

# Section 3. Interpolation theorems

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **12 (1966)**

Heft 4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **22.09.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

such that  $l(f) = \int_M f(x) g(x) dm(x)$  for all  $f \in L(1,1)$  and  $|\int_M f(x) dm(x)| \leq B \|f\|_{1q}^*$ . If  $(M, m)$  is non-atomic we can use this to show that  $g = 0$  a.e. and, hence *the trivial functional  $l \equiv 0$  is the only continuous linear functional on  $L(1, q)$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ .*

### Section 3. INTERPOLATION THEOREMS

Suppose  $T$  is an operator which maps  $L(p_i, q_i)$  boundedly into  $L(p'_i, q'_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . An interpolation theorem for  $L(p, q)$  spaces can then be described as a method which leads to inequalities of the form  $\|Tf\|_{p'q'}^* \leq B \|f\|_{pq}^*$ ,  $B$  independent of  $f \in L(p, q)$ . The intermediate spaces  $L(p, q)$  and  $L(p', q')$  and the corresponding constant  $B$  are determined by the method of interpolation.

Interpolation theorems can generally be classified as either weak type or strong type. The two types of theorems are easily characterized. The weak type theorems are proved by real variable methods which utilize only minimal hypotheses. Since the weak hypotheses are characteristic of the real method of proof, the conclusions are limited. In the case of Lorentz spaces the essential part of the weak type hypothesis is that the range spaces of the given end point conditions are weak  $L^p$  spaces. We can then conclude only that an intermediate space  $L(p, q)$  is mapped boundedly into an appropriate space  $L(p', q')$ , where  $q' \geq q$ . In order to utilize a stronger hypothesis to arrive at a stronger conclusion, we must go to the complex methods of proof which are characteristic of the strong type theorems. The two methods also differ in the intermediate spaces obtained and in the behavior of the corresponding constants  $B$ . In general, we obtain more intermediate spaces by the weak type methods. However, the constants corresponding to the weak type methods are, in some sense, not as satisfactory. This is seen in the prototypes of the weak and strong type theorems, the interpolation theorem of Marcinkiewicz and the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem.

An operator  $T$  mapping functions on a measure space into functions on another measure space is called *quasi-linear* if  $T(f+g)$  is defined whenever  $Tf$  and  $Tg$  are defined and if  $|T(f+g)| \leq K(|Tf| + |Tg|)$  a.e., where  $K$  is independent of  $f$  and  $g$ . An argument similar to that which led to (1.6) gives

$$(3.1) \quad (T(f+g))^*(t) \leq K((Tf)^*(t/2) + (Tg)^*(t/2)).$$

Our weak type theorem is a consequence of Hardy's inequality.

WEAK TYPE THEOREM: *If T is quasi-linear and*

$$H) \quad \| Tf \|_{p_i q_i}^* \leq B_i \| f \|_{p_i q_i}^*, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad p_0 < p_1, \quad p'_0 \neq p'_1,$$

then

$$C) \quad \| Tf \|_{p_\theta q}^* \leq B_\theta \| f \|_{p_\theta q}^*,$$

where  $q \leq s$  and, for  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,  $1/p_\theta = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ ,  $1/p'_\theta = (1-\theta)/p'_0 + \theta/p'_1$ . If  $r = \min(q, q_0, q_1)$ , then  $B_\theta = O([\theta(1-\theta)]^{-1/r})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p = p_\theta$  and  $p' = p'_\theta$ . Since  $q \leq s$  implies  $\| Tf \|_{p's}^* \leq \| Tf \|_{p'q}^*$ , it is sufficient to prove C) with  $s = q$ . Similarly, we assume that  $q'_0 = q'_1 = \infty$  and that  $q_0, q_1 \leq q$ , except when  $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ . Put

$$f^t(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |f(x)| > f^*(t^\gamma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $f_t(x) = f(x) - f^t(x)$ , where  $\gamma = \frac{1/p'_0 - 1/p'}{1/p_0 - 1/p} = \frac{1/p' - 1/p_1}{1/p - 1/p_1}$ .

It follows from the definitions that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} f^{t*}(y) \leq \begin{cases} f^*(y) & 0 < y < t^\gamma \\ 0 & y \geq t^\gamma \end{cases} \\ f_t^*(y) \leq \begin{cases} f^*(t) & 0 < y < t^\gamma \\ f^*(y) & y \geq t^\gamma. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

Case 1:  $p_1 < \infty, q < \infty$ .

