

4. Products of involutions

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **33 (1987)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **01.06.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

4. PRODUCTS OF INVOLUTIONS

Let s_1 and s_2 be two involutions. We are interested in the type of the element $s_1 \circ s_2$. This type will be seen to depend upon the intersection of the two sets $\text{Fix}(s_1)$ and $\text{Fix}(s_2)$, where $\text{Fix}(s_i)$ denotes the fixed point set of s_i in the closed ball $\mathbf{T} \cup \mathbf{PMF}$.

THEOREM 2.

- (i) $s_1 \circ s_2$ is of finite order if and only if $\text{Fix}(s_1)$ and $\text{Fix}(s_2)$ have a common point in $\mathbf{T}$.
- (ii) Suppose that $s_1 \circ s_2$ is not of finite order. If $\text{Fix}(s_1) \cap \text{Fix}(s_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $s_1 \circ s_2$ is reducible.
- (iii) $s_1 \circ s_2$ is pseudo-Anosov if and only if $\text{Fix}(s_1)$ and $\text{Fix}(s_2)$ have empty intersection.

Proof. (i) If s_1 and s_2 have a common fixed point in $\mathbf{T}$, then $s_1 \circ s_2$ also fixes this point and is therefore of finite order (cf. [4]).

For the converse, suppose that $s_1 \circ s_2$ is of finite order. Then by ([2], remarque p. 67), there is a point m in Teichmüller space such that m is fixed by $s_1 \circ s_2$.

The mapping classes s_1 and s_2 being involutions, we have $s_1(m) = s_2(m)$.

Now Teichmüller space has a metric, the Teichmüller metric (cf. [1]), for which the mapping class group acts by isometries. By Teichmüller's theorem, any two points in $\mathbf{T}$ can be joined by a unique geodesic. Each of the mapping classes s_1 and s_2 interchanges the points m and $s_1(m)$. Therefore, s_1 and s_2 fix the point which is at equal distance from m and $s_1(m)$, on the Teichmüller geodesic joining these points.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a common fixed point of s_1 and s_2 in $\mathbf{PMF}$. There exist two positive real numbers x_1 and x_2 such that if f is an element of $\mathbf{MF}$ in the class $\mathbf{F}$, then $s_1(f) = x_1 \cdot f$ and $s_2(f) = x_2 \cdot f$.

As s_1 and s_2 are of finite order, we have x_1 and $x_2 = 1$, so $s_1 \circ s_2(f) = f$. By ([2], exposé 9, §.III et IV), either $s_1 \circ s_2$ is of finite order or it is reducible.

(iii) Suppose that $\text{Fix}(s_1) \cap \text{Fix}(s_2)$ is empty. By (i), $s_1 \circ s_2$ is not of finite order. Suppose that it is reducible, and let $\mathbf{C}$ be the element of $\mathbf{MF}$ corresponding to the class of the reducing curve. We have $s_1(\mathbf{C}) = s_2(\mathbf{C})$. Let $\mathbf{C}_1$ denote the equivalence class $s_1(\mathbf{C})$.

Let C and C_1 be two simple closed curves on F representing respectively the classes $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}_1$, in such a way that C and C_1 are in a position of minimum-intersection number.

Consider a neighborhood of the union of C and C_1 obtained by taking the union of a thin tubular neighborhood of each of these curves, and let C_2 denote the collection of those boundary curves of this neighborhood which are not null-homotopic.

Suppose first of all that C_2 is not empty. Then we have $s_1(C_2) = C_2$ and $s_2(C_2) = C_2$. (To see this, one can represent s_1 (respectively s_2) by an isometry of some hyperbolic metric, and then consider the geodesics g and g_1 in the classes of C and C_1 . The isometry preserves the geodesics union $g \cup g_1$ and therefore it preserves an imbedded ε -neighborhood of that subset, and the boundary of the neighborhood). In this case, s_1 and s_2 have a common fixed point in $\mathbf{PMF}$.

Suppose now that C_2 is empty. We have $s_1 \circ s_2(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}$ and $s_1 \circ s_2(\mathbf{C}_1) = \mathbf{C}_1$, and $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}_1$ have the property that for any element $\mathbf{F}$ in $\mathbf{MF}$, we have either $i(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C}) \neq 0$ or $i(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C}_1) \neq 0$.

By assumption, $s_1 \circ s_2$ is reducible. Let n be an integer s.t. the map $(s_1 \circ s_2)^n$ preserves each component of the surface F cut along the reducing curve.

The mapping class $(s_1 \circ s_2)^n$ cannot have any pseudo-Anosov component, since if it had one, and if $\mathbf{F}^u$ denotes the class of the unstable foliation of that component, we have either $i(\mathbf{F}^u, \mathbf{C}) \neq 0$ or $i(\mathbf{F}^u, \mathbf{C}_1) \neq 0$. By the dynamics of a pseudo-Anosov (component) map on measured foliations space, the two classes of curves cannot be fixed by $s_1 \circ s_2$. Therefore, $s_1 \circ s_2$ cannot have pseudo-Anosov components.

So $(s_1 \circ s_2)^n$ has only finite order components.

By the same argument, $(s_1 \circ s_2)^n$ cannot have a non-trivial Dehn twist along a component of its reducing curve.

Therefore, $s_1 \circ s_2$ has only periodic components with no non-trivial Dehn twists along the reducing curve, so it is globally periodic, i.e. of finite order, a contradiction.

We conclude that $s_1 \circ s_2$ is pseudo-Anosov. This proves theorem 2.

5. REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

1. We can easily classify now the structure of the group generated by two involutions: