4. Real structures

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **44 (1998)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.03.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

4. Real structures

Recall that a *real algebraic variety* is a pair (X, S) where X is a complex algebraic variety and $S\colon X \to X$ is an anti-holomorphic involution on it. The set of fixed points of S is the *real part* of (X, S). S acts on the group of divisors $\mathrm{Div}(X)$: if $D \in \mathrm{Div}(X)$ is defined locally by analytic functions f_α, then $S(D)$ is defined by the analytic functions $\overline{f_\alpha \circ S}$. Thus it is natural to define an involution S^* on the sheaf of analytic functions $\mathcal{O}_X$

$$S^*\colon \Gamma\big(S(U), \mathcal{O}_X\big) \to \Gamma\big(U, \mathcal{O}_X\big) \ : f \mapsto \overline{f \circ S}\,.$$

This also induces an involution on the groups of one-forms and one-cycles. If $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1)$, $c \in H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$, then $\int_c S^*\omega = \overline{\int_{S(c)} \omega}$. A form ω is S-real if and only if $S^*\omega = \omega$ and one may always choose a basis of S-real forms. In the case when $X = C_h$ is the spectral curve of the Lagrange top, the action of S on $\mathrm{Div}(X)$ induces an involution on $J(C_h; \infty^{\pm})$. This, however, does not suffice to determine the real structure of the invariant manifold $T_h \sim J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}) \setminus \phi^{-1}(p)$ (Theorem 2.2), as it will also depend on the point $p \in J(C_h)$. Recall that the symmetric product $S^2\check{C}_h$ is bi-rational to T_h. Thus the generalized Jacobian and the invariant manifold T_h are identified by the Abel map

$$\mathcal{A}\colon S^2\check{C}_h \to J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}) \ : P_1 + P_2 \mapsto \int_{W_1+W_2}^{P_1+P_2} \omega\ , \qquad \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)\,. \tag{59}$$

This induces an involution on $J(C_h; \infty^{\pm})$, $z \to S(z)$, where

$$z = \int_{W_1+W_2}^{P_1+P_2} \omega\ , \qquad S(z) = \int_{W_1+W_2}^{S(P_1+P_2)} \omega\,.$$

Of course this depends on the fixed points $W_1, W_2 \in J(C_h; \infty^{\pm})$. Let ω_1, ω_2 be S-real . Then

$$S(z) = \int_{W_1+W_2}^{S(W_1+W_2)} \omega + \int_{S(W_1+W_2)}^{S(P_1+P_2)} \omega = \int_{W_1+W_2}^{S(W_1+W_2)} \omega + \overline{\int_{W_1+W_2}^{P_1+P_2} \omega} = S(0) + \bar{z}\,.$$

If S has a fixed point on $J(C_h; \infty^{\pm})$ (this does not depend on W_1, W_2) then one may always choose it for origin, and hence $S(z) = \bar{z}$ becomes a group homomorphism.

Denote by S the anti-holomorphic involution on the spectral curve C_h defined by $S(\lambda, \mu) = (\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{\mu})$. This involution comes from the real Lax pair of Adler and van Moerbeke defined in Section 2. We shall also suppose that the real polynomial $f(\lambda)$ has distinct roots. S induces an involution on the

usual Jacobian $J(C_h)$ which we also denote by S, and an involution on the generalized Jacobian $J(C_h;\infty^{\pm})$ which we denote by S^+. If we use (59), then in terms of the Jacobi polynomials U, V, W, it is given by

$$S^+ : (U, V, W) \mapsto (\overline{U}, -\overline{V}, \overline{W}) .$$

There is another natural anti-holomorphic involution on T_h given by the usual complex conjugation

$$\left(\Omega_i, \Gamma_i\right) \mapsto \left(\overline{\Omega}_i, \overline{\Gamma}_i\right) ,$$

which we denote by S^-. In terms of the Jacobi polynomials (12) it is

$$S^- : (U, V, W) \mapsto (\overline{W}, \overline{V}, \overline{U}) .$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The holomorphic involution* $S^+ \circ S^- = S^- \circ S^+$ *on* $J(C_h;\infty^{\pm})$ *is a translation on the half-period* $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2$, *where* $\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2\right) = 0 \in J(C_h)$ *(see* (7), (9)*).*

The proof of the above Proposition will be given later in this section. If ϕ is the projection homomorphism defined in (7), then it implies

$$\phi \circ S^+ = \phi \circ S^- = S \circ \phi .$$

In other words the anti-holomorphic involutions S^+ and S^- "look alike" in the same way on the usual Jacobian $J(C_h)$ and differ in a half-period in the "vertical" direction with respect to ϕ on the generalized Jacobian $J(C_h;\infty^{\pm})$.

