10. Deformations of representation homomorphisms and subrepresentations

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **29 (1983)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **28.03.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

So we have the following

(1) $t < i + p(i)$ (by hypothesis)

(2) $n - i \geqslant n + m - t - l$ or equivalently $i \leqslant t + l - m$

(3) $\kappa_m + \ldots + \kappa_{m-l+1} + l \leqslant n + m - t$

(4) $\kappa_1 + \ldots + \kappa_{p(i)} < i \leqslant \kappa_1 + \ldots + \kappa_{p(i)+1}$.

Using (2) and (3) we have that

$$\kappa_m + \ldots + \kappa_{m-l+1} \leqslant n - i = \kappa_1 + \ldots + \kappa_m - i$$

so we have $i \leqslant \kappa_1 + \ldots + \kappa_{m-l}$ which implies $m - l \geqslant p(i) + 1$ thus

$$p(i) + i \leqslant m - l - 1 + i \leqslant (m-l-1) + (t+l-m) = t - 1$$

which contradicts (1). This proves the theorem.

9.7. *Vectorbundles and Schubert cells.* Because every positive vectorbundle over $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ arises as the bundle $E(\Sigma)$ of some system Σ one has the obvious analogues of theorems 9.5 and 9.6 for positive bundles over $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. Here the morphism ψ_Σ must, of course, be replaced by the classifying morphism (cf. section 3.2 above) of a positive vector bundle E, and $n + m$ and m are determined respectively as dim $\Gamma(E, \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}))$ and dim E.

10. Deformations of representation homomorphisms and subrepresentations

10.1 *On proving Inclusion Results for Representations.* Suppose we have given a continuous family of homomorphisms of finite dimensional representations over $\mathbf{C}$ of a finite group G

$$\pi_t : M \to V \tag{10.2}$$

Suppose that $Im\ \pi_t \simeq \rho$ for $t \neq 0$ (and small) and that $Im\ \pi_0 \simeq \rho_0$. Then the representation ρ_0 is a direct summand of the representation ρ. This is seen as follows. Because the category of finite dimensional complex representations of G is semisimple there is a homomorphism of representations $\phi_0 : Im\ \pi_0 \to M$ such that $\pi_0 \circ \phi_0 = id$. Then $\pi_t \circ \phi_0 : Im\ \phi_0 \to Im\ \pi_t$ is still injective for small t (by the continuity of π_t) which gives us ρ_0 as a subrepresentation and hence a direct summand of ρ.

It is almost equally easy to construct a surjective homomorphism $Im\ \pi_t \to Im\ \pi_0$.

10.3. *The Inverse Result.* Inversely if ρ_0 is a subrepresentation of ρ then there is a family of representations (10.3) such that $Im\ \pi_t \simeq \rho$ for $t \neq 0$ and $Im\ \pi_0 \simeq \rho_0$, and if ρ is generated (as a $\mathbf{C}[G]$-module) by one element one can take for M in (10.2) the regular representation. Indeed if ρ_0 is a subrepresentation of ρ then $\rho = \rho_0 \oplus \rho_1$. Let $\pi : M \to \rho = \rho_0 \oplus \rho_1$ be a surjective map of representations. Let π_0, π_1 be the two components of π. Let $s = (s_0, s_1)$ be a section of π. Then $\pi_0 s_0 = id, \pi_1 s_1 = id, \pi_0 s_1 = 0, \pi_1 s_0 = 0$ and it follows that $\pi(t)$ consisting of the components π_0 and $t\pi_1$ is still surjective. Hence $Im\ \pi(t) = \rho$ and $Im\ \pi(0) = \rho_0$.

11. A FAMILY OF REPRESENTATIONS OF S_{n+m} PARAMETRIZED BY $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$

11.1. *Construction of the Family.* Let M be the regular representation of S_{n+m}. That is M has a basis e_σ, $\sigma \in S_{n+m}$ and S_{n+m} acts on M by the formula $\tau(e_\sigma) = e_{\tau\sigma}$, for all $\tau \in S_{n+m}$. Now consider the universal bundle ξ_m over $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$ and the $n + m$ holomorphic section $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n+m}$ defined by

$$\varepsilon_i(x) = e_i \bmod x \in \mathbf{C}^{n+m}/x\,,$$

where e_i is the i-th standard basis vector. Take the $(m+n)$-fold tensor product of ξ_m and define a family of homomorphisms parametrized by $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$ by

$$\pi_x : M \to \xi_m(x)^{\otimes(n+m)},\ e_{\sigma^{-1}} \mapsto \varepsilon_{\sigma(1)}(x) \otimes \ldots \otimes \varepsilon_{\sigma(n)}(x) \tag{11.2}$$

More precisely (11.2) defines a homomorphism of vectorbundles

$$\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m}) \times M \to \xi_m^{\otimes(n+m)} \tag{11.3}$$

The group S_{n+m} acts on $\xi_m(x)^{\otimes(n+m)}$ by permuting the factors and it is a routine exercise to see that π_x is equivariant with respect to this action, i.e. that $\pi_x(\tau v) = \tau\pi_x(v)$ for all $v \in M, \tau \in S_{n+m}$. (Here the product $\tau\sigma \in S_{n+m}$ is interpreted as first the automorphism σ of $1, \ldots, n + m$ and then the automorphism τ.)

Thus $Im\ \pi_x = \pi(x)$ is a representation of S_{n+m} for all x giving us a family of representations parametrized by $\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$. Fixing a point $x_0 \in \mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{C}^{n+m})$ and choosing m independent sections of ξ_m in a neighbourhood U of x_0, this gives us families of homomorphisms of representations