3. A NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE UNIT CIRCLE

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **39 (1993)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **19.03.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

To show that $f_{m,n}(z)$ has a zero β near $\alpha = \alpha_{m,n}$, let

$$g(z) = m + z^n . \tag{2.18}$$

Then $g(\alpha) = 0$. Consider the circle $|z - \alpha| = (10n)^{-1}$. On this circle, $|g(z)| \geq m/100$, while

$$|(1 + z + \cdots + z^{m-1}) - m| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |z^k - 1| = O(m^2/n) , \tag{2.19}$$

so for $m = o(n)$, by Rouché's theorem $g(z)$ and $f_{m,n}(z)$ have the same number of zeros inside the circle, namely one. This proves the claim and answers the Conway-Parker question. □

3. A NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE UNIT CIRCLE

In this section we prove that an open neighborhood of $\{z : |z| = 1, z \neq 1\}$ is contained in $\bar{W}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If* $B \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ *is compact,* $n \geq 1, |z| < 1$, *and*

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i z^i \right) + z^n B \right] , \tag{3.1}$$

then every element of B *is expressible in the form*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i z^i, \quad \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\} . \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, if $-1 \in B$, *then* $z \in \bar{W}$.

Proof. Given $b_m \in B$, inductively pick $b_{m+1} \in B$ and $\varepsilon_{mi} \in \{0, 1\}$, $m \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$b_m = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{mi} z^i \right) + z^n b_{m+1} .$$

Successive substitution yields

$$b_0 = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{mi} z^{mn+i} \right) + z^{Mn} b_M .$$

Since B is compact, $z^{Mn} b_M \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$, so

$$b_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{mi} z^{mn+i},$$

which is the desired form. □

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If* $z \in \mathbf{R}$, $-1 < z \leqslant -\varphi^{-1}$, *then* $z \in \bar{W}$.

Proof. Let $B = [-1, -z]$. Then, since $-1 < z \leqslant -\varphi^{-1}$ implies $z - z^2 \leqslant -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(z + zB) \cup zB &= [z - z^2, 0] \cup [-z^2, -z] \\ &= [z - z^2, -z] \\ &\supseteq [-1, -z] \\ &= B .\end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 3.1 with $n = 1$, and conclude that $z \in \bar{W}$. □

LEMMA 3.2. *If* $B \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ *is compact,* $-1 \in B$, $n \geqslant 1$, $x \in \mathbf{C}$ *and*

$$B \subseteq \operatorname{int} \bigcup_{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i x^i \right) + x^n B \right], \tag{3.3}$$

where $\operatorname{int} S$ *denotes the interior of* S, *then there is a neighborhood* N *of* x *such that*

$$N \cap \{z : |z| < 1\} \subseteq \bar{W} .$$

Proof. Condition (3.3) implies that (3.1) holds for z in a neighborhood of x, so Lemma 3.2 follows from Lemma 3.1. □

LEMMA 3.3. *If* $B = \{z : |z| \leqslant R\}$ *for some* $R \geqslant 1$, $n \geqslant 1$, $|x| = 1$ *and*

$$B \subseteq \operatorname{int} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (x^j + B), \tag{3.4}$$

then

$$x \in \operatorname{int} \bar{W} .$$

Proof. Since $\bar{W}$ contains the unit circle and is closed under $z \mapsto 1/z$, this follows trivially from Lemma 3.2. □

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If* $|x| = 1$, $x \neq \pm 1$, *then* $x \in \operatorname{int} \bar{W}$.

Proof. We claim that if $R \geqslant 2$, then the condition (3.4) of Lemma 3.3 holds for n large enough. If $x = \exp(\pi i\alpha)$ and α is irrational, then by Kronecker's theorem $\{x^j : j \geqslant 1\}$ is dense on the unit circle, and then for every $\delta > 0$, the disk of radius $R + 1 - \delta$ is contained in the union on the right side of (3.4) for n large enough. If α is rational, then the $\{x^j : j \geqslant 1\}$ are the vertices of a regular k-gon, and $k \geqslant 3$ since $x \neq \pm 1$. In that case the union on the right side of (3.4) contains a disk of radius r, where r, 1, and R are the sides of a triangle, and the angle between the sides of lengths r and 1 is π/k. Therefore, by the Law of Cosines,

$$R^2 = 1 + r^2 - 2r\cos(\pi/k) ,$$

and so

$$r = \cos(\pi/k) + (\cos^2(\pi/k) + R^2 - 1)^{1/2} .$$

Since $\cos(\pi/k) \geqslant \cos(\pi/3)$, we find that

$$r \geqslant 1/4 + (R^2 - 3/4)^{1/2} \geqslant R + 1/20$$

for $R \geqslant 2$, since $(R^2 - 3/4)^{1/2} - R$ is an increasing function of R. □

Proving $-1 \in \operatorname{int} \bar{W}$ is trickier, because it will not do to take B as a disc of radius $\geqslant 1$ if $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ is small compared to $\operatorname{Re}(z + 1)$. We will instead take B as a parallelogram that becomes flatter and flatter as $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0$. The following two lemmas will be used in verifying the condition of Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let* $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. *Let* $v_j = T^j\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = (-1)^j\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ -j \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. *Then for* $n \geqslant 16$

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j v_j : \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

contains $\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right) : a, b \in \mathbf{Z}, |a| \leqslant 1, |b| \leqslant n - 16\}$.

