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# Bilinear Forms on $\boldsymbol{k}$-Vectorspaces of Denumerable Dimension in the Case of char (k)=2 

by Herbert Gross and Robert D. Engle, Bozeman (Mont.)

Introduction. The classification, up to metric isomorphism, of finite dimensional $k$-vector spaces $E$, supplied with a symmetric bilinear form $\Phi: E \times E \rightarrow k$, is a rather difficult problem; it has been solved for particular fields $k$, such as the field of rationals, reals, $p$-adic numbers or function fields in one variable over a finite constant field. Kaplansky has shown that for $k$-vector spaces ( $E, \Phi$ ) of a denumerable (algebraic) dimension, these problems vanish in a large number of cases, $E$ admitting an orthonormal basis for an extensive class of underlying fields ([4]; for an investigation of such fields see [3]). In the denumerable case, an exceptional role is once more played by the fields of characteristic 2 . For perfect fields of characteristic 2 Kaplansky has proved the following

Theorem. For every $\mathrm{N}_{0}$-dimensional $k$-space $(~ E, \Phi), \Phi$ a non degenerate bilinear form, precisely one of the following four possibilities holds: (1) $E$ possesses an orthonormal basis, (2) $E$ possesses a symplectic basis, (3) $E$ is an orthogonal sum $E=E_{0} \oplus L$ where $E_{0}$ is spanned by a symplectic basis and $L$ is one-dimensional, (4) $E$ is an orthogonal sum $E_{0} \oplus L$, where $E_{0}$ has a symplectic basis and $L$ is two-dimensional, spanned by an orthogonal basis ([4] p. 15). Kaplansky has asked what becomes of this theorem if the assumption that every element in the coefficient field be a square, is dropped.

In the following, we investigate the case of an arbitrary field of characteristic 2 . Complete results as regards the classification problem are obtained for all fields $k$ of finite dimension over their subfields $k^{2}$ (Theorem 2). As a sideresult we obtain an invariant characterization of the $k$-spaces $(E, \Phi)$ of denumerable dimension which admit of orthogonal bases, $k$ an arbitrary field of characteristic 2 (Theorem 3).

## I. Notations and Results

Let $k$ be a commutative field. A $k$-vector space $(E, \Phi)$ is a $k$-vector space $E$ supplied with a symmetric bilinear form $\Phi: E \times E \rightarrow k .(E, \Phi)$ is called semisimple if $E \cap E^{\perp}=(0)$. In the following, an isomorphism $(E, \Phi) \cong(G, \psi)$ is a vector space isomorphism $\vartheta: E \rightarrow G$ such that $\psi(\vartheta x, \vartheta y)=\Phi(x, y)$

[^0]for all $x, y \in E$. If there is no risk of confusion, we simply talk about $E$ instead of $(E, \Phi)$ and, we write $(x, y)$ and $\|x\|$ respectively for $\Phi(x, y)$ and the "length" $\Phi(x, x)$ of $x \in E$. A subspace $H$ of $(E, \Phi)$ is always considered as being supplied with the restriction $\Phi /_{H}$ of $\Phi$ to $H$. The radical of $H(\operatorname{rad} H)$ is defined as $H \cap H^{\perp}$. A subspace $H \subset E$ is said to be closed if $H^{\perp \perp}=H$. If $H$ is a closed subspace of $(E, \Phi)$ and $F$ a finite dimensional subspace of $(E, \Phi)$ then $H+F$ is closed.
2. The following lemma, proved by Kaplansky in [4], will be used in the proof of Lemma 4 below. Lemma: Let ( $E, \Phi$ ) be a semi-simple $k$-vector space of infinite dimension over an arbitrary field $k$. Let furthermore $F$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $E$, spanned by the basis $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, V$ a subspace of $E$ with $V^{\perp}=(0)$. Then there exists a vector $x \in E$ with $x \in V, x \notin V \cap F$ and $\Phi\left(x, f_{i}\right)=\beta_{i}$ for arbitrarily prescribed $\beta_{i} \in k$.
3. Bases being the central object below, the following notations prove convenient. If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in k$ then $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle$ is an $n$-dimensional $k$-space $(E, \Phi)$ possessing an orthogonal basis $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$ with $\left\|e_{i}\right\|=\alpha_{i}$. "P" invariably denotes a hyperbolic plane, i.e., a two-dimensional space ( $E, \Phi$ ) having a basis $e_{1}, e_{2}$ with $\left\|e_{1}\right\|=\left\|e_{2}\right\|=0$ and $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=1 . \Sigma P$ is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes (i.e., a space spanned by a symplectic basis). $\Sigma\langle\alpha\rangle$ is a space ( $E, \Phi$ ) spanned by an orthogonal basis (finite or infinite), each basis vector of length $\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$. If $\Sigma\langle\alpha\rangle$ is of denumerable dimension, we denote it by $E_{(\alpha)}$.
4. In the following investigations, $k$ will always be a field of characteristic 2 unless stated otherwise. Every such field is a vector space over its subfield $k^{2}$ of squares.
5. If $(E, \Phi)$ is a semi-simple $k$-vector space with $\operatorname{dim} E \leq \kappa_{0}$ then $E$ is an orthogonal sum $\Sigma P \oplus E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is spanned by an orthogonal basis.
6. Let $(E, \Phi)$ be a $k$-vector-space. We have $\|x+y\|=\|x\|+\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in E$ as char $k=2$. Thus, if $H$ is a subspace of $E$, then the range of the restriction $\|H\|$ is a subspace of the $k^{2}$-vector space $k$. This range will be denoted by " $\mid H \|$ " throughout. In particular, the set of all isotropic vectors $x$ in $E(\|x\|=0)$ is a vector space. This subspace of $E$ is invariably denoted by $E_{*}$. (The subspace of vectors satisfying condition ( $T$ ) in [1] p.66.) The subspaces $E_{*}, E_{*}^{\perp}, E_{*}^{\perp \perp}, \operatorname{rad} E_{*}$ etc. will play an important role since they are invariant subspaces under orthogonal transformations. We notice that $\operatorname{rad}\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right) \subset E_{*}$ by the definition of $E_{*}$, hence $\operatorname{rad}\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right) \subset \operatorname{rad}\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right) \cap E_{*}=\operatorname{rad} E_{*}$. Therefore $\operatorname{rad} E_{*}^{\perp}=\operatorname{rad} E_{*}$, the converse inclusion being trivial. This means in particular that $\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\left(=\operatorname{rad}\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right)=\left(E_{*}+E_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ is a closed space.

## II. Bases

Let us mention a few words about the fields. When describing $k$-spaces ( $E, \Phi$ ) in terms of orthogonal bases, it is clear that the non-square elements of $k$ play an important role. Let $g_{k}$ be the multiplicative group of non-zero elements in $k$ modulo square factors. If $g_{k}$ is finite, then its order is a power of 2 since every element of $g_{k}$ is of order 2 . If char $k \neq 2$ then one can find, for every natural $n$, fields with $g_{k}$ of order $2^{n}$ (even among the denumerable fields, [3]). On the other hand, if char $k=2$ then $k^{2}$ is a subfield of $k$ and the elements of $g_{k}$ are precisely the straight lines through the origin of the $k^{2}$-vector space $k$. In other words, the order of $g_{k}$ is either 1 or equal to card $(k)$. In particular, since $g_{k}$ is of order 1 for finite fields, $g_{k}$ is either of order 1 or infinite. In the following discussion of isomorphisms between $\kappa_{0}$-dimensional $k$-spaces the fields with finite dimension [ $k: k^{2}$ ] over their subfields $k^{2}$ are seen to play a special role. Since a simple characterization of all non isomorphic spaces over such fields can be given (Theorem 2), let us mention a few elementary facts about these fields.

