Section 1. Elementary properties and inequalities

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **12 (1966)**

Heft 4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

This is done by considering in detail some classical L^p operators. Related references are contained in Section 5.

I would like to acknowledge that much of this development was contained in my Ph. D. Thesis obtained at Washington University in St. Louis under the direction of Professor Guido Weiss. My thanks go to Professor Weiss and to Professor Mitchell Taibleson for their many helpful suggestions in the preparation of this paper. Professor Antoni Zygmund suggested the present expository form of the $L(p, q)$ space results.

Section 1. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES AND INEQUALITIES

We consider only complex-valued, measurable functions defined on a measure space (M, m). The measure m is assumed to be non-negative and totally σ-finite. We assume the functions f are finite valued a.e. and, for some $y > 0$, $m(E_y) < \infty$, where $E_y = E_y[f] = \{x \in M : |f(x)| > y\}$. As usual, we identity functions which are equal a.e.

The *distribution function of* f is defined by $\lambda(y) = \lambda_f(y) = m(E_y)$, $y > 0$. $\lambda(y)$ is non-negative, non-increasing and continuous from the right. The *non-increasing rearrangement of* f *onto* $(0, \infty)$ is defined by $f^*(t) = \inf\{y > 0 : \lambda_f(y) \leqq t\}$, $t > 0$. Since $\lambda_f(y) < \infty$ for some $y > 0$ and f is finite valued a.e. we have that $\lambda_f(y) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$. It follows that $f^*(t)$ is well defined for $t > 0$. $f^*(t)$ is clearly non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$. If $\lambda_f(y)$ is continuous and strictly decreasing then $f^*(t)$ is the inverse function of $\lambda_f(y)$.

It follows immediately from the definition of $f^*(t)$ that

(1.1) $$f^*(\lambda_f(y)) \leqq y\,.$$

Since $\lambda_f(y)$ is continuous from the right we have

(1.2) $$\lambda_f(f^*(t)) \leqq t\,.$$

Inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be used to prove two elementary properties of f^*.

(1.3) $f^*(t)$ *is continuous from the right.*

Proof. We have $f^*(t) \geqq f^*(t+h)$ for all $h > 0$. If there exists y such that $f^*(t) > y > f^*(t+h)$ for all $h > 0$, then, using (1.2), we have $\lambda_f(y) \leqq \lambda_f(f^*(t+h)) \leqq t + h$ for all $h > 0$. That is, $\lambda_f(y) \leqq t$. It follows that $f^*(t) \leqq y$, which is a contradiction.

(1.4) $$\lambda_{f^*}(y) = \lambda_f(y) \textit{ for all } y > 0\,.$$

Proof. $\lambda_{f^*}(y)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set of points $t > 0$ for which $f^*(t) > y$. Since f^* is non-increasing we have

(*) $$\lambda_{f^*}(y) = \sup\{t > 0 : f^*(t) > y\}.$$

We see from (*) that $f^*(\lambda_f(y)) \leqq y$ implies $\lambda_f(y) \geqq \lambda_{f^*}(y)$.

If $t > \lambda_{f^*}(y)$, then (*) implies $f^*(t) \leqq y$. Hence, $\lambda_f(y) \leqq \lambda_f(f^*(t)) \leqq t$. It follows that $\lambda_f(y) \leqq \lambda_{f^*}(y)$ and (1.4) is proved.

By a simple function we mean a function which can be written in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j\,\chi_{E_j}(x)\,,$$

where $c_1, \ldots, c_N$ are complex numbers, $E_1, \ldots, E_N$ are pairwise disjoint sets of finite measure and $\chi_E(x)$ denotes the characteristic function of the set E. For such a function let $c_1^*, \ldots, c_N^*$ be a rearrangement of the numbers $|c_1|, \ldots, |c_N|$ such that $c_1^* \geqq c_2^* \geqq \ldots \geqq c_N^* \geqq 0$. Then

$$f^*(t) = \begin{cases} c_1^* & 0 < t < m(E_1) \\ c^* & \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} m(E_k) \leqq t < \sum_{k=1}^{j} m(E_k), \quad j = 2, \ldots, N \\ 0 & t \geqq \sum_{k=1}^{N} m(E_k)\,. \end{cases}$$

It is very useful to note

(1.5) *If* $f(x)$ *is a non-negative simple function, then we can write* $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(x)$, *where* $f_j(x)$ *is a non-negative function with exactly one positive value and* $f^*(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j^*(t)$.

