

§3. Type 2 case

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

§ 3. TYPE 2 CASE

In this section and the next section, we treat the case where a meridian of L^n in M^{n+2} is null homotopic in $M - L$. The following lemma follows from [Li, Lemma 1]. We shall give an alternative proof which is interesting by itself (the argument is also given in [Ms, Theorem 4.2]).

LEMMA 3.1. $I(S^n \times S^2, S^n \times \{*\}) = \mathcal{K}_n$ if $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Let (S^{n+2}, K) be an n -knot and consider $(S^n \times S^2, S^n \times \{*\}) \# (S^{n+2}, K)$. A subset $S^n \times \{*\} \cup K \cup \{x_0\} \times S^2$ ($x_0 \in S^n$) is exactly the wedge sum of S^n and S^2 . As easily observed the complement of an open regular neighborhood of the subset is contractible and hence diffeomorphic to D^{n+2} as $n + 2 \geq 5$. This means that one can express

$$(S^n \times S^2, S^n \times \{*\}) \# (S^{n+2}, K) = (S^n \times S^2, S^n \times \{*\}) \# \Sigma$$

where Σ is a homotopy $(n+2)$ -sphere and the connected sum at the right hand side is done away from the submanifold $S^n \times \{*\}$.

On the other hand the ambient manifold must be diffeomorphic to $S^n \times S^2$ because it is the connected sum of $S^n \times S^2$ with S^{n+2} . These mean that Σ belongs to the inertia group of $S^n \times S^2$. But the group is trivial ([Sc]), so Σ must be the standard sphere. This proves the lemma. Q.E.D.

We shall denote by $\langle m \rangle$ the class in $\pi_1(M - L)$ represented by a meridian of L in M .

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose M is spin, L is diffeomorphic to S^n , and $n \geq 3$. If $\langle m \rangle = 1$ for (M, L) , then $(M, L) = (S^n \times S^2, S^n \times \{*\}) \# M'$ with a closed oriented manifold M' of dimension $n + 2$.

Proof. Since $\langle m \rangle = 1$ and $\dim M \geq 5$, the meridian m bounds a 2-disk in $M - L$. Therefore $L \vee S^2$ is embedded in M . The normal bundle to L in M is trivial, because it is classified by the Euler class sitting in $H^2(L; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2(L; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ as $L = S^n$ and $n \geq 3$. The normal bundle of the embedded S^2 is also trivial, because it is classified by the second Stiefel-Whitney class and it vanishes as M is spin. Hence the closed regular neighborhood of $L \vee S^2$ in M is diffeomorphic to that of $S^n \vee S^2$ naturally embedded in $S^n \times S^2$. In particular its boundary is diffeomorphic to S^{n+1} . This implies the lemma. Q.E.D.

Remark 3.3. A similar argument works even if M is not spin. But this time two cases arise according as the normal bundle of the embedded S^2 is trivial or not. If it is trivial, then the same conclusion as above holds. If it is not trivial, we have

$$(M, L) = (S^n \tilde{\times} S^2, S^n) \# M'.$$

Here $S^n \tilde{\times} S^2$ denotes the total space of the sphere bundle associated with the nontrivial $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector bundle over S^2 (note that it is unique as $\pi_1(SO(n+1)) \simeq Z_2$ for $n \geq 2$) and the submanifold S^n denotes a fiber.

Combining Lemma 3.1 with 3.2, we obtain

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose M is spin, L is diffeomorphic to S^n , and $n \geq 3$. Then if $\langle m \rangle = 1$ for (M, L) , then $I(M, L) = \mathcal{K}_n$.*

Remark 3.5. If the inertia group $I(S^n \tilde{\times} S^2)$ is trivial, then the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.1 proves that $I(S^n \tilde{\times} S^2, S^n) = \mathcal{K}_n$ and hence one could drop the spin condition for M by Remark 3.3.