Clearly, if $\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ is finite, then $\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ is a power of 2 . Furthermore, if $\bar{k}$ is a finite algebraic extension of $k,\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ finite, then $\left[\bar{k}: \bar{k}^{2}\right]=\left[k: k^{2}\right]\left(\left[\bar{k}: k^{2}\right]=\right.$ $=\left[\bar{k}: \bar{k}^{2}\right]\left[\bar{k}^{2}: k^{2}\right]=[\bar{k}: k]\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ and $\left.\left[\overline{k^{2}}: k^{2}\right]=[\bar{k}: k]\right)$. From this follows that $\left[\bar{k}: \bar{k}^{2}\right] \leq\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ for an arbitrary algebraic extension $\bar{k}$ of $k$. $(<$ is witnessed by the transition to the algebraic closure.) On the other hand, if $\bar{k}=k\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$, where $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ are independent transcendentals over $k$, we have $\left[\bar{k}: \bar{k}^{2}\right]=\left[k: k^{2}\right] \cdot 2^{n}$ (a basis for $\bar{k}$ over $\bar{k}^{2}$ is given by the elements $\alpha_{i} \xi_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \xi_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \ldots \xi_{n}^{\varepsilon_{n}}, \varepsilon_{j}=0,1$ and $\alpha_{i}$ running through a $k^{2}$ basis of $k$ ). In particular:

If $k$ is a field of characteristic 2 with finite $\left[k: k^{2}\right]$, then $\left[\bar{k}: \bar{k}^{2}\right]$ is finite for an arbitrary over field $\bar{k}$ of $k$, provided its transcendence degree over $k$ is finite. The fields $k$ with finite [ $k: k^{2}$ ] form thus a considerable class.

Let again $k$ be an arbitrary field of characteristic 2 . It is well known that Wirt's Cancellation Theorem does not hold for bilinear forms in the case of char $k=2$. Instead, we have the following orthogonal isomorphisms:

Lemma 1. $\langle\alpha\rangle \oplus\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \cong\langle\alpha\rangle \oplus P(0 \neq \alpha \in k, P$ a hyperbolic plane and all the sums orthogonal).

Lemma 2. $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}\right\rangle \cong\langle\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}\right\rangle$ provided that the elements $\left\{\alpha, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are independent over $k^{2}$ and span the same subspace of $k$ (over $k^{2}$ ) as the elements $\left\{\bar{\alpha}, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ (card I is finite or infinite; all sums are orthogonal).

Proofs. 1. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\langle\alpha\rangle \oplus\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle$ with $\left\|e_{i}\right\|=\alpha$. Introduce a new basis $\bar{e}_{1}, \bar{e}_{2}, \bar{e}_{3}$ by $\bar{e}_{1}=e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}, \bar{e}_{2}=e_{1}+e_{2}$, $\bar{e}_{3}=\alpha^{-1}\left(e_{2}+e_{3}\right)$.
2. Let $e_{00}, e_{0}, e_{i}(i \in I)$ be an orthogonal basis of $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}\right\rangle$ with $\left\|e_{00}\right\|=\left\|e_{0}\right\|=\alpha,\left\|e_{i}\right\|=\beta_{i}$. Since $\left\{\alpha, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\bar{\alpha}, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ span the same subspace of $k$ we have $\bar{\alpha}=\lambda_{0}^{2} \alpha+\sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}$ for suitable $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$. Since the elements $\left\{\bar{\alpha}, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are independent over $k^{2}$ we have $\lambda_{0} \neq 0$. For a fixed choice of $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ introduce the following basis

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\bar{e}_{00} & =\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\lambda_{0} \alpha} e_{00}+\left(\lambda_{0}+\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\lambda_{0} \alpha}\right) e_{0}+\sum_{2}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{i} \\
\bar{e}_{0} & = & \lambda_{0} e_{0}+\sum_{2}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{i} \\
2 \leq i \leq n: \bar{e}_{i} & =\frac{\lambda_{i} \beta_{i}}{\lambda_{0} \alpha}\left(e_{00}+e_{0}\right) & +e_{i} \\
n<i: \bar{e}_{i} & =e_{i} .
\end{array}
$$

We shall list a few consequences some of which will be of importance later.

Corollary 1. (i) $\underset{i \in I}{\oplus} E_{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \oplus \Sigma P=\oplus E_{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ (all sums orthogonal).
(ii) $\left\langle\alpha_{1} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{m} \alpha_{m}\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{2} \bar{\alpha}_{2} \ldots \bar{\alpha}_{m} \bar{\alpha}_{m}\right\rangle$ provided the elements $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ are independent over $k^{2}$ and span the same subspace of $k$ (over $k^{2}$ ) as the elements $\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{m}$.
(iii) $\underset{j=1}{\oplus}\left\langle\alpha_{j} \alpha_{j}\right\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}\right\rangle \cong \oplus_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{j} \bar{\alpha}_{j}\right\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}\right\rangle \quad$ provided the elements $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are independent over $k^{2}$ and span the same subspace of $k$ as the elements $\left\{\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{m}, \beta_{i}\right\}_{i \epsilon I}$ (card $I$ is finite or infinite, $m$ is a natural number, all sums are orthogonal).

We remark that the transformation of Lemma 2 does not lend itself to a generalization of (ii) and (iii) to the case of infinite $m$. (We have not succeded in proving or disproving the infinite analogue of (ii) by any other means; cf. Proposition 3.)

Another lemma which we shall use is the following:
Lemma 3. Let $(E, \Phi)$ be a $k$-vector space of denumerable dimension, semisimple with respect to the bilinear form $\Phi: E \times E \rightarrow k$ and $k$ a field of arbitrary characteristic. Let furthermore $R$ be a closed, totally isotropic subspace of $E\left(R^{\perp \perp}=R\right.$
and $\left.R \subset R^{\perp}\right)$. There exists a basis $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $R$ and a subspace $R^{\prime}$ of $E$ admitting an orthogonal basis $\left(r_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I}$ such that $R \oplus R^{\prime}$ decomposes into an orthogonal sum of semi-simple planes $K_{i}=k\left(r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
R \oplus R^{\prime}=\underset{i \in \boldsymbol{I}}{\oplus} K_{i} \quad \operatorname{card} I=\operatorname{dim} R=\operatorname{dim} R^{\prime}
$$

and, furthermore, such that $R \oplus R^{\prime}$ admits of an orthogonal supplement in $E: E=\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right) \oplus H, H \perp R \oplus R^{\prime}$.

In the case of char $k \neq 2$, the planes $K_{i}$ are hyperbolic and $R \oplus R^{\prime}$ thus possesses a sympletic basis (cf. Bourbaki, Formes Sesquilinéaires p. 78).

Proof. Let $S$ and $T$ be finite dimensional semi-simple subspaces with the following properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S \perp T, T \subset R^{\perp}, S=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} K_{i}, K_{i}=k\left(r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}\right) \text { and } r_{i} \in R  \tag{1}\\
(T \oplus S) \cap R=k\left(r_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\left(e_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ be some fixed basis of the space $E$ and let $e_{m}$ be the first basis vector not contained in $S \oplus T$. We construct finite dimensional spaces $K$ and $L$ in $(S \oplus T)^{\perp}$ such that $S^{\prime}=S \oplus K$ and $T^{\prime}=T \oplus L$ satisfy the properties (1) and (2) with $S^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$ in lieu of $S$ and $T$ and such that $e_{m} \in S^{\prime} \oplus T^{\prime}$. In this fashion we obtain a decomposition of $E$ of the required form:

$$
E=\cup S \oplus T=(\cup S) \oplus(\cup T), H=\cup T \text { and } R \oplus R^{\prime}=\cup S
$$

Since $S \oplus T$ is semi-simple and finite dimensional, we may decompose $e_{m}: e_{m}=e_{m}^{\prime}+e_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ with $e_{m}^{\prime} \in S \oplus T$ and $e_{m}^{\prime \prime} \perp S \oplus T$. Thus we may without loss of generality assume that $e_{m} \perp S \oplus T$.

First case. $e_{m} \in R$. Therefore $\left\|e_{m}\right\|=0$ and, since $(S \oplus T)^{\perp}$ is semisimple, there exists $r^{\prime}$ with $\left(e_{m}, r^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. The space $k\left(e_{m}, r^{\prime}\right)$ is semi-simple and we put $S^{\prime}=S+k\left(e_{m}, r^{\prime}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T$. We have to determine $\left(T^{\prime}+S^{\prime}\right) \cap R$. Let $r \in\left(T^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime}\right) \cap R, \quad r=t+s+\lambda e_{m}+\mu r^{\prime}$ with $t \in T$, $s \in S$ and $r \in R$. Since $T \subset R^{\perp}$ we obtain $0=(v, R)=(t, R)$ hence $t=0$ as $T$ is semi-simple. Therefore, (since $R \subset R^{\perp}$ ) we obtain $0=\left(r, e_{m}\right)=$ $=\mu\left(e_{m}, r^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $\mu=0$ and $v=s+\lambda e_{m}$. Since $e_{m} \epsilon R$ in our case therefore $s \in R$ i.e., $s \in S \cap R=k\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \leq n}$ by (2). Thus ( $\left.T^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime}\right) \cap R=k\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}, e_{m}\right.$ ) which, upon relabeling $e_{m}$ as $r_{n+1}$ (and $r^{\prime}$ as $r_{n+1}^{\prime}$ ), is (2). The remaining conditions are trivially satisfied.

