

On the origin of some Eohellenic ophiolites : reply

Autor(en): **Clift, Peter D.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae**

Band (Jahr): **91 (1998)**

Heft 3

PDF erstellt am: **22.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-168435>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

regional rotations into consideration, the shear-fabric data shown by Clift & Dixon (1998) indicate that the Migdalitsa Ophiolite was probably derived from an ocean basin that was located palaeogeographically about to the north-west of the Pelagonian terrane, where, according to recent and latest reconstructions, the Vardar ocean evolved (Stampfli et al. 1991; Stampfli & Mosar 1998; Stampfli et al. 1998). Palaeogeographic evidence is lacking to support Clift & Dixon's conclusion that the Migdalitsa Ophiolite was derived from the Pindos oceanic suture. This critique is neither directed towards Clift's and Dixon's interpretation of their geochemical data nor in principle towards their plate tectonic model.

REFERENCES

- BERNOULLI, D. & LAUBSCHER, H. 1972: The Palinspastic Problem of the Hellenides. *Eclogae geol. Helv.* 65, 107–118.
- BAUMGARTNER, P.O. 1985: Jurassic sedimentary evolution and nappe emplacement in the Argolis peninsula (Peloponnesus, Greece). *Mem. Soc. Helv. Sci. Nat.*, 99, Birkhäuser, Basel, 111 pp.
- CLIFT, P.D., DIXON, J.E. 1998: Jurassic ridge collapse, subduction initiation and ophiolite obduction in the southern Greek Tethys. *Eclogae geol. Helv.* 91, 123–138.
- DERCOURT, J. 1972: The Canadian cordillera, the Hellenides and the Sea floor spreading theory. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 9, 709–743.
- JACOBSHAGEN, V. 1979: Structure and geotectonic evolution of the Hellenides. *Proceed. VI Colloquium Geol. Aegean Region*, Athens, 1977, 3, 1355–1367.
- RISCH, H. & ROEDER, D. 1976: Die cohellenische Phase, Definition und Interpretation. *Z. dtsch. geol. Ges.* 127, 133–145.
 - DÜRR, S., KOCKEL, F., KOPP, K.O. & KOWALCZYK, G. 1978: Structure and geodynamic evolution of the Aegean region. In: Closs, H., Roeder, D., Schmidt, K. (eds.) *Alps, Apennines, Hellenides*. Schweizerbart, Stuttgart, 53–564.
 - KISSEL, C. & LAJ, C. 1988: The Tertiary geodynamical evolution of the Aegean arc: a paleomagnetic reconstruction. *Tectonophysics* 146, 183–201.
 - MERCIER, J. 1966: Paléogéographie, orogenèse, métamorphisme et magmatisme des Zones internes des Hellénides en Macédoine (Grèce). Vue d'ensemble. *Bull. Soc. géol. France* (7), 8, 1020–1049.
 - SCHERREIKS, R. 1998: The evolution of a passive margin in response to plate tectonics, eustacy, and an advancing ophiolite nappe (Jurassic, NE-Evvoia, Greece). 88. Jahrestagung Geol. Verein., Bern, Feb., 1998, *Terra Nostra* 98/1, Alfred Wegener Stiftung, Köln, 72–73.
 - STAMPFLI, G. & MOSAR, J. 1998: The plate tectonics of the western Tethyan regions. 88. Jahrestagung Geol. Verein., Bern, Feb., 1998, *Terra Nostra* 98/1, Alfred Wegener Stiftung, Köln, 74–75.
 - MARCOUX, J. & BAUD, A. 1991: Tethyan margins in space and time. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 87, 373–409. - STAMPFLI, G.M., MOSAR, J., DE BONO, A. & VAVASSIS, I. 1998: Late Paleozoic, Early Mesozoic Plate Tectonics of the Western Tethys. Proceed. 8th Intern. Cong. Patras, May 1998, *Bull. Geol. Soc. of Greece*, 32/1, 113–120.
 - TURNELL, H.B. 1988: Mesozoic evolution of Greek microplates from Paleomagnetic measurements. *Tectonophysics* 155, 307–316.
 - VRIELYNCK, B. 1982: Evolution paléogéographique et structurale de la presqu'île d'Argolide (Grèce). *Rév. Géol. dyn. Géograph. Phys.* 23, 277–288.
 - ZIMMERMAN, J. 1972: Emplacement of the Vournos ophiolitic complex, Northern Greece. *Mem. Geol. Soc. Amer.* 132, 225–239.
 - & ROSS, J.V. 1976: Structural evolution of the Vardarroot zone, northern Greece. *Bull. Geol. Soc. Amer.* 87, 1547–1550.

