

# Finiteness obstructions and cocompact actions on $S_m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Autor(en): **Anderson, Douglas R. / Connolly, F.X.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **68 (1993)**

PDF erstellt am: **21.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51762>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

**Finiteness obstructions and cocompact actions on  $S^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$** DOUGLAS R. ANDERSON<sup>1</sup> AND FRANCIS X. CONNOLLY<sup>2</sup>

We recall that an action of the group  $\Gamma$  on the space  $X$  is *properly discontinuous* if for every compact set  $K$  in  $X$  the family  $\{\gamma K \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is locally finite and that the action is *cocompact* if the orbit space  $X/\Gamma$  is compact.

The question of which groups  $\Gamma$  can act freely, properly discontinuously, and cocompactly on  $S^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  has gained interest in recent years. (If the action is not required to be cocompact, this problem is solved for a very large class of groups in [C–P].) This paper studies the following aspect of this question: Suppose  $\Gamma$  acts freely, properly discontinuously, and cocompactly on  $S^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $G$  is a finite subgroup of the group  $\Gamma$ . What restrictions does this impose on  $G$ ?

It is a classical result of Cartan–Eilenberg [C–E] that in this situation  $G$  has periodic cohomology of period  $d$  and that  $m \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ . Furthermore, it is natural to expect that any additional restrictions on  $G$  will depend on  $m$  and  $n$ . For example, a well known result of Milnor [Mi] shows that if  $n = 0$ ,  $G$  cannot contain a dihedral subgroup; while a recent result of Hambleton–Pedersen [H–P] shows that if  $n \geq 2$ , then this restriction on  $G$  is no longer necessary. So the restrictions on  $G$  depend on  $n$ . Another such example arises out of the work of Lee [Le] and Madsen–Thomas–Wall [M–T–W]. In [Le], Lee gives examples of finite groups  $G$  with periodic cohomology of period  $d$  and not containing a dihedral subgroup that do not act freely on  $S^{(2r+1)d-1}$  for any  $r \geq 0$ . On the other hand, the results of [M–T–W] show that such groups  $G$  do act freely on  $S^{2rd-1}$  for any  $r \geq 1$ . So the restrictions on the finite subgroups of  $\Gamma$  also depend on  $m$ .

The main results of this paper are Theorems I and II below. Since the examples of Lee play a central role in Theorem II, we describe them in more detail. Following Lee [Le; p. 195], we let  $Q(2^q, p, 1)$  be the group with presentation

$$\{x, y, z \mid x^2 = (xy)^2 = y^{2^q-2}, z^p = 1, xzx^{-1} = z^{-1}, yzy^{-1} = z^{-1}\}$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number DMS88-03149.

<sup>2</sup> Partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number DMS90-01729.

where  $p$  is an odd prime. Notice that since there is a split short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_p \rightarrow Q(2^q, p, 1) \rightarrow Q(2^q) \rightarrow 1,$$

$Q(2^q, p, 1)$  is the semidirect product  $C_p \rtimes Q(2^q)$  where  $C_p = \langle z \rangle$  is the subgroup generated by  $z$  and  $Q(2^q)$  is the generalized quaternion group with presentation  $\{\bar{x}, \bar{y} \mid \bar{x}^2 = (\bar{x}\bar{y})^2 = \bar{y}^{2^q-2}\}$ . We embed  $Q(2^q)$  in  $Q(2^q, p, 1)$  via the homomorphism that sends  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  respectively, to  $x$  and  $y$ . This group acts linearly and freely on  $S^{8r-1}$  for any  $r \geq 1$ . On the other hand, Lee [Le] proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM (Lee).** *If the finite group  $G$  contains a copy of  $Q(2^q, p, 1)$  with  $q \geq 4$ , then  $G$  cannot act freely on  $S^{8r+3}$  for any  $r \geq 0$ .*

Lee proved this theorem using a semicharacteristic invariant. This result was sharpened in subsequent work by Davis [Da]. He used the Swan–Wall finiteness obstruction to prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM (Davis).** *Let  $G$  be a finite group containing  $Q(2^q, p, 1)$  with  $q \geq 4$  and  $p$  an odd prime with  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^q-1}$  and  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Then  $G$  does not act freely and cellularly on a finite CW complex having the homotopy type of  $S^{8r+3}$  for any  $r \geq 0$ .*

We recall that a *Hadamard manifold* is a simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature. For the purposes of this paper, a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{Iso}(\mathbb{H})$ , where  $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{H})$  is the group of isometries of  $\mathbb{H}$ , is called a *lattice* if the orbit space  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$  is compact although this is slightly nonstandard terminology. A group  $\Gamma$  is called *lattice-like* if it is virtually torsion free and admits an epimorphism onto a lattice with finite kernel. (Recall a group is *virtually torsion free* if it contains a torsion free subgroup of finite index.) For example, crystallographic groups, discrete, uniform subgroups of a matrix group, and finite groups are lattice-like. Notice that the natural action of a lattice-like group on  $\mathbb{H}$  is properly discontinuous.

Unless otherwise stated, in this paper the symbol  $\Gamma$  will denote a lattice-like group and the letters  $G, H$ , etc will denote finite groups.

In Section 2 we define an additive category  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$  and the transfer homomorphism

$$\text{tr} : \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma, \mathbb{Z}))$$

which appears in the following theorem.

**THEOREM I.** *Suppose the lattice-like group  $\Gamma$  acts freely, cellularly, and cocompactly on the CW complex  $\tilde{X}$  and that for every finite subgroup  $H$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{X}/H$  is a finitely dominated CW complex. Let  $G$  be a fixed finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $\sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G)$  be its finiteness obstruction. Then  $\text{tr } \sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G) = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}))$ .*

A group  $\Gamma$  has *finite virtual cohomological dimension* if it contains a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  with finite cohomological dimension. In this case we write  $\text{vcd } \Gamma = s$ , if  $\Gamma_0$  has cohomological dimension  $s$ . If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then  $Z_\Gamma(G)$  denotes the centralizer of  $G$  in  $\Gamma$ .

The following theorem, which will be derived from the stronger Theorem 5.1 in Section 5, is a generalization of the result of Lee and Davis:

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice-like group. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  contains a copy of  $Q(2^q, p, 1)$  with  $q \geq 4$  and for which  $p$  is an odd prime  $p$  with  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{q-1}}$  and that  $Z_\Gamma(C_p)$  is finite. If either*

- (1)  $3 \leq \text{vcd } Z_\Gamma(Q(2^q))$ ; or
- (2)  $\text{vcd } \Gamma \leq 3$ ,

*then  $\Gamma$  cannot act freely, cellularly, and cocompactly on a CW complex  $\tilde{X}$  homotopy equivalent to  $S^{8r+3}$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . In particular,  $\Gamma$  cannot act freely, properly discontinuously, and cocompactly on  $S^{8r+3} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $r \geq 0$ .*

Notice that if  $\Gamma$  is itself finite, then  $Z_\Gamma(C_p)$  must be finite and  $\text{vcd } \Gamma = 0$ . Hence (2) holds and we recover Davis's theorem. This is not an independent proof of his theorem, however, since we use his proof in the one given here.