Case 2. $e_{m} \ddagger R$ and $e_{m} \in R^{\perp}$. We first convince ourselves that $e_{m} \notin R+$ $+(S \oplus T)$; assume that $e_{m}=r+s+t$ with $r \in R, s \in S$ and $t \in T$. Since $e_{m} \perp S+T$ and $T \subset R^{\perp}$, we have in particular $0=\left(e_{m}, T\right)=(t, T)$; hence $t=0$ as $T$ is semi-simple. Since $e_{m} \in R^{\perp}$ in the present case, and $R \subset R^{\perp}$, we obtain furthermore $0=\left(e_{m}, R \cap S\right)=(s, R \cap S)$ i.e., $S \perp S \cap R$. From the explicit form of $S=\oplus k\left(r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ we see that necessarily $s \in R \cap S$. Thus $e_{m}=r+s \epsilon R$, a contradiction. Since $(R+S+T)^{\perp \perp}=$ $=R+S+T$, we conclude from $e_{m} \ddagger R+S+T$ that $(R+S+T)^{\perp} \not \subset e_{m}{ }^{\perp}$. Hence there exists a vector $t \in(R+S+T)^{\perp}=R^{\perp} \cap(S+T)^{\perp}$ with $\left(e_{m}, t\right) \neq 0$. Thus, if $\left\|e_{m}\right\|=0$ then $k\left(e_{m}, t\right)$ is a semi-simple space and we put $S^{\prime}=S, T^{\prime}=T+k\left(e_{m}, t\right)$. If, on the other hand, $\left\|e_{m}\right\| \neq 0$, we simply put and $S^{\prime}=S$ and $T^{\prime}=T+k\left(e_{m}\right)$. We have to determine $\left(T^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime}\right) \cap R$. Let, in the first case, $r \in T^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime}$ i.e., $r=s+t+\lambda e_{m}+\mu t$ with $s \in S, t \in T$ and $r \in R$. Since $e_{m} \in R^{\perp}$ and $\left\|e_{m}\right\|=0$ we find $0=\left(r, e_{m}\right)=\mu\left(t, e_{m}\right)$, therefore $\mu=0$. Since $t \in R^{\perp} \cap(S \oplus T)^{\perp}$ we then find $0=(r, t)=\lambda\left(e_{m}, t\right)$. Hence $\lambda=0$. This shows that $\left(T^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime}\right) \cap R=(T \oplus S) \cap R$. In the other case, $\left\|e_{m}\right\| \neq 0$, it is even simpler to verify that $\left(T^{\prime} \oplus S^{\prime}\right) \cap R=(T \oplus S) \cap R$. The remaining conditions (1) are trivially satisfied for $S^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$.

Case 3. $e_{m} ₫ R^{\perp}$. As in the second case one verifies that $e_{m} ₫ R^{\perp}+S+T$. Since $\left(R^{\perp}+S+T\right)^{\perp \perp}=R^{\perp}+S+T$, we conclude from $e_{m} \notin R^{\perp}+S+T$ that $\left(R^{\perp}+S+T\right)^{\perp} \nsubseteq e_{m}^{\perp}$. In other words there exists a vector $r \in\left(R^{\perp}+S+T\right)^{\perp}=R^{\perp \perp} \cap(S \oplus T)^{\perp}=R \cap(S \oplus T)^{\perp} \quad$ with $\quad\left(e_{m}, r\right) \neq 0$. Since $r \in R$ we have $\|r\|=0$ and the space $k\left(r, e_{m}\right)$ is semi-simple. We put $S^{\prime}=S \oplus k\left(r, e_{m}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T$. Upon relabeling $r$ as $r_{n+1}$ (and $e_{m}$ as $r_{n+1}^{\prime}$ ) the conditions (1) and (2) are verified as in case 1. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3 often finds application in the following situation. Suppose that $G$ is a subspace of $E$ such that the radical $R=G \cap G^{\perp}$ of $G$ happens to be a closed subspace of $E$. We then have a decomposition $E=\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right) \oplus H$, $H \perp\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, one can always find an algebraic complement $L$ of $R$ in $G$ such that $L \subset H$. For, if $L_{0}$ is some algebraic complement of $R$ in $G$ then $L_{0} \perp R$. Every vector $l_{0} \in L_{0}$ has a decomposition $l_{0}=r+r^{\prime}+h$. Since $l_{0} \perp R$ necessarily $r^{\prime}=0$. In other words, $L_{0} \subset R \oplus H$ which shows that there is a complement $L$ of $R$ in $G$ with $L \subset H$.

We are interested in decompositions of $E$ of the following sort: $E$ is an orthogonal sum $E=\oplus E_{i}$ such that the ranges $\left\|E_{i}\right\|$ of the summands are either 0 or 1-dimensional subspaces of the $k^{2}$-vector space $\|E\|$ and such that the elements spanning the non trivial $\left\|\boldsymbol{E}_{i}\right\|$ are linearly independent over $k^{2}$. In other words,

$$
E=\Sigma P \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\alpha_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\alpha_{2}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots
$$

where the $P_{s}$ are hyperbolic planes and where the field elements $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ are linearly independent over $k^{2}$. In view of Lemma 1 we may assume that the summands $\Sigma\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle$ are either of infinite dimension or of dimension $\leq 2$. Thus, collecting 1-, 2 - and $N_{0}$-dimensional summands we may rewrite the above decomposition as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\Sigma P \oplus \underset{i \in I_{1}}{\oplus} E_{\left(\beta_{i}\right)} \oplus \oplus_{i \in I_{2}}\left\langle\gamma_{i} \gamma_{i}\right\rangle \oplus \oplus \underset{i \in I_{z}}{\oplus}\left\langle\delta_{i}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the field elements $\beta_{i}, \gamma_{j}, \delta_{l}$ together are independent over $k^{2}$.
We shall determine those $k$-space ( $E, \Phi$ ) which admit of a decomposition of type (1). We first have

Proposition 1. If $E$ admits of a decomposition (1) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{*}^{\perp} \oplus E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)^{\perp} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let for every $i \in I_{1}$ the space $E_{(\beta i)}$ be spanned by the vectors $\left(e_{i \iota}\right)_{i \geq 1} \cdot\left(E_{\left.\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{\prime}\right)_{*}}\right.$ is spanned by the vectors $\left(e_{i 1}+e_{i l}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and, the orthogonal complement of $\left(E_{\left(\beta_{i}\right)}\right)_{*}$ in $E_{\left(\beta_{i}\right)}$ is (0). Let furthermore, for every $i \in I_{2}$, $\left\langle\gamma_{j} \gamma_{j}\right\rangle$ be spanned by the vectors $f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime}$. Since all the elements $\beta_{i}, \gamma_{j}, \delta_{e}$ together are independent over $k^{2}$ (by assumption), we obtain for $E_{*}$ from (1)

$$
E_{*}=\Sigma P \oplus \oplus E_{\left(\beta_{i}\right) *} \oplus \underset{i \in I_{2}}{ } k\left(f_{i}+f_{i}^{\prime}\right) \oplus(0) .
$$

Furthermore
$E_{*}^{\perp}=(0) \oplus \oplus k\left(f_{i}+f_{i}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \oplus\left\langle\delta_{i}\right\rangle$ and $E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=\Sigma P \underset{I_{1}}{\oplus} E_{\left(\beta_{i}\right) *} \oplus \underset{I_{2}}{\oplus} k\left(f_{i}+f_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.
From this we readily read off that (2) holds.
Condition (2) is not always satisfied. The simplest kind of counter-example is the following. Let $\boldsymbol{E}$ be spanned by the basis vectors $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1} \cup\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1} \cup\left\{g_{0}\right\}$ and let $\Phi$ be defined on the basis as follows: $\left\|e_{i}\right\|=\alpha$ and $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=$ $=0(i \neq j, i, j \geq 1),\left\|f_{i}\right\|=\beta_{i}$ and $\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=0(i \neq j, i, j \geq 1),\left\|g_{0}\right\|=\gamma$ and $\left(e_{i}, f_{j}\right)=0,\left(e_{i}, g_{0}\right)=\alpha,\left(f_{i}, g_{0}\right)=\beta_{i},(i, j \geq 1)$ for $\alpha, \gamma, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots$ independent over $k^{2}$ (a field with $\left[k: k^{2}\right] \geq \kappa_{0}$ is required). Here $\operatorname{rad} E_{*}=0$ and $\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)^{\perp}=E$, but $E_{*}^{\perp}+E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ falls short of $E$ by one dimension. We remark that (2) is equivalent to $E_{*}^{\perp} \oplus E_{*}^{\perp}$ being closed.