Reply

PETER D. CLIFT

Department of Geology and Geophysics
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

We thank Rudolph Scherreiks for his detailed comments on our recent paper and for highlighting certain areas of special tectonic significance for reconstructions of the Hellenides. He is indeed correct in saying that the origin of the Subpelagonian ophiolites remains a controversial issue and we would like to take this opportunity to clarify some of the points raised, as they are important not only to reconstructing the paleoceanography of the Neotethys, but also to understand the tectonics of ophiolite formation and obduction. Traditionally the Migdalitsa, as well as several other Hellenic Ophiolites (e.g. Pindos, Othris), have been considered as being derived from an eastern Vardar strand to the Neotethys that sutured during the Late Jurassic (Jacobshagen 1979; Vrielynck 1982; Baumgartner 1985). Alternative models have focused on the origin of the ophiolites and the timing of closure of the western Pindos and the eastern Vardar branches of the Neotethys. The confirmation of Triassic-Eocene deep water pelagic and continental margin sediments within the Pindos Zone in the Peloponnesos (Fleury 1980; Green 1983; Degnan & Robertson 1991, 1998), as well as in the Pindos Mountains of Northern Greece (Dio Dendra Group; Jones & Robertson 1991), indicates the presence of a deep water basin west of the Pelagonian Platform until final continental collision in the Paleogene. This is incompatible with Stampfli & Mosar's (1998) conclusion that suturing occurred in the Carnian (M. Triassic). Moreover, the identification of oceanic basalts of Late Cretaceous age in the Adheres Peninsula of southern Argolis, in contrast to the Jurassic Ophiolites of central and northern Argolis (Clift & Robertson 1989) and possibly also on the island of Evvia (Robertson 1990) demonstrate that a true oceanic basin remained open east of the Pelagonian Platform until the Eocene (cf., Stampfli et al. 1991; Stampfli & Mosar 1998).

45° rotation of peninsular Greece during the Neogene by bending of the Aegean Arc (Kissel & Laj 1988; Morris 1995) has accentuated the angular difference between the strike of the Hellenides and their continuation in western Turkey. However, the Aegean bend in the Alpine fold belts is not entirely a Neotectonic feature and reflects a real change in paleogeography, most markedly shown by the different ages of ophiolite obduction in Greece (Late Jurassic) and Turkey (Late Cretaceous). This difference was not recognized by Stampfli et al. (1991) and means that the Pindos suture lay SW not S of the Pelagonian Platform in southern Greece. This difference is important because the 90–107° rotation of the Argolis (Morris 1995) would restore a SSW-directed ophiolite obduction vec-

tor towards the ESE, almost along the strike of the restored pre-Neogene Pelagonian, not towards the Vardar suture as suggested by a simple internal origin. The independent rotation of Argolis relative to the rest of the Pelagonian Zone is clearly demonstrated by the WNW-vergent Tertiary thrusting in Argolis (Clift 1992) compared to the overall SW vergence of this age elsewhere. As a result the simple interpretation of a SW or SSW obducting Migdalitsa Ophiolite does not necessarily translate into Vardar origin for this body. The structural data from Argolis alone are therefore equivocal in showing the root zone of the ophiolite.

