

**Zeitschrift:** Acta Tropica  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerisches Tropeninstitut (Basel)  
**Band:** 39 (1982)  
**Heft:** 1

**Artikel:** Electron microscopic study on the development of "Babesia ovis" (Piroplasmia) in the salivary glands of the vector tick "Rhipicephalus bursa"  
**Autor:** Moltmann, U.G. / Mehlhorn, H. / Friedhoff, K.T.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-312959>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 02.07.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Zoologie II der Universität Düsseldorf, BRD

<sup>2</sup> Institut für Parasitologie, Hannover, BRD

## **Electron microscopic study on the development of *Babesia ovis* (Piroplasmia) in the salivary glands of the vector tick *Rhipicephalus bursa*\***

U. G. MOLTSMANN<sup>1</sup>, H. MEHLHORN<sup>1</sup>, K. T. FRIEDHOFF<sup>2</sup>

### **Summary**

The formation of *Babesia ovis* sporozoites in salivary gland cells of the vector tick *Rhipicephalus bursa* was studied by electron microscopy. The kinetes of *B. ovis* were found lying intracellularly on the second day after infestation (a.i.) of the ticks. The parasites enlarged rapidly losing all features of the motile form. Invaginations of the cell membrane initiated a fragmentation of this developmental stage. On the third day a.i. the parasite (measuring up to  $40 \times 25 \mu\text{m}$ ) was divided into numerous single membrane-bounded cytomeres, each provided with at least one lobed nucleus. On the fourth day a.i. sporozoite differentiation started at the periphery of the cytomeres, indicated by the appearance of several pellicle-bounded, exogenous protrusions into each of which a small portion of the nucleus was incorporated. Since the cytomeres lay very close together this differentiation occurred more by segmentation than by budding. Rhoptries and the so-called spherical body appeared in this developmental phase. Finally, the isolated, immature sporozoites lay in a granular matrix which contained remnants of the host cell cytoplasm. On the fifth day a.i. the sporozoites were fully developed, typically pear-shaped ( $2.8 \times 1.2 \mu\text{m}$ ) and provided with all characteristic structures of the invasive form. – This reproduction was compared to similar processes in other species of the Piroplasmia and the Haemosporina.

**Key words:** *Babesia ovis*; Piroplasmia; *Rhipicephalus bursa*; ultrastructure.

\* Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

---

Correspondence: Dr. U. G. Moltmann, Institut für Zoologie II, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-4000 Düsseldorf, BRD

## Introduction

The piroplasm *Babesia ovis* multiplies in the erythrocytes of sheep and goat, occasionally leading to death within ten days. The parasite is transmitted by the tick *Rhipicephalus bursa*. The development of *B. ovis* in the vector tick has been object of several light and electron microscopical investigations (reviewed by Friedhoff, 1981). Recently the ultrastructure of the kinete and its differentiation in the ovarian tissue was described (Weber, 1980; Moltmann et al., in press). In the present study the asexual reproduction of *B. ovis* in the cells of the salivary glands which leads to the formation of infectious stages is described. This development is compared to similar processes in other *Babesia* and *Theileria* species.

## Materials and Methods

Two strains of the two-host tick *Rhipicephalus bursa* were crossbred. One strain, Ankara 1959, was infected with *Babesia ovis* and has been maintained in Hannover since 1959. The other strain, Ankara 1948, was not infected, and has been maintained in the laboratory since 1948. The strain of *B. ovis*, Hannover 1959, was transmitted through 33 tick generations since 1959. Besides transovarial transmission, that persisted through all generations, alimentary infection occurred when the female ticks engorged on infected sheep. These infections were always tick-borne; never was a sheep infected by blood inoculation (Friedhoff and Smith, 1981).

The ticks transmitted *B. ovis* in the adult stage only (Friedhoff and Smith, 1981). The larval progeny of the female ticks that had developed a heavy infection of the hemolymph 5 days after repletion at 28° C was fed on rabbits. The engorged nymphs were incubated at 28° C and 80 to 90% relative humidity until moulting. The newly hatched adults were kept at room temperature and 80 to 90% r.h. up to 53 days after repletion in the nymphal stage.