If $L \neq S^n$, then the above argument does not work. For a general L we construct an s -cobordism between pairs $(M, L) \# (S^{n+2}, K)$ and (M, L) and apply lemma 1.6. We denote the set of all null-cobordant n -knots by \mathcal{K}_n^0 . According to Kervaire [K] (cf. [KW, Chap. IV]) $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{K}_n^0$ if n is even, but $\mathcal{K}_n \neq \mathcal{K}_n^0$ if n is odd.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Suppose $\langle m \rangle = 1$ for (M^{n+2}, L^n) and $n \geq 3$. Then $I_0(M, L)$ contains \mathcal{K}_n^0 . In particular, if n is even ≥ 4 , then $I_0(M, L) = I(M, L) = \mathcal{K}_n$.*

Proof. Let (S^{n+2}, K) bound a disk pair (D^{n+3}, D) , where D is a $(n+1)$ -disk. The boundary connected sum $(M, L) \times I \natural (D^{n+3}, D)$ at the 1-level gives a cobordism between (M, L) and $(M, L) \# (S^{n+2}, K)$.

We shall check the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 1.6 for this cobordism. First, since D is diffeomorphic to D^{n+1} , $L \times I \natural D$ is diffeomorphic to $L \times I$; so (1) is satisfied. Hence $E(L \times I \natural D)$ gives a cobordism relative boundary between $E(L)$ and $E(L \# K)$. We note that

$$(3.7) \quad E(L \times I \natural D) = E(L \times I) \cup E(D)$$

where $E(L \times I)$ and $E(D)$ are pasted together along $D^{n+1} \times S^1$ embedded in their boundaries. The S^1 factor corresponds to meridians of $L \times I$ and D . Then the van Kampen's theorem says that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \underset{\langle m \rangle}{*} \pi_1(E(D)) \\ &\simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) * (\pi_1(E(D)) / \langle m \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

where the latter isomorphism is because $\langle m \rangle = 1$ in $\pi_1(E(L \times I))$ by the assumption. Since $\pi_1(E(D)) / \langle m \rangle \simeq \pi_1(D^{n+3}) \simeq \{1\}$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) \simeq \pi_1(E(L \times I)) \simeq \pi_1(E(L)).$$

Here the inclusion map $i: E(L) = E(L) \times \{0\} \rightarrow E(L \times I \natural D)$ induces the isomorphism.

We shall observe that i is a simple homotopy equivalence. For that purpose we consider the lifting of i to the universal covers. Since the map $\pi_1(E(D)) \rightarrow \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D))$ induced by the inclusion map is trivial as observed above, it follows from (3.7) that

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D) = \tilde{E}(L \times I) \cup E(D) \times \Pi$$

where $\Pi = \pi_1(E(L \times I \natural D)) = \pi_1(M - L)$ and $\tilde{E}(L \times I)$ and $E(D) \times \Pi$ are pasted together Π -equivariantly along $D^{n+1} \times S^1 \times \Pi$ embedded in their boundaries. This means that $\tilde{i}_*: H_q(\tilde{E}(L); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{E}(L \times I \natural D); \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism as $\mathbf{Z}[\Pi]$ -modules. Hence $i_*: \pi_q(E(L)) \rightarrow \pi_q(E(L \times I \natural D))$ is an isomorphism by Namioka's theorem (see [W11, §4]) and hence i is a homotopy equivalence.

The assumption $\langle m \rangle = 1$ together with (3.9) tells us that the Whitehead torsion $\tau(i) \in Wh(\Pi)$ of the map i comes from an element of $Wh(1)$ through the map: $Wh(1) \rightarrow Wh(\Pi)$ induced from the inclusion $1 \rightarrow \Pi$. However $Wh(1) = 0$ and hence $\tau(i) = 0$. This shows that $E(L \times I \natural D)$ is an s -cobordism relative boundary. The proposition then follows from Lemma 1.6. Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.6 gives a complete answer to the case where n is even ≥ 4 . It would be interesting to ask if the same conclusion still holds in the case $n = 2$.

In the next section we will improve Proposition 3.6 when n is odd ≥ 5 .

§ 4. AN IMPROVEMENT

Throughout this section we assume n is odd ≥ 5 . Let V^{n+1} be a Seifert surface of an n -knot K in S^{n+2} . The normal bundle to V in S^{n+2} is trivial. We give the stable normal bundle of S^{n+2} a canonical framing so that V can be viewed as a framed manifold.