

7. Infinité Sequences

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **20 (1974)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$f(x) = x$ is a continuous function which is infinite at $x = x_0$. On the other hand, if x_0 were finite then x_0 is infinitely close to some standard number y_0 , and since (7) fails $y_0 \notin S$. Thus the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x-y_0}$ is continuous on S (the denominator can't be zero for $x \in S$ because $y_0 \notin S$); moreover, $f(x_0)$ is infinite since $x_0 - y_0$ is a non-zero infinitesimal.

It is known in the study of the topology of the real line that a necessary and sufficient condition for a set S to have the property that all continuous functions on it be bounded is that S be compact. We've just shown that (7) is also necessary and sufficient, so this establishes the following theorems.

THEOREM 6.5. A set $S \subseteq R$ is compact if and only if every point of S^* is infinitely close to a point of S .

THEOREM 6.6 If the standard function $f(x)$ is continuous on a standard compact set S , then $f(x)$ is bounded there.

It turns out that in applying the methods of Non-standard Analysis to the subject of General Topology, the characterization of compactness given by Theorem 6.5 still holds.

The theorem below gives a very nice characterization of the notion of a uniformly continuous function. We shall not deny you the pleasure of trying to prove it yourself. The proof of Theorem 6.1 should provide the inspiration.

THEOREM 6.7. A standard function is uniformly continuous on the standard set S if and only if $x \approx y$ implies $f^*(x) \approx f^*(y)$ for all $x, y \in S^*$.

Using the above theorem we can quickly dispatch the following.

THEOREM 6.8. A standard function f continuous on a compact standard set S is uniformly continuous on S .

PROOF. Let $x, y \in S^*$ be given such that $x \approx y$. By compactness of S there exists $x_0 \in S$ such that $x \approx x_0$. Since $\approx$ is an equivalence relation $x \approx x_0 \approx y$. Now by continuity $f^*(x) \approx f(x_0) \approx f^*(y)$, therefore $f^*(x) \approx f^*(y)$.

7. INFINITE SEQUENCES

An infinite sequence $\{a_n\}$ can be thought of as a function from N into R . Accordingly the Main Theorem provides for an extension function from N^* into R^* . Put differently, after we exhaust all the terms with finite

subscripts, the sequence continues on with infinite subscripts as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cc} \underbrace{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \dots}_{\text{terms with}} & \underbrace{\dots a_{\alpha-1}, a_{\alpha}, a_{\alpha+1} \dots}_{\text{terms with}} \\ \text{finite} & \text{infinite} \\ \text{subscripts} & \text{subscripts} \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that the sequence

$$0, 0, \dots, 0 \dots$$

continues to have the value 0 when we look at its extension because the statement

$$(\forall x) (x \in N \rightarrow a_x = 0)$$

is true in R and therefore in R^* . Likewise the sequence

$$1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots$$

continues to alternate, and the sequence of primes $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, \dots$ when extended “enumerates” the primes of N^* .

Various properties of standard sequences can be characterized in terms of what happens to the terms with infinite subscripts (intuitively—when you get out to infinity).

In what follows $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ will be standard sequences and a, b will be standard numbers. The proof of the following theorem runs along lines which by now should be familiar to you.

THEOREM 7.1.

- (i) $\{a_n\}$ is bounded iff a_{α} is finite for all infinite natural numbers α .
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$ iff $a_{\alpha} \approx a$ for all infinite natural numbers α .
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ iff a_{α} is infinite for all infinite natural numbers α .
- (iv) $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence iff $a_{\alpha} \approx a_{\beta}$ for all infinite natural numbers α, β .

Example 7.1. Suppose

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b,$$

and we want to show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n) = a + b \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n b_n = a b.$$

Let α be an infinite natural number. By the above theorem we have $a_\alpha \approx a$ and $b_\alpha \approx b$. From this we see easily that a_α and b_α are finite. Now using the rules given in Section 2 for manipulating the $\approx$ symbol,

$$a_\alpha + b_\alpha \approx a + b \text{ and } a_\alpha b_\alpha \approx a b.$$

Thus by the above theorem, the desired results are established.

Example 7.2. Suppose we wanted to calculate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^2 - n) = ?$$

We can proceed directly—let α be an arbitrary infinite natural number, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 - \alpha &= \alpha(\alpha - 1) = (\text{infinite})(\text{infinite}) \\ &= \text{infinite} \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^2 - n) = \infty.$$

8. INFINITELY FINE PARTITIONS OF AN INTERVAL

Consider the familiar process of partitioning an interval $[a, b]$ into n subintervals of equal length by means of the partition points

$$a = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n = b.$$

If we let a_i^j denote the i^{th} partition point when the interval is divided into j subintervals of equal length, it is easily seen that

$$a_i^j = a + \left(\frac{b-a}{j} \right) i.$$

Now the right side of this expression is a function from $I \times I$ into R , where $I \subseteq R$ is the set of integers. By the Main Theorem this function extends to a function from $I^* \times I^*$ into R^* . We continue to use a_i^j for the image under this extended function. If we let α be a fixed infinite natural number, then for $0 \leq i \leq \alpha$, a_i^α must lie in the interval $[a, b]^*$. Note that the i^{th} sub-

interval $[a_i^\alpha, a_{i+1}^\alpha]$ has the infinitesimal $\frac{b-a}{\alpha}$ as its length. Two such intervals

can intersect only if they have an end point in common, and the intersection is that end point. Each partition point a_i^α (other than a, b) has an immediately