

# Polynomial invariants of representations of quivers.

Autor(en): **Donkin, Steven**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **69 (1994)**

PDF erstellt am: **21.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52251>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Polynomial invariants of representations of quivers

STEPHEN DONKIN

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Recall that if an affine algebraic group  $G$  over  $k$  acts on an affine variety  $Z$  then we get an induced action of  $G$  on the coordinate algebra  $k[Z]$ , given by  $(x \cdot f)(z) = f(x^{-1}z)$ , for  $x \in G$ ,  $f \in k[Z]$  and  $z \in Z$ . We consider here the space  $R(Q, \alpha)$  of all  $k$ -representations of a quiver  $Q$  with given dimension vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . There is a natural action of the product of general linear groups  $GL(\alpha) = GL(\alpha_1, k) \times GL(\alpha_2, k) \times \dots \times GL(\alpha_n, k)$  on  $R(Q, \alpha)$  and the purpose of this note is to describe generators for the algebra of polynomial invariants  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{GL(\alpha)_\theta}$  of the coordinate algebra  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]$ , where  $GL(\alpha)_\theta$  is the centralizer in  $GL(\alpha)$  of an element  $\theta$ . In particular we show that  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{GL(\alpha)}$  is generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials of products over oriented cycles. In characteristic zero this is a result of Le Bruyn and Procesi, [4], Theorem 1. I am very grateful to Dr. W. W. Crawley-Boevey for bringing this problem to my attention.

By a quiver we mean a quadruple  $Q = (V, A, h, t)$ , consisting of the vertex set  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , a finite set  $A$  of arrows and maps  $h : A \rightarrow V$ ,  $t : A \rightarrow V$  which assign to an arrow  $a \in A$  its head,  $h(a)$ , and tail,  $t(a)$ .

Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  be finite dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces and let  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$ . Let  $\alpha_i = \dim_k E_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . We write  $GL(\alpha) = GL(E_1) \times GL(E_2) \times \dots \times GL(E_n)$  and identify  $GL(\alpha)$  with a subgroup of  $GL(E)$ . Thus  $GL(\alpha)$  is the centralizer in  $GL(E)$  of a linear endomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $E$  which acts as multiplication by  $c_i$  on  $E_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , for distinct scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in k$ . Then  $R(Q, \alpha) = \prod_{a \in A} \text{Hom}_k(E_{t(a)}, E_{h(a)})$  is the space of all  $k$ -representations of  $Q$  on the spaces  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$ . Now  $GL(\alpha)$  acts rationally on  $R(Q, \alpha)$  by  $g \cdot (y_a)_{a \in A} = (g_{h(a)} y_a g_{t(a)}^{-1})_{a \in A}$ , for  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in GL(\alpha)$  and  $(y_a)_{a \in A} \in R(Q, \alpha)$ . For an endomorphism  $z$  of a  $k$ -vector space  $E$  of finite dimension  $d$  and non-negative integer  $s \leq d$  we let  $\chi_s(z)$  denote  $(-1)^s$  times the coefficient of  $t^{d-s}$  in the characteristic polynomial  $\det(tI - z)$  of  $z$  (where  $I$  is the identity map on  $E$ ). In the case in which  $Q$  has only one vertex the following becomes the description of generators of matrix invariants given in [3], §2, Theorem 1.

**PROPOSITION.** *The algebra of invariants  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by the functions  $(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_s(y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1})$ , where  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  is an oriented cycle and  $s \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $Q$  is the quiver which has  $m$  arrows between each pair of vertices, i.e. there is a positive integer  $m$  such that for each  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  there are precisely  $m$  arrows  $a \in A$  with  $t(a) = p$  and  $h(a) = q$ . We write  $A$  as a disjoint union  $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_m$  in such a way that for each  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  and  $1 \leq r \leq m$  there is exactly one element  $a \in A_r$  with  $t(a) = p$  and  $h(a) = q$ . We regard  $\text{End}_k(E)$  as a  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -module via conjugation and  $\text{End}_k(E)^m$  as the direct sum  $\text{End}_k(E) \oplus \text{End}_k(E) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{End}_k(E)$ . Then we have an isomorphism of  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -modules (and varieties)  $\phi : R(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(E)^m$  given by  $\phi((y_a)_{a \in A}) = (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_m)$ , for  $(y_a)_{a \in A} \in R(Q, \alpha)$ , where  $\bar{y}_r = \sum_{a \in A_r} y_a$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq m$ . Now the comorphism  $\phi^* : k[\text{End}_k(E)^m] \rightarrow k[R(Q, \alpha)]$  induces an isomorphism  $k[\text{End}_k(E)^m]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} \rightarrow k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  on invariants. By [3], §2 Theorem 2, we have that  $k[\text{End}_k(E)^m]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by the functions  $(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_m) \mapsto \chi_u(\sigma^{q_1} \bar{y}_{i_1} \sigma^{q_2} \bar{y}_{i_2} \cdots \sigma^{q_r} \bar{y}_{i_r})$ , for  $r \geq 1$ ,  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r \geq 0$ ,  $u \geq 0$  and  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$  an  $r$ -tuple with entries in  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Therefore  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by functions of the form

