

§3. Invariants of links

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **44 (1998)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **20.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

§3. INVARIANTS OF LINKS

In this section, we give a graphical definition of the HOMFLY polynomial of oriented links. For an oriented link diagram D , we define $\langle D \rangle_n$ by the following.

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n$$

and

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{-1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n.$$

Then we have

THEOREM 3.1. $\langle D \rangle_n$ is invariant under the Reidemeister moves II and III.

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\ &\quad - q^{-1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\ &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + (-q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2} + [2]) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} 2 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \\ \uparrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad - q^{-1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \\ \uparrow \text{---} 2 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \\ \uparrow \text{---} 2 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 2 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 & = ([n] - q^{1/2}[n-1] - q^{-1/2}[n-1] + [n-2]) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 & = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n
 \end{aligned}$$


from Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4 and so $\langle D \rangle_n$ is invariant under the Reidemeister move II. Next we prove the invariance under the Reidemeister move III. Since we have

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \\ \uparrow \text{---} 2 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n$$


and

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \text{---} \downarrow \\ \uparrow \text{---} 2 \text{---} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \text{---} 1 \text{---} \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n,$$

it suffices to show that



Since



$$= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 3 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 1 \quad \uparrow 1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n - q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 1 \quad \uparrow 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \uparrow 1 \quad \uparrow 1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n,$$

we have the required formula from Lemma 2.6.

Since the Reidemeister move III with other types of orientations can be obtained from the Reidemeister moves II and III described above (see [12]), the proof is complete. \square

If we define $P_n(D) = q^{(n/2)(-w(D))} \langle D \rangle_n$ with $w(D)$ the writhe (the algebraic sum of the crossings) of D , then we have

THEOREM 3.2. $P_n(D)$ is invariant under the Reidemeister moves I, II, and III and satisfies the following skein relation.

$$q^{n/2}P_n(D_+) - q^{-n/2}P_n(D_-) = (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})P_n(D_0),$$

where D_+ , D_- and D_0 are identical link diagrams except near a crossing as described in Figure 3.1.




FIGURE 3.1
skein triple

The proof of this theorem follows immediately from the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. $\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{loop} \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{n/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n$

and

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{loop} \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{-n/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n.$$

Proof. From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bigcirc \end{array} \right\rangle_n = q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n - \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \bigcirc \\ 2 \\ \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n$$

$$(3.2) \quad = (q^{1/2}[n] - [n - 1]) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \end{array} \right\rangle_n.$$

Since

$$q^{1/2}[n] - [n - 1] = q^{n/2},$$

the first equality follows. The second equality follows similarly and the proof is complete. \square

Therefore P_n defines a link invariant and so we can put $P_n(L) = P_n(D)$ for the link L presented by D . Then P_n is a version of the HOMFLY polynomial [1], [13].

REMARK 3.4. If we define $[D]_n$ to be

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} \right]_n = Aq^{1/2} \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \\ \uparrow 1 \end{array} \right]_n - A \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad \uparrow \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad \uparrow \quad 1 \end{array} \right]_n$$

and

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \end{array} \right]_n = A^{-1}q^{-1/2} \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \\ \uparrow 1 \end{array} \right]_n - A^{-1} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad \uparrow \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad \uparrow \quad 1 \end{array} \right]_n$$

with A an indeterminate, we also have a framed link invariant.

When $n = 2$ and $A = q^{-1/4}$, we have a version of the Kauffman bracket naturally defined from representation theory of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ [6, Theorem 4.3].

For $n = 3$ we have G. Kuperberg's recursive formula [8] as follows. Consider an oriented, trivalent, plane graph with flow less than or equal to three. If we reverse the orientations of all the edges with flow two, remove all the edges with flow three, and forget the flow, then we have a trivalent graph without flow such that at every vertex all the edges are in or out. Putting $A = q^{-1/6}$ and replacing q with q^{-1} , we have Kuperberg's formula. (This corresponds to the fact that the two-fold anti-symmetric tensor of the vector representation of $SU(3)$ is isomorphic to its dual.) See also [12].