Zeitschrift:	Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band:	85 (2010)
Artikel:	Conformal arc-length as ½-dimensional length of the set of osculating circles
Autor:	Langevin, Rémi / O'Hara, Jun
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-130664

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 04.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Conformal arc-length as $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length of the set of osculating circles

Rémi Langevin and Jun O'Hara*

Abstract. The set of osculating circles of a given curve in S^3 forms a lightlike curve in the set of oriented circles in S^3 . We show that its " $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional measure" with respect to the pseudo-Riemannian structure of the set of circles is proportional to the conformal arc-length of the original curve, which is a conformally invariant local quantity discovered in the first half of the last century.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 53A30, 53B30.

Keywords. Conformal arc-length, osculating circles, pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

1. Introduction

The Frenet–Serret formula provides a local expression of a space curve in terms of the arc-length, the curvature, and the torsion. It is well-known that a space curve is determined by the curvature and the torsion up to motion of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e. isometric transformation of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let us consider local theory of space curves in conformal geometry. We remark that the arc-length is not preserved by Möbius transformations. Three conformal invariants have been found using a suitable normal form. They are conformal arc-length, conformal curvature, and conformal torsion (the reader is referred to [CSW] for example). Just like in the Euclidean case we have:

Theorem 1.1 ([Fi], Theorem 7.2). An oriented connected vertex-free curve is determined up to conformal motion by the three conformal invariants, the conformal arc-length, the conformal torsion, and the conformal curvature.

In this article, we study the conformal arc-length.

^{*}This work is partly supported by the JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science) Bilateral Program and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19540096.

Definition 1.2. Let *C* be an oriented curve in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let *s*, κ , τ be the arc-length, curvature, and torsion of *C*, respectively. The *conformal arc-length* parameter ρ of *C* is given by

$$d\rho = \sqrt[4]{\kappa'^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2} \, ds. \tag{1.1}$$

It gives a conformally invariant parametrization of a vertex-free curve. We call the 1-form $(c^{-1})^* d\rho$ on the curve *C* the *conformal arc-length element*, where *c* is a map from some interval *I* to \mathbb{R}^3 so that $C = \{c(s)\}$.

The conformal arc-length was given in [Li] and the above formula was given in [Ta].

In this paper, we give a new interpretation of the conformal arc-length in terms of the set of the osculating circles.

Let γ be a lightlike curve. Although its length is equal to 0, we can define a non-trivial " $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure" of γ by

$$L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \lim_{\max |t_{j+1} - t_j| \to +0} \sum_{i} \sqrt{\|\gamma(t_{i+1}) - \gamma(t_i)\|},$$

and a " $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element" $d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}$ by $d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{|\langle \vec{\gamma}, \vec{\gamma} \rangle|}{12}} dt$ so that $L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}$.

Let S(1, 3) denote the set of the oriented circles in \mathbb{R}^3 (or S^3), where we consider lines in \mathbb{R}^3 as circles. It has a pseudo-Riemannian structure with index 2 which is compatible with the Möbius transformations. Let *C* be a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 . The set of osculating circles to *C* forms a lightlike curve γ in S(1, 3) (Theorem 7.2). Our main theorem claims that the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of γ is equal to a constant times the conformal arc-length of the original curve *C* (Corollary 7.4).

We also study various properties of curves of osculating circles, or in general, lightlike curves in the set of oriented circles (or spheres). In each case, a geometric counterpart of the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ measure is given.

This article is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we explain how to realize spheres and Euclidean spaces in Minkowski space. In Section 3 we give the bijection between the set of codimension 1 oriented spheres and the de Sitter space. In Section 4 we define $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element and $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of a lightlike curve. In Section 5 we study the set of osculating circles to a curve in \mathbb{R}^2 (or S^2), which becomes a lightlike curve in 3-dimensional de Sitter space. The Section 6 is a preparation to the Section 7, where we prove our main theorem. These two sections can be read independently of the previous section. In the last section we relate lightlike curves in the space of circles, lightlike curves in the space of spheres and the infinitesimal cross ratio.

Vol. 85 (2010)	Conformal arc-length	275
, 01. 02 (2010)	comorniar are rengan	=10

In this article we will use the following notations: the letter *s* is used for the arc-length of a curve in \mathbb{E}^3 or S^3 , and the derivative with respect to *s* is denoted by putting \prime . The letter *t* is for a general parameter, and the derivative with respect to *t* is denoted by putting \cdot . The letter \tilde{s} is for the arc-length of the set of osculating spheres to a curve in \mathbb{E}^3 or S^3 , which is a curve in de Sitter space in the Minkowski space (Section 8).

The authors thank Gil Solanes and Martin Guest for valuable discussions.

2. Spherical and Euclidean models in the Minkowski space

Let *n* be 1, 2, or 3. The *Minkowski space* \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 is \mathbb{R}^{n+2} with indefinite inner product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = -x_0 y_0 + x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} y_{n+1}$$

Define the Lorentz form by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$. The norm of a vector \mathbf{v} is given by $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v})|}$. A vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} is called *spacelike* if $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) > 0$, *lightlike* if $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and *timelike* if $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) < 0$. The set of lightlike vectors and the origin, $\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} \mid \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0\}$, is called the *light cone* and will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}ight$. The "pseudo-sphere", $\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} \mid \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 1\}$, is called the *de Sitter space* and will be denoted by Λ or Λ^{n+1} .



Figure 1. Model of S^3 , light cone and de Sitter space.

Let W be a vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 . There are three cases which are mutually exclusive. Let $\langle , \rangle |_W$ denote the restriction of \langle , \rangle to W.

- (1) The case when $\langle , \rangle |_W$ is non-degenerate. This case can be divided into two cases:
 - (1-a) The case when $\langle , \rangle |_W$ is indefinite. It happens if and only if W intersects the light cone transversely. In this case W is said to be *timelike*.
 - (1-b) The case when $\langle , \rangle |_W$ is positive definite. It happens if and only if W intersects the light cone only at the origin. In this case W is said to be *spacelike*.
- (2) The case when $\langle , \rangle |_W$ is degenerate. It happens if and only if *W* is tangent to the light cone. In this case *W* is said to be *isotropic*.

The sphere S^n , the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n , and the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n can be realized in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 as affine sections of the light cone, i.e., the intersection of an affine (n + 1)space H and the light cone (Figure 2 (top)). We call them spherical, Euclidean, and



Figure 2. Spherical, Euclidean, and hyperbolic models in the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} (top). The geodesic curvature k_g , pictures in affine hyperplanes H (bottom).

hyperbolic models, respectively. Their metrics are induced from the Lorentz form on \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 ([G]).

(1) When the affine space *H* is tangent to the hyperboloid $F_+ = \{x \mid \mathcal{L}(x) = -1, x_0 > 0\}$, the intersection $\mathcal{L}ight \cap H$ is a sphere S^n with constant curvature 1.

When the tangent point is (1, 0, ..., 0), i.e., when $H = \{x_0 = 1\}$, we will denote it by $S^n(1)$. The *n*-sphere S^3 can be identified with the set of lines through the origin in the light cone.

(2) When the affine space H is parallel to an isotropic subspace and does not contain the origin, the intersection $\mathcal{L}ight \cap H$ is an Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n . For example,

take two lightlike vectors n_1 and n_2 given by

$$\boldsymbol{n}_1 = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \boldsymbol{n}_2 = (1, -1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Put

$$H = \mathbf{n}_2 + (\operatorname{Span}\langle \mathbf{n}_1 \rangle)^{\perp}$$
 and $\mathbb{E}_0^n = \mathcal{L}ight \cap H.$

Then the intersection can be explicitly expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}_0^n = \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{4}, -1 + \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{4}, \mathbf{x} \right) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\},\tag{2.1}$$

where \cdot denotes the standard inner product. There is an isometry obtained by the projection in the direction of n_1 from \mathbb{E}_0^n to $\{(1, -1, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$.

The lightlike lines in the light cone gives the bijection between $S^n(1) \setminus \{n_1\}$ and \mathbb{E}_0^n . It is exactly same as the stereographic projection from the north pole N from $S^n \setminus \{N\}$ to \mathbb{R}^n which is tangent to S^n at the south pole through the identifications $S^n \cong S^n(1)$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{E}_0^n$.

3. De Sitter space as the space of codimension 1 spheres

Let S(n-1,n) be the set of oriented (n-1)-spheres Σ in \mathbb{E}^n (or S^n). Then there is a bijection from S(n-1,n) to the de Sitter space Λ^{n+1} . Let us express this bijection φ in two ways. In this section we consider \mathbb{E}^n (or S^n) as the intersection of the light cone and an affine hyperplane H in the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 .

3.1. Using pseudo-orthogonality. Let Σ be an oriented (n - 1)-sphere in \mathbb{E}^n (or S^n). Then Σ can be obtained in H as the intersection of \mathbb{E}^n (or S^n) and an affine hyperplane W of H. By taking a cone from the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 , Σ can be realized in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 as the intersection of the light cone and an oriented codimension 1 vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_1 , Π (Figure 3). Let $\sigma \in \Lambda^{n+1}$ be the endpoint of the positive unit normal vector to Π .

Definition 3.1. As above, the bijection $\varphi \colon \mathcal{S}(n-1,n) \to \Lambda^{n+1}$ is given by assigning σ to Σ .

Since the pseudo-orthogonality is preserved by the action of the Lorentz group O(4, 1), this bijection is compatible with the action of O(4, 1), i.e.,

$$\varphi(A \cdot \Sigma) = A \,\varphi(\Sigma) \quad (A \in \mathcal{O}(4, 1)). \tag{3.1}$$



Figure 3. The bijection between S(n-1,n) and Λ^{n+1} .

3.2. Using geodesic curvature and a normal vector. Let Σ be a codimension 1 sphere in S^n or in \mathbb{E}^n . The *geodesic curvature* of a sphere Σ means the geodesic curvature of any geodesic curve on it. Let it be denoted by k_g . Let *m* be a point in Σ and *n* the unit normal vector to Σ at *m*. As is illustrated in Figure 2 (bottom), *n* is a vector in $T_m S^n$ or $T_m \mathbb{E}^n$ which is a subspace of $T_m H$.

Proposition 3.2. As above, the point $\sigma = \varphi(\Sigma) \in \Lambda$ is given by

$$\sigma = k_g m + \boldsymbol{n}. \tag{3.2}$$

When the ambient space is Euclidean, the letter "g" of k_g can be dropped off.

Remark. If the orientation of Σ is reversed then the corresponding point σ in Λ should be replaced by $-\sigma$. Therefore, we need a sign convention for the geodesic curvature k_g , which we shall fix as follows. (We only argue for a spherical model.)

Choose the unit normal vector n so that if a basis of $T_m \Sigma$ consisting of ordered vectors v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} gives the positive orientation of $T_m \Sigma$, then a basis of $T_m S^n$ consisting of ordered vectors v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, n gives the positive orientation of $T_m S^n$. Let a be an acceleration vector at m to a geodesic circle of Σ through m and p the orthogonal projection to $T_m S^n$. Then k_g is given by $p(a) = k_g n$.

Proof. We give two kinds of proofs for the case when n = 2.

(1) Assume $k_g \neq 0$. First recall that if q is a point in the light cone, the orthogonal complement of Span $\langle q \rangle$ in the Minkowski space is the codimension 1 hyperplane

 $T_q \mathcal{L}ight$ which is tangent to the light cone along the line $\text{Span}\langle q \rangle$. It implies that the line Π^{\perp} which is orthogonal to Π is contained in (in fact, it turns out to be equal to) $\bigcap_{q \in \Sigma} T_q \mathcal{L}ight$. By taking the intersection with the affine 3-space H (Figure 2), it follows that $\Pi^{\perp} \cap H$ is the vertex v of a cone which is tangent to $S^2(1) = \mathcal{L}ight \cap H$ along Σ . Therefore σ is given by $\sigma = \pm v/||v||$ ([HJ]).

Let V be the cone with vertex v which is tangent to S^2 (or \mathbb{E}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2) along Σ (Figure 2 (bottom)). Develop the cone V on an Euclidean plane by rolling it. As the cone is a developable ruled surface, this developing is a isometry. The curve obtained from Σ is an arc of a circle whose curvature is equal to the geodesic curvature of Σ , which means that ||v - m|| is equal to $1/k_g$ (Figure 4).



Figure 4. The projection in the lightlike direction gives an isometry between \mathbb{E}^2 , \mathbb{R}^2 and the cone in *H* which is tangent to \mathbb{E}^2 along Σ .