We use (3.1), a change of variables and Minkowski's inequality (or, if  $q < 1$ , an obvious substitute which introduces an additional factor of  $2^{1/q}$ ) to obtain

$$\| Tf \|_{p'q}^* \leq K 2^{1/p'} (q/p')^{1/q} \left\{ \left( \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p'} (Tf^t)^*(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} + \left( \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p'} (Tf_t)^*(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\}.$$

By H), this sum is majorized by

$$K2^{1/p'} (q/p')^{1/q} \left\{ \left( \int_0^\infty [B_0 t^{1/p' - 1/p'_0} \|f^t\|_{p_0 q_0}^*]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} + \left( \int_0^\infty [B_1 t^{1/p' - 1/p'_1} \|f_t\|_{p_1 q_1}^*]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\}.$$

By using (3.2) and Minkowski's inequality again, we dominate this by

$$\left\{ K2^{1/p'} (q/p')^{1/q} \right. \\ \left. \left\{ B_0 \left( \int_0^\infty t^{-q(1/p'_0 - 1/p')} \left[ \frac{q_0}{p_0} \int_0^{t^\gamma} [f^*(y)]^{q_0} y^{(q_0/p_0) - 1} dy \right]^{q/q_0} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right. \right. \\ \left. + B_1 \left( \int_0^\infty t^{q(1/p' - 1/p'_1)} \left[ \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_{t^\gamma}^\infty [f^*(y)]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1) - 1} dy \right]^{q/q_1} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right. \\ \left. + B_1 \left( \int_0^\infty t^{q(1/p' - 1/p'_1)} \left[ \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_0^{t^\gamma} [f^*(t^\gamma)]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1) - 1} dy \right]^{q/q_1} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\}.$$

Again changing variables and then using Hardy's inequality, we majorize the last sum by

$$K2^{1/p'} |\gamma|^{-1/q} (p/p')^{1/q} \left\{ \frac{B_0}{(1 - (p_0/p))^{1/q_1}} + \frac{B_1}{((p_1/p) - 1)^{1/q_1}} + B_1 \right\} \|f\|_{p q}^*.$$

(Note that in order to apply Hardy's inequality it was necessary to weaken the hypothesis so that  $q/q_i \geq 1, i = 0, 1$ .)

Case 2:  $p_1 < \infty, q = \infty$ .

Following the proof of case 1, we obtain

$$t^{1/p'} (Tf)^*(t) \leq K \cdot 2^{1/p'} \left\{ B_0 t^{1/p' - 1/p'_0} \left( \frac{q_0}{p_0} \int_0^{t^\gamma} [f^*(y)]^{q_0} y^{(q_0/p_0) - 1} dy \right)^{1/q_0} \right. \\ \left. + B_1 t^{1/p' - 1/p'_1} \left( \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_{t^\gamma}^\infty [f^*(y)]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1) - 1} dy \right)^{1/q_1} \right. \\ \left. + B_1 t^{1/p' - 1/p'_1} \left( \frac{q_1}{p_1} \int_0^{t^\gamma} [f^*(t)]^{q_1} y^{(q_1/p_1) - 1} dy \right)^{1/q_1} \right\}.$$

Then, after use of the estimate  $y^{1/p} f^*(y) \leq \|f\|_{p\infty}^*$ , the proof of case 2 is clear.

The remaining cases are

Case 3:  $p_1 = q_1 = \infty, q < \infty,$

and

Case 4:  $p_1 = q_1 = q = \infty.$

The proofs of these cases follows the proofs of cases 1 and 2, except we now use the estimate  $\|f_t\|_{\infty\infty}^* \leq f^*(t^\gamma).$

An operator  $T$  which maps functions on a measure space into functions on another measure space is called *sublinear* if whenever  $Tf$  and  $Tg$  are defined and  $c$  is a constant, then  $T(f+g)$  and  $T(cf)$  are defined with

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} |T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg| & \text{and} \\ |T(cf)| = |c| \cdot |Tf|. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(3.4) \quad ||Tf| - |Tg|| \leq |T(f-g)|.$$

Our analogue of the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem depends on harmonic majorization of subharmonic functions.