At present there are inadequate radiometric or biostratigraphic age data to conclusively prove whether or not eastern or western ophiolites were formed at the same time, although, the Callovian age of the sedimentary cover to the Migdalitsa Ophiolite (Baumgartner 1985) places this unit closer to the age of eruption of the western Subpelagonian ophiolites and is somewhat earlier than the Kimmeridgian Sithonia (Jung & Mussallam 1985) and Oxfordian Guevgeli Ophiolites (Danelian et al. 1996) of the Vardar Zone. On balance we conclude that the Migdalitsa Ophiolite is most likely to be derived from the Pindos rather than Vardar suture, however we accept that more structural, radiometric and paleomagnetic data are needed from additional parts of the Hellenides. Our paper should be seen as step in this direction.

Turning to the more detailed local comments, Figure 2 was not labeled as a road cut section because it is a simplified, not-to-scale diagram showing our proposed tectonic stratigraphy for the Argolis and does not represent any particular exposure. The SSW direction of obduction is derived from the asymmetric fold data of the radiolarian cover. The same vector is deduced from slickensides and shear planes within volcanics in the central Migdalitsa area. These units are tilted gently towards the south, which would cause the poles to the shear planes to migrate to the north. Thus correcting for this effect would only make the trend shown in Figure 12A more pronounced. The SSW obduction vector for the central Migdalitsa region is corroborated by the independent work of Matthai (1989). Although the report by Scherreihs (1998) of a north to south direction of obduction in Evvia is more in accord with a Vardar origin for this ophiolite his observation cannot be directly interpreted without the benefit of paleomagnetic data, especially as this area lies within the zone of Neotectonic clockwise block rotation proposed by McKenzie & Jackson (1983). In contrast to the abstracts and short papers noted by Scherreihs (e.g., Stampfli et al. 1998) most comprehensive, recent syntheses (e.g., Doutsos 1993; Smith 1993) show a clear eastward thrusting of the western Subpelagonian ophiolites during the Jurassic. Most clearly the high temperature shear indicators within the sole of the Pindos Ophiolite, which must be Jurassic and obduction related, are towards the present NE (Jones et al. 1991) and thus offers no support to a Vardar-derived origin. On the basis of these data it seems likely that most of the Subpelagonian Ophiolites are of Pindos not Vardar origin of which the Migdalitsa forms the southernmost

example. However, we would certainly accept that there is still need for further geochronologic, structural and paleomagnetic data in the Hellenic Ophiolites and their associated units.