Therefore we have $v = m + \frac{1}{k_g} \mathbf{n}$. Since *m* is lightlike and orthogonal to *n*, we have $\langle v, v \rangle = k_g^{-2}$, which implies that the unit normal vector to Π is given by $\pm k_g v = k_g m + \mathbf{n}$.

(2) We first give a proof for the spherical case. Let Σ be a circle given by $\Sigma = S^2 \cap W$ and *m* a point in Σ . Since the bijection φ is O(4, 1)-equivariant, see (3.1), we may assume, after an action of O(4, 1) if necessary, that the spherical model is $S^2(1)$, and *W* and *m* are given by

$$W = \{ (1, \cos \alpha, y, z) \mid y, z \in \mathbb{R} \} \quad (0 < \alpha \le \frac{\pi}{2}),$$

$$m = (1, \cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, 0).$$

Since the radius of Σ in the affine space W is equal to $\sin \alpha$ (Figure 5) we have $a = (0, 0, -\frac{1}{\sin \alpha}, 0)$, which implies $p(a) = (0, \cos \alpha, -\frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sin \alpha}, 0)$. Since $n = \pm (0, \sin \alpha, -\cos \alpha, 0)$ it follows that $k_g = \pm \cot \alpha$.

Since Π , where $\Sigma = S^2(1) \cap \Pi$, is given by $\Pi = \{c(1, \cos \alpha, y, z) \mid c, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$, its unit normal vector σ is given by $\sigma = \pm (\cot \alpha, \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}, 0, 0)$. Therefore we have $\sigma = k_g m + n$.





Figure 5. A picture in the section with the plane containing the origin, m, and a.

Figure 6. The vertex v of a cone tangent to $S^2(1)$ at Σ .

A proof for the Euclidean case can be obtained exactly in the same way. The O(4, 1)-equivariance of the bijection φ enables us to locate the model \mathbb{E}^2 , the sphere Σ , and the point *m* in special positions which make the computation very easy. \Box

4. $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional measure of lightlike curves

Let γ be a lightlike curve in Minkowski space \mathbb{R}_1^m , or in general, in a pseudo-Riemannian space \mathbb{R}_l^m with index l. (When l > 1 a lightlike curve is called a *null* curve in [O'N].) We define the Lorentz quadratic form $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{v})$ by $\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle$ and the norm by $\|\boldsymbol{v}\| = \sqrt{|\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{v})|}$ for a vector \boldsymbol{v} in \mathbb{R}_l^m (l > 1) as well.

Proposition 4.1. Let γ be a compact lightlike curve which is piecewise of class C^4 . Let $t \in [0, T]$ be the parameter, which is not necessarily the arc-length. We consider a subdivision $0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_n = T$ of the interval [0, T]. Then the following limit exists, is finite, and generically non-zero:

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sum_{i} \sqrt{\|\gamma(t_{i+1}) - \gamma(t_i)\|},$$
(4.1)

where $\delta = \max\{|t_{i+1} - t_i|\}.$

Proof. We have

$$\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t) = \dot{\gamma}h + \frac{\ddot{\gamma}}{2}h^2 + \frac{\ddot{\gamma}}{6}h^3 + O(h^4).$$

CMH

Since γ is lightlike, we have

$$\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = 0, \langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle \ddot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle + \langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle = 0,$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t)) = \langle \gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t), \gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t) \rangle$$

= $\left(\frac{\langle \ddot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle}{4} + \frac{\langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle}{3}\right) h^4 + O(h^5)$
= $-\frac{1}{12} \langle \ddot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle h^4 + O(h^5).$ (4.2)

It follows that

$$\lim_{\max|t_{j+1}-t_{j}|\to+0} \sum_{i} \sqrt{\|\gamma(t_{i+1}) - \gamma(t_{i})\|} = \int_{C} \sqrt[4]{\frac{|\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\gamma})|}{12}} dt.$$
(4.3)

Definition 4.2. Let us call (4.1) the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional measure or $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of a lightlike curve γ and denote it by $L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)$.

Definition 4.3. Let γ be a lightlike curve. Define a 1-form $d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}$ on γ by

$$\gamma^* d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{|\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\gamma})|}{12}} dt$$
(4.4)

and call it the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element or $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -length element of γ .

The formula (4.3) implies that the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of a lightlike curve γ satisfies

$$L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}.$$
 (4.5)

Lemma 4.4. The $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -length element of a lightlike curve is well defined, i.e., the right-hand side of (4.4) does not depend on the parametrization of γ up to sign.

Proof. Let t and u be any parameters on γ . Let us denote $\frac{d}{du}$ by putting ' and $\frac{d}{dt}$ by \cdot . Then we have

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{du}{dt}\gamma',$$

$$\ddot{\gamma} = \frac{d}{dt}\dot{\gamma} = \frac{du}{dt} \cdot \frac{d}{du}\left(\frac{du}{dt}\gamma'\right) = \frac{du}{dt}\left\{\left(\frac{du}{dt}\right)'\gamma' + \frac{du}{dt}\gamma''\right\}.$$

Since $\langle \gamma', \gamma' \rangle = 0$, and hence $\langle \gamma', \gamma'' \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2}, \frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2} \right\rangle = \left(\frac{du}{dt}\right)^4 \left\langle \frac{d^2\gamma}{du^2}, \frac{d^2\gamma}{du^2} \right\rangle,$$

which implies

$$\sqrt[4]{\left|\left(\frac{d^2\gamma}{du^2}, \frac{d^2\gamma}{du^2}\right)\right|} du = \pm \sqrt[4]{\left|\left(\frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2}, \frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2}\right)\right|} dt.$$

5. Lightlike curves in de Sitter spaces

In this section we consider two examples of lightlike curves in de Sitter space: a curve in Λ^3 consisting of the osculating circles to a curve in \mathbb{E}^2 or S^2 , and that in Λ^4 of the focal spheres to a surface in \mathbb{E}^3 or S^3 along a corresponding line of principal curvature of the surface.

5.1. Lightlike curves in S(1, 2). The set S(1, 2) of oriented circles in S^2 can be identified with de Sitter space Λ^3 in \mathbb{R}^4_1 . We give a characterization of a set of osculating circles to a curve in S^2 or \mathbb{E}^2 .

Recall that a point in a plane curve is a *vertex* if and only if k' = 0 holds at that point, which happens if and only if the curve has the third order contact at that point. As the second condition is conformally invariant, we adopt it as the definition of a vertex of a curve in a sphere (Definition 7.8).

Theorem 5.1. A curve γ in S(1,2) is a set of the osculating circles to a vertex-free curve C in S^2 or \mathbb{E}^2 with a non-vanishing velocity vector if and only if γ is lightlike and dim Span $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle = 2$.

Without the two conditions, C being vertex-free and dim Span $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle = 2$, the "if" part of the above statement may fail although the "only if" part still holds.

Proof. We prove the first statement first.

"Only if" part. Suppose γ is the set of osculating circles to a curve $C = \{m(s)\},\$ where s is the arc-length of C.

Let T denote the unit tangent vector to C: T = m'. The osculating circle to the curve C at a point m(s) has the same geodesic curvature as that of C at the same point, which is $k_g(s)$. Therefore Proposition 3.2 implies that $\gamma(s)$ is given by $\gamma(s) = k_{g}(s)m(s) + n(s)$, which implies that

$$\gamma'(s) = k_g'(s)m(s) + k_g(s)m'(s) + n'(s).$$

As m' = T and $n' = -k_g T$, we have $\gamma'(s) = k_g'(s)m(s)$, which proves that γ is lightlike.

Note that $m = \gamma'/k_g$ because $k_g' \neq 0$ as C is vertex-free. Since m(s) is in an affine subspace H that does not passes through the origin, dim $\langle m, m' \rangle = 2$, which implies dim $\langle \gamma', \gamma'' \rangle = 2$.

"If " part. Suppose γ is a lightlike curve with non-vanishing tangent vectors. Each tangent vector defines a point in S^2 by $S^2 \cap \text{Span}\langle \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle$, which we denote by f(t). Put $C = \{f(t)\}$.

Let us denote the derivation with respect to t by putting \cdot above. We may assume, after reparametrization if necessary, that the x_0 -coordinate of $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is always equal to 1. Then the point f(t) in $S^2(1)$ is given by $f(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$.

As $\langle \gamma(t), \gamma(t) \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = 0$ we have

$$\langle \gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = \langle \gamma(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = \langle \gamma(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = 0,$$

which implies

$$\gamma \in \left(\operatorname{Span}\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t) \rangle \right)^{\perp} = \left(\operatorname{Span}\langle f(t), \dot{f}(t), \ddot{f}(t) \rangle \right)^{\perp}.$$

Since dim Span $\langle f, f \rangle = \dim \text{Span} \langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle = 2$ by the assumption, \dot{f} never vanishes, therefore dim Span $\langle f(t), \dot{f}(t), \dot{f}(t) \rangle = 3$. Since the osculating circle to C at f(t) is given by $S^2 \cap \text{Span} \langle f(t), \dot{f}(t), \dot{f}(t) \rangle$, it corresponds to $\pm \gamma(t)$ in Λ^3 .

(2) Suppose γ is a lightlike line in de Sitter space. Then it corresponds to a family of circles which are all tangent to each other at a constant point, which cannot be a family of osculating circles to a curve in S^2 or \mathbb{E}^2 . As $\ddot{\gamma} \equiv 0$ in this case, it implies the second statement of the theorem.

Let us prove that the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of the lightlike curve $\gamma \subset \Lambda^3$ is equal to the conformal arc-length of C.

Let s be the arc-length of a curve C.

Theorem 5.2. The conformal length ρ of a curve C in S^2 , \mathbb{R}^2 , or \mathbb{H}^2 is equal to $\sqrt[4]{12}$ times the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional measure $L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)$ of the lightlike curve $\gamma \subset \Lambda^3$ which consists of the osculating circles to C. It is given by $\int_C \sqrt{|k'_g|} ds$ (we can drop the letter "g" when C is a plane curve).

Proof. Let us denote the derivation with respect to s by putting ' as before. Proposition 3.2 and the proof of "only if" part of Theorem 5.1 imply that $\gamma = k_g m + n$ and $\gamma' = k_g'm$. Therefore the second derivative γ'' is given by $k_g''m + k_g'T$, where T = m' is the unit tangent vector to C. As the unit vector T is orthogonal to the



Figure 7. An inscribed polygon on a lightlike curve γ . A vector $\gamma(t_{i+1}) - \gamma(t_i)$ is timelike in general.

lightlike vector m and spacelike since all the tangent vectors to the light cone which are not tangent to a generatrix are spacelike, we see that

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma'') = \mathcal{L}(k_g''m + k_g'T) = k_g'^2 \mathcal{L}(T) = k_g'^2.$$

Therefore, (4.3) implies that the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of γ is given by

$$L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{12}} \int_C \sqrt{|k_g'|} \, ds.$$

Since $\sqrt{|k_g'|} ds = d\rho$ by (1.1), this completes the proof.

Note that (4.2) implies that when $k_g' \neq 0$ the vector $\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t)$ is timelike for small enough *h*.

5.2. Lightlike curves in $\mathcal{S}(2, 3)$. We say that a sphere Σ_O is an *osculating sphere* of a curve $C = \{f(t)\}$ if it has the third order contact with C. It happens if and only if the point $\varphi(\Sigma_O)$ in Λ which corresponds to Σ_O satisfies $\varphi(\Sigma_O) \perp \text{Span}(f, f, f, f)$.

Remark. We use the word "osculating spheres" for curves and "focal spheres" for surfaces (Theorem 5.4).

We identify the space S(2,3) of oriented spheres in S^3 with the 4-dimensional de Sitter space Λ in \mathbb{R}^5_1 as before.

Proposition 5.3. Suppose σ is a lightlike curve in S(2, 3). Since $\sigma'(t)$ is lightlike, it defines a point in S^3 by $S^3 \cap \text{Span}(\sigma'(t))$, which will be denoted by f(t). Put $C = \{f(t)\}$. Then a sphere $\Sigma(t)$ which corresponds to $\sigma(t)$ is not necessarily an osculating sphere of C, but it contains the osculating circle to C at f(t).