**STRONG TYPE THEOREM:** *Suppose  $T$  is a sublinear operator and*

$$\|Tf\|_{p_i q_i} \leq B_i \|f\|_{p_i q_i}^*, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Then  $\|Tf\|_{p_\theta q_\theta}^* \leq B B_0^{1-\theta} B_1^\theta \|f\|_{p_\theta q_\theta}^*$ , where  $1/p_\theta = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1,$   
 $1/p'_\theta = (1-\theta)/p'_0 + \theta/p'_1, 1/q_\theta = (1-\theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1$  and  $1/q'_\theta = (1-\theta)/q'_0 + \theta/q'_1, 0 < \theta < 1.$

*Proof.* Let  $p_\theta = p, q_\theta = q, p'_\theta = p',$  and  $q'_\theta = q'.$

Suppose that  $f$  is a simple function. Then  $f$  can be written in the form

$$f(x) = e^{i \arg f(x)} (G_0(x))^{1-\theta} (G_1(x))^\theta,$$

where  $G_i$  is a non-negative simple function such that

$$(3.5) \quad \|G_i\|_{p_i q_i}^* \leq B (\|f\|_{p q}^*)^{q/q_i}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

To see this, consider  $(f^*)^{**}, 0 < r < \min(p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, q'_0, q'_1).$  We have  $(f^*)^{**}(t) = (h_0(t))^{1-\theta} (h_1(t))^\theta,$  where

$$h_i(t) = [(f^*)^{**}(t)]^{q/q_i} t^{(q/q_i)(q/p - q_i/p_i)}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

If  $Sh(u) = \left(\int_u^\infty [h(t)]^r \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/r}$ , it is not difficult to see that  $f^*(u)$

$\leq S((f^*)^{**})(u)$ , and hence, by Holder's inequality, that  $f^*(u) \leq (Sh_0(u))^{1-\theta} (Sh_1(u))^\theta$ .  $G_i$  is obtained by choosing values smaller than  $Sh_i$ . (3.5) follows from Hardy's inequality.

Let  $F(x, z) = e^{i \arg f(x)} [G_0(x)]^{1-z} [G_1(x)]^z$ ,  $z$  complex,  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$ .

Since  $G_i$  is simple and non-negative,  $i = 0, 1$ ,  $TF(x, z)$  is defined for  $z$  fixed. By considering first a countable dense set  $\{z_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  and then extending by continuity to all  $z$ , we may assume that except for a set of measure zero  $|TF(y, z)|$  is defined for all  $z$  and  $y$  fixed and (3.3) and (3.4) are true pointwise in  $y$ . Fix such a point  $y$ . (3.3) and (3.4) imply that  $|TF(y, z)|$  is a bounded and continuous function of  $z$ ,  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$ . We need that  $\log |TF(y, z)|$  is subharmonic in  $0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1$ . This follows from the fact that  $|TF(y, z)| e^{h(z)}$  is subharmonic for every harmonic function  $h(z)$ . That is, let  $H(z)$  be analytic with real part  $h(z)$ . For a fixed point  $z$  let  $z_{km}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , be points which are evenly distributed over the circle with radius  $r$  and center  $z$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . If  $D(x, m, z)$  is defined by

$$e^{H(z)} F(x, z) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m F(x, z_{km}) e^{H(z_{km})} + D(x, m, z),$$

then

$$e^{h(z)} |TF(y, z)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m e^{h(z_{km})} |TF(x, z_{km})| + |TD(y, m, z)|.$$

Since  $D(x, m, z)$  is of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^N (\varphi_j(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^m \varphi_j(z_{km})) \chi_{E_j}(x)$ , with  $\varphi_j$  analytic, we may again assume that (3.3) holds pointwise in  $y$ , so  $|TD(y, m, z)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$e^{h(z)} |TF(y, z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{h(z+re^{i\theta})} |TF(y, z+re^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

so  $\log |TF(y, z)|$  is subharmonic.

