

4. Calculating Bott-Chern Forms

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **43 (1997)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **26.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

4. CALCULATING BOTT-CHERN FORMS

In this section we will consider an exact sequence

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}} : 0 \rightarrow \bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the metrics on \bar{S} and \bar{Q} are induced from the metric on E . Let r, n be the ranks of the bundles S and E . Let $\phi \in I(n)$ be homogeneous of degree k . We will formulate a theorem for calculating the Bott-Chern form $\tilde{\phi}(\bar{\mathcal{E}})$. This result follows from the work of Bott-Chern, Cowen, Bismut and Gillet-Soulé.

Let ϕ' be defined as in §2. For any two matrices $A, B \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ set

$$\phi'(A; B) := \sum_{i=1}^k \phi'(A, A, \dots, A, B_{(i)}, A, \dots, A),$$

where the index i means that B is in the i -th position.

Choose a local orthonormal frame $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ of E such that the first r elements generate S , and let $K(\bar{S}), K(\bar{E})$ and $K(\bar{Q})$ be the curvature matrices of \bar{S}, \bar{Q} and \bar{E} with respect to s . Let $K_S = \frac{i}{2\pi}K(\bar{S}), K_E = \frac{i}{2\pi}K(\bar{E})$ and $K_Q = \frac{i}{2\pi}K(\bar{Q})$. The matrix K_E has the form

$$K_E = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ \hline K_{21} & K_{22} \end{array} \right)$$

where K_{11} is an $r \times r$ submatrix. Also consider the matrices

$$K_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} K_S & 0 \\ \hline K_{21} & K_Q \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J_r = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Id_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Let u be a formal variable and $K(u) := uK_E + (1 - u)K_0$. Finally, let $\phi^!(u) = \phi'(K(u); J_r)$. We then have the following

THEOREM 2.

$$(3) \quad \tilde{\phi}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = \int_0^1 \frac{\phi^!(u) - \phi^!(0)}{u} du.$$

Proof. We prove that $\tilde{\phi}(\bar{\mathcal{E}})$ as defined above satisfies axioms (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1. The main step is the first axiom; this was essentially done in [BC] §4, when $\phi = c$ is the total Chern class. In the form (3) (again for the total Chern class), the equation was given by Cowen in [C1] and [C2], while

simplifying Bott and Chern's proof. We follow both sources in sketching a proof of this more general result.

Let h and h_Q denote the metrics on E and Q respectively. Define the orthogonal projections $P_1 : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ and $P_2 : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{Q}$ and put $h_u(v, v') = uh(P_1v, P_1v') + h(P_2v, P_2v')$ for $v, v' \in E_x$ and $0 < u \leq 1$. Then h_u is a hermitian norm, $h_1 = h$ and $h_u \rightarrow h_Q$ as $u \rightarrow 0$. Let $K(E, h_u)$ be the curvature matrix of (E, h_u) relative to the holomorphic frame s defined above. Proposition 3.1 of [C2] proves that $\frac{i}{2\pi}K(E, h_u) = K(u)$. It follows from Proposition 3.28 of [BC] that for $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$\phi(E, h_t) - \phi(E, h) = dd^c \int_t^1 \frac{\phi'(K(u); J_r)}{u} du.$$

If we could set $t = 0$ we would be done; however, the integral will not be convergent in general. Note that $K(u) = K_0 + uK_1$, where $K_1 \in A^{1,1}(X, \text{End}(E))$ is independent of u . Therefore it will suffice to show that $\phi'(K_0; J_r)$ is a closed form, so that it can be deleted from the integral. For this we may assume that $\phi = p_\lambda$ is a product of power sums, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ a partition. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p'_\lambda(K_0; J_r) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Tr}(K_S)^{\lambda_i-1} \prod_{j \neq i} (\text{Tr}(K_S) + \text{Tr}(K_Q))^{\lambda_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m p_{\lambda_i-1}(\bar{S}) \prod_{j \neq i} p_{\lambda_j}(\bar{S} \oplus \bar{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

is certainly a closed form.

This proves axioms (i) and (iii); axiom (ii) is easily checked as well. \square

REMARK. A similar deformation to the one in [C2] was used by Deligne in [D], 5.11 for a calculation involving the Chern character form. Special cases of Theorem 2 have been used in the literature before, see for example [GS2] Prop. 5.3, [GSZ] 2.2.3 and [Ma] Theorem 3.3.1.

We deduce some simple but useful calculations:

COROLLARY 1.

- (a) $\tilde{c}_1^k(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\tilde{c}_m(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$ for all $m > \text{rk} E$.
 (b) $\tilde{p}_2(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = 2(\text{Tr} K_{11} - c_1(\bar{S}))$ and $\tilde{c}_2(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = c_1(\bar{S}) - \text{Tr} K_{11}$.

Proof. (a) $c_1^!(u)$ is independent of u ; hence $\tilde{c}_1(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$. The result for higher powers of c_1 follows from Proposition 1. In addition, $\tilde{c}_m(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$ for $m > \text{rk} E$ is an immediate consequence of the definition.

(b) Using the bilinear form p'_2 described previously, we find $p_2^1(u) = 2(u \operatorname{Tr} K_{11} + (1-u)c_1(\bar{S}))$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_2(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) &= 2 \int_0^1 \frac{u \operatorname{Tr} K_{11} + (1-u)c_1(\bar{S}) - c_1(\bar{S})}{u} du \\ &= 2(\operatorname{Tr} K_{11} - c_1(\bar{S})). \end{aligned}$$

To calculate $\tilde{c}_2(\bar{\mathcal{E}})$, use the identity $2c_2 = c_1^2 - p_2$. \square

Corollary 1 (b) agrees with an important calculation of Deligne's in [D], 10.1, which we now describe: Using the C^∞ splitting of \mathcal{E} , we can write the $\bar{\partial}$ operator for E in matrix form:

$$\bar{\partial}_E = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_S & \alpha \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in A^{0,1}(X, \operatorname{Hom}(Q, S)).$$

Let $\alpha^* \in A^{1,0}(X, \operatorname{Hom}(S, Q))$ be the transpose of α , defined using complex conjugation of forms and the metrics h_S and h_Q . If ∇ is the induced connection on $\operatorname{Hom}(Q, S)$, we can write

$$K_E = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} K_S - \frac{i}{2\pi} \alpha \alpha^* & \nabla^{1,0} \alpha \\ \hline -\nabla^{0,1} \alpha^* & K_Q - \frac{i}{2\pi} \alpha^* \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Thus Corollary 1(b) implies that

$$\tilde{c}_2(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha \alpha^*) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha^* \alpha),$$

and we have recovered Deligne's result. In this form the calculation was used by Moriwaki and Soulé to obtain a Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality and a Kodaira vanishing theorem on arithmetic surfaces, respectively (see [Mo] and [S]).

The calculation of \tilde{c}_2 shows that in general Bott-Chern forms are not closed. In fact, calculating \tilde{c}_k for $k \geq 3$ leads to much more complicated formulas, involving traces of products of curvature matrices, for which a clear geometric interpretation is lacking (unlike the matrix α above, whose negative transpose $-\alpha^*$ is the second fundamental form of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$). In the next two sections we shall see that when \bar{E} is a projectively flat bundle, the Bott-Chern forms are closed and can be calculated explicitly for any $\phi \in I(n)$.