The adult ticks were fed on rabbits for 1 to 5 days before dissection. The salivary glands of the ticks were removed while being submersed in cold 5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.3. The tissue was fixed for at least 48 h and then repeatedly rinsed in cacodylate buffer. The preparations were treated for 2 h in 2% (w/v) OsO<sub>4</sub>, dehydrated in a series of ethanols, and embedded in Araldite (Ciba-Geigy). Ultrathin sections were cut on a Reichert OMU 3, mounted on copper grids and stained with an alcoholic solution of uranyl acetate for 30 min, followed by lead citrate for 10 min (Reynolds, 1963). The sections were examined in a Zeiss electron microscope EM 9 S2.

## Results

Single kinetes of *Babesia ovis* were found in non-secreting cells of the salivary glands of the adult ticks on the second day after infestation (a.i.). The parasites were situated in the host cell cytoplasm without being enclosed in a parasitophorous vacuole (Fig. 1). The spherical kinete measured about 3.5 µm in diameter and was bordered by a single membrane underneath which some remnants of the inner membranous layer of the former pellicle occurred. The cell was provided with an ovoid nucleus and several cisternae of the endoplasmic reticulum. Sections of micronemes were distributed at the margin of the

cytoplasm. The parasites enlarged rapidly, reaching a diameter of about  $5.0\ \mu\text{m}$  on the second day a.i. Within these stages cisternae of the endoplasmic reticulum accumulated at the cell periphery. Subsequently tube-like invaginations of the cell membrane initiated a fragmentation of this developmental stage (Figs. 2, 10a).

On the third day a.i. the fragmentation process had advanced considerably. The large fission body (Figs. 3, 10b; measuring a maximum of  $40 \times 25\ \mu\text{m}$ ) was divided into numerous cytomeres, leaving clefts in between. These clefts were filled by the host cell cytoplasm as identified by the occurrence of host cell mitochondria and osmiophilic endoplasmic reticulum (Fig. 4). The cytomeres were of varying size and shape, bounded by a single membrane, and were each provided with at least one lobed nucleus (Fig. 3). In larger cytomeres the nucleus was found to divide into smaller portions. Often small, intranuclear spindle apparatus occurred in lobes of the nucleus, stretching over a distance of about  $0.2\ \mu\text{m}$  from one side of the nuclear envelope to the other (Fig. 4). The most advanced developmental stage on the third day a.i. revealed a highly fissured body with numerous cytomeres.

On the fourth day a.i. differentiation of sporozoites started more or less simultaneously at numerous places (Figs. 6, 7, 10c). The limiting membrane of the cytomeres was underlined by an additional 2-membrane system at places where a nucleus lay near to the cytomere boundary, thus giving rise to a typical coccidian pellicle. A small portion of the nucleus, containing a short spindle apparatus, protruded towards the newly formed pellicle (Fig. 6). Two osmiophilic globules ( $\varnothing$  about  $0.3\ \mu\text{m}$ ), probably representing rhoptry precursors, appeared on both sides of the nuclear protrusion (Fig. 7). Several of these differentiating structures were formed within a single cytomere, all deriving their nuclear material from one maternal nucleus.

Since the cytomeres lay very close to each other the following sporozoite differentiation occurred more by segmentation than by budding (Fig. 5). Nearly all of the cytomere-cytoplasm was distributed to the developing parasites. Thus sporozoites in all stages of development were found lying in a granular matrix, which contained remnants of the cytomere and the host cell cytoplasm (Fig. 9). The apical complex of the sporozoites was provided with a polar ring and up to 5 rhoptries, whereas the inner layer of the pellicle was not yet continuous (Fig. 8). The so-called spherical body (Friedhoff et al., 1972) appeared in this stage of development in the vicinity of the nucleus (Fig. 8). It was a single-membrane bounded, vacuolar structure ( $\varnothing$  about  $0.8\ \mu\text{m}$ ) containing a fine granular material with some condensed, osmiophilic regions. Finally a large number of sporozoites (up to 600 were counted in a single ultrathin section) lay in a granular matrix (Figs. 9, 10d). The differentiated sporozoites were typically pear-shaped ( $2.8 \times 1.2\ \mu\text{m}$ ) and surrounded by a 3-membrane pellicle. Besides a nucleus and a mitochondrion, a spherical body was prominent, lying anterior to the nucleus in the broadest part of the cell.

