

§4. Reduction of linear superpositions to a form with independent terms

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **23 (1977)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **21.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$(q(x, y)) p_k(x, y) \equiv 0$ on $e(q, t) \cap D$. If we had $C_k(t) = 0$ for some k , then it would turn out that $t \in \delta_k$. Consequently, $C_k(t) \neq 0$ for any k . We show that for every $t \in \delta^*$ the numbers $\{C_k(t)\}$ are uniquely determined. Assume the contrary. Then there are numbers $\{C'_k(t)\}$ ($\max |C'_k(t)| = 1$) such that $\sum_{k=1}^m C'_k(q(x, y)) p_k(x, y) = 0$ on $e(q, t) \cap D$ and $C_k \neq C'_k$ for some k . Then

$$\sum_{k \neq 1} [C_k(t) C'_1(t) - C'_k(t) C_1(t)] p_k(x, y) = \sum_{k \neq 1} C'_k(t) p_k(x, y) \equiv 0$$

on $e(q, t) \cap D$ and in addition, $C'_k \neq 0$ for some k . Consequently, $t \in \delta_1$. So we have obtained a contradiction, and the uniqueness of the choice of the numbers $C_k(t)$ is proved. Further, we may regard $\{C_k(t)\}$ as single-valued functions of t on the portion δ^* . By Lemma 4.3.3, the functions $C_k(t)$ are continuous and, as noted above, $C_k(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in \delta^*$. Then

$$p_1(x, y) = \sum_{k=2}^m -\frac{C_k(q(x, y))}{C_1(q(x, y))} p_k(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in q^{-1}(\delta^*) \cap D.$$

Putting $f(t) = f_k(t) - \frac{C_k(t)}{C_1(t)} f_1(t)$, $t \in \delta^*$, we have $\sum_{k=2}^m f_k^*(q(x, y)) p_k(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(q) p_k(x, y) - \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{C_k(q)}{C_1(q)} p_k(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^m f_k(q) p_k(x, y) + f_1(q) p_1(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(q(x, y)) p_k(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in q^{-1}(\delta^*) \cap D. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

§ 4. *Reduction of linear superpositions to a form with independent terms*

We fix the continuous functions $p_i^k(x, y)$ and continuously differentiable functions $q_i(x, y)$ ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots, m_i$) $n \geq 2$, where $\{q_i(x, y)\}$ satisfy in D conditions (1) and (3) of Lemma 4.2.2, and we consider in D superpositions of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} p_i^k(x, y) f_i^k(q_i(x, y)),$$

where $\{f_i^k(t)\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions of one variable.

We call a bounded closed region $G \subset D$ polyhedral if the boundary of G consists of a finite number of mutually non-intersecting simple closed contours that are unions of a finite number of segments of level curves of the functions $q_i(x, y)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Let $G \subset D$ be a polyhedral region. We denote by Γ_i the set of those $t \in q_i(G)$ for which the set $e(q_i, t) \cap G$ contains a segment of a level curve belonging to the boundary of G . For any i the set Γ_i consists of a finite number of points. By property (1) of the functions $\{q_i(x, y)\}$ for every i and for all points $t_0 \in q_i(G) \setminus \Gamma_i$ there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} e(q_i, t) \Rightarrow e(q_0, t_0)$. If $t_0 \in \Gamma_i$, then the last assertion need not hold, but in any case there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow +t_0} e(q_i, t) \subset e(q_i, t_0)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow -t_0} e(q_i, t) \supset e(q_i, t_0)$ where the limit is taken over the points $t \in q_i(G)$. Here the limit is understood in the sense of the distance $\rho(e(q_i, t), e(q_i, t_0))$.

LEMMA 4.4.1. *There is a region $G \subset D$ and a system of numbers $\tau_i^k = 0$ or 1 ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots, m_i$) such that*

(4) *for any i and for any continuous functions $\{\varphi_i^k(t)\}$ there exist continuous functions $\{f_i^k(t)\}$ such that in G*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} p_i^k(x, y) \varphi_i^k(q_i(x, y)) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \tau_i^k p_i^k(x, y) f_i^k(q_i(x, y));$$

(5*) *for any polyhedral region $G^* \subset G$ and any i , the set*

$$\{t : \lambda(t, G^*, q_i, p_i^{k_1}, \dots, p_i^{k_s}) = 0\}$$

is nowhere dense in $q_i(G^)$, where*

$$k_1 = k_1(i), k_2 = k_2(i), \dots, k_s = k_s(i)$$

is the set of all values of k for which $\tau_i^k = 1$.

