

# A new Faà di Bruno type formula

Autor(en): **Abel, Ulrich**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Elemente der Mathematik**

Band (Jahr): **70 (2015)**

Heft 2

PDF erstellt am: **22.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-630617>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

---

---

## A new Faà di Bruno type formula

---

---

Ulrich Abel

Ulrich Abel received his PhD in mathematics from Justus Liebig Universität Gießen, Germany. After working several years in industry he became a professor at Technische Hochschule Mittelhessen, Germany. His research interests are mainly in constructive approximation and applications of mathematical analysis. He was visiting professor at several universities.

### 1 Introduction

Faà di Bruno's formula for the derivatives of composite functions has an interesting history and a rich literature (see, e.g., the survey by Johnson [8], the revealing paper on the predecessors of Faà di Bruno by Craik [4] and the long list of references therein); some recent papers are [13] and [11]. If  $f$  and  $g$  are functions with a sufficient number of derivatives, then we have, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n f(g(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(g(x)) P_{n,k}(g; x)$$

with

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = n! \sum_{\nu=1}^n \prod_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{a_\nu!} \left(\frac{g^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!}\right)^{a_\nu},$$

Die Formel von Leibniz für die höheren Ableitungen eines Produkts von zwei oder mehr Funktionen ist wohlbekannt und handlich, da nur Binomial- respektive Multinomialkoeffizienten auftreten. Ihr Gegenstück ist die Verallgemeinerung der Kettenregel, nämlich der Formel für die höheren Ableitungen zusammengesetzter Funktionen. Auch diese Formel, die nach Faà di Bruno benannt ist, gehört zum Standardrepertoire der Analysis. Aufgrund ihrer kombinatorischen Komplexität hat sie trotz ihrer langen Geschichte nichts von ihrem Reiz verloren und zieht immer wieder Mathematikerinnen und Mathematiker an. Neben der üblichen expliziten Form gibt es zahlreiche geschlossene Darstellungen, die in speziellen Fällen unterschiedliche Vor- und Nachteile aufweisen. Der nachstehende Aufsatz enthält eine in der bekannten Literatur bisher nicht vertretene Darstellung und illustriert sie durch eine konkrete Anwendung.

where the sum is over all different solutions in non-negative integers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of  $a_1 + \dots + a_n = k$  and  $a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + na_n = n$ . A different notation uses the Bell polynomials (see, e.g., [5, p. 137] or [8, Equation (2.2)]). Spindler [13] published a short elementary proof of Faà di Bruno's formula. Mortini [11] found a representation of  $P_{n,k}(g; x)$  which uses a simpler summation order so that the cumbersome condition  $a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + na_n = n$  does not appear.

There are several closed representations of  $P_{n,k}$ . One of them is Meyer's formula

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dy} \right)^n (g(y) - g(x))^k \right]_{|y=x} \quad (1)$$

([10]; see also [8, Sect. 3, p. 224]) which is called Schlämilch's formula in Jordan's book [9, pp. 31–32]. It can easily be derived by expansion of  $f$  in a power series  $f(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \cdot (y - g(x))^k$  with  $f_k = f^{(k)}(g(x))/k!$  and differentiating  $n$  times  $F = f \circ g$ . The slightly different form

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \binom{n}{k} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{n-k} \left( \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{y - x} \right)^k \right]_{|y=x} \quad (2)$$

of Formula (1) follows by applying the Leibniz rule to

$$\left( \frac{d}{dy} \right)^n \left[ (y - x)^k \left( \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{y - x} \right)^k \right]$$

and evaluating at  $y = x$ . Representation (2) was given as early as 1800 by Arbogast [3, p. 34] when notational changes are taken into account (cf. [4, p. 121]). It was rediscovered by J.F.C. Tiburce Abadie ([1], [2]; see also [8, Sect. 3, p. 223]). Equation (2) can be rewritten in the usual form

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \binom{n}{k} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dh} \right)^{n-k} (\Delta_h g(x))^k \right]_{|h=0}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta_h$  denotes the divided difference  $\Delta_h g(x) := (g(x+h) - g(x))/h$  with step size  $h$ . An equivalent result, which also predates [1], was given by J. West (see [4, p. 121]).

A further representation

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-g(x))^{k-j} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n (g(x))^j \quad (4)$$

known as Hoppe's formula, is commonly attributed to Hoppe ([7]; see also [8, Sect. 3, p. 224]), but appears already in the work of West [14, vol. 1, Theorem 8, p. 138] (cf. [4, p. 127]).

Its direct consequence,

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n (g(x))^k \right]_{|g(x)=0}, \quad (5)$$

a streamlined version of Equation (4), is called Scott's formula ([12]; see also [8, Sect. 3, p. 226]).

## 2 Main result and proof

The purpose of this short note is the derivation of a rather different closed representation of  $P_{n,k}$ . The proof uses complex methods. Therefore, the main result is stated for analytic functions defined on subsets of the complex plane.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $x$  be a complex number. Furthermore, let  $f$  and  $g$  be analytic functions on (complex) neighborhoods of  $g(x)$  and  $x$ , respectively, with  $g'(x) \neq 0$ . Then the composite function  $f \circ g$  has the derivatives*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n f(g(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(g(x)) P_{n,k}(g; x),$$

where  $P_{n,k}$  possesses the representation

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n-k} \left[ \left(\frac{y - g(x)}{g^{-1}(y) - x}\right)^{n+1} (g^{-1})'(y) \right]_{|y=g(x)}. \quad (6)$$

**Remark 2.** Note that the representation (6) is valid also for real functions  $f$  and  $g$  possessing a continuous derivative of order  $n$  in a (real) neighborhood of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is a consequence of the fact that each function  $f \in C^n(I)$ , where  $I$  is any real interval, can be simultaneously approximated with arbitrary precision by an analytic function  $\hat{f}$  on each compact set  $K \subset I$ , i.e., for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\hat{f}$  analytic in a region containing  $K$ , such that

$$\left| f^{(k)}(x) - \hat{f}^{(k)}(x) \right| < \varepsilon \quad (x \in K, k = 0, \dots, n)$$

**Remark 3.** Note that the condition  $g'(x) \neq 0$  assures that  $g$  is one-to-one on a certain neighborhood of the point  $x$ .

Obviously, for fixed  $x$ , the function  $\tilde{g}_x(y) := (y - g(x)) / (g^{-1}(y) - x)$  is analytic in a deleted neighborhood of  $g(x)$ . Because of  $(g^{-1})'(g(x)) = 1/g'(x)$  the isolated singularity at  $y = g(x)$  can be removed by defining  $\tilde{g}_x(g(x)) := g'(x)$ . Setting  $G_{n,x}(y) := (\tilde{g}_x(y))^{n+1} (g^{-1})'(y)$ , Formula (6) can be rewritten in the concise form

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = \binom{n}{k} G_{n,x}^{(n-k)}(g(x)). \quad (7)$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $r > 0$  such that  $f \circ g$  is analytic with  $g'(z) \neq 0$  in a region containing the closed disk  $\bar{D}_r(x) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - x| \leq r\}$ . Applying the Cauchy integral formula we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n f(g(x)) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_r(x)} \frac{f(g(z))}{(z - x)^{n+1}} dz.$$

Because  $g'(z) \neq 0$  on  $\partial D_r(x)$  we can change the variable  $z = g^{-1}(y)$ , and the latter contour integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_W \frac{f(y)}{(g^{-1}(y) - x)^{n+1}} (g^{-1})'(y) dy \\ &= \int_W \frac{f(y)}{(y - g(x))^{n+1}} \left( \frac{y - g(x)}{g^{-1}(y) - x} \right)^{n+1} (g^{-1})'(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W = g(\partial D_r(x))$  is a closed integration path encircling  $g(x)$ . As in Remark 3 we note that  $y \neq g(x)$ , for all  $y \in W$ . Furthermore,  $h(y) := \left( \frac{y - g(x)}{g^{-1}(y) - x} \right)^{n+1}$  is analytic in a region containing  $g(\bar{D}_r(x)) \setminus \{g(x)\}$ . The point  $y = g(x)$  is a removable singularity. If we define  $h(g(x)) = (g(x))^{n+1}$  the function  $h$  becomes analytic at  $y = g(x)$ . Therefore, the Cauchy integral formula implies that

$$\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n f(g(x)) = \left( \frac{d}{dy} \right)^n \left[ f(y) \left( \frac{y - g(x)}{g^{-1}(y) - x} \right)^{n+1} (g^{-1})'(y) \right]_{|y=g(x)}.$$

An application of the Leibniz rule for differentiation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n f(g(x)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f^{(k)}(g(x)) \left( \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{n-k} \left[ \left( \frac{y - g(x)}{g^{-1}(y) - x} \right)^{n+1} (g^{-1})'(y) \right]_{|y=g(x)} \end{aligned}$$

which proves Formula (6). □

### 3 An application

As an example showing the advantage of Formula (6) against other representations we take  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ , for  $x > 0$ .

In what follows we sometimes use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\underline{n}} &= z(z-1)\cdots(z-n+1), & z^{\bar{n}} &= z(z+1)\cdots(z+n-1), & n &\in \mathbb{N}, \\ z^{\underline{0}} &= z^{\bar{0}} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

for the falling and rising factorial, respectively.