We shall prove that the converse of Proposition 1 is true. This is accomplished by reducing the general case to the cases of spaces $E$ with $E{ }_{*}^{\perp}=(0)$ or $E_{*}^{+}=E_{*}$. We start out with these special cases.

Lemma 4. Let $(\boldsymbol{E}, \Phi)$ be a semi-simple space of denumerable dimension with $E_{*}^{\perp}=(0)$. Then for every $\alpha \epsilon\|E\|$ and every orthogonal decomposition $E=H \oplus H^{\perp}$ with finite dimensional $H$ we have $\alpha \epsilon\left\|H^{\perp}\right\|$.

Proof. Let $E=H \oplus H^{\perp}$ be any decomposition with finite dimensional $H$, furthermore $\alpha$ some arbitrarily fixed element in $\|E\|$. We apply Lemma 1.2 with $E_{*}$ and $H$ in the roles of $V$ and $F$ respectively. Since $\alpha \in\|E\|$, there exists some vector $x_{0} \in E$ with $\left\|x_{0}\right\|=\alpha$. Hence there exists a vector $x \in E_{*}$ with $\left(x, f_{i}\right)=-\left(x_{0}, f_{i}\right), f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ a fixed basis of $H$. Therefore $\left(x_{0}+x, f_{i}\right)=0$ i.e., $\quad x_{0}+x \perp H$. Since $x \in E_{*}$ we have $\left\|x_{0}+x\right\|=$ $=\left\|x_{0}\right\|=\alpha$.

Proposition 2. Let $(E, \Phi)$ be a semi-simple space of denumerable dimension with $\|E\| \neq 0$. We have an orthogonal decomposition

$$
E=\oplus_{i \in I} E_{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}
$$

where $\left\{\pi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a $k^{2}$-basis for $\|\boldsymbol{E}\|$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{E}_{*}^{\perp}=(0)$.
Proof. If $E$ admits such a decomposition it is readily verified that $E_{*}^{\perp}=(0)$. Let us then assume that $E_{*}^{\perp}=(0)$. We construct a decomposition of $E$ of the required type step by step. Let $F=\Sigma P \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{n}\right\rangle$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $E$, the $P_{s}$ hyperbolic planes and the field elements $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}$ linearly independent over $k^{2}$. Let furthermore $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be some fixed basis for the space $E$ and assume that $e_{m}$ is the first basis vector not contained in $F$. We shall construct a finite dimensional subspace $H$ in $F^{\perp}$ such that $e_{m} \epsilon F \oplus H$ and $F^{\prime}=F \oplus H$ is of the form $\Sigma P \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{r}\right\rangle$ with $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{r}$ linearly independent over $k^{2}$.

Since $F$ is finite dimensional and semi-simple, we may decompose $e_{m}: e_{m}=$ $=e_{m}^{\prime}+e_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ with $e_{m}^{\prime} \in F$ and $e_{m}^{\prime \prime} \perp F$. Three cases are possible: $\left\|e_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|=0$ and $e_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in some hyperbolic plane $P^{\prime} \subset F^{\perp}$ or $\left\|e_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\| \neq 0$ or $\left\|e_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|=0$ and $e_{m}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon\langle\delta, \delta\rangle \subset F^{\perp}$ for some $0 \neq \delta \epsilon k$. In the first case we may choose $P^{\prime}$ for $H$ and we put $F^{\prime}=F \oplus P^{\prime}$. In the second case we put $F^{\prime}=F \oplus k\left(e_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ provided that $e_{m}^{\prime \prime} \not\|F\|$. If, on the other hand, we should have $e_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} \pi_{i}$ with, say $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$, then we apply Lemma 4 a finite number of times and find a sequence of mutually orthogonal vectors $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}$ in $\left(F+k\left(e_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ with $\left\|h_{1}\right\|=\left\|e_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|,\left\|h_{i}\right\|=\pi_{i}, 2 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 2 the space $H$ spanned by $e_{m}^{\prime \prime}, h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}$ is isomorphic to $\left\langle\pi_{1} \pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{3}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right\rangle$ and we put $F^{\prime}=F \oplus H$. The third case is treated in
the same way, the first two vectors for the construction of $H$ already at hand. Thus, in all three cases we find $F^{\prime}=F \oplus H, e_{m} \in F^{\prime}$ where $F^{\prime}$ again is of the form $\Sigma P \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{r}\right\rangle$, the $\pi_{i} s$ linearly independent over $k^{2}$. In this fashion we find an orthogonal decomposition of $E$ as follows, $E=U F=\Sigma P \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \Sigma\left\langle\pi_{2}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots$. In view of the independence of the $\pi_{i} s$ we have $E_{*}=\Sigma P \oplus\left(\Sigma\left\langle\pi_{1}\right\rangle\right)_{*} \oplus \ldots$ Not all of the summands $\Sigma\left\langle\pi_{i}\right\rangle$ can be ( 0 ) since $\|E\| \neq 0$. Thus, if one of the summands should be finite dimensional we would have $E_{*}^{\perp} \neq(0)$, contrary to assumption. Hence all the summands $\Sigma\left\langle\pi_{i}\right\rangle$ are infinite dimensional. Application of Corollary 1 finally yields $E \cong E_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{2}\right)} \oplus \ldots$.

Corollary 2. If $(E, \Phi)$ is a space with $E_{*}^{\perp}=(0)$ whose range $\|E\| \neq 0$ is spanned by the elements $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{m}$ (not necessarily independent over $k^{2}$ ) then $E$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{E}_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus \boldsymbol{E}_{\left(\pi_{m}\right)}$.

Proof. By Proposition $2 E \cong E_{\left(\sigma_{n}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\sigma_{1}\right)}$ where $\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n}$ is a $k^{2}$-basis for $\|E\|$. Let then $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}(n \leq m)$ be a subset of elements independent over $k^{2}$. By Corollary $l$ (ii) we have

$$
\left\langle\pi_{1} \pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle\pi_{n} \pi_{n}\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle\sigma_{n} \sigma_{n}\right\rangle .
$$

Hence trivially $E_{\left(\sigma_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\sigma_{n}\right)} \cong E_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{n}\right)}$. Let $\pi_{n+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{2} \pi_{i}$. After renumbering $\pi_{1} \ldots \pi_{n}$ we may assume that $\lambda_{i} \neq 0,1 \leq r \leq i$. Hence by Corollary 1 (ii) $\left\langle\pi_{n+1} \pi_{n+1} \pi_{2} \ldots \pi_{r}\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\pi_{1} \pi_{1} \pi_{2} \ldots \pi_{n}\right\rangle$. Thus $E_{\left(\pi_{n+1}\right)} \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{2}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{r}\right)} \cong E_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{r}\right)}$ can be arranged in a trivial fashion. In this manner we obtain $E_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{m}\right)} \cong E$.

Proposition 3. Let $(\boldsymbol{E}, \Phi)$ be a semi-simple space of at most denumerable dimension. We have an orthogonal decomposition

$$
E=\oplus_{i \in I}\left\langle\pi_{i} \pi_{i}\right\rangle
$$

where the $\pi_{i}$ form some $k^{2}$-basis for $\|E\|$ if and only if $E_{*}^{\perp}=E_{*}$.