Proof. If $i = 0$, then by (1) the set $q_0(D)$ consists of only one point. We choose a region $G_0 \subset D$ and number τ_0^k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m_0$) such that in G_0 the functions $p_0^{k_1}, \dots, p_0^{k_s}$ are a basis for the linear hull of the functions $\{p_0^k\}$ (condition (4) for $i = 0$) and in any region $G^* \subset G_0$ these functions are linearly independent (condition (5*) for $i = 0$). Let $G^* \subset D$ be an arbitrary polyhedral region. Then $\lambda(t, G^*, q, \{p_i^k\})$ as a function of t has, for any $i > 0$, a finite number of points of discontinuity (of the first kind) on the set $q_i(G^*)$, which consists of a finite number of segments (see Lemma 4.3.1). Hence it follows that if the set $\{t : \lambda(t, G^*, q_i, \{p_i^k\}) = 0\}$ is not

nowhere dense on $q_i(G^*)$, then the function $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$ on some segment $\delta \subset q_i(G^*)$ not containing points of Γ_i . By Lemma 4.3.4, there is a segment $\delta^* \subset \delta$ such that in the expression $\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} p_i^k(x, y) f_i^k(q_i(x, y))$ one of the terms can be deleted, without narrowing the class of the functions representable in the region $q^{-1}(\delta^*) \cap G^*$ as superpositions of the given form. Carrying out all possible deletions we can find a region $G \subset G_0 \subset D$ for which the assertion of the lemma is satisfied.

A region $G \subset D$ is called regular if, firstly, it is polyhedral and, secondly, there is a number $\gamma_G > 0$ such that for every $i > 0$ and every $t \in q_i(G)$ the set $e(q_i, t) \cap G$ is the union of a finite number of simple arcs, each of which has length not less than γ_G . A point A of the boundary of the polyhedral region G is called a vertex if it belongs simultaneously to two segments of the level curves of $q_i(x, y)$ and $q_j(x, y)$ ($i \neq j$) on the boundary of G . Every polyhedral region has a finite number of vertices.

LEMMA 4.4.2. *For every polyhedral region G and every neighbourhood U of the vertices of this region we can construct a regular region $G^* \subset G$ such that $G \setminus U \subset G^*$.*

Proof. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r be the vertices of the polyhedral region G ; U_1, U_2, \dots, U_r suitably small neighbourhoods of these vertices. Let $k_m = k_m(A_m)$ be the number of all those functions $\{q_i(x, y)\}$ for each of which the level curve passing through the point A_m does not contain any other points of the set $U_m \cap G$. Let $q_{im}(x, y)$ be one of these functions. We put $k(G) \in q_i(G)$. If $k(G) = 0$, then for any i and any $t \in q_i(G)$ the length of any component of the set $e(q_i, t) \cap G$ is greater than zero and consequently the region G is regular. Suppose that $k(G) > 0$ and m such that $k_m \neq 0$.

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and put

$$G_{1m}^* = G \setminus \{(x, y): |q_{im}(x, y) - q(A_m)| < \varepsilon\} \cap U_m.$$

If U_m and ε are sufficiently small, then inside U_m the region G_{1m}^* has two vertices A'_m and A''_m , while the region G has only one vertex A_m there, but $k_m(A'_m) = k_m(A''_m) = k_m(A_m) - 1$. We now put $G_1^* = \bigcap G_{1m}^*$, where the intersection is taken over all m such that $k_m \neq 0$. Then $k(G_1^*) = k(G) - 1$. Repeating this construction $k(G)$ times, we obtain a polyhedral region G^* for which $G \setminus G^* \subset U$ and $k(G^*) = 0$. Consequently, G^* is regular. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 4.4.3. *There exists a set $G \subset D$, a number $\lambda > 0$, and a set of numbers $\tau_i^k = 0$ or 1 ($i=0, 1, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots, m_i$) such that condition (4) of Lemma 4.4.1 is satisfied, and also the conditions*

(5) *for every i and $t \in q_i(G)$ and for any functions $\{f_i^k(t)\}$*

$$\max_{(x,y) \in e(q_i,t) \cap G} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \tau_i^k p_i^k(x,y) f_i^k(q_i(x,y)) \right| \geq \lambda \max_k |\tau_i^k f_i^k(t)|;$$