The common form of Faà di Bruno's formula yields

$$\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n f(g(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(\sqrt{x}) \sum_{a_\nu} n! \prod (a_\nu!)^{-1} \left( \binom{1/2}{\nu} x^{1/2-\nu} \right)^{a_\nu},$$

where the summation runs over all non-negative integers  $a_\nu$  satisfying  $\sum a_\nu = k$  and  $\sum \nu a_\nu = n$ . After a simplification we arrive at

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = (-1)^{n-k} n! 2^{-2n-k} x^{(k-2n)/2} \sum_{a_\nu} n! \prod (a_\nu!)^{-1} \left( \frac{(2\nu-2)!}{\nu!(\nu-1)!} \right)^{a_\nu},$$

where  $\sum a_\nu = k$  and  $\sum \nu a_\nu = n$ .

Meyer's formula (1) requires  $n$ th order derivatives of  $(\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{x})^k$  with respect to  $y$ . Abadie's formula (2) requires derivatives of  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{y}-\sqrt{x}}{y-x}\right)^k = (\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{x})^{-k}$  with respect to  $y$ .

Hoppe's formula (4) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,k}(g; x) &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} x^{(k-j)/2} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n x^{j/2} \\ &= \frac{x^{k/2-n}}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \left(\frac{j}{2}\right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

while Scott's formula (5) seemingly doesn't provide any advantage.

Formula (6) with  $\frac{y-\sqrt{x}}{y^2-x} = (y + \sqrt{x})^{-1}$  and  $g^{-1}(y) = y^2$  yields after an application of the Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k}^{-1} P_{n,k}(g; x) &= \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n-k} \left[ \frac{2y}{(y + \sqrt{x})^{n+1}} \right]_{|y=\sqrt{x}} \\ &= \left[ 2y \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n-k} \frac{1}{(y + \sqrt{x})^{n+1}} + \binom{n-k}{1} \cdot 2 \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n-k-1} \frac{1}{(y + \sqrt{x})^{n+1}} \right]_{|y=\sqrt{x}} \\ &= 2\sqrt{x} (-n-1)^{n-k} (2\sqrt{x})^{-n-1-(n-k)} \\ &\quad + (n-k) \cdot 2 (-n-1)^{n-k-1} (2\sqrt{x})^{-n-1-(n-k-1)} \\ &= (-1)^{n-k} k (n+1)^{\overline{n-k-1}} (2\sqrt{x})^{-2n+k} \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = (-1)^{n-k} \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} n^{\overline{n-k}} (2\sqrt{x})^{-2n+k}, \quad (8)$$

which is valid for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .

**Remark 4.** Comparison of the outcome of Hoppe's formula with the rewritten form of Equation (8)

$$P_{n,k}(g; x) = (-1)^{n-k} \frac{n!}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n} (2\sqrt{x})^{-2n+k}$$

leads to the remarkable equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \binom{j/2}{n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n-k}} \frac{k}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n},$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is the Rosenstock–Gray–Riordan identity [6, p. 43, Equation (3.164)].

## Acknowledgement

The author is very grateful to the anonymous referee for valuable remarks which led to a better presentation of the paper.

## References

- [1] T. A. [J.F.C. Tiburce Abadie], Sur la différentiation des fonctions de fonctions, *Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques* **9** (1850) 119–125.
- [2] A. [J.F.C. Tiburce Abadie], Sur la différentiation des fonctions de fonctions. Séries de Burmann, de Lagrange, de Wronski, *Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques* **11** (1852) 376–383.
- [3] Louis François Antoine Arbogast, *Du calcul des dérivations*, Levrault, Strasbourg, 1800.
- [4] Alex D. D. Craik, Prehistory of Faà di Bruno's formula, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **112** (2005) 119–130.
- [5] Louis Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, Reidel, Dordrecht/Boston, 1974.
- [6] Henry W. Gould, *Combinatorial Identities*, Morgantown Print & Bind., Morgantown, WV (1972).
- [7] Reinhold Hoppe, *Theorie der independenten Darstellung der höheren Differentialquotienten*, Johann Ambrosius Barth, Leipzig, 1845.
- [8] Warren P. Johnson, The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **109** (2002) 217–234.
- [9] Charles Jordan, *Calculus of finite differences*, Chelsea, New York, 1965.
- [10] Ubbo H. Meyer, Sur les dérivées d'une fonction de fonction, *Archiv der Mathematik und Physik* **9** (1847) 96–100.
- [11] Raymond Mortini, The Faà di Bruno formula revisited, *Elem. Math.* **68** (2013) 33–38.
- [12] George Scott, Formulae of successive differentiation, *Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (1861) 77–92.
- [13] Karlheinz Spindler, A short proof of the formula of Faà di Bruno, *Elem. Math.* **60** (2005) 33–35.
- [14] John West, *Mathematical Treatises ... edited ... by the late Sir John Leslie ... accompanied by a memoir of ... the author by Edward Sang*, Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh and Simpkin, Marshall & Co., London, 1838.

Ulrich Abel

Technische Hochschule Mittelhessen

Fachbereich MND

Wilhelm-Leuschner-Straße 13

D-61169 Friedberg, Germany

Ulrich.Abel@mnd.thm.de