

2. Fourier Séries Results

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **22 (1976)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **26.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

2. FOURIER SERIES RESULTS

Let the integrable function $f(x)$, $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$, have period 2π , so that $f(x+2\pi) = f(x)$, and in particular $f(\pi) = f(-\pi)$, and assume that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $p \geq 1$. Denote by Δf one of the three differences (it matters not which for our purposes)

$$f(x) - f(x-h), \quad f(x+h) - f(x), \quad f(x+h) - f(x-h).$$

If $\Delta f = O(|h|^\alpha)$ we say either that $f(x)$ belongs to $\text{Lip } \alpha$ or that $f(x)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with exponent α . More generally, $f(x)$ is said to belong to the Lipschitz class $\text{Lip } (\alpha, p)$ if

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Delta f|^p dx = O(|h|^{\alpha p}).$$

In view of Hölder's inequality, a function of $\text{Lip } (\alpha, p)$ belongs to $\text{Lip } (\alpha, q)$ for all $1 \leq q < p$. Moreover, a function of $\text{Lip } \alpha$ clearly belongs to $\text{Lip } (\alpha, p)$ for all $p \geq 1$. In fact, the class $\text{Lip } \alpha$ may be viewed roughly as the limit of $\text{Lip } (\alpha, p)$ for $p = \infty$.

The classical complex Fourier series of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx} \text{ where } c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

Equivalently, if $c_n \equiv \frac{1}{2} (a_n - ib_n)$ for all n , then

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos nx dx, \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin nx dx. \end{aligned}$$

For given integrable f , the series

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^\gamma$$

of moduli of the coefficients of these Fourier series may not converge for any finite $\gamma > 0$. If it does for certain γ , however, the convergence exponent

ρ of the Fourier coefficients is the infimum of these γ . For square-integrable f , we know that $\rho \leq 2$. (The above series, of course, need not be convergent for $\gamma = \rho$.)

The earliest result of interest to us here is the well-known theorem of Bernstein [2], [3], [4] (see also Bary [1], pp. 153-171, or Zygmund [37], pp. 240-243, for example) which we state as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. *If $f(x)$ is in $\text{Lip } \alpha$ with $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\rho < 1$.*

This result has a sharpened form due to Szász [26], namely:

THEOREM 2.2. *If $f(x)$ is in $\text{Lip } \alpha$, then $\rho = 1/(\alpha + 1/2)$,*

and an even more general rendition due essentially to Szász [26] (the case $p = 2$), [27], Titchmarsh [28] (the corresponding theorem for transforms; see also [29]), and Hardy and Littlewood [19] (under the assumption $\alpha p > 1$):

THEOREM 2.3. *If $f(x)$ belongs to $\text{Lip } (\alpha, p)$, then*

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1 - 1/p} & 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ \frac{1}{\alpha + 1/2} & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

For square-integrable f , this result only has relevance, of course, when $2\alpha p > 2 - p$.

We note in passing that since the class $\text{Lip } (1, p)$, where $p > 1$, is equivalent to the collection of integrals of functions of the Lebesgue class L^p (Hardy and Littlewood [18], p. 599), Theorem 2.3 has as a special case the well-known result originally established by Tonelli [30]:

COROLLARY. *If $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous and its derivative $f'(x)$ belongs to L^p , $p > 1$, then $\rho < 1$.*

Other restrictions on $f(x)$, $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$, are also of interest to us. Finite-valued functions are said to be of *bounded variation* if for all $N \geq 1$ and arbitrary choice of partition $-\pi \leq x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_N \leq \pi$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})| \leq B \text{ (const.)} < \infty.$$

Since $f(x)$ is in $\text{Lip}(1, 1)$ if and only if (iff) it is of bounded variation, no new results arise without at least some modest additional assumptions beyond mere bounded variation. One such set of combined restrictions leads to the following classical result first established by Zygmund [35] (see also Bary [1], Zygmund [37]):

THEOREM 2.4. *If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and also in $\text{Lip } \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$, then $\rho < 1$.*

Here also there is a sharpened form, this time due to Waraszkiewicz [31] (see also Zygmund [36]):

THEOREM 2.5. *If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and also in $\text{Lip } \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$, then $\rho = 1/(1 + \beta/2)$.*

Other results, employing different sets of combined assumptions, can be established using the convexity property of the class $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ (Hardy and Littlewood [20]), namely:

PROPERTY 1. If $f(x)$ belongs both to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ and to $\text{Lip}(\beta, q)$, where $p < q$, then it belongs to $\text{Lip}(\gamma, r)$ for all $p \leq r \leq q$, where

$$\gamma = \alpha \frac{p(q-r)}{r(q-p)} + \beta \frac{q(r-p)}{r(q-p)}.$$

In the limiting case $q = \infty$, where $f(x)$ is in $\text{Lip } \beta$, then

$$\gamma = \beta + (\alpha - \beta) \frac{p}{r}.$$

Interplaying this property with the earlier Theorem 2.3, we obtain the general

THEOREM 2.6. *If $f(x)$ belongs both to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ and to $\text{Lip}(\beta, q)$, where $p < q$, then*

i) for $q \leq 2$,

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1 - 1/p} & pq(\alpha - \beta) > q - p \\ \frac{1}{\beta + 1 - 1/q} & pq(\alpha - \beta) \leq q - p, \end{cases}$$

ii) for $p \leq 2 < q$,

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1 - 1/p} & pq(\alpha - \beta) > q - p \\ \frac{2(q - p)}{q(2\beta + \alpha p + 1) - p(2\alpha + \beta q + 1)} & 0 < pq(\alpha - \beta) \leq q - p \\ \frac{1}{\beta + 1/2} & \alpha \leq \beta, \end{cases}$$

iii) and for $p > 2$,

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1/2} & \alpha > \beta \\ \frac{1}{\beta + 1/2} & \alpha \leq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.5 is the special case of this result when $\alpha = p = 1, q = \infty$. Other special cases are:

COROLLARY 1. If $f(x)$ is of bounded variation and also in $\text{Lip}(\beta, q)$ for some $\beta > 0, q \geq 1$, then

$$\rho = \begin{cases} 1 & \beta q < 1 \\ \frac{q}{\beta q + q - 1} & \beta q \geq 1, q \leq 2 \\ \frac{2(q - 1)}{\beta q + 2q - 3} & \beta q \geq 1, q > 2; \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY 2. If $f(x)$ belongs to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ and also satisfies an ordinary Lipschitz condition with exponent $\beta > 0$, then

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{\alpha p + p - 1} & p(\alpha - \beta) > 1, & p \leq 2 \\ \frac{2}{\beta(2 - p) + \alpha p + 1} & 0 < p(\alpha - \beta) \leq 1, & p \leq 2 \\ \frac{1}{\alpha + 1/2} & \alpha > \beta, & p > 2 \\ \frac{1}{\beta + 1/2} & \alpha \leq \beta. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\rho < 1$ for $\beta q > 1$ in the first case, while for $p \leq 2$, $\alpha > \beta > (1 - \alpha p)/(2 - p)$ gives the same conclusion in the latter situation. Comparable results were observed by Hardy and Littlewood [20] and Waraszkiewicz [31].

Perhaps not surprisingly, the Corollary to Theorem 2.3 may be viewed as a special case of Corollary 2 above since when $\alpha p > 1$, functions in $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ likewise belong to $\text{Lip}(\alpha - 1/p + 1/q, q)$ for all $q > p$ and hence are equivalent to functions in $\text{Lip}(\alpha - 1/p)$ (Hardy and Littlewood [19]). Alternatively, the earlier result can also be established using the following variant of one-half of the Hausdorff-Young Theorem (Hausdorff [21], Young [33], [34]; see also Hardy and Littlewood [17], Bary [1], Zygmund [37]) and the familiar relation between the Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$ and its derivatives $f^{(s)}(x)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$:

THEOREM 2.7. *If $f(x)$ is in L^p , $p > 1$, then*

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & p \leq 2 \\ 2 & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

PROPERTY 2. If $f^{(s-1)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous for some positive integer s , then the Fourier coefficients c_{ns} of $f^{(s)}(x)$ are given by

$$c_{ns} = (in)^s c_n.$$

(Here, of course, we have made the tacit assumption that the periodic $f^{(r)}(x)$, $0 \leq r \leq s-1$, are all continuous in the wide-sense, i.e. for all x , so that in particular $f^{(r)}(\pi) = f^{(r)}(-\pi)$, $0 \leq r \leq s-1$.) Property 2 easily leads to

PROPERTY 3. If $f^{(s-1)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous for some positive integer s , and the convergence exponent of the Fourier coefficients of $f^{(s)}(x)$ is ρ_s , then

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_s}{1 + s\rho_s}.$$

Taken together, the above results finally yield the general

THEOREM 2.8. If $f^{(s-1)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous for some positive integer s , and $f^{(s)}(x)$ belongs to L^p , $p > 1$, then

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p(s+1) - 1} & p \leq 2 \\ \frac{2}{1 + 2s} & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for $s = 1$

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{2p - 1} & p \leq 2 \\ \frac{2}{3} & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

Any number of other deductions can be obtained by combining Theorem 2.8 with earlier results. We content ourselves with

THEOREM 2.9. If $f^{(s-1)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous for some positive s , and if $f^{(s)}(x)$ is of bounded variation and also in $\text{Lip}(\beta, q)$ for some $\beta > 0$, $q \geq 1$, then

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + s} & \beta q < 1 \\ \frac{q}{q(\beta + 1 + s) - 1} & \beta q \geq 1, q \leq 2 \\ \frac{2(q-1)}{q(\beta + 2 + 2s) - 3 - 2s} & \beta q \geq 1, q > 2; \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2.10. If $f^{(s-1)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous for some positive s , and if $f^{(s)}(x)$ belongs to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ and also satisfies an ordinary Lipschitz condition with exponent $\beta > 0$, then

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p(\alpha + 1 + s) - 1} & p(\alpha - \beta) > 1, p \leq 2 \\ \frac{2}{\beta(2-p) + \alpha p + 1 + 2s} & 0 < p(\alpha - \beta) \leq 1, p \leq 2 \\ \frac{1}{\alpha + s + 1/2} & \alpha > \beta, p > 2 \\ \frac{1}{\beta + s + 1/2} & \alpha \leq \beta. \end{cases}$$