The preceding paragraph implies that  $\log |TF(y, z)|$  is majorized in  $0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1$  by the Poisson integral of its boundary values. In particular,

$$\log |TF(y, \theta)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \log |TF(y, it)| dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) \log |TF(y,$$

$1 + it) | dt$ , where  $P_0(\theta, t)$  and  $P_1(\theta, t)$  are positive,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) dt = 1 - \theta$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) dt = \theta$ . We then obtain

$$|TF(y, \theta)|^r \leq \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \log |TF(y, it)|^r dt\right) \right\}^{1-\theta} \cdot \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) \log |TF(y, 1+it)|^r dt\right) \right\}^{\theta}.$$

Noting that  $TF(y, \theta) = Tf(y)$ , we use Jensen's inequality to obtain

$$|Tf(y)| \leq H_0(y)^{1-\theta} \cdot H_1(y)^{\theta},$$

where

$$H_0(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) |TF(y, it)|^r dt\right)^{1/r}$$

and

$$H_1(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_1(\theta, t) |TF(y, 1+it)|^r dt\right)^{1/r}.$$

Holder's inequality implies  $(Tf)^{**}(y) \leq \{H_0^{**}(y)\}^{1-\theta} \{H_1^{**}(y)\}^{\theta}$  and then  $\|Tf\|_{p'q'}^* \leq B \|H_0\|_{p_0q_0}^{1-\theta} \|H_1\|_{p_1q_1}^{\theta}$ .

By Fubini's theorem,  $H_0^{**}(y) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) [TF^{**}(y, it)]^r dt\right)^{1/r}$ .

Hence

$$\|H_0\|_{p_0q_0} \leq \left(\frac{q_0'}{p_0} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) [TF^{**}(y, it)]^r dt\right)^{q_0'/r} y^{(q_0'/p_0)-1} dy\right)^{1/q_0'}.$$

By Jensen's inequality the right hand term is dominated by

$$\left(\frac{q_0'}{p_0} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) [TF^{**}(y, it)]^{q_0'} dt\right) y^{(q_0'/p_0)-1} dy\right)^{1/q_0'}.$$

Thus, using Fubini's theorem, our hypothesis and (3.5), we have

$$\|H_0\|_{p_0q_0} \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \|TF(\cdot, it)\|_{p_0q_0}^{q_0'} dt\right)^{1/q_0'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq BB_0 \left( \frac{1}{1-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_0(\theta, t) \|F(\cdot, it)\|_{p_0 q_0}^{q_0'} dt \right)^{1/q_0'} \\ &= BB_0 \|G_0\|_{p_0 q_0}^* \leq BB_0 [\|f\|_{pq}^*]^{q/q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\|H_1\|_{p_1 q_1} \leq BB_1 [\|f\|_{pq}^*]^{q/q_1}$ .

We now have

$$(3.6) \quad \|Tf\|_{p' q'}^* \leq BB_0^{1-\theta} B_1^\theta \|f\|_{pq}^*$$

where  $f$  is any simple function.

For any  $f \in L(p, q)$  we find a sequence of simple functions  $f_n$  such that  $\|f_n\|_{pq} \rightarrow \|f\|_{pq}$  and  $|Tf_n| \rightarrow |Tf|$  a.e. Then, using Fatou's lemma, we have  $(Tf)^{**}(t) \leq \liminf (Tf_n)^{**}(t)$  and  $\|Tf\|_{p' q'} \leq \liminf \|TF_n\|_{p' q'}$ . (3.6) then implies that  $\|Tf\|_{p' q'}^* \leq BB_0^{1-\theta} B_1^\theta \|f\|_{pq}^*$ .

Note that in case  $p_0 = q_0, p_1 = q_1, p'_0 = q'_0$  and  $p'_1 = q'_1$  the proof is simpler and the constant  $B$  may be omitted from the conclusion so the constant  $B_0^{1-\theta} B_1^\theta$  of the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem is retained.

#### Section 4. APPLICATIONS

Many classical operators are known to map  $L^p$  boundedly into  $L^{p'}$ , where the points  $(1/p, 1/p')$  form a non-degenerate line segment and  $p \leq p'$ . Operators of this type are, for example, the Fourier transform [32, Vol. I, p. 254], the Hilbert transform [23], the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator [32, Vol. I, p. 32], singular integral operators [4] and fractional integral operators [12] and [28]. We see from the weak type interpolation theorem that operators of this type map  $L(p, q)$  boundedly into  $L(p', q')$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Hence, we know the behavior of the operators acting on some additional spaces. If  $p = p'$ , this is the only extension of the  $L^p$  results. However, if  $p < p'$ , the  $L^p$  result is improved, since we see that  $L^p$  is mapped boundedly into  $L(p', p)$ , a space which is continuously contained in  $L^{p'}$ .

The germ of the weak type theorem can be seen in a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood on the rearrangement of Fourier coefficients. (See [32, Vol. II, p. 130].) Let us develop an  $L(p, q)$  version of this result for the Fourier integral transform.