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of the "if" part of Theorem 5.1. As $\langle \sigma(t), \sigma(t) \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \dot{\sigma}(t), \dot{\sigma}(t) \rangle = 0$ we have

$$\langle \sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma(t), \ddot{\sigma}(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma(t), \ddot{\sigma}(t) \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore Span $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ is contained in $(\text{Span} \langle \dot{\sigma}(t), \ddot{\sigma}(t), \ddot{\sigma}(t) \rangle)^{\perp}$, which implies that $\Sigma(t) = S^3 \cap (\text{Span} \langle \sigma(t) \rangle)^{\perp}$ contains $S^3 \cap \text{Span} \langle \dot{\sigma}(t), \ddot{\sigma}(t), \ddot{\sigma}(t) \rangle$ which is the osculating circle of *C* at f(t).

Let us now consider a surface M in S^3 , \mathbb{H}^3 , or \mathbb{R}^3 . In the Poincaré ball model, the intersection of a 2-sphere with the ball is called a sphere. It may be a usual geodesic sphere or a plane of constant curvature between 0 and -1.

At a point $m \in M$, a sphere tangent to M at m whose geodesic curvature is equal to one of the principal curvatures k_1, k_2 of M at m, has higher contact with M. Let us denote them by Σ_1 and Σ_2 and call them *focal spheres* to M at m. They are distinct if the point m is not an umbilical point of M.

Theorem 5.4. The curve $\gamma_1 \subset \Lambda^4$ corresponding to the focal spheres Σ_1 along a line of principal curvature C_1 for the principal curvature k_1 is lightlike. Its $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional measure is

$$L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma_1) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{12}} \int_{C_1} \sqrt{|X_1(k_1)|} \, ds,$$

where X_1 is the unit tangent vector to C_1 which is parametrized by its arc-length s.

Proof. The proof is the same as above (Theorem 5.1) using the formula $\gamma(s) = k_g m(s) + \mathbf{n}(s)$, where $k_g = k_1$ is the geodesic curvature of the focal sphere Σ_1 . The hypothesis that C_1 is a line of principal curvature associated to the principal curvature k_1 implies that $\mathbf{n}'(s) = k_g X_1(s)$.

Remark. A similar statement is also valid if M is an hypersurface of some spaceform. The integral $\int_{C_1} \sqrt{|X_1(k_1)|} ds$ has already appeared in [Ro-Sa].

6. Space S(1,3) of the oriented circles in S^3

The pseudo-Riemannian structure of the space of the oriented circles in \mathbb{R}^3 (or S^3) also arises naturally in the study of conformal geometry. Each tangent space of S(1, 3) has an indefinite non-degenerate quadratic form which is compatible with Möbius transformations.

6.1. The set of circles as a Grassmann manifold. An oriented circle in \mathbb{R}^3 (or S^3) can be realized in the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}_1^5 as the intersection of the light cone and an oriented timelike 3-dimensional vector subspace. Therefore the set S(1,3) can be identified with the Grassmann manifold $\widetilde{Gr}_{-}(3; \mathbb{R}_1^5)$ of oriented 3-dimensional timelike subspaces of \mathbb{R}_1^5 .

It follows that the set S(1, 3) is the homogeneous space SO(4, 1)/SO(2)×SO(2, 1). We give its pseudo-Riemannian structure explicitly in what follows.

6.2. Plücker coordinates for the set of circles. Let us recall the Plücker coordinates of Grassmannian manifolds.

Let *W* be an oriented 3-dimensional vector subspace in \mathbb{R}_1^5 , and let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be an ordered basis of *W* which gives the orientation of *W*. Define $p_{i_1i_2i_3}$ $(0 \le i_k \le 4)$ by

$$p_{i_1i_2i_3} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{1i_1} & x_{1i_2} & x_{1i_3} \\ x_{2i_1} & x_{2i_2} & x_{2i_3} \\ x_{3i_1} & x_{3i_2} & x_{3i_3} \end{vmatrix}.$$
(6.1)

Let [W] denote an unoriented 3-space which is obtained from W by forgetting its orientation. Then it can be identified by the homogeneous coordinates $[\ldots, p_{i_1i_2i_3}, \ldots] \in \mathbb{R}P^9$ called the *Plücker coordinates* or *Grassmann coordinates*. They do not depend on the choice of a base of [W].

The Plücker coordinates $p_{i_1i_2i_3}$ are not independent. They satisfy the *Plücker* relations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} (-1)^k p_{i_1 i_2 j_k} p_{j_1 \dots \hat{j_k} \dots j_4} = 0,$$
(6.2)

where \hat{j}_k indicates that the index j_k is being removed. There are five non-trivial Plücker relations and exactly three of them are independent.

As we are concerned with the orientation of the subspaces, we use the Euclidean spaces for the Plücker coordinates in this article instead of the projective spaces which are used in most cases. The *exterior product* of x_1, x_2 , and x_3 in \mathbb{R}^5_1 is given by

$$x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 = (\dots, p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$$
 $(i_1 < i_2 < i_3)$

through the identification $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^5 \cong \mathbb{R}^{10}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_{-}(3; \mathbb{R}^5_1)$ denote the Grassmann manifold of the set of all oriented 3dimensional timelike vector subspaces in \mathbb{R}^5_1 .

6.3. Pseudo-Riemannian structure of $\bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{R}_{1}^{5}$. The indefinite inner product of the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}_{1}^{5} naturally induces that of $\bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{R}_{1}^{5}$ by

Conformal arc-length

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{y}_1 \wedge \mathbf{y}_2 \wedge \mathbf{y}_3 \rangle = - \begin{vmatrix} \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_3 \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_3 \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{y}_3 \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$
(6.3)

for any x_i and y_j in \mathbb{R}^5_1 . Note that this sign convention is opposite to that in [HJ].

The above formula is a natural generalization of the one for the exterior products of four vectors which we obtained in [La-OH], where we studied the set of oriented spheres in S^{3} .

It follows that $\bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{R}_{1}^{5}$ can be identified with \mathbb{R}^{10} with a pseudo-Riemannian structure with index 4, which we denote by \mathbb{R}_{4}^{10} , so that $\{e_{i_{1}} \land e_{i_{2}} \land e_{i_{3}}\}_{i_{1} < i_{2} < i_{3}}$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis of $\bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{R}_{1}^{5}$ with

$$\langle e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge e_{i_3}, e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge e_{i_3} \rangle = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i_1 \ge 1, \\ +1 & \text{if } i_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.4)

Let us realize $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ as a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^5_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^{10}_4$.

Lemma 6.1. Let W be an oriented 3-dimensional vector subspace in \mathbb{R}_1^5 spanned by x_1, x_2, x_3 . Then W is timelike if and only if

$$\langle x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3, x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 \rangle > 0,$$

and isotropic (i.e., tangent to the light cone) if and only if

$$\langle x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3, x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. Case (1). Suppose W is not isotropic. We may assume without loss of generality that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis of W. If W is timelike, one of x_1, x_2 and x_3 is timelike, and therefore $\langle x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3, x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3 \rangle = 1$ by (6.3). If W is spacelike, then $\langle x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3, x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3 \rangle = -1$.

Case (2). Suppose W is isotropic. Then W is tangent to the light cone at a lightlike line l. Now we may assume without loss of generality that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a pseudo-orthogonal basis of W and that x_1 belongs to l. Then we have $\langle x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3, x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3 \rangle = 0$.

6.4. Conformal invariance of the pseudo-Riemannian structure. We show that S(1, 3) has a pseudo-Riemannian structure which is compatible with Möbius transformations of S^3 . Let O(6, 4) denote the pseudo-orthogonal group of $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^5_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^{10}_4$.

Definition 6.2. Define a map $\Psi \colon M_5(\mathbb{R}) \to M_{10}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\Psi \colon M_5(\mathbb{R}) \ni A = (a_{ij}) \mapsto \Psi(A) = (\tilde{a}_{IJ}) \in M_{10}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $I = (i_1 i_2 i_3)$ and $J = (j_1 j_2 j_3)$ are multi-indices, and \tilde{a}_{IJ} is given by

$$\tilde{a}_{IJ} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{i_1j_1} & a_{i_1j_2} & a_{i_1j_3} \\ a_{i_2j_1} & a_{i_2j_2} & a_{i_2j_3} \\ a_{i_3j_1} & a_{i_3j_2} & a_{i_3j_3} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Lemma 6.3. (1) *For all* $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^5_1$ *we have*

$$(A\mathbf{x}_1) \wedge (A\mathbf{x}_2) \wedge (A\mathbf{x}_3) = \Psi(A) \left(\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3\right) \tag{6.5}$$

for $A \in M_5(\mathbb{R})$.

(2) If $A \in O(4, 1)$ then $\Psi(A) \in O(6, 4)$.

(3) The restriction of Ψ to O(4, 1) is a homomorphism.

We can say more, although we do not give proof: The matrix $\Psi(A)$ can be characterized by (6.5). The reverse statement of (2) also holds. The restriction of Ψ to Gl(5, \mathbb{R}) is a homomorphism whose kernel consists of $\{\pm I\}$.

Proof. (1) The definition of \tilde{a}_{IJ} implies

$$\Psi(A)\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\wedge\boldsymbol{e}_{i_2}\wedge\boldsymbol{e}_{i_3}\right)=(A\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1})\wedge(A\boldsymbol{e}_{i_2})\wedge(A\boldsymbol{e}_{i_3}).$$

(2) If $A \in O(4, 1)$ then (6.3) and (6.5) imply

$$\langle \Psi(A)(e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge e_{i_3}), \Psi(A)(e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge e_{j_3}) \rangle = \langle e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge e_{i_3}, e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge e_{j_3} \rangle,$$

which implies $\Psi(A) \in O(6, 4)$.

(3) Routine calculation in linear algebra implies $\Psi(AB)_{IJ} = \sum_{K} \tilde{a}_{IK} \tilde{b}_{KJ}$. \Box

Corollary 6.4. We have

$$\psi(A \cdot \Gamma) = \Psi(A)\psi(\Gamma)$$

for $\Gamma \in S(1,3)$ and $A \in O(4,1)$, where ψ is the bijection from S(1,3) to $\Theta(1,3) \subset \mathbb{R}^{10}_4$ given by (6.7) and Ψ the homomorphism from O(4,1) to O(6,4) given in Definition 6.2.

Proposition 6.5. Let $\Theta(1, 3)$ be the intersection of the quadric satisfying the Plücker relations and the unit pseudo-sphere:

$$\Theta(1,3) = \left\{ (\dots, p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}_4^{10} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \sum_{k=1}^4 (-1)^k p_{i_1 i_2 j_k} p_{j_1 \dots \hat{j_k} \dots j_4} = 0, \\ -\sum_{i_1 \ge 1} p_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^2 + \sum_{i_2 \ge 1} p_{0 i_2 i_3}^2 = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$
(6.6)

288

nation in filleaf argel

It is a 6-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{10}_4 with pseudo-Riemannian structure of index 2.

Then the set S(1,3) of oriented circles in S^3 can be identified with $\Theta(1,3)$ through a bijection ψ given by

$$\psi: \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(1,3) & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & \widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_{-}(3;\mathbb{R}^{5}_{1}) & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & \Theta(1,3) \subset \bigwedge^{3} \mathbb{R}^{5}_{1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{10}_{4}, \\ W \cap S^{3}(\infty) & \longmapsto W = \operatorname{Span}\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \rangle & \longmapsto & \frac{x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \wedge x_{3}}{\|x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \wedge x_{3}\|}. \end{array}$$

$$(6.7)$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ can be identified with the Grassmann manifold $\widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_{-}(3; \mathbb{R}^5_1)$ of oriented 3-dimensional timelike subspaces of \mathbb{R}^5_1 , Lemma 6.1 implies that it is enough to show that the restriction of the indefinite inner product of \mathbb{R}^{10}_4 to each tangent space of $\Theta(1,3)$ induces a non-degenerate quadratic form of index 2.

The conformal invariance of the pseudo-Riemannian structure allows us to assume that an oriented circle Γ passes through (±1, 0, 0, 0) and (0, 1, 0, 0). The index can be calculated in several ways.

(i) Γ corresponds to $W = \text{Span}\langle e_0, e_1, e_2 \rangle$ in the Grassmannian $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_{-}(3; \mathbb{R}^5_1)$. The tangent space $T_W \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_{-}(2; \mathbb{R}^5_1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(W, W^{\perp})$, which is isomorphic to $M_{3,2}(\mathbb{R})$. We can construct six vectors which form a pseudo-orthonormal basis of the tangent space explicitly. It turns out that two of them are timelike and the other four are spacelike.