An important feature of S^+ is that the S^+-real part of the invariant level set T_h is preserved by the flow of (2). Indeed, changing the variables as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega_1 \to i\Omega_1 , && \Omega_2 \to i\Omega_2 , && \Omega_3 \to \Omega_3 , \\ &\Gamma_1 \to i\Gamma_1 , && \Gamma_2 \to i\Gamma_2 , && \Gamma_3 \to \Gamma_3 , \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a new system

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{\Omega}_1 = -m\,\Omega_2\Omega_3 - \Gamma_2 , && \dot{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_2\Omega_3 - \Gamma_3\Omega_2 , \\ &\dot{\Omega}_2 = m\,\Omega_3\Omega_1 + \Gamma_1 , && \dot{\Gamma}_2 = \Gamma_3\Omega_1 - \Gamma_1\Omega_3 , \\ &\dot{\Omega}_3 = 0 , && \dot{\Gamma}_3 = \Gamma_2\Omega_1 - \Gamma_1\Omega_2 , \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

with first integrals

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= -\Gamma_1^2 - \Gamma_2^2 + \Gamma_3^2 , && H_2 = -\Omega_1\Gamma_1 - \Omega_2\Gamma_2 + (1+m)\Omega_3\Gamma_3 , \\ H_3 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(-\Omega_1^2 - \Omega_2^2 + (1+m)\Omega_3^2\right) - \Gamma_3 , && H_4 = \Omega_3 . \end{aligned}$$

The anti-holomorphic involution S^+ in these coordinates is given again by the complex conjugation.

THEOREM 4.2. *In each of the three connected subdomains of the complement to the discriminant locus of $f(\lambda)$ the topological type of the real part of the algebraic varieties $\left(J(C_h;\infty^{\pm}), S^{\pm}\right)$ and $(T_h, S^{\pm})$ is one and the same and is given in the following table, where $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$.*

roots of $f(\lambda)$	*no real roots*	*two real roots*	*four real roots*
real part of $\left(J(C_h;\infty^{\pm}), S^{+}\right)$	T^2	T^2	$T^2 \times (\mathbf{Z}/2)$
real part of $\left(J(C_h;\infty^{\pm}), S^{-}\right)$	T^2	$\varnothing$	$\varnothing$
real part of (T_h, S^{+})	$S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$	$S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$	$T^2 \cup (S^1 \times \mathbf{R})$
real part of (T_h, S^{-})	T^2	$\varnothing$	$\varnothing$

REMARK. It is easy to check that when the real invariant level set $T_h^{\mathbf{R}}$ of the Lagrange top is non-empty, then the polynomial $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots. If we do not use the generalized Jacobian $J(C_h;\infty^{\pm})$, then it might be difficult to understand the relation between $T_h^{\mathbf{R}}$ (which has one connected component), $C_h^{\mathbf{R}}$ (which is empty) and $J(C_h)^{\mathbf{R}}$ (which has two connected components) (cf. [2], [3, p. 37]).

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We have $S^{+} \circ S^{-} : (U, V, W) \mapsto (W, -V, U)$. The involution $(U, V, W) \mapsto (U, -V, W)$ is obviously induced by the elliptic involution $i : (\lambda, \mu) \mapsto (\lambda, -\mu)$ on C_h so it is a reflexion. This means that if a fixed point of i is taken for origin in $J(C_h;\infty^{\pm})$ then $i = -\text{identity}$. It remains to prove that $j : (U, V, W) \mapsto (W, V, U)$ is a reflexion too. The involution j has the following simple geometrical interpretation. Let P_1, P_2 be two generic points in the (λ, μ) plane and lying on the affine curve $\check{C}_h = \{\mu^2 = f(\lambda)\}$. If $\{\mu = V(\lambda)\}$ is the straight line through P_1 and P_2 then it intersects C_h in four points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 and then $j(P_1 + P_2) = P_3 + P_4$. Indeed, if the zero divisor of the Jacobi polynomial $U(\lambda)$ on C_h is $P_1 + P_2 + i(P_1) + i(P_2)$, then by (13) the zero divisor of $W(\lambda)$ is $P_3 + P_4 + i(P_3) + i(P_4)$ and the involution $P_1 + P_2 \mapsto P_3 + P_4$ amounts to exchanging the roots of $U(\lambda)$ and $V(\lambda)$.

Let W_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ be the Weierstrass points on C_h. Then

$$\left(\frac{\mu - V(\lambda)}{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} P_i - \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i, \qquad \frac{\mu - V(\lambda)}{\mu} \overset{\infty}{\sim} 1$$

and hence on $J(C_h;\infty^{\pm}) \sim \mathrm{Div}^0(\check{C}_h)/\overset{m}{\sim}$ we have $P_1 + P_2 = -P_3 - P_4 +$ constant. This implies that j is a reflexion. Thus we have proved that $S^{+} \circ S^{-}$

is a translation $\left(S^+ \circ S^-\right)(z) = z + a$. Finally, a is easily computed. We have $i(W_k) = W_k$, $j(W_1 + W_2) = W_3 + W_4$ and hence $a \overset{m}{\sim} W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4$. Further if λ_1, λ_2 are zeros of $f(\lambda)$, then $(g) = W_1 + W_2 - W_3 - W_4$, where $g(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)/\mu$. Moreover $g(\infty^{\pm}) = \pm 1$, $g^2(\infty^{\pm}) = 1$ and hence

$$W_1+W_2-W_3-W_4 \sim 0\,, \quad W_1+W_2-W_3-W_4 \overset{m}{\not\sim} 0, \quad 2\,(W_1+W_2-W_3-W_4) \overset{m}{\sim} 0\,.$$