Recall that  $C_p$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  where  $\zeta_p$  is a  $p$ -th root of unity. To show that Theorem II contains new information, we prove the following corollary:

**COROLLARY III.** *Let  $q \geq 4$  and  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{q-1}}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a crystallographic group of the form  $\Gamma = A \rtimes Q(2^q, p, 1)$  where  $A = \text{ind}_C^Q(A_0)$ ,  $Q = Q(2^q, p, 1)$ ,  $C = C_p$ , and  $A_0 = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])^k$ . If  $p = 3$ , assume that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_0) \neq 2$ . Then  $\Gamma$  cannot act freely, cellularly, and cocompactly on a CW complex  $X$  homotopy equivalent to  $S^{8r+3}$  for any  $r \geq 0$ . In particular,  $\Gamma$  cannot act freely, properly discontinuously, and cocompactly on  $S^{8r+3} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $r \geq 0$ .*

We remark that the group  $\Gamma$  of Corollary III acts on  $A \otimes \mathbb{R} = V$  in such a way that  $A$  acts as translations. In addition,  $\Gamma/A = Q(2^q, p, 1)$  acts freely and linearly on  $S^{8r+7}$  for each  $r \geq 0$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  acts freely, properly discontinuously and cocompactly on  $S^{8r+7} \times V$  via the diagonal action. Thus the ‘‘geometric period’’ of  $\Gamma$  is 8, even though its ‘‘algebraic period’’ in the sense of Farrell cohomology [Fa] is 4.

Section 1 of this paper contains the key geometric result underlying the proof of Theorem I; while Section 2 defines the transfer map mentioned above and gives the proof of Theorem I. Sections 3 and 4 contain the main new technical tools used in this paper. Section 3 introduces the equivariant geometric module theory needed for the proof of Theorem II; while a spectral sequence for calculating this theory is described in Section 4. The proofs of Theorem II and Corollary III are given in Section 5 with the proof of one lemma deferred until Section 6.

The reader who is most interested in Theorem II and its proof should read Sections 1, 2, and 5 first and refer back to Sections 3 and 4 only as need dictates.

It is a pleasure for the authors to thank Bruce Williams for several very helpful conversations about this paper and Jim Davis for his assistance in clarifying the material in Section 6. In addition, the first named author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at the University of Notre Dame for providing a stimulating atmosphere for him during the period in which this paper was written.

## 1. The key geometric result

Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice-like group. Throughout this paper the Hadamard manifold on which  $\Gamma$  acts by isometries will be denoted by  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . In this section we study the spaces  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$  that play a basic role in this paper and derive their properties. The notation  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  for these spaces was introduced in [C–K] where they were studied in a more general context. The main result of this section is Proposition 1.5. It is the key geometric ingredient in the proof of the Theorem I.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice-like group. Then  $\Gamma$  contains a normal torsion free subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of finite index. Furthermore the left action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  admits the structure of a finite dimensional, contractible CW complex on which  $\Gamma$  acts cellularly;
- (2) For each cell  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , the stabilizer of  $\sigma$  fixes  $\sigma$  pointwise;
- (3) For each point  $x \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , the isotropy subgroup  $\Gamma_x$  is finite;
- (4) For each finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^G$  is contractible; and
- (5) There is a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$  for which the orbit space  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is a closed manifold.

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_1$  be a torsion free subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  be the intersection of the (finitely many) conjugates of  $\Gamma_1$ . Then  $\Gamma_0$  is still torsion free and of finite index but is also normal.

Since  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , each isotropy subgroup  $\Gamma_x$  is finite. Thus if  $\Gamma_0$  is a normal torsion free subgroup of finite index,  $\Gamma_0$  acts freely,

properly discontinuously, and cocompactly on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . Hence the orbit space  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is a closed manifold. Since  $\Gamma$  acts smoothly on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , the finite group  $G = \Gamma/\Gamma_0$  acts smoothly on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  and  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  has an equivariant cell decomposition. The lifting of this decomposition to  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  endows  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  with a finite-dimensional CW structure on which  $\Gamma$  acts cellularly. Thus (1), (2), (3) and (5) follow.

We now show that (4) holds. Let  $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  and  $G \subset \Gamma$  be a finite subgroup. By a theorem of E. Cartan [He; Theorem 13.5, p. 75 (cf. also p. 72)],  $\mathbb{H}^G \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts by isometries,  $\mathbb{H}^G$  is totally geodesic. Choose  $x \in \mathbb{H}^G$ . Then it follows from [Ch-E; Cor. 1.34, p. 37] that  $\exp_x: T\mathbb{H}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a diffeomorphism which is  $G$ -equivariant. Hence  $\mathbb{H}^G$  is homeomorphic to  $(T\mathbb{H}_x)^G$  which is obviously contractible.

We recall that a group  $\Gamma$  has *finite virtual cohomological dimension* (vcd) if it has a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of finite cohomological dimension and that  $\text{vcd } \Gamma$  is defined to be the cohomological dimension of any such subgroup.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice-like group. Then  $\text{vcd } \Gamma = \dim \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  and for any finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\text{vcd } Z_\Gamma(G) = \dim (\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^G$ .*

In this lemma,  $Z_\Gamma(G)$  is the centralizer of  $G$  in  $\Gamma$ ; that is  $Z_\Gamma(G) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma g \gamma^{-1} = g \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ .

*Proof.* That  $\text{vcd } \Gamma = \dim \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  follows trivially from 1.1(5). Let  $Z_{\Gamma_0}(G) = Z_\Gamma(G) \cap \Gamma_0$ . Then  $Z_{\Gamma_0}(G)$  has finite index in  $Z_\Gamma(G)$  and acts freely on  $(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^G$ . Let  $\eta: \Gamma/\Gamma_0$  be the natural homomorphism. By [C-K; Lemma 2.2],  $(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^G/Z_{\Gamma_0}(G)$  is a component of  $(\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0)^{\eta(G)}$  under the action of  $\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  on the closed manifold  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$ . Hence it is also a closed manifold and  $\text{vcd } Z_\Gamma(G) = \text{vcd } Z_{\Gamma_0}(G) = \dim (\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^G$  as claimed.

LEMMA 1.3. *The space  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  has a compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma} = \mathcal{E}\Gamma \cup \Sigma$  with the following properties:*

- (1) *The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  extends to an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$ ;*
- (2) *For any finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$  is  $G$ -homeomorphic to the unit disk in a  $k$ -dimensional representation of  $G$ ; and*
- (3) *For any compact set  $C \subset \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , the sets  $\{\gamma C \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  get small near  $\Sigma$ .*

To say that the sets  $\{\gamma C \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  get small near  $\Sigma$  means that for any point  $z \in \Sigma$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$ , there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  such that if  $\gamma C \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma C \subset U$ .

*Proof.* The proof given here is essentially that in [F-H; pp. 206–207].

Let  $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  and fix a point  $x \in \mathbb{H}$ . Choose an orthogonal framing for  $T\mathbb{H}_x$ . This determines an identification of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with  $T\mathbb{H}_x$  for which the exponential map

$\exp_x: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a distance non-decreasing diffeomorphism by [Ch–E; Cor. 1.34, p. 37]. Let  $\bar{\mathbb{H}}$  be the compactification of  $\mathbb{H}$  obtained by adjoining an end point to each geodesic ray in  $\mathbb{H}$  emanating from  $x$ . Under  $\exp_x$  the geodesic rays correspond to linear rays so  $\exp_x$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{\exp}_x: D^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{H}}$  for which  $i \exp_x = \bar{\exp}_x j$  where  $j: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow D^k$  is a radial embedding. That (1) holds follows from the argument of [F–H; pp. 206–207]. The same argument also shows the following: If  $\Gamma_x$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $x$  under the  $\Gamma$  action on  $\mathbb{H}$ , then  $\Gamma_x$  is finite,  $\mathbb{R}^k = T\mathbb{H}_x$  is a linear representation of  $\Gamma_x$ , and  $\bar{\exp}_x: D(T\mathbb{H}_x) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{H}}$  is a  $\Gamma_x$ -equivariant homeomorphism. Since this observation is independent of the choice of  $x \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , (2) follows.

Let  $C$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts by isometries on  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\exp_x$  is distance non-decreasing, the sets  $\{\exp_x^{-1}(\gamma C)\}$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) have uniformly bounded diameters in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Hence for every  $z' \in S^{k-1}$  and every neighborhood  $U'$  of  $z'$ , there is a neighborhood  $V' \subset U'$  so that if  $\exp_x^{-1}(\gamma C) \cap V' \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma C' \subset U'$ . Since  $\bar{\exp}_x$  is a homeomorphism, (3) now follows.

A *space over*  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  is a pair  $(X, p)$  where  $X$  is space and  $p: X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  is a continuous map. A map  $f: (X, p) \rightarrow (Y, q)$  between spaces over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  is *continuously controlled at infinity* (or simply, *continuously controlled* or *cc*) if for every  $z \in \Sigma$  and every neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  so that  $fp^{-1}(V) \subset q^{-1}(U)$ . If we set  $(X, p) \times I = (X \times I, p\pi)$  where  $\pi$  is projection on the first factor, there is an obvious notion of a cc homotopy between cc maps  $f, g: (X, p) \rightarrow (Y, q)$  and of  $f$  being a cc homotopy equivalence. If these maps and these homotopies are also  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, we say that  $f$  is a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence.

**LEMMA 1.4.** *Let  $(X, p)$  and  $(Y, q)$  be spaces over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  acts on  $X$  and  $Y$ , that  $p$  and  $q$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, and that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map. If  $X/\Gamma$  is compact, then  $f$  is cc.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a fundamental domain for  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  (i.e.  $D$  is compact and  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma = \bigcup \{\gamma D \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ ) and consider  $E = D \cup qfp^{-1}(D)$ . Since  $p$  is proper,  $p^{-1}(D)$  is compact. Hence so is  $E$ . Let  $z \in \Sigma$  and  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $z$  in  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . By 1.3(3) there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  so that if  $\gamma E \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\gamma E \subset U$ . Suppose now that  $x \in p^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $D$  is a fundamental domain for  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ ,  $x \in p^{-1}(\gamma D) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma E)$  for some  $\gamma$ . Hence  $\gamma E \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and by the choice of  $V$ ,  $\gamma E \subset U$ . Suppose  $x = \gamma d$  with  $d \in p^{-1}(D)$ . Then  $qf(x) = \gamma qf(d) \in \gamma qfp^{-1}(D) \subset \gamma E \subset U$  since  $q$  and  $f$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. Thus  $f(x) \in q^{-1}(U)$  as required.

A space  $(X, p)$  over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  for which  $X$  is a CW complex is called a *CW complex over*  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . A CW complex  $(X, p)$  over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  is *continuously controlled at infinity* (or simply either *continuously controlled* or *cc*) if for every  $z \in \Sigma$  and every neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  so that if  $e$  is a cell in  $X$  for which

$p(e) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , then  $p(e) \subset U$ . All  $CW$  complexes over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  considered in this paper are assumed to be cc. If  $p$  is proper,  $(X, p)$  is called a *finite cc CW complex* over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be any  $CW$  complex on which  $\Gamma$  acts freely and cellularly. Then there is an equivariant map  $\tilde{J}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , unique up to equivariant homotopy, that classifies this action (cf. [C–K]). If  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma$  is compact,  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{J})$  is a finite cc  $CW$  complex over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . Let  $\tilde{p}_2: \tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  be projection on the second factor. Then  $(\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  is a (usually non-finite) cc  $CW$  complex over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.5.** *Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a  $CW$  complex on which  $\Gamma$  acts freely, cellularly, and cocompactly. Suppose that for every finite subgroup  $G \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{X}/G$  is finitely dominated. Then  $\tilde{\Psi} = (1, \tilde{J}): (\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  is a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a torsion free subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , as in 1.1, for which there is a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\rho} H \rightarrow 1$  with  $H$  a finite group and so that  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is a finite complex. We break the proof into two cases.

*Case I.* We assume that for every finite subgroup  $G \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{X}/G$  has the homotopy type of a finite complex. In this case consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}/\Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \tilde{X} \times_{\Gamma_0} \mathcal{E}\Gamma \\ \downarrow J & & \downarrow p_2 \\ \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \end{array}$$

where  $\Psi$ ,  $J$  and  $p_2$ , respectively, are induced by  $\tilde{\Psi}$ ,  $\tilde{J}$  and  $\tilde{p}_2$ , respectively. The map  $p_2: \tilde{X} \times_{\Gamma_0} \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is an  $H$ -fibration. For any cell  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$ , let  $Y_\sigma = p_2^{-1}(\sigma)$ . Then  $H_\sigma$  acts freely on  $Y_\sigma$ , where  $H_\sigma$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  be a cell in  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  having  $p(\tilde{\sigma}) = \sigma$  where  $p: \mathcal{E}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is the orbit map and let  $\Gamma_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  be its isotropy subgroup. The map  $\rho$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\Gamma_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rightarrow H_\sigma$  and the map  $\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\Gamma_0} \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  restricts to a cellular,  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{\sigma} \rightarrow Y_\sigma$ . Since  $\tilde{X}/\Gamma_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  has the homotopy type of a finite complex by the assumption above, so does  $Y_\sigma/H_\sigma$  and  $Y_\sigma$  has the  $H_\sigma$ -homotopy type of a finite complex on which  $H_\sigma$  acts freely and cellularly.

