

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Clearly for $h = u(f, gi(i=1, \dots, k(f))) = u(f, g)$:

$$\sum_{i=1, \dots, k(f)} w(Di_{gi, 1}) = w_o(D, h), \sum_{i=1, \dots, k(f)} w(Di_{gi, 2}) = w_e(D, h)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1, \dots, k(f)} r(Di_{gi, 2}) = r_e(D, h).$$

Moreover, since h is easily seen to be compatible with f and the gi , it follows from the Unification Lemma that $\langle D | f \rangle \prod_{i=1, \dots, k(f)} \langle Di | gi \rangle = \langle D | h \rangle$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D | f \rangle \prod_{i=1, \dots, k(f)} A(Di, z) = \\ t^{r(D) - k(f)} \sum_{g \in T(D, f), h = u(f, g)} \langle D | h \rangle t^{w_o(D, h)} (-t)^{-w_e(D, h)} (-1)^{r_e(D, h)} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Proposition 9 that

$$\begin{aligned} H(D, z, a) = \sum_{f \in CL(D)} \sum_{g \in T(D, f), h = u(f, g)} \\ \langle D | h \rangle (t^{-1}a)^{-r(D) + k(f)} ((a - a^{-1})z^{-1})^{k(f) - 1} t^{w_o(D, h)} (-t)^{-w_e(D, h)} (-1)^{r_e(D, h)} \end{aligned}$$

In this expression $k(f)$ can be replaced by $k'(h)$. Moreover u clearly defines a bijection from the set $\{(f, g) / f \in CL(D), g \in T(D, f)\}$ to $CL(D)$. This completes the proof.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

1. It would be interesting to generalize the results of Section 3 to arbitrary diagrams. This is done for Proposition 6 in a joint paper with Louis Kauffman (in preparation).

(2) Since the topological and algebraic aspects of the Alexander polynomial are well understood, one may try to use Proposition 9 to gain some insight of the same kind on the homfly polynomial. Clearly one can combine Proposition 9 with classical results which relate the polynomial $A(D, z)$ to Burau matrices, Seifert surfaces and matrices, presentations of the fundamental group of the complement... This leads to corresponding complex labelled structures which seem to be worth studying. As for the combinatorial aspects Proposition 12 is only a first step and some further progress closely connected with Proposition 9 is reported in the following forthcoming papers: [A] Circuit partitions and the homfly polynomial of closed braids, Trans. AMS, to appear, [B] A combinatorial model for the homfly polynomial, preprint.

(3) We believe that our approach to the Morton-Franks-Williams inequalities could be developed to yield more precise results on the cases of equality (which appear to be extremely frequent). For instance it is shown in [A], Proposition 7 that the first (respectively: third) inequality of Proposition 10 holds with equality if all vertices of D have negative (respectively: positive) sign.

(4) Proposition 12 can be interpreted in terms of explicit matrix representations of Hecke algebras. The homfly polynomial of a braid diagram appears as the trace of a matrix indexed by its labellings. This matrix can be computed as a product consisting of one matrix for each crossing (which incorporates the interaction and writhe contributions) and a final diagonal matrix which assigns suitable weights to the various labellings.

(5) The known relationship of the Jones sequence of state models with solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation suggests that theoretical physics might provide an interpretation of the properties of the product operation for homfly polynomials. An algebraic generalization of this operation is given by V. G. Turaev in: Algebras of loops on surfaces, algebras of knots, and quantization, LOMI preprint E-10-88.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALEXANDER, J. W. Topological invariants of knots and links. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 30 (1928), 275-306.
- [2] BAXTER, R. J. *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Academic Press, 1982.
- [3] CONWAY, J. H. An enumeration of knots and links and some of their algebraic properties. *Computational problems in abstract algebra*. Pergamon Press, New York (1970), 329-358.
- [4] FRANKS, J. and R. F. WILLIAMS. Braids and the Jones polynomial. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 303 (1987), 97-108.
- [5] FREYD, P., D. YETTER, J. HOSTE, W. B. R. LICKORISH, K. MILLETT and A. OCNEANU. A new polynomial invariant of knots and links. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 12 (1985), 239-246.
- [6] FREYD, P. and D. YETTER. A new invariant for knots and links. Preprint, University of Pennsylvania, 1984.
- [7] DE LA HARPE, P., M. KERVAIRE and C. WEBER. On the Jones polynomial. *L'Enseignement Mathématique* 32 (1986), 271-335.
- [8] HOSTE, J. A polynomial invariant of knots and links. *Pacific Journal of Math.* 124 (1986), 295-320.
- [9] JAEGER, F. On Tutte polynomials and cycles of plane graphs. *J. Combinatorial Theory (B)* 44 (1988), 127-146.
- [10] ———. Tutte polynomials and link polynomials. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 103 (1988), 647-654.