$$(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_u \left( \sigma^{q_1} \left( \sum_{a \in A_{i_1}} y_a \right) \sigma^{q_2} \left( \sum_{a \in A_{i_2}} y_a \right) \cdots \sigma^{q_r} \left( \sum_{a \in A_{i_r}} y_a \right) \right)$$

with  $r$ ,  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ , and  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r$  as above. However,  $\sigma y_a = c_{h(a)} y_a$  for  $a \in A$ , so the above function is

$$(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_u \left( \left( \sum_{a \in A_{i_1}} c_{h(a)}^{q_1} y_a \right) \left( \sum_{a \in A_{i_2}} c_{h(a)}^{q_2} y_a \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{a \in A_{i_r}} c_{h(a)}^{q_r} y_a \right) \right).$$

However, as is well known, a signed coefficient  $\chi_u(z_1 + z_2)$ , of the characteristic polynomial of a sum of endomorphisms  $z_1, z_2$ , is a linear combination of products of the coefficients of the characteristic coefficients in monomials in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . (Also, this follows from the main result of [3], since the function  $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \chi_u(z_1 + z_2)$  is a polynomial invariant for the action of the general linear group by simultaneous conjugation on pairs on endomorphisms.) Hence the above function is a linear combination of products of functions of the form

$$(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_s(y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1})$$

for  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \in A$ ,  $s \geq 0$ . Now, for  $a, b \in A$ , we have  $y_a \in \text{Hom}_k(E_{t(a)}, E_{h(a)})$  and  $y_b \in \text{Hom}_k(E_{t(b)}, E_{h(b)})$  so that  $y_a y_b$  is zero unless  $h(a) = t(b)$ . Thus  $y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1}$  is

zero unless  $h(a_1) = t(a_2), h(a_2) = t(a_3), \dots, h(a_r) = t(a_1)$ . Moreover,  $y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1}$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_k(E_{t(a_1)}, E_{h(a_r)}) \leq \text{End}_k(E)$  and, for an element  $z$  of  $\text{Hom}_k(E_i, E_j)$ , we have  $\chi_s(z) = 0$  for all  $s > 0$  unless  $i = j$ . Hence  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by the functions  $(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_s(y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1})$ , where  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  is an oriented cycle and  $s \geq 0$ .

To conclude we make use of the elementary remark that if  $L = M \oplus N$  is a direct sum decomposition of a finite dimensional rational  $H$ -module  $L$ , where  $H$  is an affine algebraic group over  $k$ , then the restriction map  $k[L] \rightarrow k[M]$  is a split surjection of  $H$ -modules and hence the induced map  $k[L]^H \rightarrow k[M]^H$  is surjective. So now let  $Q$  be arbitrary. Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that for each  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  the number of arrows  $a \in A$  satisfying  $t(a) = p$  and  $h(a) = q$  is at most  $m$ . Let  $\hat{Q}$  be a (complete) quiver on the same vertex set  $V$  with set of arrows  $\hat{A}$  containing  $A$  such that for each  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  there are exactly  $m$  arrows  $a \in \hat{A}$  with  $t(a) = p$  and  $h(a) = q$ . Let  $Q'$  be the complement of  $Q$  in  $\hat{Q}$ , i.e. the quiver on vertex set  $V$  with arrows  $A' = \hat{A} \setminus A$ . We identify  $R(Q, \alpha)$  with the subspace of  $R(\hat{Q}, \alpha)$  consisting of the elements  $(y_a)_{a \in \hat{A}}$  such that  $y_a = 0$  for  $a \notin A$ . We similarly identify  $R(Q', \alpha)$  with a subspace of  $R(\hat{Q}, \alpha)$ . Then  $R(\hat{Q}, \alpha) = R(Q, \alpha) \oplus R(Q', \alpha)$  is a decomposition of  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -modules. Hence the map  $k[R(\hat{Q}, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} \rightarrow k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is surjective. By the case already considered  $k[R(\hat{Q}, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by the functions  $(y_a)_{a \in \hat{A}} \mapsto \chi_s(y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1})$ , where  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  is an oriented cycle in  $\hat{A}$ , and  $s \geq 0$ . By restricting these functions to  $R(Q, \alpha)$  we get that  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by the functions  $(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_s(y_{a_r} \cdots y_{a_2} y_{a_1})$ , where  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  is an oriented cycle in  $A$ , and  $s \geq 0$ .