By induction on the skeleta of  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$ , we can now construct a diagram of  $H$ -maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times_{\Gamma_0} \mathcal{E}\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E \\ \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \end{array}$$



with the following properties:  $E$  is a finite  $CW$  complex on which  $H$  acts freely and cellularly;  $q : E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  is a map so that for each cell  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma/\Gamma_0$ , the block  $E_\sigma = q^{-1}(\sigma)$  is a finite  $H_\sigma$ -complex;  $\Phi(Y_\sigma) \subset E_\sigma$  and  $\Phi : Y_\sigma \rightarrow E_\sigma$  is an  $H_\sigma$ -homotopy equivalence. It follows that  $\Phi : \tilde{X} \times_{\Gamma_0} \mathcal{E}\Gamma \rightarrow E$  is an  $H$ -equivariant block fibration homotopy equivalence. (That is  $\Phi$ , its homotopy inverse, and the homotopies preserve the blocks  $Y_\sigma$  and  $E_\sigma$  for each  $\sigma$ .) Hence the lift  $\tilde{\Phi} : (\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2) \rightarrow (\tilde{E}, \tilde{q})$  of  $\Phi$  to  $\Gamma_0$ -covers is a cc homotopy equivalence. Notice that since  $\Phi$  is  $H$ -equivariant,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. In addition, since  $\Phi\Psi : \tilde{X}/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow E$  is an  $H$ -homotopy equivalence between finite  $CW$  complexes,  $\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{\Psi} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}) \rightarrow (\tilde{E}, \tilde{q})$  is also a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence by 1.4. Hence  $\tilde{\Psi} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  is a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence as claimed.

*Case II* (The general case). Let  $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X} \times S^1$ ,  $\Gamma$  act on  $\tilde{Y}$  by acting only on the first factor, and  $\tilde{J}_Y = \tilde{J}_X pr$  where  $\tilde{J}_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\Gamma$  is the classifying map for the  $\Gamma$  action on  $\tilde{X}$  and  $pr : \tilde{X} \times S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is projection on the first factor. Then for each finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{Y}/G = (\tilde{X}/G) \times S^1$  has the homotopy type of a finite complex. Hence  $(1, \tilde{J}_Y) : (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{J}_Y) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  is a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence by Case I. It follows that the induced map on infinite cyclic covers

$$(1, \tilde{J}_X pr) : (\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R}^1, \tilde{J}_X pr) \rightarrow ((\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R}^1) \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$$

is also a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence. Since the projections maps  $(\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R}^1, \tilde{J}_X pr) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}_X)$  and  $((\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{R}^1) \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2) \rightarrow (\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  are obviously cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalences, it follows that  $(1, \tilde{J}_X) : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}_X) \rightarrow (\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  is a cc  $\Gamma$ -homotopy equivalence. This completes the proof of 1.5.

## 2. Transfers, finiteness obstructions, and the proof of Theorem I

In this section we shall introduce the additive category  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$  of equivariant geometric modules over  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$  with continuous control at infinity. This category is a variation on the category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}\Gamma, G}(\mathbb{Z})$  considered by Hambleton and Pedersen in [H–P] and has the advantage of being a topological invariant of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$ . In particular, it does not depend on the metric on  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . This extra flexibility plays an important role in Section 5 and in particular, in Theorem 5.2. We use this category to define a transfer homomorphism  $\text{tr} : \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}))$  and to prove Theorem 1 of the Introduction.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group,  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , and  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit. Let  $\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  be the additive category in which an object is a pair  $(M, p)$  where  $M$  is a left  $RG$ -module and  $p : M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(E)$  is an equivariant map

where  $\mathcal{P}_f(E)$  is the collection of finite subsets of  $E$ . It is required that  $(M, p)$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) For each  $x \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ ,  $M_x = \{m \in M \mid f(m) \subseteq \{x\}\}$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ ;
- (2) As  $R$ -modules  $M = \bigoplus M_x$ ;
- (3)  $p(m + m') \subseteq p(m) \cup p(m')$  for all  $m, m' \in M$ ;
- (4) For each compact set  $K \subset E$ ,  $\{x \in K \mid M_x \neq 0\}$  is finite.

A morphism  $f : (M, p) \rightarrow (N, q)$  is an  $RG$ -homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  with the following property: If we write  $f$  as a family of  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $\{f_y^x : M_x \rightarrow N_y \mid x, y \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma\}$ , then for every point  $z \in \Sigma$  and every neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$ , there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  with  $V \subset U$  and so that if  $x \in V$  and  $f_y^x \neq 0$ , then  $y \in U$ . We describe this by saying that  $f$  is *continuously controlled at infinity*. The operation  $(M, p) \oplus (N, q) = (M \oplus N, p \oplus q)$  makes  $\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  into an additive category.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let  $C_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R)$  be the  $n$ -th cellular chain group of  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $R$  and  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of representatives for the  $G$ -orbits of  $n$ -cells in  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ . If  $x \in C_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R)$ , then  $x = \sum r_{g,i} g e_i$  where the  $r_{g,i}$  are elements of  $R$ , only finitely many of which are non-zero, and  $g \in G$ . Define  $p : C_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)$  by setting  $p(\sum r_{g,i} g e_i) = \{g x_i \mid r_{g,i} \neq 0\}$  where  $x_i$  is any point of  $e_i$  ( $i \in I$ ). Then  $(C_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R), p)$  is an object in  $\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$ . Although  $p$  depends on the choice of the  $x_i$ , it follows from 1.3(3) that any two such choices yield isomorphic objects in  $\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  with objects the pairs  $(M, p)$  for which  $M$  is a free  $RG$ -module and  $M_x$  is a free  $RG_x$ -module for each  $x \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ , where  $G_x$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $x$ .