Proof. If $E$ admits such a decomposition we trivially have $E_{*}^{\perp}=E_{*}$. Conversely, let us assume that $E_{*}^{\perp}=E_{*}$. We first remark that $E$ cannot contain a triple of mutually orthogonal vectors of the same length $\neq 0$. For,
assume that $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ were such vectors, $\left\|z_{1}\right\|=\left\|z_{2}\right\|=\left\|z_{3}\right\| \neq 0$. We decompose according to the decomposition $E=E_{*} \oplus L: z_{1}=e_{1}+l_{1}$, $z_{2}=e_{2}+l_{2}, z_{3}=e_{3}+l_{3}$. Thus $\left\|l_{1}\right\|=\left\|l_{2}\right\|=\left\|l_{3}\right\|$. Since $L$ contains no isotropic vectors we must necessarily have $l_{1}=l_{2}=l_{3}$. Since $E_{*}$ is totally isotropic in our case, the three orthogonality conditions reduce to $0=\left(e_{1}+e_{2}, l_{1}\right)+\left\|l_{1}\right\|, 0=\left(e_{1}+e_{3}, l_{1}\right)+\left\|l_{1}\right\|, 0=\left(e_{2}+e_{3}, l_{1}\right)+\left\|l_{1}\right\|$. Adding the first two of these equations we obtain $\left(e_{2}+e_{3}, l_{1}\right)=0$ which contradicts the third one as $\left\|l_{1}\right\| \neq 0$. We now construct a decomposition of $E$ step by step as in the proof of Proposition 2. Let $F=\left\langle\pi_{1} \pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\pi_{2} \pi_{2}\right\rangle \oplus$ $\oplus \ldots \oplus\left\langle\pi_{n} \pi_{n}\right\rangle$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $E, \pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{n}$ linearly independent over $k^{2}$. Furthermore, let $e_{m}$ again be the first basis vector of some fixed basis for $E$ not contained in $F$. Without loss of generality we may proceed assuming that $e_{m} \perp F$. We consider first the case that $\left\|e_{m}\right\| \neq 0$. We try to find a vector $l \in F^{\perp} \cap E_{*}$ with $\left(l, e_{m}\right) \neq 0$. Suppose that there is no such vector $l$, in other words $F^{\perp} \cap E_{*} \subset e_{m}^{\perp}$. Since $E_{*}$ is closed in our case, we find $\left(F+E_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=F^{\perp} \cap E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=F^{\perp} \cap E_{*} \subset e_{m}^{\perp} \quad$ therefore $e_{m} \epsilon\left(F+E_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp \perp}=F+E_{*}^{\perp}$ i.e., $e_{m} \epsilon F+E_{*}^{\perp}=F+E_{*}$. Thus $e_{m}=f+f_{0}$ with $\left\|e_{m}\right\|=\|t\| \neq 0$.

Since $f \epsilon F$ we should therefore have three mutually orthogonal vectors of the same length $\left\|e_{m}\right\| \neq 0$, a contradiction (if $F$ contains one vector of some length $\alpha \neq 0$, then it contains, by virtue of its form, two orthogonal vectors of that length). Thus we must have $F^{\perp} \cap E_{*} \nsubseteq e_{m}^{\perp}$ and there exists a vector $l \in F^{\perp} \cap E_{*}$ with $\left(e_{m}, l\right) \neq 0$. Hence $e_{m}$ and $e_{m}^{\prime}=e_{m}+\frac{\left\|e_{m}\right\|}{\left(l, e_{m}\right)} l$ are mutually orthogonal vectors of $F^{\perp}$ with $\left\|e_{m}\right\|=\left\|e_{m}^{\prime}\right\|$. We put $F^{\prime}=F \oplus k\left(e_{m}, e_{m}^{\prime}\right)$. There remains the possibility that $\left\|e_{m}\right\|=0$. Since $E_{*}$ is totally isotropic, $e_{m}$ cannot be contained in a hyperbolic plane, therefore $e_{m} \epsilon\langle\delta, \delta\rangle \subset F^{\perp}$ for some $0 \neq \delta \epsilon k$ ( $F^{\perp}$ is semi-simple). Since there cannot be more than two orthogonal vectors of the same length $\neq 0$ we must have $\delta \notin\|F\|$ and we put $F^{\prime}=F \oplus\langle\delta \delta\rangle$ similar to the former case. In this fashion we obtain a decomposition of $E$ of the required form, $E=\cup F=$ $\left\langle\pi_{1} \pi_{1}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\pi_{2} \pi_{2}\right\rangle \oplus \ldots$ where all the $\pi_{i} s$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{k}^{2}$.

We now prove the converse of Proposition 1.

Theorem 1. Let char $k=2$ and $(\boldsymbol{E}, \Phi)$ a semi-simple $k$-space of denumerable dimension and let $E_{*}$ be the subspace of vectors of length zero. If

$$
E_{*}^{\perp}+E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)^{\perp}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
E=\oplus_{i \in I_{1}} E_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \oplus \underset{i \in I_{2}}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle \oplus \oplus \underset{i \in I_{3}}{\oplus}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{I}\\
E=\underset{i \in I_{1}}{\oplus} P_{i} \oplus \oplus_{i \in I_{2}}^{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle \oplus \oplus \oplus_{i \in I_{3}}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle \tag{II}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, in the first case, the elements of the union $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{1}} \cup\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{2}} \cup\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{\mathbf{z}}}$ are $a k^{2}$-basis of the range $\|E\|$ over $k^{2}$, in the second case the same for the elements of the union $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{2}} \cup\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{z}}$ (the $P_{i} s$ are hyperbolic planes).

Proof. Let $R=\operatorname{rad}\left(E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right)=\left(E_{*}+E_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$. Since $R$ is totally isotropic and closed, we can apply Lemma 3 and obtain a decomposition

$$
\begin{gather*}
E=\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right) \oplus H, \quad H \perp\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right) \\
R \oplus R^{\prime}=\underset{i \in I_{2}}{\oplus} k\left(r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}\right), \quad R=\oplus k\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $R \perp E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$, we can find an algebraic complement $S$ of $R$ in $E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ with $S \perp R^{\prime}$ (see the remark following the proof of Lemma 3). Hence $S \perp R \oplus R^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=R \oplus S, \quad S \subset H . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $S$ is semi-simple. If $T$ is the orthogonal of $S$ in $H$, we obtain from (2) $E_{*}^{\perp}=E_{*}^{\perp \perp \perp}=R \oplus T$. On the other hand, by the assumption of the theorem ${ }^{*} R \oplus \stackrel{*}{H}=R^{\perp}=E_{*}^{\perp}+E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=R \oplus(S \oplus T)$. Since $S+T \subset H$ therefore $S+T=H$. Furthermore, since $S$ is semi-simple, the sum $S+T$ is direct. Thus $E$ is decomposed into three orthogonal summands:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right) \oplus S \oplus T \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it remains to discuss the spaces $R \oplus R^{\prime}, S$ and $T$. With regard to $S$ we first remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{*}=R \oplus S_{*} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $R \oplus S_{*} \subset E_{*}$ is trivial. Conversely, if $x \in E_{*} \subset E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=R \oplus S$ we have $x=r+s$ with $r \in R$ and $s \in S$. Therefore $0=\|x\|=\|r\|+\|s\|=\|s\|$ and $s \in S_{*}$. This shows $E_{*} \subset R+S_{*}$. Let then $S_{*^{s}}^{\frac{1}{s}}$ be the orthogonal of $S_{*}$ in $S$. Since $S_{*_{g}^{g}}^{\perp_{s}} \subset S$ and $S \perp R$ we have $S_{*^{8}}^{\perp_{8}} \subset E_{*}^{\perp}$ by (4). Also $S_{*}^{\perp} \subset S \subset E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$, hence $S_{*}^{\perp s} \subset E_{*}^{\perp} \cap E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=R$. Therefore $S_{*}^{\perp s}=(0)$ as
$S_{*}^{1} s \subset S$ and $S \cap R=(0)$. Thus, $S$ is semi-simple and $S_{*}^{1_{8}}=(0)$. Two cases are possible for $S$ : Either $S=S_{*}$ in which case $S$ is a sum of hyperbolic planes or else $S \neq S_{*}$ in which case the range $\|S\|$ is different from 0 and Proposition 2 can be quoted: Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either } S=\underset{i \in I_{1}}{\oplus} P_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad S=\underset{i \in I_{1}}{\oplus} E_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) we learn that $R^{\prime} \cap E_{*}=(0)$. Therefore, taking orthogonals in $R+R^{\prime}$, we obtain $\left(R+R^{\prime}\right)_{*}=R=R^{\perp}=\left(R+R^{\prime}\right)_{*}^{\perp}$ and we may cite Proposition 3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \oplus R^{\prime}=\underset{i \in I_{2}}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally $E_{*} \cap T=(0)$ by (4), i.e., $T$ contains no isotropic vectors. Hence $T$ possesses an orthogonal basis, $T=\underset{i \epsilon I_{3}}{\oplus}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle$ where all the $\alpha_{i} s$ are independent over $k^{2}$. Summarizing the facts about the decomposition (3) we see that $E$ admits of an orthogonal decomposition of the form

$$
\boldsymbol{E}=\underset{i \in I_{1}}{\oplus} \boldsymbol{E}_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \oplus \oplus_{i \in \boldsymbol{I}_{2}}^{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i} \beta_{i}\right\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I_{\mathbf{3}}}{\oplus}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle \quad \text { or } \quad \boldsymbol{E}=\underset{i \in I_{1}}{\oplus} P_{i} \oplus \oplus \underset{i \in I_{2}}{\oplus}\left\langle\beta_{i} \beta_{i}\right\rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I_{\mathbf{3}}}{\oplus}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle
$$