(ii) The tangent space $T_{\Gamma}\Theta(1,3)$ can be identified with the pseudo-orthogonal complements of the subspace spanned by gradients of the defining functions of $\Theta(1,3)$ which appear in (6.6). There are five non-trivial Plücker relations and exactly three of them are independent. Two of them give timelike gradients and the rest gives a spacelike one. On the other hand, the gradient of $-\sum_{i_1 \ge 1} p_{i_1 i_2}^2 + \sum_{i_2 \ge 1} p_{0 i_2}^2 - 1$ is spacelike. Hence the index can be given by 4 - 2 = 2.

Since $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ is the homogeneous space SO(4, 1)/SO(2) × SO(2, 1), Proposition 3.2.6 of [Ko-Yo] also implies that the index of $\Theta(1,3)$ is equal to 2.

7. Osculating circles and the conformal arc-length

Let us realize the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 in the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^5_1 as the isotropic affine section of the light cone *Light* given by (2.1) in Section 2. We use the following notation in what follows. Let $C = \{m(s)\}$ be an oriented curve in \mathbb{R}^3 parametrized by the arc-length *s*. Let \overline{m} be a map which is induced from *m*;

$$\overline{m}(s) = \left(1 + \frac{m(s) \cdot m(s)}{4}, -1 + \frac{m(s) \cdot m(s)}{4}, m(s)\right) \in \mathbb{E}_0^3 \subset \mathbb{R}_1^5,$$
(7.1)

where \mathbb{E}_0^3 is given by formula (2.1).

The osculating circle of a curve C at a point x is the circle with the best contact with C at x. We will denote it by \mathcal{O}_x . It has the second order contact with C at x.

Suppose x, y, and z are points on C. When x, y, and z are mutually distinct, let $\Gamma(x, y, z)$ denote the circle that passes through the points x, y, and z in C whose orientation is given by the cyclic order of $\{x, y, z\}$. When two (or three) of the points x, y, and z coincide, $\Gamma(x, y, z)$ means a tangent circle (or, respectively, an osculating circle) whose orientation coincides with that of C at the tangent point.

Let $\gamma(u, v, w)$ be a point in $\Theta(1, 3) \subset \mathbb{R}^{10}_4$ which corresponds to a circle

$$\Gamma(\overline{m}(u), \overline{m}(v), \overline{m}(w))$$

through the bijection ψ from $\mathcal{S}(1,3)$ to $\Theta(1,3)$ (see (6.7)). Put $\gamma(u) = \gamma(u, u, u)$. It corresponds to the osculating circle at m(u).

7.1. The curve of the osculating circles is lightlike. Let us express the osculating circles using the exterior products of vectors in the Minkowski space.

Observe that $\langle \overline{m}, \overline{m} \rangle \equiv 0$, as \overline{m} belongs to the light cone, and that

$$\langle \overline{m}', \overline{m}' \rangle \equiv m' \cdot m' \equiv 1,$$

where \cdot denotes the standard inner product of \mathbb{R}^3 . Define F_2 and F_3 by

$$F_2 = \langle \overline{m}^{\prime\prime}, \overline{m}^{\prime\prime} \rangle, \quad F_3 = \langle \overline{m}^{\prime\prime\prime}, \overline{m}^{\prime\prime\prime} \rangle.$$
(7.2)

Then they satisfy

$$F_2 = m'' \cdot m'' = \kappa^2,$$

$$F_3 = m''' \cdot m''' = \kappa^4 + {\kappa'}^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2.$$

By derivating these equations we obtain a table of $\langle \overline{m}^{(i)}(0), \overline{m}^{(j)}(0) \rangle$ needed in this article (Table 1).

If u < v < w then $\overline{m}(u), \overline{m}(v)$, and $\overline{m}(w)$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^5_1 , and therefore (6.7) implies that $\gamma(u, v, w)$ is given by

$$\gamma(u, v, w) = \frac{\overline{m}(u) \wedge \overline{m}(v) \wedge \overline{m}(w)}{\|\overline{m}(u) \wedge \overline{m}(v) \wedge \overline{m}(w)\|}.$$
(7.3)

Lemma 7.1. Let $\Gamma(s)$ be an osculating circle to a curve $C = \{\overline{m}(s)\}$ in \mathbb{E}^3 which is parametrized by the arc-length s. Then $\Gamma(s)$ is given by

$$\Gamma(s) = \mathbb{E}^3 \cap \operatorname{Span} \langle \overline{m}(s), \overline{m}'(s), \overline{m}''(s) \rangle.$$

The point $\gamma(s)$ in $\Theta(1,3)$ which corresponds to $\Gamma(s)$ is given by

$$\gamma(s) = \overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}''(s). \tag{7.4}$$

	j = 0	j = 1	<i>j</i> = 2	<i>j</i> = 3	j = 4
i = 0	0	0	-1	0	F_2
i = 1		1	0	$-F_2$	*
i = 2			F_2	*	*
<i>i</i> = 3				F_3	*

Table 1. A table of $\langle \bar{m}^{(i)}(0), \bar{m}^{(j)}(0) \rangle$ $(F_2 = \kappa^2, F_3 = \kappa^4 + {\kappa'}^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2).$

Proof. Consider the limit of $\gamma(u, v, w)$ as both u and w approach v. Taylor's expansion formula implies

$$\overline{m}(s - \Delta s) \wedge \overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}(s + \Delta s')$$

$$= \left(\overline{m}(s) - \Delta s \overline{m}'(s) + \frac{(\Delta s)^2}{2} \overline{m}''(s) + O\left((\Delta s)^3\right)\right) \wedge \overline{m}(s)$$

$$\wedge \left(\overline{m}(s) + \Delta s' \overline{m}'(s) + \frac{(\Delta s')^2}{2} \overline{m}''(s) + O\left((\Delta s')^3\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{\Delta s \Delta s'(\Delta s + \Delta s')}{2} \overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}''(s) + \text{higher order terms.}$$

It follows that the osculating circle is given by the vector $\overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}''(s)$ multiplied by a positive number. By Formula (6.3) and Table 1 we have

$$\langle \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}'', \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}'' \rangle = - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & m'' \cdot m'' \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

Therefore the osculating circle $\gamma(s)$ is given by

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{\overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}''(s)}{\|\overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}''(s)\|} = \overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}''(s). \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 7.2. Let γ be a curve in S(1, 3) which corresponds to the set of osculating circles of a curve C in \mathbb{E}^3 . Then the curve γ is a lightlike curve.

Proof. The formula (7.4) implies

$$\gamma'(s) = \overline{m}(s) \wedge \overline{m}'(s) \wedge \overline{m}'''(s). \tag{7.5}$$

By Formula (6.3) and Table 1 we have

$$\langle \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}''', \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}''' \rangle = - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

which implies that $|\gamma'(s)| \equiv 0$. This ends the proof of Theorem 7.2.

7.2. Conformal arc-length via osculating circles. Let $C = {\overline{m}(t)} (0 \le t \le T)$ be a curve in S^3 or \mathbb{E}^3 , and γ a lightlike curve in S(1,3) which consists of the osculating circles of C. Let I denote the domain interval of the map \overline{m} .

Theorem 7.3. By taking the pull-back to I, the conformal arc-length element $d\rho$ of C is equal to $\sqrt[4]{12}$ times the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element $d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}$ of γ :

$$d\rho = \gamma^* \left(\sqrt[4]{12} d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}\right) = \sqrt[4]{\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\gamma})} dt.$$
(7.6)

Proof. We use the arc-length parameter s of C. Let us abbreviate $\gamma^{(i)}(0)$ and $\overline{m}^{(i)}(0)$ as $\gamma^{(i)}$ and $\overline{m}^{(i)}$ in the proof. Since

$$\gamma'' = \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}^{(4)} + \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}'' \wedge \overline{m}''',$$

Formula (6.3) and Table 1 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\gamma'') &= \langle \gamma'', \gamma'' \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}^{(4)}, \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}^{(4)} \rangle + 2 \langle \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}^{(4)}, \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}'' \wedge \overline{m}''' \rangle \\ &+ \langle \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}'' \wedge \overline{m}''', \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}'' \wedge \overline{m}''' \rangle \\ &= - \left(\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & F_2 \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ F_2 & * & * \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_2 \\ F_2 & * & * \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & * & * \\ 0 & * & F_3 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\ &= F_3 - F_2^2 \tag{7.7} \\ &= \kappa'^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude (7.6) since $d\rho = \sqrt[4]{\kappa'^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2} ds$ by (1.1) and $\gamma^* d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{|\mathcal{X}(\gamma'')|}{12}} ds$ by (4.4).

Remark. A pair of nearby osculating circles is a "*timelike pair*", i.e., $\gamma(s + \Delta s) - \gamma(s)$ is timelike for $|\Delta s| \ll 1$.

CMH

Corollary 7.4. The conformal arc-length parameter of a point $\overline{m}(t)$ in a curve C in S^3 or \mathbb{E}^3 can be expressed using the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of an arc of the lightlike curve γ in S(1,3) which consists of osculating circles to C:

$$\rho(t) - \rho(0) = \sqrt[4]{12} \lim_{\max|t_{j+1} - t_j| \to +0} \sum_{i} \sqrt{\|\gamma(t_{i+1}) - \gamma(t_i)\|},$$
(7.9)

where $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = t$ is a subdivision of the interval [0, t].

Corollary 7.5. The conformal arc-length parameter can be characterized as the parameter that satisfies $\langle \ddot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle \equiv 1$. Namely, it is a parameter which makes the curvature of a curve of osculating circles being identically equal to 1.

Definition 7.6. Let *C* be a curve in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose it can be expressed by the arc-length as $C = \{m(s)\}$. Define the 1-form ω_C on *C* by

$$m^*\omega_C = \sqrt[4]{m''' \cdot m''' - (m'' \cdot m'')^2} \, ds,$$

where ' denotes the derivation with respect to the arc-length s.

Corollary 7.7. As above the 1-form ω_C is invariant under Möbius transformations. Namely, if G is a Möbius transformation then we have $G^*\omega_{G(C)} = \omega_C$. When n = 3 this 1-form ω_C is equal to the conformal arc-length element $d\rho$ of C.

Remark. Theorem 1.1 of Liu ([Liu]) implies that if $\overline{C} = {\overline{m}(s)}$ is a curve in the light cone such that \overline{m}' is not parallel to *m* then the 1-form $\omega_{\overline{C}}$ given by

$$\overline{m}^*\omega_{\overline{C}} = \sqrt[4]{\langle \overline{m}^{\prime\prime\prime}, \overline{m}^{\prime\prime\prime} \rangle - \langle \overline{m}^{\prime\prime}, \overline{m}^{\prime\prime} \rangle^2} \, ds,$$

where *s* is the arc-length, is invariant under any transformation of the form $T : \overline{m}(s) \mapsto e^{f(s)}\overline{m}(s)$.

Let $\iota: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{E}_0^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2}$ be the natural bijection (2.1). Through this bijection a Möbius transformation of \mathbb{R}^n can be expressed as $\iota^{-1} \circ T \circ A \circ \iota$ for some $A \in O(n+1, 1)$ and some transformation T of the previous form of the light cone. Since ι is an isometry and $A \in O(n+1, 1)$ does not change $\omega_{\overline{C}}$, Corollary 7.7 follows from Liu's result.

Proof. We prove it when n = 3. Suppose $G \cdot C$ are expressed as $G(C) = \{m_2(t)\}$ with t being the arc-length of G(C). Let γ_m and γ_{m_2} be the curves in S(1, 3) which consists of the osculating circles to C and G(C), respectively. They are lightlike curves by Theorem 7.2. The formula (7.7) implies that

$$\sqrt[4]{m''' \cdot m''' - (m'' \cdot m'')^2} ds = \sqrt[4]{\langle \gamma_m'', \gamma_m'' \rangle} ds,$$

$$\sqrt[4]{\vec{m}_2 \cdot \vec{m}_2 - (\vec{m}_2 \cdot \vec{m}_2)^2} dt = \sqrt[4]{\langle \ddot{\gamma}_{m_2}, \ddot{\gamma}_{m_2} \rangle} dt,$$

where putting \cdot above means taking the derivation with respect to *t*. Lemma 6.3 shows that the Möbius transformation $G \in O(4, 1)$ produces a pseudo-orthogonal transformation $\tilde{G} \in O(6, 4)$, and γ_{m_2} is given by $\gamma_{m_2} = \tilde{G} \cdot \gamma_m$. Since $\langle \ddot{\gamma}_{m_2}, \ddot{\gamma}_{m_2} \rangle = \langle \tilde{G} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}_m, \tilde{G} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}_m \rangle = \langle \ddot{\gamma}_m, \ddot{\gamma}_m \rangle$, Corollary 7.7 is a consequence of Lemma 4.4. \Box

The above proof shows that the pull-back to I of ω_C is equal to that of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element $d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)}$ of the curve of osculating circles, where I is the domain interval of the curve C.