In this case when  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  is a metric space on which  $G$  acts by eventually Lipschitz maps, the categories  $\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  are very similar to the categories  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}\Gamma, G}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}\Gamma, G}(R)$  respectively, of [H–P]. In many cases  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}\Gamma, G}(R)$  is actually a subcategory  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  with the only difference being that the hom sets in  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  are bigger than those of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}\Gamma, G}(R)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}(RG)$  be the category of finitely generated, free  $RG$ -modules. The tensor product over  $R$  defines a bifunctor

$$\otimes : \mathcal{C}(RG) \times \mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R).$$

More concretely  $\otimes$  sends  $(F, (M, p))$  to  $(F \otimes M, q)$  where  $\otimes = \otimes_R$  and  $q$  is such that  $(F \otimes M)_x = F \otimes M_x$ . Since  $F \otimes M_x$  is a finitely generated, free  $RG$ -module for every  $x \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ ,  $(F \otimes M, q)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$ . Then  $\otimes$  extends to a bifunctor

$$\otimes : \widehat{\mathcal{C}}(RG) \times \mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  denotes the idempotent completion of  $\mathcal{A}$  (cf. [Fr]). Using this bifunctor, we define a pairing

$$\widetilde{K}_0(RG) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)),$$

denoted by juxtaposition, by setting  $[P][M, p] = [P \otimes M, q]$ . Here  $P \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}(RG)$  (which is isomorphic to the category of finitely generated projective  $RG$ -modules),  $(M, p) \in \mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$ , and for any additive category  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is the cokernel of the obvious homomorphism  $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\widehat{\mathcal{A}})$ . A pairing similar to this one was first investigated in [An].

Let  $\chi(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R) = \Sigma(-1)^n[C_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R)] \in K_0(\mathcal{G}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R))$  and define

$$\text{tr} : \widetilde{K}_0(RG) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R))$$

by setting  $\text{tr}(y) = y\chi(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; R)$ .

We now recall some results of Ranicki [Ran]. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an additive category,  $\mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory, and  $F_* = \{F_n, \partial_n \mid n \geq 0\}$  be a chain complex whose objects are in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We shall say that  $F_*$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -finitely dominated if there exist a chain complex  $C_* = \{C_n, \partial_n\}$  of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , only finitely many of which are nonzero, chain maps  $r : F_* \rightarrow C_*$  and  $i : C_* \rightarrow F_*$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and a chain homotopy  $h : 1 \simeq ir$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $F_*$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -finitely dominated, Ranicki shows there is chain complex  $P_* = \{P_n, \partial_n\}$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  having  $P_n = 0$  for all  $n < 0$  and all  $n$  sufficiently large and a chain equivalence  $P_* \rightarrow \widehat{F}_*$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$  where  $\widehat{F}_*$  is the chain complex image of  $F_*$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ , i.e. the chain complex  $\{(F_n, 1), (\partial_n, 1)\}$ . He also shows that  $\sigma(F_*) = \Sigma(-1)^n[P_n] \in \widetilde{K}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}})$  is a well defined invariant of  $F_*$  that depends only on the chain homotopy type of  $F_*$ . This invariant is called the  $\mathcal{C}$ -finiteness obstruction of  $F_*$  and vanishes if and only if  $F_*$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -chain equivalent to a finite chain complex in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  be the category containing  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  whose objects are pairs  $(M, p)$  with  $M$  a free  $RG$ -module,  $p$  a function satisfying conditions (1)–(3) above, and having  $M_x$  a free  $RG_x$ -submodule for each  $x \in \mathcal{E}\Gamma$ ; and whose morphisms  $f = \{f_y^x\}$  are those that are continuously controlled at infinity.

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Let  $(Y, q)$  be a cc  $CW$  complex (respectively, finite cc  $CW$  complex) over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  on which  $\Gamma$  acts cellularly and freely and for which  $q$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. Then for any finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$ , the cellular chain complex  $C_*(Y; R)$  can be regarded as a chain complex in  $\mathcal{F}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)$ ). A function  $q_n : C_n(Y; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)$  can be defined in a manner similar to that of 2.1. Namely, one chooses a family  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$  of representatives for

the  $G$ -orbits of  $n$ -cells in  $Y$  and a point  $x_i \in e_i$ . If  $x \in C_n(Y; R)$ , then  $x = \sum r_{g,i} g e_i$  where the  $r_{g,i}$  are elements of  $R$ , only finitely many of which are nonzero. Then  $q_n(\sum r_{g,i} g e_i) = \{gq(x_i) \mid n_{g,i} \neq 0\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem I.* By 2.2,  $C_*(\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  is a chain complex of objects in  $\mathcal{F}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ . We show that this chain complex is  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -finitely dominated and evaluate its  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -finiteness obstruction in two ways. Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex of  $\tilde{X}$  regarded as a chain complex of free  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules and  $C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  be the chain complex of 2.1. Then  $C_*(\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  is  $\mathcal{F}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -chain equivalent to  $C_*(\tilde{X}) \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\tilde{X}/G$  is a finitely dominated  $CW$  complex,  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -finitely dominated, say by  $C_*$ . Then  $C_*(\tilde{X}) \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  is  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -finitely dominated by  $C_* \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $P_* = \{P_n, \partial_n\}$  a chain complex of finite length with  $P_n$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(RG)$  for which there is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain equivalence  $f: P_* \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{X})$ . Then

$$f \otimes 1: P_* \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{X}) \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$$

is a  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -chain equivalence. Since  $\sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G) = \sum (-1)^n [P_n]$ , a straightforward calculation shows that in  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}))$  we have

$$\sigma(C_*(\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})) = \sigma(C_*(\tilde{X}) \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})) = \sigma(P_* \otimes C_*(\mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})) = \text{tr } \sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G).$$

On the other hand, since  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{J})$  is a finite cc  $CW$  complex over  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  on which  $\Gamma$  acts freely and  $\tilde{J}$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant,  $C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -chain complex by 2.2. Since  $\tilde{\Psi} = (1, \tilde{J}): (\tilde{X}, \tilde{J}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma, \tilde{p}_2)$  is a cc homotopy equivalence by 1.5,  $\tilde{\Psi}$  induces a  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -chain equivalence  $C_*(\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \approx C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Hence

$$\sigma(C_*(\tilde{X} \times \mathcal{E}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})) = \sigma(C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})) = 0$$

since the latter chain complex is a finite  $\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -chain complex. By combining this with the equation above, we see that  $\text{tr } \sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G) = 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### 3. An equivariant reduced homology theory

The section begins the development of the algebraic tools needed to prove Theorem II. The reader who is most interested in the proof of Theorem II can proceed directly to Section 5, referring back to this section and the next only as the need arises.

The main result in this section is Theorem 3.4, which describes a reduced homology theory defined on the category  $\mathcal{CW}_G$  of finite  $G$ -CW complexes for  $G$  a finite group. We begin by generalizing some of the discussion of Section 2.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the category with objects pairs  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  with  $\bar{E}$  a compact Hausdorff space and  $\Sigma$  a closed subset. Let  $E = \bar{E} - \Sigma$ . A morphism in  $\mathcal{E}$  is a set-theoretic function  $f: (\bar{E}_1, \Sigma_1) \rightarrow (\bar{E}_2, \Sigma_2)$  which is continuous at every point of  $\Sigma_1$ , has  $f^{-1}(\Sigma_2) = \Sigma_1$ , and for which  $f|_{E_1} \rightarrow E_2$  is proper in the sense that if  $K \subset E_2$  is compact, then  $f^{-1}(K)$  has compact closure in  $E_1$ . Here  $E_i = \bar{E}_i - \Sigma_i$ .