Proof. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j\,\chi_{E_j}(x)$, where $E_1, \ldots, E_N$ are pairwise disjoint and $c_1 > \ldots > c_N > c_{N+1} = 0$. Let $F_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{j} E_k$ and $\alpha_j = c_j - c_{j+1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Set $f_j(x) = \alpha_j\,\chi_{F_j}(x)$ and we are done.

Consideration of the functions $f(x) = 1-x$ and $g(x) = x$, $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$, shows that we do not always have $(f+g)^*(t) \leqq f^*(t) + g^*(t)$. However,

$$(f+g)^*(t_1+t_2) \leqq f^*(t_1) + g^*(t_2), \qquad t_1, t_2 > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Proof. Since

$$\{x \in M : |f(x) + g(x)| > f^*(t_1) + g^*(t_2)\}$$
$$\subset \{x \in M : |f(x)| > f^*(t_1)\} \cup \{x \in M : |g(x)| > g^*(t_2)\}$$

we have $\lambda_{f+g}(f^*(t_1) + g^*(t_2)) \leqq \lambda_f(f^*(t_1)) + \lambda_g(g^*(t_2)) \leqq t_1 + t_2$. This implies (1.6).

The *Lorentz space* $L(p, q)$ is the collection of all f such that $\|f\|^*_{pq} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|^*_{pq} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q}{p}\int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} f^*(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}, & 0 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^*(t), & 0 < p \leqq \infty, \quad q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

The case $p = \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ is not of interest since $\int_0^\infty [f^*(t)]^q \, dt/t < \infty$ implies $f = 0$ a.e.

Since f and f^* have the same distribution function we have $\|f\|^*_{pp} = (\int_M |f(x)|^p \, dm(x))^{1/p}$. Hence, L (p, p) is the familiar L^p space on (M, m).

Since f^* is essentially the inverse function of λ_f,

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^*(t) = \sup_{y>0} y[\lambda_f(y)]^{1/p}. \tag{1.7}$$

$L(p, \infty)$ plays an important role in analysis and is often called weak L^p. L^p and weak L^p, as well as all $L(p, q)$ which have the same first index p, are related by

$$\|f\|^*_{pq_2} \leqq \|f\|^*_{pq_1}, \qquad 0 < q_1 \leqq q_2 \leqq \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

Proof. In case $q_2 = \infty$ we have, since $f^*(t)$ is non-increasing,

$$t^{1/p} f^*(t) = f^*(t) \left(\frac{q_1}{p}\int_0^t y^{(q_1/p)-1} \, dy\right)^{1/q_1}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{q_1}{p} \int_0^t [y^{1/p} f^*(y)]^{q_1} \, dy/y\right)^{1/q_1}.$$

The result follows immediately.

In case $q_2 < \infty$ it is sufficient to prove the inequality for simple functions since we can clearly find simple functions $f_n(t)$ such that $0 \leq f_n \nearrow f^*$ and apply the monotone convergence theorem.

If f is a simple function we have $f^*(t) = c_k$ for $a_{k-1} \leq t < a_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, N$, where $c_1 > c_2 > \ldots > c_N > 0$ and $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_N$. Then $\|f\|^*_{pq} = (\sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k^q (a_k^{q/p} - a_{k-1}^{q/p}))^{1/q}$. By setting $d_k = c_k^{q_2}$, $b_k = a_k^{q_2/p}$ and $\theta = q_1/q_2$ we see that (1.8) is a consequence of

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{N} d_k (b_k - b_{k-1}) \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} d_k^\theta (b_k^\theta - b_{k-1}^\theta)\right)^{1/\theta},$$

for $\infty > d_1 > d_2 > \ldots > 0$, $0 = b_0 < b_1 < \ldots < \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$.