*Abbreviations used in the figures*

AT	=	Acinus tissue
BM	=	Basal membrane of the acinus
CL	=	Cleft between the cytomeres
CY	=	Cytomere of parasitic origin
ER	=	Endoplasmic reticulum of the parasite
ERH	=	Endoplasmic reticulum of the host cell
HC	=	Host cell
IVM	=	Invaginations of the outer membrane of the parasitic cell
MI	=	Mitochondrion of the parasite
MH	=	Mitochondrion of the host cell
MN	=	Micromeres
N	=	Nucleus of the parasite
NH	=	Nucleus of the host cell
PE	=	Pellicle
R	=	Rhoptries
SB	=	Spherical body
SP	=	Spindle apparatus
SPO	=	Sporozoites

---

Figs. 1–9. Electron micrographs of *Babesia ovis* within the salivary glands of adult ticks (*Rhipicephalus bursa*).

Fig. 1. Section through a kinete which lies directly within the cytoplasm of the host cell. Remnants of the pellicle (PE) and micronemes (MN) still occur. (2. day a.i.)  $\times 24,000$ .

Fig. 2. Periphery of an early developmental stage showing an invagination of the outer cell membrane (IVM). (2. day a.i.)  $\times 20,000$ .

---

Figs. 3–4. Sections through fissured developmental stages. The cytoplasm is divided into numerous cytomeres (CY) leaving clefts (CL) in between. These clefts are filled with host cell cytoplasm, host cell mitochondria (MH) and endoplasmic reticulum (ERH). Small spindle apparatus (SP) occur inside the lobulated nucleus of each cytomere. (3. day a.i.) 3.  $\times 20,000$ ; 4.  $\times 40,500$ .

---

Fig. 5. Segmentation of the cytomeres into sporozoites in the course of which all cytoplasm is distributed to the parasites. Spherical bodies (SB) appear in this stage. (4. day a.i.)  $\times 25,000$ .


Figs. 6–7. Initial sporozoite differentiation at the cytomere boundary. A small portion of the nucleus containing a spindle apparatus (SP) protrudes towards the newly formed pellicle (PE). Precursors of rhoptries (R) appear on both sides of the nucleus. (4. day a.i.) 6.  $\times 40,000$ ; 7.  $\times 40,000$ .


Fig. 8. Section through a nearly mature sporozoite. The pellicle (PE) does not yet completely surround the parasitic cell. (4. day a.i.)  $\times 35,000$ .

---





Fig. 9. Section through an acinus filled with numerous sporozoites (SPO). The nucleus of the host cell (NH) and the acinus tissue (AT) are degenerating. (5. day a.i.)  $\times 5,000$ .



















Fig. 10. Diagrammatic representation of the formation of sporozoites of *Babesia ovis* in the salivary gland cells of *Rhipicephalus bursa*. a) The intracellular kinete with beginning invaginations of the cell membrane. b) The growing parasite is fragmented into numerous cytomeres. c) Sporozoite differentiation starts at the periphery of the cytomeres as exogenous protrusions. d) Nearly mature sporozoites lying in a granule.

Developmental stages of *B. ovis* were found only in non-secreting cells at the periphery of the salivary alveoli. During the rapid growth of the parasite, the host cell was considerably enlarged. Its cytoplasm eventually degenerated to a flocculent matrix, only containing some osmiophilic mitochondria and the crenated host cell nucleus (Fig. 9). The infection of an alveolus with *B. ovis* was not considered likely to hinder its secretory function, since the other cells of the alveolus and its excretory duct showed a normal morphology.

## Discussion

Since there is some evidence for the occurrence of gamogony during the *Babesia* life cycle (Friedhoff and Büscher, 1976; Weber and Friedhoff, 1977; Rudzinska et al., 1979; Mehlhorn et al., 1980b, 1981) the infectious stages can be considered as sporozoites and their formation as sporogony. The development of *Babesia ovis* sporozoites inside salivary gland cells of the tick *Rhipicephalus bursa* proceeds as an agamogonic reproduction in the course of which a large fission body divides into cytomeres. Later on these form numerous sporozoites as protrusions at the cytomere surface. The first parasitic stages seen on the second day after infestation (a.i.) of the tick on its host in the salivary glands are kinetes. During the next three days all parasites are found to be more or less in the same developmental stage, until on the fifth day a.i. the infected host cells are filled with differentiated sporozoites. In the species *Babesia canis* and *Babesia bigemina* the comparable development does not show this synchronization (Schein et al., 1979; Weber and Friedhoff, 1979).