Let  $G$  be a group and  $\mathcal{E}_G$  be the category with objects pairs  $((\bar{E}, \Sigma), \rho)$  where  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  is an object in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  is a homomorphism where  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  is the group of automorphisms of  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Thus an object in  $\mathcal{E}_G$  is an object of  $\mathcal{E}$  equipped with an action of  $G$  via automorphisms in  $\mathcal{E}$ . A morphism in  $\mathcal{E}_G$  is a  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{E}$ -morphism  $f: (\bar{E}_1, \Sigma_1) \rightarrow (\bar{E}_2, \Sigma_2)$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** If  $G$  is finite, we let  $\mathcal{CW}_G$  be the category of finite CW complexes equipped with a cellular action of  $G$ . Taking the closed cone defines a functor  $c: \mathcal{CW}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_G$  that sends  $X$  to  $(cX, X)$ . Here  $cX$  is the quotient space  $X \times [0, 1]/X \times \{0\}$  and  $X$  is the image of  $X \times \{1\}$  in  $cX$ . Such pairs  $(cX, X)$  give many examples of objects in  $\mathcal{E}_G$ .

An additive category  $\mathcal{C}_G(\bar{E}, \Sigma; R)$  analogous to  $\mathcal{C}_G(\bar{\mathcal{E}}\bar{T}, \Sigma; R)$  can be defined for any pair  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma) \in \mathcal{E}_G$ . An object is a pair  $(M, p)$  where  $M$  is a free  $RG$ -module,  $p: M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(E)$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map where  $\mathcal{P}_f(E)$  is the set of finite subsets of  $E$ , and  $(M, p)$  satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Section 2 and has  $M_x$  a free  $RG_x$ -module for each  $x \in E$ . The morphisms are defined as in Section 2 except that  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}\bar{T}$  is replaced by  $\bar{E}$ .

**REMARK 3.2.** If  $G$  acts trivially on the pair  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  then the functor  $J: \mathcal{C}_G(\bar{E}, \Sigma; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\bar{E}, \Sigma; RG)$  that sends  $(M, p)$  to  $J(M) = \{M_x \mid x \in E\}$  is an isomorphism of categories. Here  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{E}, \Sigma; RG)$  is the category of geometric  $RG$ -modules on  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  with continuous control at infinity introduced in [A–C–F–P]. Notice that if  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma) = (c\emptyset, \emptyset)$ , then  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{E}, \Sigma; RG) = \mathcal{C}(RG)$  is the category of finitely generated free  $RG$ -modules.

Following the notation in [A–C–F–P], for any category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we let  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  denote its idempotent completion [Fr] and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is additive, we let  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{A}$  be the classifying space  $B\mathbb{A}^{-1}\mathbb{A}$  where  $\mathbb{A} = \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$  is the category of isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{-1}\mathbb{A}$  is the category of [Gr].

For any object  $X \in \mathcal{CW}_G$ , let  $\mathbb{K}_n^G(X; R) = \Omega \mathbb{K}\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(cS^{n+1}X, S^{n+1}X; R)$  where  $S^{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$  (unreduced) suspension of  $X$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}^G(X; R) = \{\mathbb{K}_n^G(X; R) \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *For any space  $X \in \mathcal{CW}_G$ , the sequence of spaces  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^G(X; R)$  has the structure of an  $\Omega$ -spectrum; that is, there are homotopy equivalences  $\varepsilon_n : \mathbb{K}_n^G(X; R) \rightarrow \Omega \mathbb{K}_{n+1}^G(X; R)$ . Furthermore the correspondence  $X \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^G(X; R)$  defines a functor  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^G(\_ ; R)$  from  $\mathcal{CW}_G$  to the category of  $\Omega$ -spectra.*

The category of  $\Omega$ -spectra has objects  $\Omega$ -spectra and morphisms  $f : \{A_n, \alpha_n\} \rightarrow \{B_n, \beta_n\}$  families of maps  $\{f_n : A_n \rightarrow B_n \mid n \geq 0\}$  with  $f_{n+1}\alpha_n$  pointed homotopic to  $\beta_n f_n$ . For any  $\Omega$ -spectrum  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_n, \alpha_n\}$ , let  $A_* = \pi_*^S \mathbf{A}$  be the stable homotopy of  $\mathbf{A}$ . The functor  $A_*$  takes values in  $\mathcal{GA}$  the category of graded abelian groups.

THEOREM 3.4. *The functor  $\tilde{K}_*^G(\_ ; R) = \pi_*^S \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^G(\_ ; R) : \mathcal{CW}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{GA}$  is an equivariant reduced homology theory on the category  $\mathcal{CW}_G$ . That is,*

- (i)  $\tilde{K}_*^G(\_ ; R)$  is a homotopy functor.
- (ii) For any pair  $(X, A)$  in  $\mathcal{CW}_G$ , there is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*^G(A; R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*^G(X; R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*^G(X \cup vA; R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*^G(A; R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- (iii)  $\tilde{K}_*^G(pt; R) = 0$ .

REMARK 3.5. If  $G$  acts trivially on the  $CW$  complex  $X$ , the functor  $J$  of 3.2 induces a natural isomorphism  $\iota_* : \tilde{K}_*^G(X; R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*(X; RG)$  where  $\tilde{K}_*(X; RG)$  is the homology theory of [A–C–F–P]. In particular, if  $X = \emptyset$ , there are isomorphisms  $\iota_* : \tilde{K}_*^G(\emptyset; R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*(\emptyset; RG)$  and  $\eta : \tilde{K}_*(\emptyset; RG) \rightarrow K_*(RG)$  where  $\eta$  is induced by the isomorphism of categories  $J : \mathcal{C}(c\emptyset, \emptyset; RG) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(RG)$  of 3.2.

If  $(X, A)$  is a pair in  $\mathcal{CW}_G$ , let  $K_*^G(X, A; R) = \tilde{K}_*^G(X \cup vA; R)$  where the union is over  $A$  and  $vA$  is a disjoint basepoint if  $A = \emptyset$ .

COROLLARY 3.6. *The functor  $(X, A) \mapsto K_*^G(X, A; R)$  is an equivariant homology theory on  $\mathcal{CW}_G$ .*

*Proof.* This is an elementary formal consequence of 3.4.

