

2.6 Additional integrals for integer valued c

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

d_i being the degrees of basic W -invariants, we obtain a polynomial ring of commuting differential operators in $\mathcal{D}(U)$. Given $q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$ we will denote by L_q the corresponding differential operator. We may assume that $q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$ so that $L = L_{q_1}$. Thus for every $q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$, L_q is a quantum integral of the quantum Calogero-Moser system. In particular, the operators $L_{q_1}, \dots, L_{q_n}$ are n algebraically independent pairwise commuting quantum integrals.

Now the eigenvalue problem (4) may be replaced by

$$L_p \psi = \lambda_p \psi$$

for $p \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$, where the assignment $p \rightarrow \lambda_p$ is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

In other words, we may say that since $\mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n] = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*/W] = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}/W]$, for every point $k \in \mathfrak{h}/W$, we have the eigenvalue problem

$$(5) \quad L_p \psi = p(k) \psi.$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Near a generic point $x_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$, the system $L_p \psi = p(k) \psi$ has a space of solutions of dimension $|W|$.*

Proof. The proposition follows easily from the fact that the symbols of L_{q_i} are $q_i(\partial)$, and that $\mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is a free module over $\mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$ of rank $|W|$. $\square$

2.6 ADDITIONAL INTEGRALS FOR INTEGER VALUED c

If $c_s \notin \mathbf{Z}$, the analysis of the solutions of the equations $L_p \psi = p(k) \psi$ is rather difficult (see [HO]). However, in the case $c: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, the system can be simplified. Let us consider this case. First remark that, since $\beta_s = c_s(c_s + 1)$, by changing c_s to $-1 - c_s$ if necessary, we may assume that c is non-negative. So we will assume that c takes non-negative integral values and we will denote it by m .

System (5) can be further simplified, if we can find a differential operator M (not a polynomial of $L_{q_1}, \dots, L_{q_n}$) such that $[M, L_p] = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$. Then the operator M will act on the space of solutions of (5), hopefully with distinct eigenvalues. So if μ is such an eigenvalue, the system

$$\begin{cases} L_p \psi = p(k) \psi \\ M \psi = \mu \psi \end{cases}$$

will have a one dimensional space of solutions and we can find the unique up to scaling solution ψ using Euler's formula.

Such an M exists if and only if $c = m$ has integer values. Namely, we will see that one can extend the homomorphism $\mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)$ mapping $q \rightarrow L_q$ to the ring of m -quasi-invariants $\mathcal{Q}_m$.

We start by remarking that under some natural homogeneity assumptions, if such an extension exists, it is unique.

PROPOSITION 2.9. 1) Assume that $q \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d . If there exists a differential operator M_q with coefficients in $\mathbf{C}(h)$, of the form

$$M_q = q(\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}) + l.o.t.$$

such that $[M_q, L] = 0$, whose homogeneity degree is $-d$, then M_q is unique.

2) Let $\mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n] \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be a graded ring. Assume that we have a linear map $M: B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)$ such that, if $q \in B$ is homogeneous of degree d , then $[M_q, L] = 0$, M_q has homogeneity degree $-d$, and

$$M_q = q(\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}) + l.o.t.$$

Then M is a ring homomorphism and $M_q = L_q$ for all $q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$.

Proof. 1) If there exist two different operators M_q and M'_q with these properties, take $M_q - M'_q$. This operator has degree of homogeneity $-d$, but order smaller than d . Therefore, its symbol $S(x, y)$ is not a polynomial. On the other hand, since the symbol of L is $\sum y_i^2$, we get that $[L, M_q - M'_q] = 0$ implies $\{\sum y_i^2, S(x, y)\} = 0$. Write S in the form $K(x, y)/H(x)$ with K is a polynomial, and $H(x)$ a homogeneous polynomial of positive degree t (we assume that $K(x, y)$ and $H(x)$ have no common irreducible factors). Then

$$0 = \{\sum y_i^2, S(x, y)\} = 2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i K_{x_i}(x, y) H(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i H_{x_i}(x) K(x, y)}{H(x)^2}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i H_{x_i}(x) = tH(x)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i H_{x_i}(x) K(x, y) \neq 0$. So $H(x)$ must divide this polynomial and, by our assumptions, this implies that it must divide the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i H_{x_i}(x)$ whose degree in x is $t - 1$. This is a contradiction.

2) Let $q, p \in B$ be two homogeneous elements. Then $M_q M_p$ and M_{pq} both satisfy the same homogeneity assumptions. Hence they are equal by 1).

Finally if $q \in \mathbf{C}[q_1, \dots, q_n]$, both M_q and L_q satisfy the same homogeneity assumptions. Hence they are equal by 1). $\square$

The required extension to the ring of m -quasi-invariants is then provided by the following

THEOREM 2.10 ([CV1, CV2]). *Let $c = m: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+$. The following two conditions are equivalent for a homogeneous polynomial $q \in \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ of degree d .*

1) *There exists a differential operator*

$$L_q = q(\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}) + l.o.t.$$

of homogeneity degree $-d$, such that $[L_q, L] = 0$.

2) *q is an m -quasi-invariant homogeneous of degree d .*

Using this, we can extend system (5) to the system

$$(6) \quad L_p \psi = p(k) \psi, \quad p \in Q_m, \quad k \in \text{Spec } Q_m = X_m.$$

(Recall that, as a set, $X_m = \mathfrak{h}$.) Near a generic point $x_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$, system (6) has a one dimensional space of solutions, thus there exists a unique up to scaling solution $\psi(k, x)$, which can be expressed in elementary functions. This solution is called the *Baker-Akhiezer function*, and has the form

$$\psi(k, x) = P(k, x) e^{(k, x)}$$

with $P(k, x)$ a polynomial of the form $\delta(x)\delta(k) + l.o.t.$ and $e^{(k, x)}$ denotes the exponential function computed in the scalar product (k, x) . Furthermore, it can be shown that $\psi(k, x) = \psi(x, k)$ (see [CV1, CV2, FV]).

These results motivate the following terminology. The variety X_m is called *the spectral variety* of the Calogero-Moser system for the multiplicity function m , and Q_m is called *the spectral ring* of this system.

2.7 AN EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{C}$, $m = 1$. As we have seen, Q_m has a basis given by the monomials $\{x^{2i}\} \cup \{x^{2i+3}\}$, $i \geq 0$. Let us set for such a monomial, $L_{x^r} = L_r$, and $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$. Then we have

$$L_0 = 1, \quad L_2 = \partial^2 - \frac{2}{x}\partial, \quad L_3 = \partial^3 - \frac{3}{x}\partial^2 + \frac{3}{x^2}\partial.$$

As for the others, $L_{2j} = L_2^j$, $L_{2j+3} = L_2^j L_3$. (Note that L_1 is not defined). The system (6) in this case is

$$\begin{cases} \psi'' - \frac{2}{x}\psi' = k^2\psi, \\ \psi''' - \frac{3}{x}\psi'' + \frac{3}{x^2}\psi' = k^3\psi. \end{cases}$$