

4. Milnor's and Ramakrishnan's conjectures

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **41 (1995)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$\mathcal{B}(E)_{\mathbf{Q}}^{H'} = \mathcal{B}(F')_{\mathbf{Q}}$. We thus obtain inclusions $\mathcal{B}_{\pm}(F) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\pm}(F')$, and the reverse inclusions are trivial. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 3.2. The first two statements follow immediately from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem B. For the third, note that $\mathcal{B}_{-}(F) \subset \mathcal{B}(F')_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and if $F' \neq F$ then F has strictly more complex embeddings than F' so $\mathcal{B}(F')_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \mathcal{B}(F)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus, to have $\mathcal{B}_{-}(F) = \mathcal{B}(F)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ we must have $F = F'$. The claim then follows directly from Theorem B. $\square$

REMARK. We have pointed out at the beginning of sect. 2 that $\mathcal{B}(F)$ could have been replaced by $K_3(F)$ in all our discussions. The analog of Borel's theorem holds for $K_i(F)$ for all $i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so the results described above are also valid for these K -groups. When $1 < i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ Borel's theorem gives a map $K_i(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ whose kernel is torsion and whose image is a lattice. The only change is that one obtains $r_1 + \frac{1}{2}(r_2 + r'_2)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(r_2 - r'_2)$ as the dimensions of the $+$ and $-$ eigenspaces in the analog of Theorem B, and Corollary 3.2 therefore also needs modification. We leave the details to the reader. The basic point is that if $E \subset \mathbf{C}$ is Galois over $\mathbf{Q}$ with group G and δ is its conjugation then $K_i(E) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ is G -equivariantly isomorphic to $\{ \sum r_{\gamma} \gamma \in \mathbf{R}G \mid r_{\gamma} = (-1)^{(i-1)/2} r_{\delta\gamma} \}$ for $i > 1$ and odd.

4. MILNOR'S AND RAMAKRISHNAN'S CONJECTURES

Milnor [10] made the following conjecture motivated by the fact that $D_2(z)$ represents the volume of an ideal tetrahedron. For the significance of this conjecture in hyperbolic geometry and number theory, see [10], [11].

MILNOR'S CONJECTURE. *For each integer $N \geq 3$, the real numbers $D_2(e^{2\pi i \frac{-1+j}{N}})$, with j relatively prime to N and $0 < j < N/2$, are linearly independent over the rationals.*

A field homomorphism $\tau: F \rightarrow K$ clearly induces a homomorphism on the Bloch groups $\mathcal{B}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ which, by abuse of notation, will again be denoted by τ .

Given a cyclotomic field $F = \mathbf{Q}(e^{2\pi i \frac{-1}{N}})$, the elements $[e^{2\pi i j/N}]$, with j relatively prime to N and $0 < j < N/2$, form a basis of the Bloch group $\mathcal{B}(F) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (see Bloch [2]). Hence Milnor's conjecture can be reformulated that $D_2: \mathcal{B}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given on generators by $[z] \mapsto D_2(z)$ is injective for a cyclotomic field F .

Note that for a general number field F the above map D_2 vanishes on $\mathcal{B}_+(F)$. By Corollary 3.2, the following is thus the strongest generalization of Milnor's conjecture that one might hope for.

CONJECTURE 4.1. *If $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a number field with $F \cap \mathbf{R}$ totally real then the map $D_2: \mathcal{B}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by $[z] \mapsto D_2(z)$ is injective.*

In the special case that F is Galois over $\mathbf{Q}$ the condition $F \cap \mathbf{R}$ totally real says that F is a CM-field. In this case we have the following proposition (cf. Prop. 7.2.5 of [16])

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose that F is a Galois CM-field over $\mathbf{Q}$. If for one complex embedding $\tau: F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the map $D_2 \circ \tau$ is injective (that is, Conjecture 4.1 holds), then it is for all complex embeddings.*

Proof. Let $\rho: F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be another embedding of F . There exists $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\rho = \tau\gamma$. Let $\omega \in B(F) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. If

$$D_2 \circ \rho(\omega) = D_2 \circ \tau(\gamma(\omega)) = 0,$$

then by the injectivity of $D_2 \circ \tau$, $\gamma(\omega) = 0$. Since $\gamma: \mathcal{B}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(F)$ is clearly an isomorphism, it follows that $\omega = 0$ in $\mathcal{B}(F) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Hence, $D_2 \circ \rho$ is also injective. $\square$

The map $D_2: \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the imaginary part of the more general Bloch map

$$\rho: \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Q}(2),$$

where the notation $\mathbf{Q}(k)$ denotes the subgroup $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^k \mathbf{Q}$ of $\mathbf{C}$. The definition of ρ is given as follows:

For $z \in \mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &= \log z \wedge \log(1-z) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \\ &\wedge \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} (\ln_2(1-z) - \ln_2(z) - \pi^2/6) \in \wedge^2_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}. \end{aligned}$$

See section 4 of [6] or [8] for the meaning of this map and for further details. The exact formulae given here is borrowed from [8]. This map obviously induces a map

$$\rho: \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \wedge^2_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}.$$

It turns out that ρ vanishes on the 5-term relation, hence it induces a map

$$\rho: \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \wedge^2_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}.$$

Finally, it follows from the fact that every element α of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies the relation $\mu(\alpha) = 0$ that the image of this last map lies in the kernel of the map

$$e: \wedge_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\wedge^2 \exp} \wedge_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \mathbf{C}^*.$$

The kernel of e is $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Q}(2)$. Hence this induces the Bloch map.

Ramakrishnan [16] generalized Milnor's conjecture in the following form¹⁾.

RAMAKRISHNAN'S CONJECTURE. *For every subfield $F \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbf{C}$, the map*

$$\mathcal{B}(F) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Q}(2)$$

is injective.

The Bloch-Wigner function D_2 is the imaginary part of the Bloch map ρ , and it vanishes identically on $\mathcal{B}_+(k)$. On the other hand, it follows from a routine calculation that the real part of the Bloch map vanishes identically on $\mathcal{B}_-(k)$.

In particular, ρ just reduces to D_2 if $\mathcal{B}_-(F) = \mathcal{B}(F)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. By Corollary 3.2 we thus have

PROPOSITION 4.3. *If $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a CM-embedded field, then the Ramakrishnan Conjecture for this particular embedding of F is equivalent to Conjecture 4.1. $\square$*

On the other hand

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The truth of the Ramakrishnan Conjecture for a field $E = \bar{E} \subset \mathbf{C}$ would imply Conjecture 4.1 for any subfield of E .*

Proof. Since the real and imaginary parts of ρ vanish on $\mathcal{B}_-(E)$ and $\mathcal{B}_+(E)$ respectively, the Ramakrishnan conjecture for E is equivalent to the conjecture that the kernel of the real part of ρ is exactly $\mathcal{B}_-(E)$ and the kernel of the imaginary part, that is $\text{Ker}(D_2)$, is exactly $\mathcal{B}_+(E)$. Thus D_2 would have zero kernel on $\mathcal{B}(F)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for any subfield F of E satisfying $\mathcal{B}(F)_{\mathbf{Q}} \cap \mathcal{B}_+(E) = \{0\}$, which is equivalent to the condition of Conjecture 4.1 by Corollary 3.2. $\square$

¹⁾ Both Ramakrishnan's conjecture and Milnor's original conjecture are more general in that they apply to all the odd-degree higher K -groups. We refer to [16] for more details. Likewise, Conjecture 4.1 can be stated for higher K -groups in similar fashion.