*Proofs of 3.3 and 3.4.* An examination of the proofs of the corresponding statements in [A–C–F–P; Section 4] shows that 3.3 and 3.4 will follow once we have proved the following propositions:

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{CW}_G$  and  $v\Sigma$  be the closed cone on  $\Sigma$  with vertex  $v$ . Then  $\mathbb{K}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G}(c(v\Sigma), v\Sigma; R)$  is contractible.*

**PROPOSITION 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be the pushout of the diagram  $X_1 \leftarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_2$  of inclusions of spaces in  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{W}_G$ . Then the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX_0, X_0; R) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX_1, X_1; R) \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX_2, X_2; R) & \xrightarrow{j_2} & \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX, X; R) \end{array}$$

*is a pullback up to weak homotopy. Hence there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_G) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_0}) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_1}) \oplus \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_2}) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_G) \rightarrow \cdots$$

*( $n \geq 0$ ) where  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_i} = \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX_i, X_i; R)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \emptyset$ ) and  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_0} = \mathbb{K}\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX_0, X_0; R)$ .*

In this proposition,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(cX_i, X_i; R)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \emptyset$ ) is the idempotent semicompletion of  $\mathcal{C}_G(cX_i, X_i; R)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_G(cX_0, X_0; R)$ . We recall that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a full, additive subcategory of the additive category  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the *idempotent semicompletion* (or simply, *semicompletion*) of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  is the full, additive subcategory of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  containing those objects  $(A, p)$  isomorphic to  $(B, q) \oplus (C, 1)$  with  $(B, q) \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{U}$ . The semicompletion is denoted by  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Proposition 3.8 is a consequence of the following stronger result:

**PROPOSITION 3.9.** *Let  $(\bar{E}_1, \Sigma_1) \xleftarrow{i_1} (\bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0) \xrightarrow{i_2} (\bar{E}_2, \Sigma_2)$  be a diagram of inclusions in  $\mathcal{E}_G$  and let  $(\bar{E}, \Sigma)$  be its pushout. If  $\Sigma_0$  is an eventual  $G$ -neighborhood retract in  $\bar{E}$ , then the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(\bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0; \mathbf{U}) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(\bar{E}_1, \Sigma_1; \mathbf{U}) \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ \mathbb{K}\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(\bar{E}_2, \Sigma_2; \mathbf{U}) & \xrightarrow{j_2} & \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(\bar{E}, \Sigma; \mathbf{U}) \end{array}$$

*is a pullback up to weak homotopy. Here the semicompletions are with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_G(\bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0; \mathbf{U})$ . Hence there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_G) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_0}) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_1}) \oplus \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_2}) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_G) \rightarrow \cdots$$

*( $n \geq 0$ ) where  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_i} = \mathbb{K}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_G(\bar{E}_i, \Sigma_i; \mathbf{U})$  ( $i = 1, 2, \emptyset$ ) and  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_{G_0} = \mathbb{K}\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(\bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0; \mathbf{U})$ .*

The requirement that  $\Sigma_0$  be an *eventual  $G$ -neighborhood retract* in  $\bar{E}$  means that there is a  $G$ -invariant neighborhood  $N$  of  $\Sigma_0$  in  $\bar{E}$  and a  $G$ -equivariant func-

tion  $r : (N - \Sigma) \cup \bar{E}_0 \rightarrow \bar{E}_0$  with  $r^{-1}(\Sigma_0) = \Sigma_0$  and  $r|_{\Sigma_0} = \text{id}$  and with the property that if  $W$  is a  $G$ -invariant open neighborhood of  $\Sigma - \Sigma_0$  in  $\bar{E} - \bar{E}_0$ ,  $r_W = r|_{(N - \Sigma - W) \cup \bar{E}_0} : ((N - \Sigma - W) \cup \bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0) \rightarrow (\bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0)$ , and  $i_W : (\bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0) \rightarrow ((N - \Sigma - W) \cup \bar{E}_0, \Sigma_0)$  is the inclusion, then for every point  $p$  in  $\Sigma_0$  and every  $G$ -invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $(N - \Sigma - W) \cup \bar{E}_0$ , there is a  $G$ -invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $(N - \Sigma - W) \cup \bar{E}_0$  with  $(i_W r_W)^{-1}(V) \subset U$ . The map  $r$  is called an *eventual  $G$ -neighborhood retraction*. An obvious extension of the argument used to prove [A–C–F–P; Lemma 1.6] shows that if  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $G$ -neighborhood retract in  $\Sigma$ , then  $\Sigma_0$  is an eventual  $G$ -neighborhood retract in  $c\Sigma$ .

*Proof of 3.7.* The proof is identical with the proof of [A–C–F–P; Corollary 2.5]. The ingredients of that proof are [A–C–F–P; Theorem 2.3] and the fact that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma)}(R)$  is flasque. Here  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma)}(R)$  is the category of geometric  $R$ -modules over  $\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma)$  and bounded morphisms defined in [P–W1] and  $\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma) = \Sigma \times [0, \infty) / \Sigma \times \{0\}$  is the large open cone on  $v\Sigma$ .

Let  $X \in \mathcal{CW}_G$  and observe that the cone action on  $cX$  respects the radial direction. Since the proof of [A–C–F–P; Theorem 2.3] uses only “annular” regions, this observation shows that Theorem 2.3 carries over to the present equivariant setting without change. It is also easy to see that the proof that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma)}(R)$  is flasque given in [P–W2; Lemma 4.1] respects the  $G$ -equivariance and shows that the equivariant analogue  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma), G}(R)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}(v\Sigma)}(R)$  is also flasque. Thus the proof of [A–C–F–P; Corollary 2.5] holds in the present setting and proves 3.7.

*Proof of 3.8.* This follows from essentially the argument given in [A–C–F–P; Sections 3 and 4]. The only change needed to adapt that argument to the present equivariant setting is to require that the neighborhoods  $U$  that appear in the partially ordered set  $\mathcal{A}$  of [A–C–F–P; Lemma 3.3] be  $G$ -invariant.

*Proof of 3.9.* This also follows from the argument of [A–C–F–P; Section 3] modified by the same changes as were needed in the proof of 3.8.

#### 4. A spectral sequence

This section derives a spectral sequence that will be used in the next section. The main result is Theorem 4.2. Before stating it, we recall some definitions and notations.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $\mathcal{O}_G$  be the category of canonical orbits of  $G$ ; i.e. the category of all  $G$ -sets of the form  $G/H$  where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . A *coefficient system* is a covariant functor from  $\mathcal{O}_G$  to the category of abelian groups. Such systems are called *simple coefficient systems* in [Br].