Corollaries 7.3 and 7.7 show that the conformal arc-length is in fact invariant under Möbius transformations.

7.3. Characterization of vertices of space curves in terms of osculating circles

Definition 7.8. We say that a point is a *vertex* of a curve C if the osculating circle has the third order contact with C at that point.

Remark. (1) The condition is same as the usual one, k' = 0, for plane curves.

(2) The notion of a vertex is conformally invariant.

(3) By the strong transversality principle (cf. [AGV]), vertex-free curves are generical in the sense that they form an open dense subset in the C^{∞} topology.

(4) A different definition of vertex can be found in the literature. In some works vertices are points where the osculating sphere has the 4th order contact (cf.[Ur]). There are many examples of vertex-free curves in our sense having the 4th order contact with its osculating sphere. For instance, one can consider plane curves.

Bouquet's formula says that a curve can be expressed, with suitable coordinates around a point, as

$$x = s \qquad -\frac{\kappa}{6}s^3 + \cdots,$$

$$y = \qquad \frac{\kappa}{2}s^2 + \frac{\kappa'}{6}s^3 + \cdots,$$

$$z = \qquad \frac{\kappa\tau}{6}s^3 + \cdots,$$
(7.10)

where s, κ , and τ are the arc-length, curvature, and torsion, respectively.

It is easy to check the following lemma using Bouquet's formula.

Lemma 7.9. Let C be a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 . A point x is a vertex of C if and only if

$${\kappa'}^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2 = 0$$

holds at x, where κ and τ are the curvature and torsion of the curve C (the derivation is taken with respect to the arc-length parameter of C).

Now a vertex can be characterized in terms of the curve of osculating circles. Suppose \mathbb{E}^3 (or S^3) is an Euclidean (or, respectively, a spherical) model in \mathbb{R}_1^5 .

Theorem 7.10. Let $C = \{\overline{m}(t)\}$ be a curve in \mathbb{E}^3 or S^3 and $\gamma(t)$ a point in $\Theta(1,3)$ which corresponds to the osculating circle to C at $\overline{m}(t)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent for a point $\overline{m}(t_0)$ to be a vertex of C:

- (1) $\dot{\gamma}(t_0) = 0.$
- (2) $\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle = 0$, *i.e.*, the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element of γ vanishes at t_0 .
- (3) dim Span $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle < 2$.

Proof. (1) Suppose m(s) is a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 parametrized by the arc-length s, and $C = \{\overline{m}(s)\}$ is a corresponding curve in \mathbb{E}^3_0 which is given by (7.1). Then we have

$$\overline{m} = \left(1 + \frac{m \cdot m}{4}, -1 + \frac{m \cdot m}{4}, m\right),$$
$$\overline{m}' = \left(\frac{m \cdot m'}{2}, \frac{m \cdot m'}{2}, m'\right),$$
$$\overline{m}'' = \left(\frac{m \cdot m'' + 1}{2}, \frac{m \cdot m'' + 1}{2}, m''\right),$$
$$\overline{m}''' = \left(\frac{m \cdot m'''}{2}, \frac{m \cdot m'''}{2}, m'''\right).$$

Suppose t_0 corresponds to s = 0. We may assume, after a Möbius transformation if necessary, that $\kappa(0) \neq 0$. Lemma 7.9 implies that $\overline{m}(0)$ is a vertex if and only if ${\kappa'}^2 + {\kappa}^2 \tau^2 = 0$. Since

$$m(s) = m(0) + e_1 s + \kappa e_2 \frac{s^2}{2} + (-\kappa^2 e_1 + \kappa' e_2 + \kappa \tau e_3) \frac{s^3}{6} + O(s^4),$$

 $\overline{m}(0)$ is a vertex if and only if $m'''(0) = -\kappa^2 m'(0)$, which can occur if and only if $\overline{m}'''(0) = -\kappa^2 \overline{m}'(0)$. As $a\overline{m}(0) + b\overline{m}'(0) + c\overline{m}'''(0) = \mathbf{0}$ $(a, b, c \in \mathbb{R})$ always implies $a = 0, \overline{m}(0)$ is a vertex if and only if $\overline{m}(0), \overline{m}'(0)$, and $\overline{m}'''(0)$ are linearly dependent, i.e., $\overline{m}(0) \wedge \overline{m}'(0) \wedge \overline{m}''(0) = \mathbf{0}$. Since Formula (7.4) implies that the left-hand side of the last equation is equal to $\gamma'(0)$, it means the equivalence of the condition (1) and $\overline{m}(t_0)$ being a vertex.

The condition (2) follows from Lemma 7.9 and Formula (7.8).

The condition (1) implies the condition (3).

On the other hand, if dim Span $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle < 2$ and $\dot{\gamma}(t_0) \neq 0$ then $\ddot{\gamma}(t_0) = c\dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies the condition (2).

7.4. Characterization of curves of osculating circles. We identify the set S(1,3) of the oriented circles in S^3 with the submanifold $\Theta(1,3)$ of \mathbb{R}^{10}_4 as before. We give a condition for a curve in S(1,3) to be a set of osculating circles to a curve in S^3 .

Theorem 7.11. A curve γ in S(1,3) is a set of the osculating circles to a vertex-free curve in S^3 with a non-vanishing velocity vector if and only if γ satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) γ is a lightlike curve,
- (ii) $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ satisfies the Plücker relations for all t,
- (iii) dim Span $\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = 2$.

Without the two conditions, C being vertex-free and dim Span $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma} \rangle = 2$, the "if" part of the above statement may fail although the "only if" part still holds.

Proof. We begin with the first statement.

"Only if" part. The condition (i) follows from Theorem 7.2, the condition (ii) from Formula (7.5), as it means that γ' is a pure 3-vector and therefore satisfies the Plücker relations, and the condition (iii) follows from Theorem 7.10.

"If" part. Lemma 6.1 implies that $\dot{\gamma}$ corresponds to an isotropic 3-space tangent to the light cone. Therefore it defines a point in \mathbb{E}_0^3 , which we denote by $\overline{m}(t)$. Since $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ and $\ddot{\gamma}(t)$ are linearly independent, $\dot{\overline{m}}(t)$ does not vanish. So, we may assume that t is equal to the arc-length s of \overline{m} . Define a map m to \mathbb{R}^3 by (7.1). We may assume, after a Möbius transformation if necessary, that $m''(s_0) \neq 0$.

Put

$$\bar{n} = \left(\frac{m \cdot n}{2}, \frac{m \cdot n}{2}, n\right) \in \mathbb{R}_1^5, \text{ where } n = \frac{m' \times m''}{\|m' \times m''\|} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then $\overline{m}, \overline{m}', \overline{n}, n$ and $n_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}_1^5 . Therefore, 10 pure 3-vectors obtained as exterior products of three of $\overline{m}, \overline{m}', \overline{m}'', \overline{n}$, and n_1 are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}_4^{10} . We need formulae which express $\gamma(s)$ and $\gamma'(s)$ as linear combinations of them.

For this purpose, we have to show that the point m(s) belongs to the circle $\Gamma(s)$ which corresponds to $\gamma(s)$.

This can be proven as follows. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\Gamma(s)$ can be obtained as the intersection of our model of S^3 or \mathbb{E}^3 in the light cone and $\text{Span}\langle e_0, e_1, e_2 \rangle$. Then, a computation shows that if γ' satisfies the two conditions (i) and (ii) then there is a *lightlike pencil* \mathcal{P} through $\gamma(s)$ (that is a 1-parameter family of the oriented circles that are contained in a 2-sphere which contains $\Gamma(s)$ and that are all tangent to $\Gamma(s)$ at a point Q) such that $\gamma'(s)$ is equal to a tangent vector to \mathcal{P} at $\gamma(s)$. It means that the tangent point Q above mentioned can be obtained as the intersection of S^3 and the isotropic 3-space that corresponds to $\gamma'(s)$, namely Q is equal to m(s), which implies $m(s) \in \Gamma(s)$ (see [La-OH2] for pencils).

Since $m(s) \in \Gamma(s)$, $\gamma(s)$ can be expressed as $\gamma(s) = \alpha(s)\overline{m}(s) \wedge u_0(s) \wedge v_0(s)$ for some $\alpha(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0(s), v_0(s) \in \mathbb{R}_1^5$. Therefore $\gamma(s)$ can be expressed as the linear combination of the following 6 pure 3-vectors;

$$\overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}'', \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{n}, \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge n_1, \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}'' \wedge \overline{n}, \overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}'' \wedge n_1, \text{ and } \overline{m} \wedge \overline{n} \wedge n_1.$$

If one of the three coefficients of the latter three does not vanish, there is a non-zero coefficient of $\overline{m}' \wedge u_1 \wedge v_1$ ($\{u_1, v_1\} \subset \{\overline{m}'', \overline{n}, n_1\}$) of $\gamma'(s)$. On the other hand, by the assumption (ii) of the theorem and the definition of \overline{m} , $\gamma'(s)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\gamma'(s) = b(s)\overline{m}(s) \wedge u(s) \wedge v(s) \quad (b(s) \in \mathbb{R}, u(s), v(s) \in (\operatorname{Span}\langle \overline{m}(s) \rangle)^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}^5_1),$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\Gamma(s)$ is tangent to C at $\overline{m}(s)$, i.e., $\gamma(s)$ is of the form

$$\gamma(s) = \xi(s)\,\overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{m}'' + \eta(s)\,\overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge \overline{n} + \zeta(s)\,\overline{m} \wedge \overline{m}' \wedge n_1.$$

By patient computation we get

$$\langle \gamma', \gamma' \rangle = (\xi' + 2\xi')^2 + \eta^2 + 4\kappa^2 \xi^2,$$

where κ is the curvature of C, ||m''||. Since $\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle = 0$ and $\kappa \neq 0$ by our assumption, we have $\eta(s) = \xi(s) = 0$. Then, $\langle \gamma(s), \gamma(s) \rangle = 1$ implies $\xi(s) = 1$, which completes the proof of the first statement.

The second statement of the theorem can be verified in the same way as in Theorem 5.1. $\hfill \Box$

The authors thank Martin Guest and Fran Burstall for informing the second author of the following condition due to Burstall.

Proposition 7.12 (Burstall condition). Suppose $\gamma \in S(1,3)$ corresponds to a timelike 3-space Π of \mathbb{R}_1^5 . Recall that $T_{\gamma}S(1,3)$ can be identified with $\operatorname{Hom}(\Pi, \Pi^{\perp})$. Suppose an element A in $\operatorname{Hom}(\Pi, \Pi^{\perp})$ that corresponds to $\dot{\gamma}$ can be expressed as $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to orthonormal bases of Π and Π^{\perp} . Then the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 7.11 are equivalent to the condition $\langle A, {}^tA \rangle = O$, namely,

$$\langle a_1, a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2, a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle = 0,$$

where a_1 and a_2 are considered as vectors in the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^3_1 .

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\Pi(t_0) = \text{Span}\langle e_0, e_1, e_2 \rangle$, i.e., $\gamma(t_0) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Then $\Pi^{\perp}(t_0) = \text{Span}\langle e_3, e_4 \rangle$. If we use $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ and

 $\{e_3, e_4\}$ as bases of $\Pi(t_0)$ and $\Pi^{\perp}(t_0)$, respectively, the Plücker coordinates of $\dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ are given by

$$(p_{234}, p_{134}, p_{124}, p_{123}; p_{034}, p_{024}, p_{023}, p_{014}, p_{013}, p_{012})(\dot{\gamma}(t_0))$$

= $(0, 0, d, a; 0, -e, -b, f, c, 0).$

Then the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 7.11 are given by

$$-a^{2} - d^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} + e^{2} + f^{2} = 0,$$

$$bd - ae = 0, \quad -cd + af = 0, \quad ce - bf = 0,$$

which are equivalent to

$$-a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} = -d^{2} + e^{2} + f^{2} = 0,$$

(a,b,c)//(d,e,f),

which, in turn, are equivalent to (see Lemma 9.1.1 (2) of [O'H1])

$$\langle a_1, a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2, a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle = 0.$$

7.5. Conformal arc-length and conformal angles

Definition 7.13 (Doyle and Schramm). Let x and y be a pair of distinct points on a curve C. Let $\theta_C(x, y)$ ($0 \le \theta_C(x, y) \le \pi$) be the angle between $\Gamma(x, x, y)$ and $\Gamma(x, y, y)$. We call it the *conformal angle* between x and y (see Figure 8).

