

§2. Local representation masses and Z_p -equivalence OF FORMS

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

possible anymore, as the following example shows: By Proposition 1.1 the diagonal forms $f = \langle 1, 2, 2, 2, 4 \rangle$, $g = \langle 1, 1, 2, 4, 4 \rangle$ have the same Gauss sums $\theta(\cdot, f, 2^t) = \theta(\cdot, g, 2^t)$ for all $t \geq 1$, however they are obviously not $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -equivalent.

The theory of Minkowski reproduced in this section was extended by O'Meara to integral quadratic forms over local fields.

§ 2. LOCAL REPRESENTATION MASSES AND $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -EQUIVALENCE OF FORMS

We identify $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with its topological dual by defining $\langle n, m \rangle = \chi_p(nm)$, where χ_p is Tate's character:

$$\chi_p(a) = \exp(2\pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} a_s p^s),$$

if $a = \sum_{s \geq s_0} a_s p^s$. Let dn be the Haar measure of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ normalized by $dn(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 1$. As is well-known, dn is selfdual. Let dx be the Haar measure of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ naturally induced by dn .

Let f be a non-singular integral p -adic quadratic form in $k \geq 1$ variables. We shall deal in this section with the representation mass function given by (0.1) for $\phi = 1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}$. That is, we define for all $n_o \in \mathbf{Q}_p$:

$$r(n_o, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = \lim_{U \rightarrow \{n_o\}} (dx(f^{-1}(U) \cap \mathbf{Z}_p^k) / dnU),$$

whenever this limit exists. Clearly r has support contained in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. We can also consider the Gauss-Weil transform of $1_{(\mathbf{Z}_p)^k}$ by f given by

$$\theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^k} \langle f(x), m \rangle dx.$$

The relationship between these representation masses and the ones introduced in the preceding section is given in the following

LEMMA 2.1. i) Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $n \neq 0$, and $t > v_p(4n)$. Then

$$r(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} p^{(1-k)s} r(n, f, p^s) = p^{(1-k)t} r(n, f, p^t).$$

ii) Let $m \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $t \geq 1$ be chosen arbitrarily satisfying $m = up^{-t}$. Then

$$\theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = p^{-kt} \theta(u, f, p^t).$$

Proof. i) Let $U_t = n + p^t \mathbf{Z}_p$. We have $dn(U_t) = p^{-t}$ and

$$dx(f^{-1}(U_t) \cap \mathbf{Z}_p^k) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z})^k} dx(f^{-1}(U_t) \cap (a + p^t \mathbf{Z}_p^k)) = p^{-kt} r(n, f, p^t),$$

since $f^{-1}(U_t) \cap (a + p^t \mathbf{Z}_p^k)$ is equal to $a + p^t \mathbf{Z}_p^k$ or vacuous, according to $f(a) \equiv n \pmod{p^t}$ or not. This proves the first equality in i).

We want now to show that $p^{(1-k)s} r_{p^s}(n) = p^{(1-k)(s-1)} r_{p^{s-1}}(n)$, for all $s > t$. We know that

$$r(n, f, p^s) = p^{-s} \sum_{u=1}^{p^s} \theta(u, f, p^s) \exp(-2\pi i u n p^{-s}).$$

Let us denote by A and B the sum of the terms satisfying $p \mid u$ and $p \nmid u$, respectively. Clearly $A = p^{k-1} r(n, f, p^{s-1})$; hence, we are reduced to proving $B = 0$. Taking into account the explicit computations of Gauss sums (Proposition 1.1), we can express the sum B as

$$B = \begin{cases} C \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^s \mathbf{Z})^*} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^a \exp(-2\pi i u n p^{-s}) & \text{if } p > 2 \\ D \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/2^s \mathbf{Z})^*} \left(\frac{2}{u}\right)^b \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i u}{8}\right)^c \exp(-2\pi i u n 2^{-s}) & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

where C, D, a, b, c depend on f and s , but are independent of u . Now, $\exp(-2\pi i u n p^{-s})$ is a primitive p^l -th root of 1 with $l > 1$ if $p > 2$, and $l > 3$ if $p = 2$. One can check that, for any function φ defined on $(\mathbf{Z}/p^m \mathbf{Z})^*$, $m \geq 1$ and ξ any primitive p^l -th root of 1, $l > m$, one has

$$\sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^l \mathbf{Z})^*} \varphi(u) \xi^u = 0.$$

In particular, B must be zero.