(6) *G is a regular region.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4.1 there exists a region $G^* \subset D$ and a set of numbers τ_i^k such that for every polyhedral subregion $G^{**} \subset G^*$ and for every i the set $\{t: \lambda(t, G^{**}, q_i, p_i^{k_1}, \dots, p_i^{k_s}) = 0\}$ is nowhere dense in $q_i(G^{**})$, where k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s is the set of all values of k for which $\tau_i^k = 1$; moreover, on the set G^* , for any i the property (4) of Lemma 4.4.1 is satisfied. In order not to change the notation unnecessarily, we assume that all $\tau_i^k = 1$. We now construct a system of regular regions $G_0 \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots \supset G_n = G$, having the following property: for every $j \leq i$, $\inf_{t \in q_j(G_i)} \lambda(t, G_i, q_j, \{p_j^k\}) \geq \lambda_i > 0$. For G_0 we choose any regular region $G_0 \in G^*$. Suppose that the regular regions G_0, G_1, \dots, G_{i-1} have been constructed. We now construct the set G_i . We denote by α_δ the set $\{t: \lambda(t, q_i, G_{i-1}, \{p_i^k\}) > \delta\}$. Since the functions $\lambda(t, q_i, G_{i-1}, \{p_i^k\})$, have only finitely many points of discontinuity (of the first kind) on the set $q_i(G_{i-1})$, which consists of a finite number of segments (see Lemma 4.3.1), any component of α_δ is either an interval, or a half-interval, or a segment, or a point. Suppose that the set $\alpha_\delta^N \subset \alpha_\delta$ consists of the N longest components of non-zero length of the set α_δ (if α_δ has only $N_0 (< N)$ components of non-zero length, then let $\alpha_\delta^N = \alpha_\delta^{N_0}$). We denote by $\bar{\alpha}_\delta^N$ the closure of the set α_δ^N . We put $G_{i-1}^* = G_{i-1} \cap q_i^{-1}(\bar{\alpha}_\delta^N)$. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since G_{i-1} is regular, for every j the length of any component of $e(q_j, t) \cap G_{i-1}$ is greater than $\gamma_G > 0$. And since the set $\{t: \lambda(t, q, G_{i-1}, \{p_i^k\}) = 0\}$ is nowhere dense in $q_i(G_{i-1})$, for sufficiently small δ and sufficiently large N the set G_{i-1}^* forms a $\varepsilon/2$ -net on every set $e(q_j, t) \cap G_{i-1}$, $j < i$. The set G_{i-1}^* is a polyhedral region. We denote by $U(\varepsilon)$ the set of points (x, y) each of which is at a distance of no more than $\varepsilon/4$ from one of the vertices of the set G_{i-1}^* . By Lemma 4.4.2 there exists a regular region $G_i \subset G_{i-1}^*$ such that $G_{i-1}^* \setminus G_i \subset U(\varepsilon)$. The set G_i forms an ε -net on every set $e(q_j, t) \cap G_{i-1}$, $j < i$ and forms an $\varepsilon/2$ -net on every set $e(q_i, t) \cap G_{i-1}^*$. By Lemma 4.3.2, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$\lambda_i = \min_{j \leq i} \inf_{t \in q_j(G_i)} \lambda(t, G_i, q_j, \{p_i^k\}) > \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{\delta}{2}, \min_{j < i} \lambda_j \right\}.$$

Thus, the regular regions G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n can be constructed. The regular region $G = G_n$ satisfies all the requirements of our lemma ($\lambda = \lambda_n$), which is now proved.

§ 5. *The set of linear superpositions in the space of continuous functions is closed*

THEOREM 4.5.1. *Suppose that continuous functions $p_m(x, y)$ and continuously differentiable functions $q_m(x, y)$ ($m=1, 2, \dots, N$) are fixed. Then in any region D of the plane of the variables x, y , there exists a closed subregion $G \subset D$ such that the set of superpositions of the form*

$$\sum_{m=1}^N p_m(x, y) f_m(q_m(x, y)),$$

where $\{f_m(t)\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions, is closed (in the uniform metric) in the set of all functions continuous on the set G .

By Lemma 4.2.2 and 4.4.3 we can find a subset $G \subset D$, determine constants $\gamma > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, and renumber the functions $\{p_m(x, y)\}$ and $\{q_m(x, y)\}$ with two indices so that the functions obtained after the renumbering, $\{p_i^k(x, y)\}$ and $\{q_i^k(x, y)\}$ ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots, m_i; \sum_{i=0}^n m_i \leq N$) that is, some functions may be omitted in the renumbering) satisfy conditions (1), (2), (3) of Lemma 4.2.2, and also the conditions:

(4') for any continuous functions $\{f_m(t)\}$ there exists continuous functions $\{f_i^k(t)\}$ such that on G

$$\sum_{m=1}^N p_m(x, y) f_m(q_m(x, y)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} p_i^k(x, y) f_i^k(q_i^k(x, y));$$

(5') for every i and $t \in q_i^1(G)$ and for any functions $\{f_i^k(t)\}$

$$\max_{(x, y) \in e(q_i^1, t) \cap G} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} p_i^k(x, y) f_i^k(q_i^k(x, y)) \right| \leq \lambda \max_k |f_i^k(t)|;$$

(6') G is a regular region with respect to the functions $\{q_i^k(x, y)\}$.