A dependence $0=\Sigma \nu_{i}^{2} \gamma_{i}+\Sigma \mu_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}+\Sigma \varkappa_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}$ defines an isotropic vector $x=\Sigma v_{i} c_{i}+\Sigma \mu_{i} b_{i}+\Sigma \varkappa_{i} a_{i}, \Sigma \nu_{i} c_{i} \in S, \sum \mu_{i} b_{i} \in R+R^{\prime}$ and $\sum \varkappa_{i} a_{i} \in T$. By (4) $x \in E_{*}=R+S_{*}$ and thus $x_{i}=0, \quad\left\|\Sigma v_{i} c_{i}\right\|=\Sigma \nu_{i}^{2} \gamma_{i}=0 \quad$ and $\left\|\Sigma \mu_{i} b_{i}\right\|=\Sigma \mu_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}=0$. However, the $\gamma_{i} s$ are linearly independent over $k^{2}$ by Proposition 2. Therefore $v_{i}=0$. Proposition 3 guarantees the independence of the $\beta_{i} s$ and therefore $\mu_{i}=0$. This proves that the elements $\gamma_{i}, \beta_{j}, \alpha_{e}$ together are independent over $k^{2}$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Theorem 1 can be used to discuss the problem of isomorphism between $\kappa_{0}-$ dimensional $k$-spaces $(E, \Phi)$ in a large number of cases. We shall give here a complete discussion of the cases where the underlying field $k$ is of finite dimension over its subfield $k^{2}$. Thus, let $k$ be a field with [ $k: k^{2}$ ] finite. For a space $(E, \Phi)$ we have $\operatorname{codim} E_{*} \leq\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ or else an algebraic complement of $E_{*}$ in $E$ should contain an isotropic vector which is impossible. Since $\operatorname{dim} E_{*}^{\perp} \leq \operatorname{codim} E_{*}$, the space $E_{*}^{\perp}$ is finite dimensional and $E_{*}^{\perp \perp}+E_{*}^{\perp}$ is therefore closed. Hence every space of denumerable dimension over such a field admits of a basis as described by Theorem 1. (The following discussion also includes that of spaces $(E, \Phi)$ with $\|E\|$ finite dimensional over $k^{2}, k$ an arbitrary field.)

Theorem 2. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic 2 of finite dimension $n$ over its subfield $k^{2}\left(n=\left[k: k^{2}\right]\right),(E, \Phi)$ an $\kappa_{0}$-dimensional semi-simple space over $k$. Then (i) $E$ is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\gamma_{r}\right)} \oplus\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{2} \ldots \beta_{s} \beta_{s}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{t}\right\rangle r \geq 1 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma}^{\infty} P \oplus\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{2} \ldots \beta_{p} \beta_{p}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{q}\right\rangle \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the sums are orthogonal and, in the first case, the elements $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ are independent over $k^{2}$ and the same for $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}$ in the second case (thus $r+s+t \leq n, p+q \leq n$ ).
(ii) $E$ is uniquely determined, up to orthogonal isomorphism, by its range $\|E\|$, the range $\left\|E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\|$ and by the space $E_{*}^{\perp}$. (In particular, the numbers $r, s$ and $t$, respectively $p$ and $q$ are orthogonal invariants of the space E.)
(iii) In terms of the above bases: If $\left\|E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\| \neq 0$ (i.e., $E_{*}$ not closed) then $E$ is of type (I), if $\left\|E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\|=0$ (i.e., $E_{*}$ closed) then $E$ is of type (II). (Thus (I) and (II) represent non isomorphic spaces.) A space of type (I) is uniquely determined, up to orthogonal isomorphism, by $\|E\|$, the subspace of $k$ (over $k^{2}$ ) spanned by the elements $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$ and by the space $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle$. A space of type (II) is uniquely determined, up to isomorphism, by $\|E\|$ and by the space $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}\right\rangle$.

Proof. It only remains to discuss the question of isomorphisms. For a space of type (I) let $E_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}$ be spanned by a basis $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{j \geq 1} \cdot E_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right) *}$ is then spanned by the vectors $e_{i 1}+e_{i j}(j \geq 1)$ and the orthogonal of $E_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right) *}$ in $E_{\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}$ is 0 . Let $\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} \beta_{s}\right\rangle$ be spanned by a basis $\left\{e_{i}, e_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ and let $R$ be the totally isotropic space $k\left(e_{i}+e_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$. We then have, by virtue of the independence of the lements $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}, \ldots$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{*}=E_{\left(\gamma_{1}\right) *} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\gamma_{r}\right) *} \oplus R, E_{*}^{\perp}=R \oplus\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle, \\
E_{*}^{\perp 1}=E_{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\gamma_{r}\right)} \oplus R .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\bar{E}$ be another space falling into category (I), $\bar{E}=E_{\left(\bar{\gamma}_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\bar{v}_{r}\right)} \oplus$ $\oplus\left\langle\bar{\beta}_{1} \bar{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{\bar{s}} \bar{\beta}_{\bar{s}}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$ such that $\|E\|=\|\bar{E}\|,\left\|E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\|=\left\|\bar{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\|$ and $E_{*}^{\perp} \cong \bar{E}_{*}^{\perp}$. We have to prove that $E \cong \bar{E}$. Since $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{r}}$ are independent over $k^{2}$ we first have $r=\bar{r}$ (since $\left\|E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\|=$ $\left.=\left\|\bar{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right\|\right)$. By Corollary 2 we see that $E_{*}^{\perp \perp} \cong \bar{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}$. Hence we may intro-
duce a new basis in $\bar{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}_{i},=\gamma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$. From the isomorphism $R \oplus\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle \cong \bar{R} \oplus\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{\bar{t}}\right\rangle$ we conclude that $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle \cong$ $\cong\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{\bar{t}}\right\rangle$ since $R$ and $\bar{R}$ are totally isotropic orthogonal summands and since both $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{\bar{t}}\right\rangle$ are semi-simple (even non-isotropic by the independence of the $\alpha s$ ). Thus $t=t$ and we may introduce a new basis in $\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$ such that $\bar{\alpha}_{i}=\alpha_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq t$. Finally, since $\|E\|=\|\bar{E}\|$ and since $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}, \ldots$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots$ are independent over $k^{2}$ we have $r+s+t=\bar{r}+\bar{s}+\bar{t}$; therefore $s=\bar{s}$ as $r=\bar{r}$ and $t=\bar{t}$. Furthermore, having introduced the new bases in $\bar{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ and $\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$ we may cite Corollary 1 (ii), $\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} \beta_{s}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle \cong$ $\cong\left\langle\bar{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_{r}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\bar{\beta}_{1} \bar{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{s} \bar{\beta}_{s}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$. A fortiori $E_{\left(\underline{\gamma}_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\gamma_{r}\right)} \oplus$ $\oplus\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} \beta_{s}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle \cong E_{\left(\bar{\gamma}_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\bar{\gamma}_{r}\right)} \oplus\left\langle\bar{\beta}_{1} \bar{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{s} \bar{\beta}_{s}\right\rangle \oplus$ $\oplus\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$ and thus $E \cong \bar{E}$. The simpler case of spaces falling into category (II) is treated in the same way. This proves Theorem 2.
Theorem 2 may also be expressed in the following way: If $\left[k: k^{2}\right]$ is finite and $(E, \Phi)$ an $\kappa_{0}$-dimensional, semi-simple $k$-space with $E_{*}$ not closed, then there exist three finite dimensional $k$-spaces $F, G$ and $H$ such that $F \oplus G \oplus H$ contains no isotropic vectors and $E$ is isomorphic to the (external) orthogonal sum $\quad(\stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} F) \oplus G \oplus G \oplus H$. $E$ is uniquely determined by the ranges $\|F+G+H\|,\|F\|$ and by the space $H$; on the other hand, if $E_{*}$ is closed, then there exist two finite dimensional $k$-spaces $G$ and $H$ such that $G \oplus H$ contains no isotropic vector and $E$ is isomorphic to the (external) orthogonal sum $(\stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} P) \oplus G \oplus G \oplus H$. In this case $E$ is uniquely determined by the ranges $\|G+H\|$ and by the space $H$.