We note that the conformal angle is conformally invariant because it can be defined by angles, circles, and tangency, which are preserved by Möbius transformations.

Applying Bouquet's formula (7.10) to $\sin \theta_C$ we have

Lemma 7.14. ([La-OH]) Let s, κ, τ be the arc-length, curvature, and torsion of C, respectively. Then the conformal angle satisfies

$$\theta_C(x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa'^2 + \kappa^2 \tau^2}}{6} |x - y|^2 + O(|x - y|^3).$$
(7.11)

The formula (1.1) of the conformal arc-length implies that the conformal arclength can be interpreted in terms of the conformal angle as follows.

Proposition 7.15. The conformal arc-length ρ satisfies

$$\frac{d\rho}{ds}(s) = \lim_{\Delta s \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{6 \,\theta_C \left(m(s), m(s + \Delta s)\right)}}{\Delta s}.$$



Figure 8. The conformal angle $\theta_C(x, y)$.

Remark. Put

$$\Pi = \operatorname{Span} \langle \gamma(s, s, s + \Delta s), \gamma(s, s + \Delta s, s + \Delta s) \rangle.$$

It is a spacelike 2-plane of \mathbb{R}_4^{10} . We claim that the intersection of Π and $\Theta(1, 3)$ is 1-dimensional, moreover it is a circle which is a geodesic of $\Theta(1, 3)$. The conformal angle is equal to the distance in $\mathcal{S}(1, 3)$ (i.e., the shorter arc-length along this geodesic) between $\gamma(s, s, s + \Delta s)$ and $\gamma(s, s + \Delta s, s + \Delta s)$.

The above statements can be understood in the timelike 4-space

$$W = \operatorname{Span} \langle m(s), m'(s), m(s + \Delta s), m'(s + \Delta s) \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^5_1$$

as all the events take place in W. Remark that W intersects S^3 in a "bitangent" sphere $\Sigma(m, m + \Delta s)$ that contains the two tangent circles to the curve C, $\Gamma(m(s), m(s), m(s + \Delta s))$ and $\Gamma(m(s), m(s + \Delta s), m(s + \Delta s))$. Therefore, the intersection of $\Theta(1, 3)$ and the set of oriented circles in the sphere $\Sigma(m, m + \Delta s) = W \cap S^3$ can be isometrically identified with 3-dimensional de Sitter space Λ^3 which consists of oriented circles in $\Sigma(m, m + \Delta s)$. Through this identification, $\Pi \cap \Theta(1, 3)$ can be identified with the intersection of a spacelike 2-plane in \mathbb{R}_1^5 that corresponds to Π and Λ^3 . It consists of the oriented circles in $\Sigma(m, m + \Delta s)$ that pass through both m(s) and $m(s + \Delta s)$. It is a circle which is a geodesic of Λ^3 . The distance between

any pair of points on this circle is equal to the angle between a pair of corresponding circles (see Theorem 9.5.1 of [O'H1] or [HJ]).

Remark. We have two kinds of infinitesimal interpretation of the conformal arclength as the distance between a pair of nearby circles: one by osculating circles $\Gamma(x, x, x)$ and $\Gamma(y, y, y)$ (Theorem 7.4) and the other by tangent circles $\Gamma(x, x, y)$ and $\Gamma(x, y, y)$ (Proposition 7.15). We remark that the former is a "timelike" pair and the latter a "spacelike" pair.

8. Integral geometric viewpoint

8.1. Information from two nearby osculating circles

Definition 8.1. ([La-OH]) Let P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , and P_4 be points on an oriented sphere Σ . They can be considered as complex numbers through an orientation preserving stereographic projection from Σ to $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. The cross ratio of P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , and P_4 can be defined by that of the corresponding four complex numbers. We remark that it does not depend on the stereographic projection that is used to define it.

Let *C* be a curve in S^3 or \mathbb{R}^3 , and *S* be a sphere which intersects *C* orthogonally at a point $m(t_1)$ that passes through a nearby point $m(t_2)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{m(t_i)}$ (i = 1, 2) be the osculating circle to *C* at $m(t_i)$, and $y(t_i)$ the intersection point of $\mathcal{O}_{m(t_i)}$ and *S* so that $\mathcal{O}_{m(t_i)} \cap S = \{m(t_i), y(t_i)\}$.

Proposition 8.2. The infinitesimal cross ratio

$$\operatorname{cross}(m(t), y(t+dt); m(t+dt), y(t)) = \frac{m(t) - y(t+dt)}{m(t) - y(t)} : \frac{m(t+dt) - y(t+dt)}{m(t+dt) - y(t)}$$

is real. The fourth root of its absolute value is equal to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ times the pull-back of the conformal arc-length element of the curve C.

We remark that the infinitesimal cross ratio mentioned above is different from that defined in [La-OH] and studied in [O'H2].

Proof. Since both the cross ratio and the conformal arc-length element are invariant under Möbius transformations, we may assume that $m(t_1)$ is the origin and that the curve *C* in \mathbb{R}^3 is given by the normal form (see [CSW])

$$y = \frac{x^3}{3!} + O(x^5),$$

$$z = 0 + O(x^4).$$
(8.1)



Figure 9. The intersection points of two nearby osculating circles and an almost orthogonal small sphere S. In Proposition 8.2, t_1 is t and t_2 is t + dt.

The normal form above can be obtained from Bouquet's formula (7.10) by putting k = 0 and k' = 1 at the origin.

Let *h* be the diameter of *S*. Since the conformal arc-length element is given by $\sqrt[4]{(k')^2 + k^2\tau^2} ds$, where *s* is the arc-length of the curve, it is enough to show that the cross ratio is of the form $\frac{h^4}{36} + O(h^5)$. Since both ||m(t) - y(t + dt)|| and ||m(t + dt) - y(t)|| are of order h^3 , we can

Since both ||m(t) - y(t + dt)|| and ||m(t + dt) - y(t)|| are of order h^3 , we can neglect $O(h^4)$ terms. Therefore, the z-coordinate in the normal form (8.1) can be neglected. Then the four points m(t), m(t + dt), y(t), and y(t + dt) are on a circle that is the intersection of S and the xy-plane, which implies that the infinitesimal cross ratio is real.

Let us now consider in the xy-plane. The coordinates of m(t + dt) are given by $\left(h, \frac{h^3}{6}\right)$ up to $O(h^4)$. A computation shows that the x-coordinate of the center of the osculating circle to the curve at $\left(h, \frac{h^3}{6}\right)$ is $\frac{h}{2} + O(h^5)$, which implies that the intersection point y(t + dt) of this osculating circle and S is equal to $\left(0, \frac{h^3}{6}\right)$ up to $O(h^4)$. It follows that the absolute value of the infinitesimal cross ratio is given by

$$\frac{\|m(t) - y(t + dt)\|}{\|m(t) - y(t)\|} \times \frac{\|m(t + dt) - y(t)\|}{\|m(t + dt) - y(t + dt)\|} = \frac{h^4}{36} + O(h^5),$$

which completes the proof.

8.2. Integral geometric interpretation of the conformal arc-length element. In the previous section we express the conformal arc-length as the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of a

lightlike curve in the space of circles. The goal of this subsection is Theorem 8.9, where the conformal arc-length of a space curve *C* is expressed as the average of the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measures of 1 parameter family of lightlike curves in the space of spheres Λ^4 that can be obtained from the curve of osculating spheres to *C*.

The statement of Theorem 8.9 is analogous to the following statement in the sense that something can be expressed as the average of 1 parameter family of other quantities.

Proposition 8.3. The curvature of a curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ at a point *m* is proportional to the average of the curvatures at *m* of the plane curves obtained as the orthogonal projections of *C* on the planes containing the tangent line T_mC to *C* at *m* (the proportionality coefficient is π).

Proof. We only need to project an osculating circle \mathcal{O}_m to *C* at *m* on the planes containing $T_m C$ and observe the curvatures of these projections at the point *m*. \Box

8.2.1. Preliminary lemmas. Theorem 8.9 has two kinds of proofs, a geometric one using pencils of spheres, pencils of circles and cross ratios, and an algebraic one using an "anti-isometry" F (where F being an anti-isometry means $\langle F(u), F(v) \rangle = -\langle u, v \rangle$ for any u, v) between two Grassmann manifolds. We start with preliminary lemmas which are needed for the geometric proof.

Definition 8.4. The *Lorentz distance* between a pair of spheres Σ_1 and Σ_2 is the length of the geodesic γ joining the two corresponding points σ_1 and σ_2 in Λ : $d_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \int \|\dot{\gamma}\| dt$.

Since a geodesic in Λ can be obtained as the intersection of Λ with a 2-dimensional vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^5_1 , the geodesic γ joining σ_1 and σ_2 is a subarc of $\Lambda \cap \text{Span}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.

The Lorentz distance between a pair of spheres can be expressed by the Lorentz distance between their intersections with an orthogonal sphere or an orthogonal circle.

Lemma 8.5. Let $d_{\mathscr{X}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be the Lorentz distance between a pair of spheres Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

- (1) Let S be a sphere orthogonal to Σ_1 and Σ_2 . Then $d_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is equal to the Lorentz distance $d_{\mathcal{L}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ between the two circles $\Gamma_1 = \Sigma_1 \cap S$ and $\Gamma_2 = \Sigma_2 \cap S$.
- (2) Let G be a circle orthogonal to Σ₁ and Σ₂. Then d_𝔅(σ₁, σ₂) is equal to the Lorentz distance d_𝔅(𝒫₁, 𝒫₂) between the two 0-spheres 𝒫₁ = Σ₁ ∩ 𝔅 and 𝒫₂ = Σ₂ ∩ 𝔅.

Proof. Let σ_1 and σ_2 be the two points in de Sitter space Λ^4 which correspond to the two spheres Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

(1) can be proven by considering the two points σ_1 and σ_2 in de Sitter spaces $\Lambda_0^3 \subset \Lambda^4$, where Λ_0^3 is the set of the oriented spheres orthogonal to the sphere S.

To be more precise, let Π be a 4-dimensional vector subspace of \mathbb{R}_1^5 so that S is the intersection of Π and S^3 or \mathbb{E}^3 , namely $\Pi = \text{Span } S$, and σ_0 be the point in Λ^4 which corresponds to S. Then $\Lambda_0^3 = \Lambda^4 \cap (\text{Span}(\sigma_0))^{\perp} = \Lambda^4 \cap \Pi$. Since the geodesic γ in Λ^4 joining σ_1 and σ_2 is a subarc of $\Lambda^4 \cap \text{Span}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, it is contained in Λ_0^3 , which proves (1).

(2) can be proven similarly, using de Sitter space Λ_0^2 which is the set of the oriented spheres orthogonal to the circle \mathscr{G} (Figure 10).



Figure 10. Intersection and Lorentz distance.

It can also be proven by the composition of (1) and a 1-dimensional lower analogue of (1). \Box

Remark. The Lorentz distance between Σ_1 and Σ_2 and the cross ratio of the four intersection points of \mathcal{G} and $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ are related by a diffeomorphism. When the circle \mathcal{G} is a line the cross ratio is given by the formula

$$\operatorname{cross}(x_1^1, x_2^1; x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{x_1^1 - x_2^1}{x_1^1 - x_2^2} : \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^1}{x_1^2 - x_2^2}.$$

When the four points are on a circle in a complex plane, the four points x_i^j should

be considered as complex numbers, but the cross ratio is real as the points are on a circle.

For example, if we name the point as in Figure (10), we have

$$|\operatorname{cross}(x_1^1, x_2^1; x_1^2, x_2^2)| = \left(\frac{e^{\ell} - 1}{e^{\ell} + 1}\right)^2,$$

where ℓ is the Lorentz distance $d_{\mathcal{L}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.

In particular, when the Lorentz distance between Σ_1 and Σ_2 is small, we have

$$|\operatorname{cross}(x_1^1, x_2^1; x_1^2, x_2^2)| \simeq \left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)^2.$$

We need another lemma to compare the Lorentz distance between a pair of spheres to the Lorentz distance between their intersections with a sphere or a circle almost orthogonal to them.

Lemma 8.6. Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be a pair of spheres. Let $cross(x_1^1, x_2^1; x_1^2, x_2^2)$ be the cross ratio of the intersection of Σ_1 and Σ_2 with a circle \mathcal{G} which intersects Σ_1 and Σ_2 in the right angles.

