

## §3. Uniqueness and universality theorems

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **32 (1986)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

### **Haftungsausschluss**


Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## § 3. UNIQUENESS AND UNIVERSALITY THEOREMS


We prove:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow A$  is a skein invariant, it is uniquely determined by the coefficients  $a_+$ ,  $a_-$  and  $a_0$  of the skein invariance relation.*

*Proof.* First note that  $P(\bigcirc^r) = \left( -\frac{a_+ + a_-}{a_0} \right)^{r-1}$ , where  $\bigcirc^r$  denotes the unlink with  $r$  components.



Starting from  $P(\bigcirc) = 1$ , and the skein related link diagrams



we see that

$$a_+ P(\bigcirc) + a_- P(\bigcirc) + a_0 P(\bigcirc^2) = 0,$$

and thus

$$P(\bigcirc^2) = -\frac{a_+ + a_-}{a_0}.$$

Adding  $r - 1$  unknotted (and unlinked) disjoint components to each link in the above picture gives the desired formula by induction on  $r$ .

To prove the theorem, we shall use the following remark:

LEMMA 3.2. *For every link projection, there is a choice of over/under crossing at each crossing point which produces the unlink  $\bigcirc^r$ .*

*Proof.* The projection is a regular immersion of a disjoint union of circles. Order these circles arbitrarily. Now, running along the images of the circles, one after the other, declare that each new crossing is an underpass. (This involves the choice of a starting point on each circle such that its image is not a crossing point.)


The link corresponding to this choice of crossings is  $\bigcirc^r$ . Indeed, it is clear that the various components are stacked, one above the other, in their chosen order, and are thus unlinked. Furthermore, it is easy to see that each component bounds a disk, and therefore is the unknot.

As a consequence of this lemma, if  $L$  is an arbitrary link diagram, there is a sequence of changes of over/under choices at the crossing points which carries the diagram into a diagram of the unlink  $\bigcirc^r$  with the same number  $r$  of components.


For each over/under change  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$ , we get the first two terms of a skein relation (in a definite order). The third member  $L_0$  of the skein related diagrams  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$ ,  $L_0$  has one less crossing than  $L_+$  and  $L_-$ . Thus, if we define the complexity of a link diagram to be the pair  $(N, S)$ , where  $S$  is the number of crossing changes needed to get the unlink, and  $N$  is the number of crossings, and if we order the pairs by  $(N, S) < (N', S')$  if  $N < N'$  or  $N = N'$  and  $S < S'$  (alphabetical order), then we see by induction on the complexity that  $P(L)$  is completely determined by  $P(\bigcirc)$  and the skein invariance  $a_+P(L_+) + a_-P(L_-) + a_0P(L_0) = 0$ .

This proves theorem (3.1).


*Example.* Let  $T_+$  be the right handed trefoil:




Use the skein relation



$$T_+ = L_+$$



$$L_- = \bigcirc$$




$$L_0$$


We get

$$a_+P(T_+) + a_- + a_0P(L_0) = 0.$$


Then, another use of a skein relation



$$L_0^+ = L_0$$



$$L_0^- = \bigcirc^2$$



$$L_0^0 = \bigcirc$$

yields the formula

$$a_+P(L_0) + a_- \cdot \left( -\frac{a_+ + a_-}{a_0} \right) + a_0 = 0.$$

Solving for  $P(T_+)$  in the two equations gives the result:

$$P(T_+) = -2a_-a_+^{-1} - a_-^2a_+^{-2} + a_+^{-2}a_0^2.$$

Of course, we do not know yet if  $P$  calculated in this way is well defined. But if it is well defined,  $P(T_+)$  must be given by the above formula.

Now, let  $A = \mathbb{Z}[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$  be the ring of Laurent polynomials in 2 variables  $l, m$ . Suppose  $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$  is a skein invariant satisfying

$$lP(L_+) + l^{-1}P(L_-) + mP(L_0) = 0$$

for any 3 skein related link diagrams  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$  and  $L_0$ .