In order to prove ii) we need only to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) &= \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p^k} \exp(2\pi i f(x) u p^{-t}) dx \\ &= \sum_{a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z})^k} \exp(2\pi i f(a) u p^{-t}) \int_{a + p^t \mathbf{Z}_p^k} dx = p^{-kt} \theta(u, f, p^t). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark. After Siegel [13], it was very well known that for $n \neq 0$ the values $p^{(1-k)t} r(n, f, p^t)$ become constant for $t > 2v_p(4n)$. Lemma 2.1 shows that the minimum value of t with this property can be taken equal to half of the one found by Siegel.

By Lemma 2.1, $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ is locally constant, hence continuous on $\mathbf{Q}_p^*$, and $r(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$ if and only if n is not represented by f in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. The fundamental fact is that r is integrable on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and θ is its Fourier transform. This is well-known [4]. For the sake of completeness we give a short proof of this result using only the background introduced up to now.

PROPOSITION 2.2. $r \in L^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and

$$\theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} r(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) \langle n, m \rangle \, dn.$$

Proof. We assume $p > 2$. For $p = 2$ the proof works in the same way with minor modifications left to the reader. Let $m = up^{-s}$, $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $s \geq 0$. For all $t > s$, $\mathbf{Z}_p \setminus p^t \mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact, hence $r(n)$, being continuous, is integrable and we have by Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p \setminus p^t \mathbf{Z}_p} r(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) \langle n, m \rangle \, dn &= \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z} \\ a \neq 0}} \int_{a + p^t \mathbf{Z}_p} r(n, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) \langle n, m \rangle \, dn \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z} \\ a \neq 0}} p^{-kt} r(a, f, p^t) \exp(2\pi i a u p^{-s}) = p^{-kt} (\theta(p^t u, f, p^t) - r(0, f, p^t)) \\ &= \theta(m, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) - p^{-kt} r(0, f, p^t). \end{aligned}$$

Both assertions of the proposition are consequences of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem if $p^{-kt} r(0, f, p^t)$ tends to zero as t tends to infinity. This is checked immediately for $k = 1$. For $k > 1$ it can be easily deduced from (1.1) and the explicit computation of Gauss sums in the preceding section. $\square$

We are ready to prove a crucial fact for the rest of the paper:

THEOREM 2.3. Let f, g be two non-singular integral p -adic quadratic forms in k variables. If $p = 2$, assume that they are of the same type. The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) $f \sim g$ over $\mathbf{Z}_p$,
- ii) $r(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Z}_p) = r(\cdot, g, \mathbf{Z}_p)$,
- iii) $\theta(\cdot, f, \mathbf{Q}_p) = \theta(\cdot, g, \mathbf{Q}_p)$.

Proof. If $f \sim g$ over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, then $f \sim g$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z}$ and $r(\cdot, f, p^t) = r(\cdot, g, p^t)$ for all $t \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.1 this implies ii). By Proposition 2.2, ii) implies iii). Again by Lemma 2.1, iii) implies that $\theta(\cdot, f, p^t) = \theta(\cdot, g, p^t)$ for all $t \geq 1$, therefore condition i) follows now from Theorem 1.2. $\square$

Let K be a local field and f a non-singular quadratic form in k variables defined over K . If ϕ is a Schwartz-Bruhat function on K^k , the representation mass function $r_\phi(\cdot, f, K)$ defined as in (0.1) coincides with another classical representation mass function introduced by Weil. This is Weil's procedure (see [4] for the details): for $n \neq 0$, the $(k-1)$ -differential forms

$$\omega_i(x) = (-1)^{i-1} (D_i f)^{-1} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\hat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k,$$

induce a gauge form ω_n on the affine variety $f^{-1}(n)$. Since we are in a local field, ω_n induces a positive measure $|\omega_n|$ on $f^{-1}(n)$ such that for every continuous function ϕ on K^k with compact support not containing zero we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{K^k} \phi(x) dx = \int_K \left(\int_{f^{-1}(n)} \phi |\omega_n| \right) dn.$$

The representation mass of $n \in K^*$ by f with respect to ϕ is then defined as

$$F_\phi(n) = \int_{f^{-1}(n)} \phi |\omega_n|.$$

This function is continuous and after (2.1) it is easy to prove that F_ϕ is integrable and its Fourier transform coincides with the Gauss-Weil transform:

$$\int_{K^k} \phi(x) \langle f(x), m \rangle dx = \int_K F_\phi(n) \langle n, m \rangle dn.$$

Let now $n_o \in K^*$ and let U be any open neighbourhood of n_o . From (2.1) it is also easy to justify that:

$$\int_{f^{-1}(U)} \phi(x) dx = \int_U F_\phi(n) dn.$$

Since F_ϕ is continuous and K is locally compact, we have also:

$$F_\phi(n_o) = \lim_{U \rightarrow \{n_o\}} \left(\int_U F_\phi(n) dn / \int_U dn \right) = r_\phi(n_o),$$

thus $F_\phi = r_\phi$ on K^* .