We should like to mention that Theorem 2 alone can be obtained more directly by proving Theorem 1 only for spaces $E$ with $\|E\|$ of finite dimension over $k^{2}$. This is done by an induction on $\operatorname{dim}_{k^{2}}\|E\|$. For $\operatorname{dim}_{k^{2}}\|E\|=0$ we have $E=\Sigma P$. After induction assumption two cases arise which have to be treated differently: First case, there exists some decomposition $E=H \oplus H^{\perp}$ with finite dimensional $H$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{k^{\mathbf{2}}}\left\|H^{\perp}\right\|<\operatorname{dim}_{k^{\mathrm{a}}}\|E\|$. Hence there is a basis of the required sort for $H^{\perp}$ by the induction assumption. The required basis for $E$ is then found easily by applications of Corollary 1. Second case, there is no such decomposition of $E$. In that case, one proves directly that $E=E_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\pi_{n}\right)}$ where $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}$ span $\|E\|$. This is accomplished along the line of the proof of Proposition 2, where now the assumption of our case replaces the function of Lemma 4.

Thus, for fields $k$ with finite [ $k: k^{2}$ ] a complete list of non isomorphic $k$-spaces ( $E, \Phi$ ) of denumerable dimension can easily be given on the basis of Theorem 2, provided one knows the finite dimensional, non-isotropic $k$ spaces $\left(\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right\rangle\right.$ !). It is advantageous to first subdivide the spaces according to the dimensions of $E / E_{*}, E_{*}^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{rad}\left(E_{*}\right)$. In the notations of Theorem 2: $p+q, r+s+t=\operatorname{dim}\left(E / E_{*}\right) ; \quad p+q, s+t=\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right)$; $p, s=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right) p+q, r+s+t \leq\left[k: k^{2}\right]$. We may use uniformly the notations $r, s, t$ by interpreting a triple $(r, s, t)$ with $r=0$ as belonging to a space of type (II). There are $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}$ ordered triples $(r, s, t)$ with $0 \leq r+s+t \leq n$; they yield a subdivision of all semi-simple $火_{0}$-dimensional $k$-spaces $(E, \Phi)$ according to their dimensions of $E / E_{*}, E_{*}^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{rad} E_{*}$ into $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}$ classes $\left(n=\left[k: k^{2}\right]\right)$. The particular choices for $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ are then taken. For the sake of illustration, we give a complete list for an underlying field $k$ with $\left[k: k^{2}\right]=2$ :

| $\operatorname{dim} E / E *$ $r+s+t$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{dim} E^{\frac{1}{*}} \\ s+t \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{dim}_{\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\Sigma}^{\infty} P$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $E_{(\nu)}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\Sigma P \oplus\langle\nu\rangle$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\Sigma P \oplus\langle\nu, v\rangle$ |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | $E_{(\alpha)} \oplus E_{(\beta)}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | $\boldsymbol{E}_{(\nu)} \oplus\langle\mu\rangle \quad \nu \neq \mu$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $\underset{\infty}{E_{(\alpha)}} \oplus\langle\beta, \beta\rangle, \quad E_{(\nu)} \oplus\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle v \neq \alpha$ |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | $\underset{\infty}{\Sigma} P \oplus\langle\alpha, \nu\rangle \nu \neq \alpha$ |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | $\Sigma P \oplus\langle\beta, \beta\rangle \oplus\langle\alpha\rangle, \quad \Sigma P \oplus\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \oplus\langle\nu\rangle \nu \neq \alpha$ |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | $\Sigma P \oplus\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \oplus\langle\beta, \beta\rangle$ |

All the sums are orthogonal, $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is some fixed basis of $k$ over $k^{2} ; \nu$ and $\mu$ run independently through a fixed set of representatives of $g_{k}$ (the multi-
plicative group of $k$ modulo square factors), subject only to conditions listed in the table. All the spaces thus obtained are mutually non isomorphic and they are, up to orthogonal isomorphisms, all semi-simple $k$-spaces $(E, \Phi)$ of denumerable dimension.

## III. Orthogonal bases

Let $k$ be an arbitrary field of characteristic 2 . If the semi-simple $k$-space $(E, \Phi)$ is finite dimensional, then either $E=\Sigma P$ or $E$ possesses an orthogonal basis (Lemma 1). Let ( $E, \Phi$ ) be a space of denumerable dimension. $E$ is an orthogonal sum $\Sigma P \oplus E_{0}$ where $E_{0}$ possesses an orthogonal basis. If $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(E / E_{*}\right)$ is infinite (i.e., $\operatorname{dim}_{k^{2}}\|E\|$ is infinite), then $\operatorname{dim} E_{0}$ is infinite and $E$ has an orthogonal basis by virtue of Lemma l. Thus, if $E$ does not admit of an orthogonal basis, then $E / E_{*}$ is of finite dimension and there exists a decomposition of $E$ as described in Theorem 2 (necessarily of type (II)) : $E=\Sigma P \oplus E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is finite dimensional and spanned by an orthogonal basis. Conversely, a space of this form does not admit of an orthogonal basis for, $\Sigma P \oplus E_{0} \subset \oplus_{\oplus}^{\infty} k\left(e_{i}\right)$ gives $E_{0} \subset \oplus_{1}^{N} k\left(e_{i}\right)$ for a suitable $N$ and thus, for the respective orthogonals, we obtain $\stackrel{\infty}{\oplus} k\left(e_{i}\right) \subset \Sigma P$. This is a contradiction as $\left\|e_{i}\right\| \neq 0$ for an orthogonal basis of a semi-simple space. Thus, a space ( $E, \Phi$ ) of denumerable dimension admits of no orthogonal basis if and only if $E_{*}$ is closed and $E / E_{*}$ finite dimensional. These conditions may be formulated in various ways. Here is a selection:

Theorem 3. Let $k$ be an arbitrary field of characteristic 2, ( $E, \Phi$ ) a semi-simple $k$-space of denumerable dimension. The following statements are equivalent:
(j) $E$ possesses no orthogonal basis;
(jj) $E / E_{*}$ is finite dimensional and $E_{*}$ is closed;
(jjj) $E_{*}^{\perp}$ is finite dimensional and $\operatorname{dim} E / E_{*}=\operatorname{dim} E_{*}^{\perp}$;
(jv) $E / E_{*}$ is finite dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E /\left(E_{*}+E_{*}^{\perp}\right)$.

## IV. Automorphisms

We shall add here a few remarks about the group $\mathcal{D}(E, \Phi)$ of all metric automorphisms of a space $(E, \Phi)$, i.e., the group of all vector space auto-
morphisms $T: E \rightarrow E$ which satisfy $\Phi(T x, T y)=\Phi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in E$. The underlying field $k$ is of characteristic 2 and $\operatorname{dim} E=\kappa_{0}$. The structure of the group $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ is unknown in the general case. If $(E, \Phi)$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{*}^{\perp}+E_{*}^{\perp \perp} \text { is closed, } \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)<\aleph_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- which always takes place when the underlying field is of finite dimension [ $k: k^{2}$ ] over $k^{2}$ - then the study of $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ can be reduced to the study of simpler groups. They are the (sympletic) group $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$, where the $\kappa_{0^{-}}$ dimensional space $(E, \Phi)$ is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes, and the group $\mathcal{D}(E, \Phi)$, where $(E, \Phi)$ is an orthogonal sum $E_{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \oplus E_{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \oplus \ldots$ and the elements $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ independent over $k^{2}$ (cf. 1.3 for notations). This reduction, possible for the spaces subject to (1), shall be carried out here.

