

Why the Appendices were not written : author's apologies to the readers

Objekttyp: **Appendix**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **46 (2000)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **19.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

- [Ma] MANNING, A. Topological entropy and the first homology group. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 468 (1974), 185–191.
- [Nie] NIELSEN, J. Über topologische Abbildungen geschlossener Flächen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 3 (1924), 246–260.
- [Pu] PUGH, C. On the entropy conjecture. *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 468 (1974), 257–262.
- [Sh] SHUB, M. Endomorphisms of compact manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* 91 (1969), 185–199.
- [Sh-S] SHUB, M. and D. SULLIVAN. A remark on the Lefschetz fixed point formula. *Topology* 13 (1974), 189–191.

WHY THE APPENDICES WERE NOT WRITTEN :

AUTHOR'S APOLOGIES TO THE READERS

APPENDIX 2. The stable homeomorphism suggests a geometric link between the homotopy and topological invariance of Pontryagin classes, at least for manifolds with negative curvature but I did not manage to forge this to my satisfaction till 1996 (see [Gro₂]); also see [Fa-Jo] for a deeper analysis.

APPENDIX 3. One can define a notion of hyperbolicity for an automorphism α of an arbitrary finitely generated group Γ , such that (Γ, α) functorially defines a Bowen-Franks hyperbolic system (see [Gro₁]). Unfortunately, this class of (Γ, α) is rather limited, e.g. is not closed under free products and does not include hyperbolic automorphisms of surface groups. I still do not know what the right setting is.

APPENDIX 4. An obvious example of semi-hyperbolicity is provided by non-strictly expanding endomorphisms, where the geometric picture is rather clear. However, I still do not see a functorial description, in the spirit of the symbolic dynamics, of more general semi-hyperbolic systems, not even for the geodesic (or Weil chamber) flows on locally symmetric spaces (compare [B-G-S] and [Br-Ha]).

APPENDIX 5. The section on entropy was inspired by Manning's paper [Ma₁], but I was unaware of the prior paper by Dinaburg (see [Din]) that essentially contained the entropy estimate for geodesic flows (also discussed in [Ma₂]). On the other hand, estimating the entropy of an endomorphism (or an automorphism) f in terms of $f_*: \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_1$ appears now much less clear than it seemed to me back in 1976. It is not hard to bound the entropy from below

via the “asymptotic stretch” of $f_*: \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi_1$ with respect to the word metric in π_1 (see [Bow]). But this is not sharp even for linear automorphisms of tori T^n , where the entropy is expressed by the “ k -dimensional stretch” on H_1 for some $k \leq n$ that equals the spectral radius of f_* on H_k . Such k -stretch can be defined, in general, in terms of $f_*: \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(S)$ and the classifying map $S \rightarrow K(\pi_1, 1)$ (refining the spectral radius of f_* on H^k coming from $K(\pi_1, 1)$), but my obvious “proof” of the lower bound on the entropy by this k -stretch missed a hidden trap. This was also overlooked in [Ma₃] (for $f_*: H_1 \rightarrow H_1$, where a proper identification of the “ k -stretch” with the spectral radius needs extra work), as was pointed out to me much later by David Fried. (The difficulty already appears for closed subsets S in the torus T^n invariant under linear automorphisms f of T^n , where one wishes to estimate the entropy of $f|_S$ in terms of f_* acting on the *spectral* cohomology of S coming from T^n . On the other hand, the case of $T^n \rightarrow T^n$ is settled in [Mi-Pr].)

APPENDIX 6. Probably, the recent progress in Nielsen theory allows a description of the cases, where $\text{card}(\text{Fix } f)$ is well controlled from below by some twisted Lefschetz number (see [Fel]).

APPENDIX 7. Nothing interesting to say.

APPENDIX 8. Minima of geometric functionals related to the logical complexity have been studied in depth by A. Nabutovski (see [Na] and references therein). Yet I do not feel ready yet to write this Appendix. For example, I do not see what is the actual influence of a suitable (?) complexity measure of $\pi_1(V)$ on the Plateau problem in V .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [B-G-S] BALLMANN, W., M. GROMOV and V. SCHROEDER. *Manifolds of Non-Positive Curvature*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [Bow] BOWEN, R. Entropy and the fundamental group. In: *The Structure of Attractors in Dynamical Systems*. (Proc. Conf., North Dakota State Univ., Fargo, N.D. (1977)), 21–29. Lecture Notes in Math., 668, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [Br-Ha] BRIDSON, M. R. and A. HAEFLIGER. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 319. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [Din] DINABURG, E. I. A connection between various entropy characterizations of dynamical systems (Russian). *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 35 (1971), 324–366.