This shows that a is a half-period and $\phi(a) = 0 \in J(C_h)$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The proof will consist of two steps. First we determine the action of $S^{\pm}$ on $H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z})$ and hence on the period lattice Λ. From that we deduce the first two lines of the table. Second, we determine the action of $S^{\pm}: D_\infty \mapsto D_\infty$ on the infinity divisor $D_\infty = \phi^{-1}(p) = \mathbf{C}^2/\Lambda_2 \sim C^*$ and then we use that

$$\text{real part of } (T_h, S^{\pm}) = \text{real part of } (J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^{\pm}) - \text{real part of } D_\infty\,.$$

It is easier to determine the action of S^+ on Λ. Indeed, S^+ is induced by an anti-holomorphic involution on C_h, $S^+: (\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \left(\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{\mu}\right)$. Note that S^+ always has fixed points on $J(C_h; \infty^{\pm})$: if W_1, W_2 are two Weierstrass points on C_h such that either $W_1 = \overline{W}_2$, or W_1 and W_2 are S^+-real, then $S^+(W_1 + W_2) = W_1 + W_2$. On the other hand S^- has fixed points only if $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots. Indeed, in this last case let W_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, be the Weierstrass points of C_h where $W_1 = \overline{W}_2$, $W_3 = \overline{W}_4$. Then $j(W_1 + W_3) = W_2 + W_4$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.1) and hence $S^-(W_1 + W_3) = W_1 + W_3$. On the other hand if $U = \overline{W}$ and $V = \overline{V}$, then

$$V^2(\lambda) + U(\lambda)W(\lambda) = |V(\lambda)|^2 + |U(\lambda)|^2 = f(\lambda) > 0 \qquad \forall\, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\,,$$

and hence $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots.

Suppose first that $f(\lambda)$ has no real roots and let us choose a basis A_1, B_1, A_2 of $H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z})$ as shown in Figure 2 and in Figure 3 overleaf.

Then $S^+(A_1) = A_1$, $S^+(A_2) = A_2$ and it is easily seen that $S^+(B_1) + B_1$ is homologous to A_2 on $H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z})$. Thus in the basis A_1, A_2, B_1 the matrix of the involution $S^+: H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z})$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this and the fact that $\left(J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^+\right)$ is not empty we conclude that the real part of $\left(J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^+\right)$ is a torus with generators the periods $\int_{B_1} \omega$ and




FIGURE 3
Projection of the cycles $A_1, B_1, A_2, S^{\pm}(B_1)$ on the λ–plane

$\int_{A_2} \omega$. On the other hand the real part of $\left(J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^-\right)$ is also non-empty and $S^+ \circ S^-$ is a translation. We conclude that the real part of $\left(J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^-\right)$ is just a translation of the real part of $\left(J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^+\right)$ and in particular it is generated by the same periods.

In a similar way we find the real part of $\left(J(C_h; \infty^{\pm}), S^+\right)$ in the remaining cases. Note that in an appropriate $\mathbf{Z}$ basis of $H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z})$ the matrix of the involution $S^{\pm}: H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(\check{C}_h, \mathbf{Z})$ takes the same form if $f(\lambda)$ has two real roots, and it is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $f(\lambda)$ has four real roots. This implies the first two lines of the table.

Let us determine now the real part of $(D_\infty, S^{\pm})$. As $D_\infty = \mathbf{C}^*/\Lambda_2$ then we have to compute $S^{\pm}(\Lambda_2)$. Note that, as the real invariant manifold T_h is compact, then (D_∞, S^-) is always empty. On the other hand (D_∞, S^+) is never empty. Indeed, if $S^+(\lambda, \mu) = (\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{\mu})$ then for $Q \in C_h$ the point $Q + S^+(Q)$ is S^+-real on $J(C_h; \infty^{\pm})$. As $S^+(\infty^+) = \infty^-$ we see that an S^+-real point of $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is obtained by taking the limit $Q \mapsto \infty^+$ in $S^+(Q) + Q$ along an appropriate real analytic curve on $\check{C}_h$. Finally, from the computation of the action of S^+ on Λ we get $S^+(\Lambda_2) = \Lambda_2$ which shows that the S^+-real part of $(\phi^{-1}(p), S^+)$ is always a circle $\mathbf{R}/\Lambda_2$. This gives the last two lines in the table. □