The process of sporozoite differentiation in the genus *Babesia* has been object of several light microscopic observations (Dennis, 1932; Li, 1958; Riek, 1964) which revealed a fundamental process which is confirmed here for *B. ovis*. An exception is *B. canis*, where reproduction of the parasite in the salivary glands occurs as repeating binary fission, until the stages gradually acquire the morphology of sporozoites (Regendanz and Reichenow, 1933; Schein et al., 1979).

The development of sporozoites in the genus *Theileria* was also described as binary fission (Reichenow, 1940). Recently, however, Mehlhorn et al. (1979) observed that the transformation of *Theileria ovis* kinetes in the salivary glands occurs as a fragmentation, being rather similar to the development of *B. ovis* described in this paper. In both species the whole process is completed within 4–5 days.

The developmental stages of *Babesia* in the salivary glands of ticks were formerly regarded as schizonts and merozoites (Weber and Friedhoff, 1971; Friedhoff et al., 1972; Potgieter and Els, 1977). Since a 3-phase life cycle, as seen in the Coccidia, can be assumed for *Babesia* species, the parasite differentiation in the salivary glands should be considered as the last step of sporogony. This led to some considerations on phylogenetic relationships between the Piroplas-



mia, Haemosporina and Adeleina (Mehlhorn et al., 1980; Friedhoff, 1981). The kinetes of the genus *Babesia* may be compared with the sporokinetes which occur within the invertebrate host of *Karyolysus* (Reichenow, 1921). An ultrastructural description of the sporogony in the mite as vector of this adeleidian parasite is still lacking. On the other hand, there are some detailed ultrastructural studies on sporogony in the genus *Plasmodium* (Vanderberg et al., 1967; Terzakis, 1971; Schrével et al., 1977; Sinden and Strong, 1978). Here the sporozoites arise in a more «peripheral» or more «internal» budding process (Sinden and Strong, 1978) from a fissured parasite cytoplasm which lies enclosed in an oocyst. The wall of this oocyst derives from the host cells and can therefore not be compared to the eimerian oocysts (Mehlhorn et al., 1980a). The sporozoites of the Piroplasmia are formed directly in the cytoplasm of the host cell, but the general development is similar to the sporogony of *Plasmodium*.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Miss A. Grunwald and Miss L. Langenstrassen for their expert technical assistance.

- Dennis E. W.: The life cycle of *Babesia bigemina* (Smith and Kilborne) of Texas cattle-fever in the tick of *Margaropus annulatus* (Say) with notes on the embryology of *Margaropus*. Univ. Calif. Public. Zool. 36, 263–298 (1932).
- Friedhoff K. T.: Morphologic aspects of *Babesia* in the tick. In: Babesiosis, ed. by M. Ristic and J. Kreier, p. 143–170. Academic Press, London/New York 1981.
- Friedhoff K. T., Büscher G.: Rediscovery of Koch's «Strahlenkörper» of *Babesia bigemina*. Z. Parasitenk. 50, 345–347 (1976).
- Friedhoff K. T., Smith R.: Transmission of *Babesia* by ticks. In: Babesiosis, ed. by M. Ristic and J. Kreier, p. 267–322. Academic Press, London/New York 1981.
- Li P. N.: The interactions between the blood-sucking of infected ticks of the species *Rhipicephalus bursa* and the development of the pathogenic organism of sheep babesiosis, *Babesiella ovis*. Trudy Ukrain. Nauč. Inst. Eksp. Vet. 24, 271–282 (1958) (in Russian).
- Mehlhorn H., Schein E., Warnecke M.: Electron microscopic studies on *Theileria ovis* Rodhain, 1916: development of kinetes in the gut of the vector tick, *Rhipicephalus evertsi evertsi* Neumann, 1897, and their transformation within cells of the salivary glands. J. Protozool. 26, 377–385 (1979).
- Mehlhorn H., Peters W., Haberkorn A.: The formation of kinetes and oocysts in *Plasmodium gallinaceum* (Haemosporidia) and considerations on the phylogenetic relationships between Haemosporidia, Piroplasmida and other Coccidia. Protistologica 16, 135–154 (1980a).
- Mehlhorn H., Schein E., Voigt W. P.: Light and electron microscopic study on developmental stages of *Babesia canis* within the gut of the tick *Dermacentor reticulatus*. J. Parasit. 66, 220–228 (1980b).
- Mehlhorn H., Moltmann U. G., Schein E., Voigt W. P.: Fine structure of supposed gametes and syngamy of *Babesia canis* (Piroplasmia) after in vitro development. Zbl. Bakt. Hyg., I. Abt. Orig. A 250, 248–255 (1981).
- Moltmann U. G., Mehlhorn H., Friedhoff K. T.: Electron microscopic study on the development of *Babesia ovis* (Piroplasmia) in the ovary of the vector tick *Rhipicephalus bursa*. J. Protozool. (in press) (1982).