We now generalize this result to give generators for the invariants of  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]$ , for the action of a centralizer in  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ . In the case  $[V] = 1$  this is [3], §2, Theorem 2, and in general follows from the Proposition above in the same way that [3], §2, Theorem 2 follows from [3], §2, Theorem 1.

Let  $\theta_i \in \text{End}_k(E_i)$  and let  $\text{GL}(E_i)_{\theta_i}$  be the centralizer of  $\theta_i$  in  $\text{GL}(E_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) \in \text{End}_k(E_1) \oplus \text{End}_k(E_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{End}_k(E_n)$  and let  $\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta = \text{GL}(E_1)_{\theta_1} \times \text{GL}(E_2)_{\theta_2} \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(E_n)_{\theta_n}$ .

We recall, from [2], the notion of a good pair of varieties. Let  $G$  be a reductive affine algebraic group over  $k$ . By a *good filtration* of a rational  $G$ -module  $M$  we mean an ascending filtration  $0 = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \cdots$  such that, for each  $i > 0$ , the section  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is either zero or isomorphic to a module induced from a one dimensional module for a Borel subgroup of  $G$ . We call  $Z$  a good  $G$ -variety if the coordinate algebra  $k[Z]$  admits a good  $G$ -module filtration. By a *good pair* of  $G$  varieties we mean a pair  $(Z, T)$ , where  $Z$  is an affine  $G$ -variety,  $T$  is a closed  $G$ -stable subvariety of  $Z$  and the  $G$ -modules  $k[Z]$  and  $I_T$  admit good  $G$ -module filtrations, where  $I_T \leq k[Z]$  is the ideal of  $T$ . Recall from [2], §1.3, that if  $(Z, T)$  is

a good pair of  $G$ -varieties then  $Z$  and  $T$  are good  $G$ -varieties. The main point about good pairs, as far as invariant theory is concerned, is that the restriction map on fixed points  $k[Z]^G \rightarrow k[T]^G$  is surjective (by [2], Proposition 1.4a).

**THEOREM.** *The algebra of invariants  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta}$  is generated by the functions  $(y_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \chi_s(\theta_{h(a_r)}^{q_r} y_{a_r} \cdots \theta_{h(a_2)}^{q_2} y_{a_2} \theta_{h(a_1)}^{q_1} y_{a_1})$ , for  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  an oriented cycle,  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q^+$  be the quiver  $(V^+, A^+, t^+, h^+)$  obtained from  $Q$  by adding  $n$  extra loops, one at each vertex. Thus we have  $V^+ = V$ ,  $A^+ = A \cup L$ , the disjoint union of  $A$  and  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$ ,  $t^+|_A = t$ ,  $h^+|_A = h$ , and  $t^+(l_i) = h^+(l_i) = i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We let  $\alpha^+ = \alpha$  and take

$$R(Q^+, \alpha^+) = \prod_{a \in A^+} \text{Hom}_k(E_{t(a)}, E_{h(a)}) = R(Q, \alpha) \times S$$

where  $S = \prod_{l \in L} \text{Hom}_k(E_{t(l)}, E_{h(l)}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{End}_k(E_i)$ .

For any affine  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -variety  $X$  we have

$$k[X]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta} \cong (k[X] \otimes \text{Ind}_{\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta}^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} k)^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$$

by Frobenius reciprocity and the tensor identity for induction (see also [5], (1.4)). But we have the natural isomorphism  $\text{Ind}_{\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta}^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} k \cong k[\overline{C(\theta)}]$ , by [2], Theorem 2.2a (iii), where  $\overline{C(\theta)}$  is the Zariski closure of the  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$  conjugacy class  $C(\theta)$  of  $\theta$ . Thus we get  $k[X]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta} \cong k[\overline{\text{GL}(\alpha) \times C(\theta)}]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ . Explicitly, we have the isomorphism  $\xi : k[X \times \overline{C(\theta)}]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} \rightarrow k[X]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ ,  $\xi(f)(x) = f(x, \theta)$ , for  $f \in k[X \times \overline{C(\theta)}]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ ,  $x \in X$ . Assume now that  $X$  is a good  $G$ -variety. Then  $(S, \overline{C(\theta)})$  is a good pair of  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -varieties by [2], Theorem 2.2a (ii), so that  $(X \times S, X \times \overline{C(\theta)})$  is a good pair of  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -varieties, by [2], Proposition 1.3c (i). Hence the restriction  $k[X \times S]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} \rightarrow k[X \times \overline{C(\theta)}]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is surjective, by [2], Lemma 2.3a (or Proposition 1.4a). Thus, for a good  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -variety  $X$ , we have the surjective map  $\eta : k[X \times S]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)} \rightarrow k[X]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta}$ ,  $\eta(f)(x) = f(x, \theta)$ , for  $f \in k[X \times \text{End}_k(E)^n]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ ,  $x \in X$ .