THEOREM 3.3. *If such a  $P$  exists it is universal in the following sense:*

(1)  $P$  determines a unique skein invariant

$$T: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]$$

satisfying

$$xT(L_+) + yT(L_-) + zT(L_0) = 0$$

for every triple of skein related link diagrams  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$  and  $L_0$ .

(2) Moreover, if  $P_A: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow A$  is any skein invariant with respect to three invertible elements  $a_+, a_-, a_0 \in A$  as above, then  $s(T(K)) = P_A(K)$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ , where  $s: \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}] \rightarrow A$  is the obvious map determined by  $s(x) = a_+$ ,  $s(y) = a_-$  and  $s(z) = a_0$ .

For the proof of this theorem, the crucial fact is the following assertion.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$  be a skein invariant as above, i.e.  $P(\bigcirc) = 1$ , and  $lP(L_+) + l^{-1}P(L_-) + mP(L_0) = 0$ , if  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$  and  $L_0$  are skein related. Then, each monomial  $l^a m^b$  occurring (with non-zero coefficient) in  $P(K)$  satisfies*

$$a \equiv b \pmod{2}.$$

The proof of this lemma will actually show that

$$a \equiv b \equiv r(K) - 1 \pmod{2}$$

for each monomial  $l^a m^b$  of  $P(K)$ , where  $r(K)$  is the number of connected components of  $K$ .

*Proof of the lemma.* True for the unknot, and more generally for the unlink with  $r$  components, since

$$P(\bigcirc^r) = \left( -\frac{l+l^{-1}}{m} \right)^{r-1}$$

as we have seen earlier.

Now, suppose that  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$  and  $L_0$  are 3 skein related link diagrams. Then, we have

$$P(L_+) = -l^{-2}P(L_-) - l^{-1}mP(L_0).$$

Hence, the claim follows by induction on the complexity of the link diagram, observing that  $r(L_+) = r(L_-) = r(L_0) \pm 1$ .

This completes the proof of lemma 3.4.

Now, given the skein invariant  $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$ , define  $T: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]$  by replacing each monomial  $l^a m^b$  of  $P(K)$  by  $x^i y^j z^k$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} k &= b, \\ i + j + k &= 0, \\ i - j &= a, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $i = 1/2(a-b)$ ,  $j = -1/2(a+b)$ ,  $k = b$ .

By the above assertion ( $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ ),  $T$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $x, y, z$ .

Perhaps more explicitly, we have

$$T(x, y, z) = P((x/y)^{1/2}, z \cdot (xy)^{-1/2}).$$

Observe that  $T$  is homogeneous of degree 0. This certainly is a necessary condition for  $T$  to be a skein invariant. (Exercise!)

It is clear that  $T(\bigcirc) = 1$ . We have to verify that

$$xT(L_+) + yT(L_-) + zT(L_0) = 0,$$

if  $L_+, L_-$  and  $L_0$  are skein related.

Substituting  $(x/y)^{1/2}$  for  $l$  and  $z \cdot (xy)^{-1/2}$  for  $m$  in the relation

$$lP(L_+) + l^{-1}P(L_-) + mP(L_0) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$(x/y)^{1/2}T(L_+) + (y/x)^{1/2}T(L_-) + z(xy)^{-1/2}T(L_0) = 0$$

which yields the desired formula after multiplying by  $(xy)^{1/2}$ .

Further, if  $P_A: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow A$  is any skein invariant (with respect to invertible elements  $a_+, a_-, a_0$  of some commutative ring  $A$ ) and if we define  $s: \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}] \rightarrow A$  by  $s(x) = a_+$ ,  $s(y) = a_-$ ,  $s(z) = a_0$ , then  $s(T(L)) = P_A(L)$  follows for all link diagrams  $L$  by uniqueness, since both  $sT$  and  $P_A$  satisfy the same skein invariance with respect to  $a_+, a_-, a_0$ .

The existence of  $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$  will be proved in § 6, after some preliminaries on Hecke algebras in the next two paragraphs.