J, J') + 1)t_0)$ or $|[I_i]_{f(I) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0}|_{f(I) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0} \leq |I_i|_{f(I)}$ for $i = 1$ or $i = 3$. Indeed $|[I_i]_{f(I) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0}|_{f(I) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0} > |I_i|_{f(I)} > C_{5.3}((C_{6.7}(J, J') + 1)t_0)$ contradicts Lemma 5.3 since the left inequality implies that $[I_i]_{f(I) + C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0}$ is dilated in the future after t_0 , thus I_i would be dilated in the future after $(C_{6.7}(J, J') + 1)t_0$. By Lemma 5.4 we get: If $|I|_{f(I)} \geq C_{6.7}(J, J')$, then

$$|I|_{f(I)} \leq C_{5.4}(C_{6.7}(J, J'), 3, \max(|h|_r, C_{5.3}((C_{6.7}(J, J') + 1)t_0))).$$

It remains to consider the case where $f(h) \geq f(I) \geq f(h) - C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$. The bounded-cancellation property gives an upper bound for $|I|_{f(I)}$.

We have thus proved that, for any maximal $+$ -hole b in g which lies above h , or any maximal $-$ -hole b in g which lies below h , the horizontal distance between the endpoints of b is bounded above by some constant $A(|h|_r, J, J')$. Lemmas 7.3 and 7.1 then provide a constant

$$B(|h|_r, J, J') = C_{7.1}(C_{7.3}((A(|h|_r, J, J'), J, J'), C_{7.3}((A(|h|_r, J, J'), J, J'), J, J'))$$

such that after replacing maximal $-$ -holes in g by the horizontal geodesics between their endpoints, we get a straight $(B(|h|_r, J, J'), B(|h|_r, J, J'))$ -quasi

geodesic, with the same endpoints, in fine position with respect to h , which is $C_{7.3}(A(|h|_r, J, J'), J, J')$ -close to g and which is a stair or the concatenation of two stairs. Lemma 6.4, together with Lemma 5.4 applied as above, then provide $C_{6.4}(B(|h|_r, J, J'), B(|h|_r, J, J'))$ and

$$D(|h|_r, J, J') = C_{5.4}(1, 3, C_{6.4}(B(|h|_r, J, J'), B(|h|_r, J, J')))$$

such that this, or these, stair(s) are $D(|h|_r, J, J')$ -close to the orbit-segments between h and their endpoints. We conclude that g is $C_{7.3}(A(|h|_r, J, J'), J, J') + D(|h|_r, J, J')$ -close to these orbit-segments. The last point of the proposition is obvious. $\square$

9. PUTTING PATHS IN FINE POSITION

PROPOSITION 9.1. *Let h be a horizontal geodesic. Let g be a straight (J, J') -quasi geodesic, which joins the future or past orbits of the endpoints of h . There exist a constant $C_{9.1}(J, J')$ and a $(C_{9.1}(J, J'), C_{9.1}(J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic $\mathcal{G}$ which is $C_{9.1}(J, J')$ -close to g , which has the same endpoints as g , and which is in fine position with respect to h .*

Proof. We consider a maximal subpath g' of g whose endpoints lie in the future or past orbits of some points in h , and such that no other point of g' satisfies this property. Consider any maximal $-$ -hole b in g' , and let I denote the horizontal geodesic between the endpoints of b .

CASE 1. Either I is contained in a cancellation or I is the concatenation of two horizontal geodesics, each contained in a cancellation.

Lemma 6.7 gives $C_{6.7}(J, J')$ such that, if $|I|_{f(I)} \geq C_{6.7}(J, J')$ then I is dilated in the future after $C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$. Lemma 5.3 gives $C_{5.3}(C_{6.7}(J, J'))$ such that the horizontal length of any horizontal geodesic contained in a cancellation and dilated in the future after $C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$ is at most $C_{5.3}(C_{6.7}(J, J'))$. By Lemma 5.4 we get an upper bound $C_{5.4}(C_{6.7}(J, J'), 2, C_{5.3}(C_{6.7}(J, J')))$ on the horizontal length of I .

CASE 2. There exists another horizontal geodesic in another connected component of the same stratum whose pulled-tight projection agrees with that of I after some finite time.

We consider the maximal geodesic preimage I' of I under $\sigma_{C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0}$ which connects two points of b . It admits a decomposition into subpaths I'_α