Proof. Given such $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, first pick ε_1, ε_2 so that $\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \varepsilon_2 v_2$ has first coordinate a. Next pick $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_4 = 0$ or 1 so that $\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \varepsilon_2 v_2 + \varepsilon_3 v_3 + \varepsilon_4 v_4$ has first coordinate a and second coordinate b' with $b' \not\equiv b \bmod 2$. Certainly $|b'| \leqslant 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 10$, so $|b - b'| \leqslant n - 6$. If $b > b'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 v_1 + \varepsilon_2 v_2 + \varepsilon_3 v_3 + \varepsilon_4 v_4 + v_5 + v_{5+b-b'} &= (a, b') - (1, -5) + (1, 5 + b - b') \\ &= (a, b) . \end{aligned}$$

If $b < b'$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \varepsilon_2 v_2 + \varepsilon_3 v_3 + \varepsilon_4 v_4 + v_6 + v_{6+b'-b} \\ = (a, b') + (1, -6) - (1, 6 + b' - b) \\ = (a, b) . \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.5. *Let T, v_j be as in Lemma 3.4. Let B be the square with vertices $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$. Then for $n \geqslant 35$,*

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \in \{0,1\}} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j v_j \right) + \frac{1}{2} T^n B \right] .$$

Proof. $\frac{1}{2} T^n$ is the parallelogram with vertices

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} (1, -n) \pm \frac{1}{2} (0, 1) .$$

The cross-section of this with x-coordinate x_0 is the vertical interval $[-nx_0 - 1/2, -nx_0 + 1/2]$ for $-1/2 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant 1/2$. Hence given $(\alpha, \beta) \in B$ pick $a \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ such that $-1/2 \leqslant \alpha + a \leqslant 1/2$ and then pick $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $-n(\alpha + a) + 1/2 \leqslant \beta + b \leqslant -n(\alpha + a) + 1/2$. Since $|\beta| \leqslant 1$ and $|\alpha + a| \leqslant 1/2$, we see $|b| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(n+1) + 1 \leqslant n - 16$ if $n \geqslant 35$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) + (a, b) \in \frac{1}{2} T^n B$ and by Lemma 3.4 we can pick $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j v_j = -(a, b)$, so Lemma 3.5 follows. $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.3. $-1 \in \operatorname{int} \bar{W}$.

Proof. Since $\bar{W}$ is closed under $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ and $z \mapsto 1/z$, it suffices to show that for $|z| < 1$, $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$, and $|z + 1|$ sufficiently small, z is in $\bar{W}$. (Proposition 3.1 handles the case $z \in \mathbf{R}$.) Let $\delta = z + 1$. Let B be the parallelogram with vertices $\pm 1 \pm \delta$.

We work in a nonstandard coordinate system for $\mathbf{C}$, with basis vectors 1 and δ, so B is represented by the square with vertices $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$. We claim that multiplication by z is represented by the matrix $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ up to $O(|\delta|)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} z \cdot 1 &= -1 + \delta \\ z \cdot \delta &= -\delta + \delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta^2 - 2(\operatorname{Re} \delta)\delta + |\delta|^2 = 0$$

so δ^2 corresponds to $(|\delta|^2, -2 \operatorname{Re} \delta)$ in our basis, and is $O(|\delta|)$.

From Lemma 3.5, it follows then that

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{35} \in \{0,1\}} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j z^j \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + O(|\delta|) \right) z^n B \right]$$

so for sufficiently small δ, we may apply Lemma 3.1 to deduce $z \in \bar{W}$. □

We now combine all the results of this section.

THEOREM 3.1. *There is an open neighborhood of* $\{z : |z| = 1, z \neq 1\}$ *contained in* $\bar{W}$.

Proof. Apply Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. □

COROLLARY 3.1. *If* $z \in (-1, -1 + \delta)$ *for sufficiently small* δ *then* z *is a multiple zero of some* 0, 1 *power series.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, if δ is small enough we can pick 0, 1 power series f_n and zeros z_n of f_n such that $z_n \notin \mathbf{R}$ and $z_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$. By taking a subsequence we may assume that the coefficient of z^k in f_n is eventually constant for large n, for each k. By a Rouché's Theorem argument, the pairs of zeros $\{z_n, \bar{z}_n\}$ of f_n must converge to (at least) a double zero at z of $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n$. □

4. $\bar{W}$ IS CONNECTED

Since W is countable, we cannot hope to prove W is connected. We prove instead that $\bar{W}$ is connected. First we need some topological lemmas.

Give $\{0, 1\}$ the discrete topology and $\{0, 1\}^\omega$ the product topology, as usual. If $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ is a finite vector of 0's and 1's, let S_v be the set of sequences in $\{0, 1\}^\omega$ which start with v. The following lemma is the key ingredient in the connectivity proof.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let* Y *be a topological space. Suppose* $f : \{0, 1\}^\omega \to Y$ *is a continuous map such that*

$$f(S_{v0}) \cap f(S_{v1}) \neq \emptyset \tag{4.1}$$

for all $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$, *and all* $n \geq 0$. *(Here* $v0$ *denotes the vector* v *with* 0 *appended, etc.) Then the image of* f *is path connected.*