

# Call for Papers

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **39 (1993)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **19.09.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*  
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, [www.library.ethz.ch](http://www.library.ethz.ch)

<http://www.e-periodica.ch>

What examples are there of what we consider results in mathematics education to be? What do we know today that we did not know before? What have we learned about the processes of learning and teaching? What do we know about mathematics that mathematicians were not aware of before?

Can we identify some categories of results? One category might be *economizers* of thought. Any facts, laws, methods, procedures, or theories that are general enough to direct our experience and predict its results will give us increased power over our teaching and learning. Another category might be *demolishers of illusions*. Results that undermine our beliefs and assumptions are always valuable contributions to the field. A third category might be *energizers of practice*. Teachers welcome research that helps them understand what they teach and provides them with ideas for teaching. The development of teaching materials, activities, and challenging problems belongs to this category. Other categories of results might emerge from epistemological, methodological, historical, and philosophical studies.

#### 5. WHAT CRITERIA SHOULD BE USED TO EVALUATE THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH IN MATHEMATICS EDUCATION?

How do we assess the validity of research findings? How do we assess their worth? Should we use the criterion of relevance? What about objectivity? Or originality? Should we consider the influence research has had on the practice of teaching? What other criteria should we use?

The first problem is to clarify the meaning of terms such as *truth*, *validity*, and *relevance* in the context of mathematics education. A related issue is the question of what is knowledge as such. This is an even more fundamental question than that of validation. If we knew what kind of knowledge mathematics education aims at, we would be better equipped for answering the question of methods of validation.

It is also useful to understand the ways in which research results are used. How have the results of research in mathematics education been applied? How do teachers use the research? How do policy makers use it? By clarifying the uses to which research is put, can we develop better criteria for assessing its validity?

#### CALL FOR PAPERS

An ICMI Study on "What is Research in Mathematics Education, and What are Its Results?" will investigate the questions above, as well as others raised by various contributors, over the next year or so. The study will have two components: an invited *study conference* and a *publication* to appear in

the ICMI Study series that will be based on contributions to and outcomes of the conference. The conference will be held in the spring of 1994 at a site to be determined (two possibilities are Japan and the USA), and the major outcomes of the study will be presented at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Zürich the following summer.

The International Program Committee (IPC) for the study invites readers to submit papers on specific problems or issues stimulated by this discussion document no later than **1 September 1993**. Contributors may wish to address either questions raised in the document or questions that arise in response to it.

Papers, as well as suggestions regarding the content of the study and the conference program, should be sent to *both* co-chairs of the IPC:

Professor Jeremy KILPATRICK  
Department of Mathematics Education  
105 Aderhold Hall  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602-7124  
USA

Telephone: (+1) 706 542-4163  
Fax: (+1) 706 542-5010  
E-mail: <jkilpat@uga.bitnet>

Professor Anna SIERPINSKA  
Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics  
Concordia University  
7141 Sherbrooke Street West  
Montréal, Québec H4B 1R6  
Canada

Telephone: (+1) 514 848-3239  
Fax: (+1) 514 848-3492  
E-mail: <sierp@vax2.concordia.ca>

Other members of the IPC include:

Nicolas BALACHEFF, IMAG & Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France  
Willibald DÖRFLER, Universität Klagenfurt, Austria  
Geoffrey HOWSON, University of Southampton, UK  
Fidel OTEIZA, Universidad de Santiago, Chile  
Toshio SAWADA, National Institute for Educational Research, Japan  
Anna SFARD, Hebrew University, Israel  
Heinz STEINBRING, Universität Bielefeld, Germany

The secretary of ICMI, Mogens NISS, Roskilde University, Denmark, is a member *ex officio*.

(The above quotations from Nicolas Balacheff and Geoffrey Howson stem from the exchange of views during the preparation of this Discussion Document.)

(Reçu le 15 janvier 1993)