The proof of (*) is by finite induction. (*) is obviously true (with equality) for $N = 1$. Assume (*) is true for N and consider

$$\varphi(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} d_k^\theta (b_k^\theta - b_{k-1}^\theta) + x^\theta (b_{N+1} - b_N)\right)^{1/\theta}$$
$$- \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} d_k (b_k - b_{k-1}) + x (b_{N+1} - b_N)\right), \qquad 0 \leq x \leq d_N.$$

We must show that $\varphi(d_{N+1}) \geq 0$. We have $\varphi(0) \geq 0$ and $\varphi(d_N) \geq 0$ by our induction hypothesis, since $\varphi(0) \geq 0$ is exactly (*) and $\varphi(d_N)$ is (*) with b_N replaced by b_{N+1}. A simple calculation shows that $\varphi''(x) \leq 0$ for $x > 0$. Hence, $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq d_N$. Since $0 < d_{N+1} < d_N$ this completes the proof.

If χ_E is the characteristic function of a set of finite measure then $\|\chi_E\|^*_{pq} = [m(E)]^{1/p}$ for all p, q. This implies that inequality (1.8) is best possible. Shorter proofs can be used to obtain $\|f\|^*_{pq_2} \leq B \|f\|^*_{pq_1}$, $q_1 < q_2$. For example,

$$\left(\frac{q_2}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} f^*(t)]^{q_2} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1/q_2} \leq \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [f^*(2^{k-1})]^{q_2} \left[\frac{q_2}{p} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} t^{(q_2/p)-1} dt\right]\right)^{q_1/q_2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [f^*(2^{k-1})]^{q_1} 2^{kq_1/p}$$

$$\leqq B\frac{q_1}{p}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{2^{k-2}}^{2^{k-1}}[t^{1/p}f^*(f)]^{q_1}\frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= B\left[\|f\|^*_{pq_1}\right]^{q_1}.$$

(1.8) clearly implies $L(p, q_1) \subset L(p, q_2)$, $0 < q_1 \leqq q_2 \leqq \infty$. If the measure space (M, m) contains a countably infinite collection of pairwise disjoint sets of finite non-zero measure it is easy to construct a simple function f which belongs to $L(p, q_1)$ but does not belong to $L(p, q_2)$ for any given p and $q_1 < q_2$.

$L(p, q)$ spaces with different first indices are related only in special cases. For example, if $m(M) < \infty$, $L(p_2, q_2) \subset L(p_2, \infty) \subset L(p_1, q_1)$ for $p_1 \leqq p_2$. If $m(E) \geqq 1$ for every measurable set $E \subset M$ with $m(E) > 0$, then $L(p_1, q_1) \subset L(p_1, \infty) \subset L(p_2, q_2)$ for $p_1 \leqq p_2$.

(1.8) and the following inequalities are fundamental to the study of $L(p, q)$ spaces.

A function $\varphi(x)$ defined on an interval of the real line is said to be *convex* if for every pair of points P_1, P_2 on the curve $y = \varphi(x)$ the points of the arc $P_1 P_2$ are below, or on, the chord $P_1 P_2$. For example, x^r, $r \geqq 1$, is convex in $(0, \infty)$ and e^x is convex in $(-\infty, \infty)$. We will need Jensen's integral inequality. (See [32, Vol. I, p. 24].)

THEOREM. (Jensen): *Suppose* $\varphi(u)$ *is convex in an interval* $\alpha \leqq u \leqq \beta$, $\alpha \leqq f(x) \leqq \beta$ *in* $a \leqq x \leqq b$ *and that* $p(x)$ *is non-negative with* $\int_a^b p(x)\,dx \neq 0$. *Then*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\int_a^b f(x)\,p(x)\,dx}{\int_a^b p(x)\,dx}\right) \leqq \frac{\int_a^b \varphi(f(x))\,p(x)\,dx}{\int_a^b p(x)\,dx},$$

where all integrals in question are assumed to exist and be finite.

Proof. Let $\gamma = \int_a^b fp\,dx/\int_a^b p\,dx$. Then $\alpha \leqq \gamma \leqq \beta$. Let us first suppose that $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$, and let k be the slope of a supporting line of φ through the point $(\gamma, \varphi(\gamma))$. Then since φ is convex, we have

$$(*) \qquad \varphi(u)-\varphi(\gamma) \geqq k(u-\gamma), \quad \alpha \leqq u \leqq \beta.$$

Replacing u by $f(x)$ in (*), multiplying both sides by $p(x)$, and integrating over $a \leqq x \leqq b$, we obtain

$$\int_a^b \varphi(f(x))\,p(x)\,dx - \varphi(\gamma)\int_a^b p(x)\,dx \geqq k\left\{\int_a^b f(x)p(x)\,dx - \gamma\int_a^b p(x)\,dx\right\} = 0,$$

which is the desired inequality. If $\gamma = \beta$, then $f(x) = \beta$ at a.e. point at which $p(x) > 0$ and the inequality is obvious. Similarly if $\gamma = \alpha$.