- Potgieter F. T., Els H. J.: Light and electron microscopic observations on the development of *Babesia bigemina* in larvae, nymphae and non replete females of *Boophilus decoloratus*. Onderstepoort J. vet. Res. 44, 213–232 (1977).
- Regendanz P., Reichenow E.: Die Entwicklung von *Babesia canis* in *Dermacentor reticulatus*. Arch. Protistenk. 79, 50–71 (1933).
- Reichenow E.: Die Hämococcidien der Eidechsen. Vorbemerkung und I. Teil: Die Entwicklungsgeschichte von *Karyolysus*. Arch. Protistenk. 42, 179–291 (1921).
- Reichenow E.: Der Entwicklungsgang des Küstenfiebererregers im Rinde und in der übertragenden Zecke. Arch. Protistenk. 94, 1–56 (1940).
- Reynolds E. S.: The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron microscopy. J. Cell Biol. 17, 208 (1963).
- Riek R. F.: The life cycle of *Babesia bigemina* (Smith and Kilborne, 1893) in the tick vector *Boophilus microplus* (Canestrini). Aust. J. agric. Res. 15, 802–821 (1964).
- Rudzinska M. A., Spielmann A., Riek R. F., Lewengrub S. J., Piesmann J.: Intraerythrocytic 'gametocytes' of *Babesia microti* and their maturation in ticks. Canad. J. Zool. 57, 423–434 (1979).
- Schein E., Mehlhorn H., Voigt W. P.: Electron microscopical studies on the development of *Babesia canis* (Sporozoa) in the salivary glands of the vector tick *Dermacentor reticulatus*. Acta trop. (Basel) 36, 229–241 (1979).
- Schrével J., Asfaux-Foucher G., Bafort J. M.: Etude ultrastructurale des mitoses multiples au cours de la sporogonie du *Plasmodium berghei berghei*. J. Ultrastr. Res. 59, 332–350 (1977).
- Sinden R. E., Strong K.: An ultrastructural study of the sporogonic development of *Plasmodium falciparum* in *Anopheles gambiae*. Trans. roy. Soc. Trop. med. Hyg. 72, 477–491 (1978).
- Terzakis J. A.: Transformation of the *Plasmodium cynomolgi* oocyst. J. Protozool. 18, 62–73 (1971).
- Vanderberg J., Rdodin J., Yoeli M.: Electron microscopic and histochemical studies of sporozoite formation in *Plasmodium berghei*. J. Protozool. 14, 82–103 (1967).
- Weber G.: Ultrastrukturen und Cytochemie der Pellikula und des Apikalkomplexes der Kineten von *Babesia bigemina* und *Babesia ovis* in Hämolymphe und Ovar von Zecken. J. Protozool. 27, 59–71 (1980).
- Weber G., Friedhoff K. T.: Preliminary observations on the ultrastructure of supposed sexual stages of *Babesia bigemina* (Piroplasma). Z. Parasitenk. 53, 83–92 (1977).
- Weber G., Friedhoff K. T.: Electron microscopic detection of initial and some subsequent developmental stages of *Babesia bigemina* in salivary glands of ticks. Z. Parasitenk. 58, 191–194 (1979).