Now take  $X = R(Q, \alpha)$ . Then  $X \times S = R(Q^+, \alpha^+)$  so every  $\text{GL}(\alpha)_\theta$  invariant of  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]$  has the form  $f|_{y_l = \theta_{h(l)}, l \in L}$ , for some  $f \in k[R(Q^+, \alpha^+)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ . But by the Proposition  $k[R(Q^+, \alpha^+)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is generated by the functions  $(y_b)_{b \in A^+} \mapsto \chi_s(y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_2} y_{b_1})$ , with  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l)$  an oriented cycle. Specializing  $y_l$  to  $\theta_{h(l)}$ , for  $l \in L$ , gives generators of the form described in the theorem.

*Remark.* We now deduce that the Proposition is valid over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and stable under base change. The arguments are entirely analogous to those of [3], §3,1 so we shall

be brief about the details. Let  $E_{i,\mathbb{Z}}$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$  be the product of general linear group schemes corresponding to  $E_{1,\mathbb{Z}}, E_{2,\mathbb{Z}}, \dots, E_{n,\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $R(Q, \alpha)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{a \in A} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{t(a), \mathbb{Z}}, E_{h(a), \mathbb{Z}})$  and let  $\mathbb{Z}[R(Q, \alpha)]$  be the symmetric algebra on the dual abelian group  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(Q, \alpha)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $J = \mathbb{Z}[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  and let  $J'$  be the subring generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials of products, taken over oriented cycles, of elements of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{t(a), \mathbb{Z}}, E_{h(a), \mathbb{Z}})$ ,  $a \in A$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  have cardinality  $m$ . Then there is a natural multigrading  $\mathbb{Z}[R(Q, \alpha)] = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}_0^m} \mathbb{Z}[R(Q, \alpha)]_{\omega}$ , such that a non-zero element of the dual of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{t(a_r), \mathbb{Z}}, E_{h(a_r), \mathbb{Z}})$  has degree  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  (1 in the  $r$ th position), for  $1 \leq r \leq m$ . This induces multigradings on  $J, J', k[R(Q, \alpha)]$  and  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ . For  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ , the component  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]_{\omega}$  has a good  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -filtration (e.g. by [1], Corollary 3.2.6 and the fact that  $R(Q, \alpha)$  is a good  $\text{GL}(\alpha)$ -variety). Furthermore, the formal character of  $k[R(Q, \alpha)]_{\omega}$  is independent of the field  $k$  and determines  $\dim_k k[R(Q, \alpha)]_{\omega}^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$ , which is therefore also independent of the field  $k$ . By the Proposition, the natural map  $k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J'_{\omega} \rightarrow k[R(Q, \alpha)]_{\omega}^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is surjective for every algebraically closed field  $k$ . It follows that  $J = J'$  and that the natural map  $k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J \rightarrow k[R(Q, \alpha)]^{\text{GL}(\alpha)}$  is an isomorphism, for every algebraically closed field  $k$ .

## REFERENCES

1. DONKIN, S., "Rational Representations of Algebraic Groups: Tensor Products and Filtrations", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1140, Springer 1985, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York.
2. DONKIN, S., "The normality of closures of conjugacy classes of matrices", *Invent. Math.* 101 (1990), 717–736.
3. DONKIN, S., "Invariants of several matrices", *Invent. Math.* 110 (1992), 389–401.
4. LE BRUYN, L. and PROCESI, C., "Semisimple representations of quivers", *Trans. A.M.S.* 317 (1990), 585–598.
5. K. Pommerening, "Invarianten unipotenter Gruppen", *Math. Z.* 176 (1981), 359–374.

*School of Mathematical Sciences  
Queen Mary and Westfield College  
Mile End Rd.  
London E1 4NS, England*

Received November 9, 1992