REFERENCES

- BAUMGARTNER, P.O. 1985: Jurassic sedimentary evolution and nappe emplacement in the Argolis Peninsula (Peloponnesus, Greece). *Mem. Soc. Helv. Sci. Nat.* 111.
- CLIFT, P.D. 1992: Collision tectonics of the Greek Neotethys. *Geol. Rdsch.* 81 (3), 669–679.
- & Robertson, A.H.F. 1989: Evidence of a late Mesozoic ocean basin and subduction/accretion in the southern Greek Neotethys. *Geology* 17, 559–563.
- DANELIAN, T.R., ROBERTSON, A.H.F. & DIMITRIADIS, S. 1996: Age and significance of radiolarian sediments within basic extrusives of the marginal basin Guevgueli Ophiolite (northern Greece). *Geol. Mag.* 133, 127–136.
- DEGNAN, P.J. & ROBERTSON, A.H.F. 1991: Tectonic and sedimentary evolution of the western Pindos Ocean: N.W. Peloponnesian, Greece. *Bull. Geol. Soc. Greece* 25, (1), 263–273.
- & – 1998: Mesozoic-early Tertiary passive margin evolution of the Pindos ocean (NW Peloponnesian, Greece). *Sed. Geol.* 117, 33–70.
- DOUTSOS, T., PE-PIPER, G., BORONKAY, K., & KOUKOUVELAS, I. 1993: Kinematics of the central Hellenides. *Tectonics* 12, 936–953.
- FLEURY, J.J. 1980: Les zones de Gavrovo-Tripolitza et du Pinde-Olones (Grèce continentale) et Peloponnes du Nord. Evolution d'une plate-forme et d'un bassin dans leurs cadre Alpin. *Soc. Geol. Nord.* Lille 4, 1–651.
- GREEN, T.J. 1983: The sedimentology and structure of the Pindos Zone in southern mainland Greece. Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, UK.
- JACOBSHAGEN, V. 1979: Structure and geotectonic evolution of the Hellenides. *Proceed. VI Colloquium Geol. Aegean Region, Athens, 1977*, 3, 1355–1367.
- JONES, G. & ROBERTSON, A.H.F. 1991: Tectono-stratigraphy and evolution of the Mesozoic Pindos ophiolite and related units, northwestern Greece. *J. Geol. Soc., Lond.* 148 (2), 267–288.
- & CANN, J. R. 1991: Supra-subduction zone origin of the Pindos Ophiolite, northwestern Greece. In: *Ophiolite Genesis and Evolution of the Oceanic Lithosphere* (Ed. by PETERS, T., NICOLAS, A. & COLEMAN, R. G.), Kluwer Press, 779–807.
- JUNG, D. & MUSSALLAM, K. 1985: The Sithonia Ophiolite: a fossil oceanic crust. *Ophioliti* 10, 329–342.
- KISSEL, C. & LAJ, C. 1988: The Tertiary geodynamical evolution of the Aegean arc: a paleomagnetic reconstruction Wezel, Forese-Carlo (editor) (Univ. Urbino, Ist. Geol., Urbino, Italy). *The origin and evolution of arcs. Tectonophysics* 146 (1–4), 183–201.
- MATTHAI, S.K. 1989: Kartierung und strukturgeologische Untersuchungen im Gebiet, Vothiki-Stavropodi, Argolis Halbinsel (Griechenland). Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Tübingen, Germany.
- MCKENZIE, D. & JACKSON, J. 1983: The relationship between strain rates, crustal thickening, palaeomagnetism, finite strain and fault movements within a deforming zone. *Earth Planet. Sci. Letts.* 65 (1), 182–202.
- MORRIS, A. 1995: Rotational deformation during Palaeogene thrusting and basin closure in eastern central Greece: palaeomagnetic evidence from Mesozoic carbonates. *Geophys. J. Int.* 121 (3), 827–847.
- ROBERTSON, A.H.F. 1990: New evidence of Late Cretaceous oceanic crust emplaced over an Early Tertiary foreland basin: Euboea, Eastern Greece. *Terra Nova* 2, 333–339.
- SCHERREIKS, R. 1998: The evolution of a passive margin in response to plate tectonics, eustacy, and an advancing ophiolite nappe (Jurassic, NE-Evvoia, Greece). *88. Jahrestagung Geol. Verein.*, Bern, Feb., 1998, *Terra Nostra* 98/1, Alfred Wegener Stiftung, 72–73.
- SMITH, A.G. 1993: Tectonic significance of the Hellenic-Dinaric ophiolites. In: *Magmatic Processes and Plate Tectonics* (Ed. By PRICHARD, H. M., ALABASTER, T., HARRIS, N.B.W. & NEARY, C. R.), *Geol. Soc. London, spec. publ.*, 76, 213–243.

- WOODCOCK, N.H., & NAYLOR, M.A. 1979: The structural evolution of a Mesozoic continental margin. *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.* 136, 589–603.
 - STAMPFLI, G., MARCOUX, J., & BAUD, A. 1991: Tethyan margins in space and time. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 87, 373–409.
 - & MOSAR, J. 1998: The plate tectonics of the western Tethyan regions. 88. Jahrestagung Geol. Verein., Bern, Feb., 1998, *Terra Nostra* 98/1, Alfred Wegener Stiftung, 74–75.
- STAMPFLI, G.M., MOSAR, J., DE BONO, A., & VAVASSIS, I. 1998: Late Paleozoic, Early Mesozoic Plate Tectonics of the Western Tethys. Proceedings 8th Intern. Cong. Patras, May 1998, *Bull. Geol. Soc. Greece*, 32/1, 113–120.
 - VRIELYNCK, B. 1982: Evolution paléogéographique et structurale de la presqu'île d'Argolide (Grèce). *Rév. Géol. dyn. Géograph. Phys.* 23, 277–288.