- (1) Let $\operatorname{cross}(y_1^1, y_2^1; y_1^2, y_2^2)$ be the cross ratio of the intersection of Σ_1 and Σ_2 with a circle $\overline{\mathscr{G}}$ that makes angles θ_1 with Σ_1 and θ_2 with Σ_2 . Then the quotient of $\operatorname{cross}(x_1^1, x_2^1; x_1^2, x_2^2)$ and $\operatorname{cross}(y_1^1, y_2^1; y_1^2, y_2^2)$ is in an interval $[1 \delta_1, 1 + \delta_1]$, where δ_1 is a function of θ_1 and θ_2 which goes to 0 when θ_1 and θ_2 go to $\pm \pi/2$.
- (2) Suppose that a sphere S makes angles θ_1 with Σ_1 and θ_2 with Σ_2 , respectively. Let $\operatorname{cross}(z_1^1, z_2^1; z_1^2, z_2^2)$ be the cross ratio of the intersection of $\Sigma_1 \cap S$ and $\Sigma_2 \cap S$ with a common orthogonal circle $\Gamma \subset S$. Then the quotient of $\operatorname{cross}(x_1^1, x_2^1; x_1^2, x_2^2)$ and $\operatorname{cross}(z_1^1, z_2^1; z_1^2, z_2^2)$ is in an interval of the form $[1 \delta_2, 1 + \delta_2]$, where δ_2 is a function of θ_1 and θ_2 which goes to 0 when θ_1 and θ_2 go to $\pm \pi/2$.

Proof. Let us fix the two spheres Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

Let us consider the circle $\hat{\mathscr{G}}$ orthogonal to Σ_1 at y_1^1 and y_2^1 . It intersects \mathscr{G} at y_1^1 and y_2^1 making a small angle $\pi/2 - \theta_1$. It also intersects Σ_2 at points z_1 and z_2 close to y_1^2 and y_2^2 and with an angle close to $\pi/2$. All the corresponding arcs a_i, b_i on both circles have a ratio satisfying $1 - \delta < a_i/b_i < 1 + \delta$, which implies (1).

The second statement (2) comes from the fact that the circle γ is almost orthogonal to the spheres Σ_1 and Σ_2 .

8.2.2. Conformal arc-length as the average of $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measure of lightlike curves in Λ^4 which are associated to a curve in S^3 or \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $C = \{c(t)\}$ be vertex-free curve in S^3 or \mathbb{E}^3 . Let $\Gamma = \{\sigma(t)\}$ be a curve in Λ^4 which is the set of osculating

spheres of *C*. Let \tilde{s} (\tilde{s} is in some interval *I*) be the arc-length of Γ , and \cdot denote $\frac{d}{d\tilde{s}}$. The geodesic curvature vector \boldsymbol{k}_{g} is the image of the orthogonal projection of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ to $T_{\sigma}\Lambda^{4} = (\text{Span } \sigma)^{\perp}$. As $\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle = 1$, and moreover, $\langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle = 1$ ([La-So], [Yu]), we have $\langle \sigma, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle = -1$, and therefore, the geodesic curvature vector is given by $\boldsymbol{k}_{g} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \sigma$. It is lightlike, namely, Γ is a *drill* ([La-So]).

Let $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})}$ be the geodesic circle of Λ^4 which is tangent to Γ at $\sigma(\tilde{s})$ (Figure 11). Then it is given by $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})} = \Lambda^4 \cap \text{Span} \langle \sigma(\tilde{s}), \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \rangle$. A point on it can be expressed as $\nu(\tilde{s}, \theta) = \cos \theta \sigma(\tilde{s}) + \sin \theta \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s})$ for some θ . It corresponds to a sphere which contains the osculating circle to *C* at $c(\tilde{s})$.



Figure 11. $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a curve of osculating spheres $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})}$ is a geodesic circle in Λ that is tangent to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ at $\sigma(\tilde{s})$. Lightlike curves made of spheres containing the osculating circles are orthogonal to $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})}$.

Let $V(C) = \bigcup_{\tilde{s}} \mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})}$ be a surface in Λ^4 which is the union of the geodesic circles tangent to Γ :

$$V(C) = \{ \nu(\tilde{s}, \theta) = \cos \theta \sigma(\tilde{s}) + \sin \theta \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \mid \tilde{s} \in I, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi \}.$$

The tangent space of V(C) at $\nu = \nu(\tilde{s}, \theta)$ is given by

$$T_{\nu(\tilde{s},\theta)}V(C) = T_{\nu}\Lambda^{4} \cap \operatorname{Span}\langle \sigma(\tilde{s}), \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}), \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \rangle = T_{\nu}\Lambda^{4} \cap \operatorname{Span}\langle \sigma(\tilde{s}), \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}), \boldsymbol{k}_{g}(\tilde{s}) \rangle.$$

As

$$T_{\nu(\tilde{s},\theta)}\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})} = \operatorname{Span} \langle -\sin\theta\sigma(\tilde{s}) + \cos\theta\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \rangle$$

its orthogonal complement in $T_{\nu}V(C)$ is given by $\operatorname{Span}\langle \ddot{\sigma} + \sigma \rangle = \operatorname{Span}\langle k_g(\tilde{s}) \rangle$.

It follows that the curves orthogonal to the "foliation" of V(C) by these geodesic circles $\{\mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})}\}$ are lightlike. Generically they have cuspidal edges at points of Γ .

Notice that the angle between two spheres $v(s, \theta)$ and $v(s, \theta')$ is independent of the value of s.

Remark. We have $d\tilde{s} = |T|c^*d\rho$, where ρ is the conformal arc-length and T is the *conformal torsion* given by

$$T = \frac{2\kappa'\tau + \kappa^2\tau^3 + \kappa\kappa'\tau' - \kappa\kappa''\tau}{(\kappa'^2 + \kappa^2\tau^2)^{5/2}}$$

(see [CSW]).

Proposition 8.7. Let C be a vertex-free curve, $\overline{\Sigma}_0$ a sphere which has the second order contact with C, and $\overline{\sigma}_0$ a point in Λ corresponding to $\overline{\Sigma}_0$. Then there is a unique lightlike curve $\overline{\sigma}$ through $\overline{\sigma}_0$ consisting of the spheres $\overline{\Sigma}$ with the second order contact with C.

The statement without "uniqueness" was proved in Corollary 10 of [La-So].

We remark that a sphere has the second order contact with a curve at a point m if and only if it contains the osculating circle of the curve at m.

Proof. Every sphere having the second order contact at a point $m(\tilde{s})$ in C can be written by

$$\cos\theta\,\sigma(\tilde{s}) - \sin\theta\,\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s})$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where \cdot denotes $d/d\tilde{s}$. Let $\eta(\tilde{s})$ be a curve in A given by

 $\eta(\tilde{s}) = \cos u(\tilde{s}) \,\sigma(\tilde{s}) - \sin u(\tilde{s}) \,\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}),$

where $u(\tilde{s})$ is a function. Then we have

$$\dot{\eta} = \cos u \, (1 - \dot{u}) \, \dot{\sigma} - \sin u \, (\dot{u}\sigma + \ddot{\sigma}).$$

As $\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle = \langle \dot{\sigma}, \dot{\sigma} \rangle = 1$ we have $\langle \dot{\sigma}, \sigma \rangle = \langle \dot{\sigma}, \ddot{\sigma} \rangle = 0$. Furthermore we have $\langle \ddot{\sigma}, \ddot{\sigma} \rangle = 1$ ([La-So], [Yu]). Therefore we have

$$\langle \dot{\eta}, \dot{\eta} \rangle = (1 - \dot{u})^2,$$

which implies that $\eta(\tilde{s})$ is lightlike if and only if $u(\tilde{s}) = \tilde{s} + \theta$ for some constant θ .

CMH

If $\bar{\sigma}_0$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{\sigma}_0 = \cos u_0 \,\sigma(\tilde{s}_0) - \sin u_0 \,\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}_0)$$

then $\bar{\sigma}$ is uniquely determined by

$$\bar{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) = \cos\left(\tilde{s} + u_0 - \tilde{s}_0\right)\sigma(\tilde{s}) - \sin\left(\tilde{s} + u_0 - \tilde{s}_0\right)\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}),$$

which has the following lightlike tangent vector

$$\dot{\bar{\sigma}}(\tilde{s}) = -\sin(\tilde{s} + u_0 - \tilde{s}_0) \big(\sigma(\tilde{s}) + \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \big). \qquad \Box$$

Remark. Let

$$\psi(\theta, \tilde{s}) = \cos(\tilde{s} + \theta)\sigma(\tilde{s}) - \sin(\tilde{s} + \theta)\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}),$$

and ψ_{θ} be a lightlike curve $\{\psi(\theta, \cdot)\}$ in Λ^4 . Using one of the curves ψ_{θ} we can construct a surface M_{θ} containing C such that C is a line of principal curvature of M_{θ} . Then the spheres of ψ_{θ} are the osculating spheres to M_{θ} at points of C. Any surface tangent to M_{θ} along C has the same property.

When C is contained in \mathbb{R}^3 , a developable M_θ is obtained as the envelopes of planes $P_\theta(\tilde{s} + h)$ satisfying

- the angle between $P_{\theta}(\tilde{s})$ and the osculating plane to C at $c(\tilde{s})$ is θ ,
- the derivative, with respect to an arc-length parameter *s* on the curve *C*, of the angle between $P_{\theta}(\tilde{s}+h)$ and the osculating plane to *C* at $c(\tilde{s}+h)$ is $-\tau(\tilde{s}+h)$, the opposite of the torsion of the curve *C* at $c(\tilde{s}+h)$.

Note that ψ_{θ} is a curve in the surface V(C) which intersects geodesic circles $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma(\tilde{s})}$ orthogonally.

Corollary 8.8. The integral of the pull-back of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element (Definition 4.3) of the lightlike curves ψ_{θ} , $\psi_{\theta}^* d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi_{\theta})}$, with θ moving from 0 to 2π is proportional to

$$\sqrt[4]{|\mathcal{L}(\dot{\sigma} + \ddot{\sigma})|} d\tilde{s} = \sqrt[4]{|\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\sigma}) - 1|} d\tilde{s}.$$
(8.2)

Proof. Computing the second derivative of ψ_{θ} , we get

$$\ddot{\psi}_{\theta} = -\cos(\tilde{s} + \theta) \big(\sigma(\tilde{s}) + \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \big) - \sin(\tilde{s} + \theta) \big(\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) + \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \big)$$

As $\sigma(\tilde{s}) + \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s})$ is lightlike and orthogonal to its derivative $\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) + \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s})$, we have

$$\sqrt[4]{\frac{|\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\psi}_{\theta})|}{12}} = \sqrt{|\sin(\tilde{s}+\theta)|} \sqrt[4]{\frac{|\mathcal{L}(\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s})+\ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}))|}{12}},$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \psi_{\theta}^{*} d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi_{\theta})} d\theta &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sqrt[4]{|\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\psi}_{\theta})|} \, d\tilde{s} \, d\theta \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \sqrt{|\sin\theta|} \, d\theta \right) \sqrt[4]{\frac{|\mathcal{L}(\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) + \ddot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}))|}{12}} \, d\tilde{s}. \end{split}$$

This gives the left-hand side of (8.2).

On the other hand, the equation (8.2) follows from Table 2 of $\langle \sigma^{(i)}, \sigma^{(j)} \rangle$ ($0 \le i, j \le 3$), which can be obtained from $\langle \ddot{\sigma}, \ddot{\sigma} \rangle = 1$ ([La-So], [Yu]).

	σ	$\dot{\sigma}$	$\overset{\bullet}{\sigma}$	σ
σ	1	0	-1	0
σ		1	0	-1
σ			1	0
σ				$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$

Table 2. A table of $\langle \sigma^{(i)}, \sigma^{(j)} \rangle$.

Theorem 8.9. Let C be a vertex-free curve. Let $\Gamma = \{\sigma(\tilde{s})\}\$ be a curve in Λ^4 which is the set of osculating spheres of C, where \tilde{s} is the arc-length of Γ . Then the conformal arc-length of the curve C is, up to the multiplication by a universal constant, equal to the average with respect to θ of the $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -measures of the lightlike curves in

$$V(C) = \{ \nu(\tilde{s}, \theta) = \cos \theta \sigma(\tilde{s}) + \sin \theta \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}) \mid \tilde{s} \in I, \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi \}$$

through $\cos \theta \sigma(\tilde{s}_0) + \sin \theta \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{s}_0)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that the pull-back of the conformal arc-length element is proportional to the average of that of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element.

Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \Lambda^4$ be the curve of osculating spheres to the curve *C*, and $\sigma(\tilde{s})$ the osculating sphere at the point $m(\tilde{s})$ to the curve *C*, where \tilde{s} is an arc-length parameter on the curve $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \Lambda^4$. We remark that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ is spacelike if *C* is vertex-free.

