1. Semigroup properties of N

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

In general this result does not hold for infinite semigroups. We invite the reader to find a counterexample for $S = (\mathbf{Z}, +)$. However it does hold for compact semigroups as we will show implicitly in the proof of Theorem 3.3. (Of course the finite version is then a special case.)

We intend to apply this theorem to the natural numbers $\mathbf{N}$ by compactifying $\mathbf{N}$ in such a way so as to obtain a compact semigroup; this is the role of the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbf{N}$ of $\mathbf{N}$. We obtain a theorem about $\beta\mathbf{N}$ which when unraveled becomes exactly van der Waerden's Theorem.

We warn the reader that in the compactification of $\mathbf{N}$ the operation of addition will be extended with the usual notation $+$. However the semigroup will not be commutative and so one has to accustom oneself to non-commutative addition.

1. Semigroup properties of $\beta\mathbf{N}$

Any completely regular Hausdorff space has a maximal compactification, the Stone-Čech compactification. In particular the discrete space $\mathbf{N}$ of positive integers has a Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbf{N}$ which is characterized by: (1) $\beta\mathbf{N}$ is a compact Hausdorff space; (2) $\mathbf{N}$ is a dense subset of $\beta\mathbf{N}$; and (3) given any compact Hausdorff space Y and any $f: \mathbf{N} \to Y$ there is a continuous extension $f^{\beta}: \beta\mathbf{N} \to Y$, (that is $f^{\beta}|_{\mathbf{N}} = f$).

Our proof of van der Waerden's Theorem is based on the fact that the operation of ordinary addition extends to $\beta\mathbf{N}$ as an operation which we denote by $+$. $\beta\mathbf{N}$ under this operation will be a semigroup in which the operation of addition is continuous in a restricted way. Namely let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup with S a topological space and define functions ρ_x and λ_x for each $x \in S$ by $\rho_x(y) = y + x$ and $\lambda_x(y) = x + y$. If one requires only that ρ_x be continuous, S is called a right topological semigroup.

1.1 Lemma. *There is an operation $+$ on $\beta\mathbf{N}$ such that $\beta\mathbf{N}$ is a compact right topological semigroup, $+$ extends ordinary addition on $\mathbf{N}$, and λ_n is continuous for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. We extend $+$ in stages, starting with $+$ defined on $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$. Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$, consider $f_n: \mathbf{N} \to \beta\mathbf{N}$ defined by $f_n(m) = n + m$. Then each f_n has a continuous extension $f_n^{\beta}: \beta\mathbf{N} \to \beta\mathbf{N}$. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}\backslash\mathbf{N}$ define

$n + p = f_n^{\beta}(p)$. (Then for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$, $n + p = f_n^{\beta}(p)$ since if $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $f_n^{\beta}(p) = f_n(p) = n + p$.) Now $+$ is defined on $\mathbf{N} \times \beta\mathbf{N}$. Given $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$ define $g_p : \mathbf{N} \to \beta\mathbf{N}$ by $g_p(n) = n + p$. Then each g_p has a continuous extension $g_p^{\beta} : \beta\mathbf{N} \to \beta\mathbf{N}$. Then for $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$ and $q \in \beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$ define $q + p = g_p^{\beta}(q)$. (Again if p, q are any points in $\beta\mathbf{N}$ we have $q + p = g_p^{\beta}(q)$.)

Since for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lambda_n = f_n^{\beta}$ and for any $p \in \beta\mathbf{N}$, $\rho_p = g_p^{\beta}$, the continuity assumptions are immediate. Thus we need only check that the operation is associative. To this end let $p, q, r \in \beta\mathbf{N}$. Observe that $p + (q+r) = \rho_{q+r}(p)$ while $(p+q) + r = (\rho_r \circ \rho_q)(p)$ so by continuity it suffices to show ρ_{q+r} and $\rho_r \circ \rho_q$ agree on the dense subset $\mathbf{N}$ of $\beta\mathbf{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\rho_{q+r}(n) = n + (q+r) = (\lambda_n \circ \rho_r)(q)$$

$$\text{and} \quad (\rho_r \circ \rho_q)(n) = (n+q) + r = (\rho_r \circ \lambda_n)(q) .$$

Again by continuity, it suffices to show $\lambda_n \circ \rho_r$ and $\rho_r \circ \lambda_n$ agree on $\mathbf{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$(\lambda_n \circ \rho_r)(m) = n + (m+r) = (\lambda_n \circ \lambda_m)(r)$$

while

$$(\rho_r \circ \lambda_n)(m) = (n+m) + r = \lambda_{n+m}(r) .$$

Thus it finally suffices to show $\lambda_n \circ \lambda_m$ and λ_{n+m} agree on $\mathbf{N}$. Let $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $(\lambda_n \circ \lambda_m)(t) = n + (m+t) = (n+m) + t = \lambda_{n+m}(t)$ as required. □

The main fact about $\beta\mathbf{N}$ making it useful for van der Waerden's Theorem and similar results is the content of the following lemma.

1.2 LEMMA. *If* $\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_m\}$ *is a finite partition of* $\mathbf{N}$, *then* $\{cl\, A_1, cl\, A_2, ..., cl\, A_m\}$ *is a partition of* $\beta\mathbf{N}$ *such that for each* $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $cl\, A_i$ *is open.*

Proof. Let $Y = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ with the discrete topology and define $f : \mathbf{N} \to Y$ by $f(n) = i$ if and only if $n \in A_i$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, let $B_i = \{p \in \beta\mathbf{N} : f^{\beta}(p) = i\}$. Then immediately $\{B_1, B_2, ..., B_m\}$ is a partition of $\beta\mathbf{N}$. Further, given $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $B_i = (f^{\beta})^{-1}[\{i\}]$. Since $\{i\}$ is open and closed in Y and f^{β} is continuous, B_i is open and closed. Since $A_i \subseteq B_i$, one has $cl\, A_i \subseteq B_i$. To see that $B_i \subseteq cl\, A_i$, let $x \in B_i$ and let U be a neighborhood of x. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is dense in $\beta\mathbf{N}$, pick $y \in \mathbf{N} \cap (U \cap B_i)$. Since $y \in B_i$, $f(y) = i$ so $y \in A_i$. Thus $U \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ as required. □