For a space satisfying (1) there is decomposition (Theorem 1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{0} \oplus\left(R+R^{\prime}\right) \oplus E_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{0}, R \oplus R^{\prime}$ and $E_{1}$ are orthogonal summands such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\operatorname{rad} E_{*}, E_{*}=E_{0 *} \oplus R, E_{*}^{\perp}=R \oplus E_{1}, E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=E_{0} \oplus R \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, furthermore, $R \oplus R^{\prime}$ is an orthogonal sum of planes $k\left(r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}\right), i \in I$ for $\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{r_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ a basis of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ respectively. For every $T \in \mathscr{D}(E, \Phi)$ we have $T\left(E_{*}\right)=E_{*}, T(R)=R, T\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right)=E_{*}^{\perp} \quad$ and $\quad T\left(E_{*}^{\perp \perp}\right)=E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$. When $\quad x \in R^{\prime} \oplus E_{1} \quad$ we write $T x=x+L x$. Hence $\|L x\|=0 \quad$ and $L x \in E_{*} \subset E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x \in E_{0} \oplus R \text { for } x \in R^{\prime} \oplus E_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $x \in R$ and $y \in R^{\prime}$ then $(x, y)=(T x, T y)=(T x, y+L y)=$ $=(T x, y)$ since $T x \in R \perp E_{0} \oplus R$. Therefore $\quad(x-T x, y)=0$ for all $y \in R^{\prime}$ or $x-T x \in R^{\prime \perp}, R^{\prime \perp} \cap R=0$; hence $x-T x=0$ since $x-T x$ also belongs to $R$. Thus the restriction $T / R$ of $T$ to $R$ leaves the vectors of $R$ fixed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T\right|_{R}=\boldsymbol{1}_{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Let then $x \in E_{1}$ and $y \in R^{\prime}$. Since $E_{1} \subset E_{*}^{\perp}$ and $T\left(E_{*}^{\perp}\right)=E_{*}^{\perp}$ we have $L x \in R$; hence $(x, y)=(T x, T y)=(x+L x, y+L y)=(x, y)+(L x, y)$. Thus $(L x, y)=0$ for all $y \in R^{\prime}$ i.e., $L x \in R^{\prime \perp}, R^{\prime \perp} \cap R=0$ and therefore $L x=0$ as $L x \in R$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T\right|_{E_{1}}=\boldsymbol{1}_{E_{1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, every automorphism of $E$ leaves $E \stackrel{\perp}{*}$ pointwise fixed. Therefore we have for every $x \in R^{\prime}$ and $y \in E_{*}^{\perp}$ that $(x, y)=(T x, T y)=(T x, y)$ hence $x-T x \in E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=E_{0}+R$ for every $x \in R^{\prime}$. Therefore, and in view of (5) and (6) we can decompose the image $T x$ for every $x \in\left(R \oplus R^{\prime}\right)+E_{1}$ as follows, $T x=x+L_{0} x+L_{1} x$ with $L_{0} x \in E_{0}$ and $L_{1} x \in R$. Computing $\|T x\|$ shows furthermore that even $L_{0} x \in E_{0 *}$. We therefore have $\left(x \in R \oplus R^{\prime} \oplus E_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x=x+L_{0} x+L_{1} x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the projections $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ are linear maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{0}: R \oplus R^{\prime} \oplus E_{1} \rightarrow E_{0 *}, L_{0}\left(R \oplus E_{1}\right)=(0) \\
L_{1}: R \oplus R^{\prime} \oplus E_{1} \rightarrow R, L_{1}\left(R \oplus E_{1}\right)=(0)
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, for $x \in E_{0} \subset E_{*}^{\perp \perp}=E_{0} \oplus R$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x \in E_{0}\right) \quad T x=L_{2} x+L_{3} x \quad L_{2} x \in E_{0}, \quad L_{3} x \in R \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R$ is totally isotropic and orthogonal to $E_{0}, L_{2}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{0}$ is a metric automorphism of $E_{0} ; L_{3}$ is some linear map $E_{0} \rightarrow R$. If we express $T x$ for an arbitrary $x \in E$ by using (7) and (8), then the condition that $(x, y)=$ $=(T x, T y)$ for all $x, y \in E, T \in \mathcal{D}(E, \Phi)$ is equivalent with the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(x, L_{3} y\right)+\left(L_{0} x, L_{2} y\right)=0 \text { for all } x \in R^{\prime}, y \in E_{0}  \tag{9}\\
\left(x, L_{1} y\right)+\left(L_{1} x, y\right)+\left(L_{0} x, L_{0} y\right)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in R^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

(9) and (10) permits a discussion of $D(E, \Phi)$ as in the finite dimensional case
([2]). First, the system (9) and (10) admits of solutions $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ for arbitrarily prescribed $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}, L_{2}$ an automorphism of $E_{0}$ and $L_{3}: E_{0} \rightarrow R$ a linear map. Indeed. For given $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ (9) defines a linear map $L_{0}: R^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{0 *}$ in a unique manner. We then extend it to $L_{0}: R \oplus R^{\prime} \oplus E_{1} \rightarrow E_{0 *}$ by defining $L_{0}\left(R \oplus E_{1}\right)=(0)$. Appealing to the basis of $R \oplus R^{\prime}=\underset{I}{\oplus} k\left(r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ we put $L_{1} r_{i}^{\prime}=\Sigma \alpha_{i j} r_{j}$. Condition (10) is satisfied with the previously found $L_{0}$ provided that $\alpha_{i j}+\alpha_{i i}=\left(L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime}, L_{0} r_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\left(L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime}, L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left\|L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime}\right\|=0$ as $L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime} \in E_{0 *}$, there are always solutions for the unknowns $\alpha_{i j}$; (this is the only place where use is made of the assumption (1) that $\operatorname{dim} R<\kappa_{0}$ ). This proves our assertion. Thus, if $T$ runs through $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ then the restriction $\left.T\right|_{E_{0} \oplus R}$ (it leaves $E_{0} \oplus R=E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ invariant!) runs through the group $\mathfrak{G}$ of all automorphisms of the space $E_{0} \oplus R$ that leave $R$ pointwise fixed (as we have just proved, every element of $\mathfrak{G}$ can be extended to an automorphism of $E$ ). $\left.T \rightarrow T\right|_{E_{0} \oplus R}$ defines an epimorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel $\mathbb{C}=\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ can easily be described. $T \boldsymbol{T} \mathbb{C}$ means that $\left.T\right|_{E_{0} \oplus R}$ is the identical transformation of $E_{0} \oplus R$. For such a $T$ and every $x \in E_{0} \oplus R \oplus E_{1}, y \in R^{\prime}$ we obtain from $(x, y)=(T x, T y)=(x, T y)$ that $y-T y \in\left(E_{0}+R+E_{1}\right)^{\perp}=R$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x=x+L_{4} x, L_{4} x \in R, x \in E, L_{4}\left(E_{0}+R+E_{1}\right)=(0) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(x, y)=(T x, T y) \quad$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y, L_{4} x\right)+\left(L_{4} y, x\right)=(0) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, every linear map $L_{4}: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$ meeting (13) defines an element $T \in \mathbb{C}$ by means of (12). $\mathcal{C}$ is thus seen to be isomorphic to the additive group of linear maps $L: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ satisfying (13). Thus, as $s=\operatorname{dim} R$ is finite, $\mathbb{C} \cong k^{\frac{8(8+1)}{2}}$. Let us turn to the group $\mathfrak{G}$. It contains the subgroup $\mathfrak{F}_{0}$ of automorphisms $T^{\prime}: E_{0} \oplus R \rightarrow E_{0} \oplus R$ of the form $T^{\prime}: x \rightarrow x+L_{5} x$ where $L_{5}$ is an arbitrary linear map $L_{5}: E_{0} \oplus R \rightarrow R$ with $L_{5}(R)=(0) . \mathfrak{G}_{0}$ is an invariant subgroup of $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{F} / \mathfrak{G}_{0} \cong \mathscr{D}\left(E_{0},\left.\Phi\right|_{E_{0}}\right) . \mathfrak{G}_{0}$ is isomorphic to the additive group of all linear maps $L: E_{0} \rightarrow R$, and $\mathfrak{G}_{0} \cong k^{\omega}$ or $\mathfrak{G}_{0} \cong(1)$.

Thus, if we put $\mathfrak{C}_{0}=\varphi^{-1} \mathfrak{G}_{0}$, we have the series of invariant subgroups

$$
\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{0} \subset \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)
$$

with $\mathbb{C} \cong k^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}}, \mathfrak{C}_{0} / \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{G}_{0}, \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi) / \mathbb{C}_{0} \cong \mathfrak{D}\left(E_{0},\left.\Phi\right|_{E_{0}}\right), s=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)$. $E_{0}$ is an algebraic complement of $\operatorname{rad} E_{*}$ in $E_{*}^{\perp \perp}$; it is either an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes or an orthogonal sum $E_{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}$, the elements $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ independent over $k^{2}$.

Remark (added in proof). The condition in (1) that $\operatorname{dim} R=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad} E_{*}\right)<\nu_{0}$ is quite unnecessary for the discussion that followed. Setting $L_{1} r_{i}^{\prime}=\Sigma \alpha_{i j} r_{j}$ the matrix equation $\alpha_{i j}+\alpha_{j i}=\left(L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime}, L_{0} r_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ admits row-finite solutions (which actually define a map $\left.L_{1}\right)$; for example $\alpha_{i j}=0(j \geq i), \alpha_{i j}=\left(L_{0} r_{i}^{\prime}, L_{0} r_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ for $j<i$. For the normal series of groups obtained we have in the case $\operatorname{dim} R=\kappa_{0}$ : $G_{0} \cong k^{\omega}$ and $C \cong k^{\omega}$.
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