Let *S* be the sphere orthogonal to *C* at m(s) which also contains the point m(s+h). We will now follow the intersection with *S* of the spheres of the different lightlike curves ψ_{θ} , where θ is the angle of the initial sphere of the family $\psi_{\theta}(t_0)$ with a chosen sphere of the second order contact with *C* at the point $m_0 = c(s_0)$.

308

Consider two points m(s) and m(s+h) on C (here we use the arc-length parameter on the curve $C \subset S^3$). The set of the spheres $\{\psi(\theta, s) | \theta \in S^1\}$ and $\{\psi(\theta, s+h) | \theta \in S^1\}$ are two pencils consisting of the spheres which contain $\mathcal{O}_{m(s)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{m(s+h)}$, respectively. They intersect the sphere S in two pencils of circles with base points $\{m(s), y(s+h)\}$ and $\{y(s), m(s+h)\}$ (see Figure 9).

As the sphere *S* is orthogonal to the osculating circle to the curve *C* at m(s), which is the base circle of the pencil $\{\psi(\theta, s) | \theta \in S^1\}$, the angle θ is also the angle parameter of the pencil of circles $\{\psi(\theta, s) \cap S | \theta \in S^1\}$. The angle of the circles $S \cap \psi(\theta, s + h)$ and $S \cap \psi(\theta', s + h)$ is only close to $\theta - \theta'$ as we know that the angle of *S* and $\mathcal{O}_{m(s+h)}$ is close to $\pi/2$.



Figure 12. Trace of the spheres $\psi_{\theta}(s)$ and $\psi_{\theta}(s+h)$ on an almost orthogonal sphere S (with a point of S at infinity).

Let Γ_0 denote the circle of *S* containing the three points m(s), y(s) an m(s + h). As the cross ratio $\operatorname{cross}(m(s), y(s + h), m(s + h), y(s))$ is almost real (that is, the quotient of the imaginary part divided by the real part is of order o(h)), the fourth point y(s + h) is almost on Γ_0 (that is, after performing homothety which makes the radius of *S* being equal to 1 the distance between y(s + h) and Γ_0 is of order o(h)). Therefore the cross ratio $\operatorname{cross}(m(s), y(s + h), m(s + h), y(s))$ is equivalent to the Lorentz distance between the two circles $S \cap \psi(\theta_1, s)$ and $S \cap \psi(\theta_1, s + h)$, where the value θ_1 is such that $S \cap \psi_{\theta_1}(s)$ is orthogonal to Γ_0 . Let us now consider the intersection of the two circles $S \cap \psi(\theta, s)$ and $S \cap \psi(\theta, s + h)$ with the circle Γ_{θ} which is orthogonal to $S \cap \psi(\theta, s)$ (see Figure 12). The Lorentz distance between these two circles is equivalent to the cross ratio

$$\operatorname{cross}(m(s), A_{\theta}; B_{\theta}, y_1) \simeq \cos^2(\theta - \theta_1) \operatorname{cross}(m(s), y_2, m(s+h), y_1).$$

Using Lemma 8.6, we see that the Lorentz distance between the spheres $\psi_{\theta}(s)$ and $\psi_{\theta}(s+h)$ is also equivalent to

$$\cos(\theta - \theta_1) \cos(m(s), y(s+h), m(s+h), y(s)).$$

The Lorentz distance between two close disjoint spheres is equivalent to their Lorentz distance. We can integrate the contribution of the segments $\psi_{\theta}(t), \psi_{\theta}(s+h)$ to the $L^{1/2}$ -lengthes of the curves $\psi_{\theta} \subset \Lambda$. It is

$$\left(\int_{\theta\in S^1}|\cos(\theta-\theta_1)|^{1/2}d\theta\right)\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{|\operatorname{cross}(m(s),\,y(s+h),m(s+h),\,y(s))|}.$$

This provides the constant in the statement of Theorem 8.9.

Remark. There is an alternative proof of Theorem 8.9 using (8.2) and an antiisometry between two Grassmann manifolds.

Recall that the set $\mathscr{S}(1,3)$ of oriented circles in S^3 can be identified with the Grassmann manifold $\widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_{-}(3; \mathbb{R}^5_1)$ of oriented 3-dimensional timelike subspaces of \mathbb{R}^5_1 . The orthogonal complement of a timelike 3-space is a spacelike 2-space. Therefore, there is a bijection between $\widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_{-}(3; \mathbb{R}^5_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{10}_4$ and the Grassmann manifold $\widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_{+}(2; \mathbb{R}^5_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{10}_6$ of oriented 2-dimensional spacelike subspaces of \mathbb{R}^5_1 that can be obtained by assigning the orthogonal complement. This bijection is a restriction of an anti-isometry F (here F being an anti-isometry means $\langle F(u), F(v) \rangle = -\langle u, v \rangle$ for any u, v) between \mathbb{R}^{10}_4 and \mathbb{R}^{10}_6 that exchanges spacelike and timelike subspaces ([La-OH2]).

Through this anti-isometry, the osculating circle γ corresponds to $\sigma \wedge \dot{\sigma}$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_+(2;\mathbb{R}^5_1)$, and therefore, $\ddot{\gamma}$ corresponds to $\sigma \wedge \ddot{\sigma} + \dot{\sigma} \wedge \ddot{\sigma}$. Then, by Table 2 we have $\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\gamma}) = \mathcal{L}(\ddot{\sigma}) - 1$, which implies that the pull-back of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element of γ , and hence the pull-back of the conformal arc-length element, is given by $\sqrt[4]{|\mathcal{L}(\ddot{\sigma}) - 1|} d\tilde{s}$. Now Corollary 8.8 implies that the integral of the pull-back of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -dimensional length element of the lightlike curves $\psi_{\theta}, \psi_{\theta}^* d\rho_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi_{\theta})}$, with θ moving from 0 to 2π is proportional to the pull-back of the conformal arc-length element, which completes the proof.

Vol.	85	(2010)
	~ ~	()

The reader is referred to [O'N] for pseudo-Riemannian metrics, [Ak-Go], [Fi], [HJ], [MRS], [Ro-Sa], and [Su1], [Su2] for further details in conformal differential geometry, and [Yu] for the construction of a conformally invariant moving frame along a curve in a spherical model in \mathbb{R}_1^5 .

Note added in proof. The idea of getting a sort of pseudo arc-length of lightlike curves (Definition 4.3) has already appeared in Vessiot [Ve] in which the author used imaginary elements in geometry. See also Study [Stu] and Blaschke [Bla], Paragraph 22. The authors thank Dr. Steven Verpoort for the above references. Also notice the use of imaginary elements by Darboux [Dar], Chapter 6, to study spheres (pentaspherical coordinates).

References

- [Ak-Go] M. A. Akivis and V. V. Goldberg, Conformal differential geometry and its generalizations. Pure Appl. Math. (New York), John Wiley & Sons, New York 1996.
 Zbl 0863.53002 MR 1406793 311
- [AGV] V. E. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko, Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. 1. The classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts, Monogr. Math 82, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1985. Zbl 0554.58001 MR 0777682 294
- [CSW] G. Cairns, R. W. Sharpe and L. Webb, Conformal invariants for curves and surfaces in three dimensional space forms. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 24 (1994), 933–959.
 Zbl 0829.53009 MR 1307584 273, 300, 306
- [Bla] W. Blaschke, Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie und geometrische Grundlagen von Einsteins Relativitätstheorie. I Elementare Differentialgeometrie. Grundlagen Math. Wiss. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1930. JFM 56.0588.07 MR 0015247 311
- [Dar] J. G. Darboux, *Leçons sur la théorie générale des surfaces*. Gauthier-Villars, Tome 1, Paris 1887; 2^{ème} édition 1915. JFM 19.0746.02 311
- [Fi] A. Fialkow, The conformal theory of curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1942), 435–501. Zb1 0063.01358 MR 0006465 273, 311
- [G] O. Giering, *Vorlesungen über höhere Geometrie*. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig 1982. Zbl 0493.51001 MR 0689892 276
- [HJ] U. Hertrich-Jeromin, Introduction to Möbius differential geometry. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 300, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2003.
 Zbl 1040.53002 MR 2004958 279, 287, 300, 311
- [Ko-Yo] T. Kobayashi and T. Yoshino, Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces revisited. Pure Appl. Math. Quart. 1 (2005), 591–663. Zbl 1145.22011 MR 2201328 289
- [La-OH] R. Langevin and J. O'Hara, Conformally invariant energies of knots. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 4 (2005), 219–280. Zbl 1077.57006 MR 2135138 287, 298, 300
- [La-OH2] R. Langevin and J. O'Hara, Extrinsic conformal geometry with applications to curves and surfaces. In preparation. 296, 310
- [La-So] R. Langevin and G. Solanes. Conformal geometry of curves and the length of canals. Preprint, Dijon 2005. 305, 306, 308

312	R. Langevin and J. O'Hara	СМН
[Li]	H. Liebmann, Beiträge zur Inversionsgeometrie der Kurven. <i>N</i> (1923), 79–94. JFM 49.0531.01 274	1ünchn. Ber. 1923
[Liu]	H. Liu, Curves in the lightlike cone. <i>Beiträge Algebra Geom.</i> 45 Zbl 1063.53001 MR 2070651 293	5 (2004), 291–303.
[MRS]	A. Montesinos Amilibia, M. C. Romero Fuster, and E. Sanab formal curvatures of curves in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . <i>Indag. Math. N.S.</i> 12 (3) Zbl 1006.53009 MR 1914087 311	ria Codesal, Con-) (2001), 369–382.
[O'H1]	J. O'Hara, <i>Energy of knots and conformal geometry</i> . Ser. Knots Ev Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2003. Zbl 1034.57008 MR 1986069	verything 33, World 298, 300
[O'H2]	J. O'Hara, Energy of knots and the infinitesimal cross ratio. In <i>Gro</i> configuration spaces, Geom. Topol. Monogr. 13, Geometry & Topol Coventry 2008, 421–445. Zbl 1137.57009 MR 2508217 300	<i>ups, homotopy and</i> blogy Publications,
[O'N]	B. O'Neil. <i>Semi-Riemannian geometry</i> . Pure Appl. Math. 103, Ac New York 1983. Zbl 0531.53051 MR 0719023 280, 311	cademic Press Inc.,
[Ro-Sa]	M. C. Romero-Fuster and E. Sanabria-Codesal, Generalized evolution conformal invariants of curves in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . <i>Indag. Math. N.S.</i> 10 (1997) Zb1 01803701 MR 1816222 285, 311	blutes, vertices and 09), no. 2, 297–305.
[Stu]	E. Study, Zur Differentialgeometrie der analytischen Kurven. <i>T</i> Soc. 10 (1909), 1–49. JFM 40.0658.04 311	Frans. Amer. Math.
[Su1]	R. Sulanke, Submanifolds of the Möbius space II, Frenet formulas stant curvatures. <i>Math. Nachr.</i> 100 (1981), 235–247. Zbl 0484.57 311	and curves of con- 3009 MR 0632630
[Su2]	R. Sulanke, Möbius invariants for pairs (S_1^m, S_2^l) of spheres in S^n . Beiträge Algebra Geom. 41 (2000), no.1, 233–246 Zbl 0484.5 311	the Möbius space 3009 MR 0632630
[Ta]	T. Takasu, Differentialgeometrien in den Kugelräumen, I, Ku tialkugelgeometrie von Liouville und Möbius. Maruzen, Tokyo 1 Zbl 0019.04401 274	onforme Differen- 938.
[Ur]	R. Uribe-Vargas, On the higher dimensional four-vertex theorem <i>Paris Sér. I Math.</i> 321 (1995), no. 10, 1353–1358. Zbl 0851.57034	n. C. R. Acad. Sci. MR 1363579 294
[Ve]	E. Vessiot, Sur les courbes minima. <i>Comptes Rendus (Paris)</i> 140 (JFM 36.0661.01 311	1905), 1381–1384.
[Yu]	L. Yun. Invariant of curves under Möbius group in 3-dimensic	onal space. Peking

Received March 13, 2008

University, 2003. 305, 306, 308, 311

Rémi Langevin, Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne, Université de Bourgogne, CNRS-UMR 5584, U.F.R. Sciences et Techniques, 9, avenue Alain Savary, B.P. 47870, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France

E-mail: langevin@u-bourgogne.fr

Jun O'Hara, Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Ohsawa, Hachiouji-Shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

E-mail: ohara@tmu.ac.jp