THEOREM (Hardy): *If* $q \geqq 1$, $r > 0$ *and* $f \geqq 0$, *then*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t f(y)\,dy\right]^q t^{-r-1}\,dt\right)^{1/q} \leqq \frac{q}{r}\left(\int_0^\infty [yf(y)]^q y^{-r-1}\,dy\right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left[\int_t^\infty f(y)\,dy\right]^q t^{r-1}\,dt\right)^{1/q} \leqq \frac{q}{r}\left(\int_0^\infty [yf(y)]^q y^{r-1}\,dy\right)^{1/q}.$$

Proof. The technique of the proof is to write $[\int_0^t f(x)\,dy]^q$ as $[\int_0^t f(x)\,y^{-\alpha}\,y^{\alpha}\,dy]^q$ and apply Jensen's inequality to the measure $y^{\alpha}\,dy$. We obtain an inequality of the form

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t f(y)\,dy\right]^q t^{-r-1}\,dt\right)^{1/q} \leqq C(\alpha)\left(\int_0^\infty [yf(y)]^q y^{-r-1}\,dy\right)^{1/q}.$$

α is then chosen so that $C(\alpha)$ is minimal. In this case $\alpha = (r/q)-1$ is the best choice.

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t f(y)\,dy\right]^q t^{-r-1}\,dt\right)^{1/q}$$

$$= \frac{q}{r}\left(\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{r}{q}t^{-r/q}\int_0^t f(y)\,y^{-(r/q)+1}\,y^{(r/q)-1}\,dy\right]^q t^{-1}\,dt\right)^{1/q}$$

which, by Jensen's inequality, is majorized by

$$\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)^{1-1/q}\left(\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t (f(y)\,y^{-(r/q)+1})^q\,y^{(r/q)-1}\,dy\right] t^{-(r/q)-1}\,dt\right)^{1/q}.$$

After applying Fubini's Theorem we see that the last expression is equal to

$$\frac{q}{r}\Big(\int_0^\infty [yf(y)]^q y^{-r-1}\,dy\Big)^{1/q}.$$

The proof of the second inequality is the same except that r is replaced by $-r$.

$$\int_E |f(x)g(x)|\,dm(x) \leqq \int_0^{m(E)} f^*(t)\,g^*(t)\,dt. \tag{1.9}$$

Proof. We may assume f and g are non-negative simple functions. We then write $f = \Sigma f_j$ and $g = \Sigma g_k$ as in (1.5). (1.9) is clearly true for the functions $f_j\, g_k$ and the result follows.

Finally, let us note

$$\frac{1}{y}\int_0^y g(t)\,dt \leqq \frac{1}{x}\int_a^x g(t)\,dt \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leqq y, \tag{1.10}$$

where g (t) *is non-negative and non-increasing on* t > 0.

(1.10) is geometrically obvious.

Section 2. Topological properties

(1.6) implies that $f+g \in L(p, q)$ if $f, g \in L(p, q)$. Since $\|\cdot\|^*_{pq}$ is positive homogeneous we see that $L(p, q)$ is a linear space. $\|\cdot\|^*_{pq}$ leads to a topology on $L(p, q)$ such that $L(p, q)$ is a topological vector space. $f_n \to f \in L(p, q)$ in this topology if and only if $\|f - f_n\|^*_{pq} \to 0$. We shall see that this space is metrizable.

For p, q fixed we define two analogues of f^*. Choose r such that $0 < r \leqq 1$, $r \leqq q$ and $r < p$. Let

$$f^{**}(t) = f^{**}(t, r) = \begin{cases} \sup\limits_{m(E)\geqq t} \Big(\dfrac{1}{m(E)}\displaystyle\int_E |f(x)|^r\,dm(x)\Big)^{1/r}, & t \leqq m(M) \\[2ex] \Big(\dfrac{1}{t}\displaystyle\int_M |f(x)|^r\,dm(x)\Big)^{1/r}, & t > m(M). \end{cases}$$

Consider $(f^*)^{**}(t)$. Since any g^{**} is non-negative and non-increasing we can use (1.9) and (1.10) to see that