Let  $X$  be a  $GW$  complex. Following [Br], we define the reduced Bredon homology of  $X$  with coefficient system  $F$  as follows: Let  $C_p$  be the discrete  $G$ -set of  $p$ -cells of  $X$ . Let  $A_p = \Sigma F(G/G_\sigma)$  where the sum runs over  $\sigma \in C_p$  and write elements of  $A_p$  in the form  $\sigma_1 x_1 + \cdots + \sigma_k x_k$  with  $x_i \in F(G/G_\sigma)$ . Let  $B_p$  be the subgroup of  $A_p$  generated by all elements of the form  $(g\sigma)x - \sigma(g^{-1}x)$ . The quotient group  $A_p/B_p = C_p^G(X; F)$  is called the *group of equivariant  $p$ -chains on  $X$* . The boundary map  $\partial_p : C_p^G(X; F) \rightarrow C_{p-1}^G(X; F)$  sends the chain  $\sigma x$  to  $\Sigma \tau[\tau : \sigma]F_\tau^\sigma(x)$  where  $[\tau : \sigma]$  is the incidence number and  $F_\tau^\sigma = F(G/G_\sigma \rightarrow G/G_\tau)$ . Notice that there is also an augmentation  $\partial_0 : C_0^G(X; F) \rightarrow F(G/G)$  that sends  $\sigma x$  to  $F(G/G_\sigma \rightarrow G/G)(x)$ . We set  $C_{-1}^G(X; F) = F(G/G)$ . The homology of the augmented chain complex  $\{C_p^G(X; F); \partial_p\}$  is called the *reduced Bredon homology of  $X$*  and is denoted  $\tilde{H}_*^G(X; F)$ .

REMARK 4.1. If  $P > 0$ , then  $\tilde{H}_P^G(X; F) = H_P^G(X; F)$  is the usual Bredon homology of  $X$ . In addition,  $\tilde{H}_{-1}^G(X; F) = F(G/G)/\text{im Ind}$  where  $\text{Ind}$  is the sum of the homomorphisms  $F(G/G_\sigma \rightarrow G/G)$  over the set of 0-cells of  $X$ . In general this group is non-zero.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $X \in \mathcal{CW}_G$  and  $\{G_\sigma \mid \sigma \in X\}$  be the family of isotropy subgroups of the cells  $\sigma$  in  $X$ . Then there is a spectral sequence  $\{E^r, d^r\}$  converging to  $\tilde{K}_*^G(X; R)$  with  $E_{pq}^2 = \tilde{H}_p^G(X; K_{q-1}(RG_\sigma))$  for all  $p$  and  $q$ . Here  $K_{q-1}(RG_\sigma)$  is coefficient system that sends  $G/H$  to  $K_{q-1}(RH)$ .*

*Proof.* To simplify notation in this proof, we shall suppress mention of the ring  $R$  and will write  $\tilde{K}_*^G(X)$  instead of  $\tilde{K}_*^G(X; R)$ .

Suppose  $\dim X = n$  and consider the exact couple obtained by applying  $\tilde{K}_*^G$  to the filtration

$$\emptyset \subset X^{(0)} \subset \cdots \subset X^{(p-1)} \subset X^{(p)} \subset \cdots \subset X^{(n)} = X.$$

For  $p \geq 0$ , this exact couple has  $E_{p,q}^1 = \tilde{K}_{p+q}^G(X^{(p)} \cup vX^{(p-1)})$  and  $D_{p,q}^1 = \tilde{K}_{p+q}^G(X^{(p)})$ . If  $p = -1$ ,  $D_{p,q}^1 = \tilde{K}_{q-1}^G(\emptyset)$ . Since  $\tilde{K}_{q-1}^G(\emptyset) = K_{q-2}(RG) \neq 0$ , to get a convergent spectral sequence we redefine this exact couple by setting  $E_{-1,q}^1 = \tilde{K}_{q-1}^G(\emptyset) = K_{q-2}(RG)$ ,  $D_{p,q}^1 = 0$  if  $p \leq -2$ , and letting  $D_{p,q}^1 \rightarrow E_{p,q}^1$  be the identity if  $p \leq -1$ . Then  $\{E^r, d^r\}$  is the spectral sequence associated with this exact couple.

The careful examination of the homology version of the argument in [Br; p. IV. 6ff] shows that the  $E^2$ -term of this spectral sequence is  $\tilde{H}_p^G(X; \tilde{K}_q^G)$  where  $\tilde{K}_q^G : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{AB}$  is the coefficient system that sends  $G/H$  to  $\tilde{K}_q^G(G/H^+)$ . To complete the proof of 4.2, it now suffices to identify this coefficient system. That is the content of the next lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. *There is a natural equivalence of functors  $\mu : \tilde{K}_q^G(G/H^+; R) \rightarrow K_{q-1}(RH)$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $\mu$  is the composite

$$\tilde{K}_q^G(G/H^+; R) \xrightarrow{(A_*)^{-1}} \tilde{K}_q(S^0; RH) \xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{K}_{q-1}(\emptyset; RH) \xrightarrow{\eta} K_{q-1}(RH)$$

where  $\eta$  is the isomorphism of 3.5;  $\partial$  is the connecting homomorphism in the exact sequence obtained by applying 3.8 to the diagram  $v_- \leftarrow \emptyset \rightarrow v_+$  of spaces in  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{W}_G$  and is an isomorphism since the other terms in the exact sequence vanish by 3.7; and  $A_* : \tilde{K}_q(S^0; RH) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_q^G(G/H^+; R)$  is an isomorphism induced by a map of spectra  $A : \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(S^0; RH) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^G(G/H^+; R)$ .

Let  $X$  be a based  $H$ -space and set  $Y = G \times_H X / G \times_H \{x_0\}$ . If  $M = \{M_x \mid x \in cX - X\} \in \mathcal{C}_H(cX, X; R)$ , let  $\bar{M} = RG \otimes M = \Sigma RG \otimes M_x$  where  $\otimes$  is tensor over  $RH$ . As  $R$ -modules,  $RG \otimes M_x = \Sigma g_j M_x$  where  $\{g_j \mid j = 1, \dots, r\}$  is a set of representatives for the cosets of  $H$  in  $G$  with  $g_1 = e$ . Define  $\bar{p} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(c(Y))$  by setting  $\bar{p}(\bar{m}) = \{[g_i, x]\}$  if  $\bar{m} \in g_i M_x$  and “extending linearly.” That is, if  $\bar{m} = \bar{m}_1 + \dots + \bar{m}_s$  with  $0 \neq \bar{m}_i \in RG \otimes M_{x_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ) and  $\bar{m}_i = \bar{m}_{i,j_1} + \dots + \bar{m}_{i,j_{t(i)}}$  with  $0 \neq \bar{m}_{i,j_k} \in g_{j_k} M_{x_i}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, t(i)$ ), then  $\bar{p}(\bar{m}) = \{[g_{j_k}, x_i] \mid i = 1, \dots, s; k = 1, \dots, t(i)\}$ . The conditions that  $f$  must satisfy to be cc imply that  $\bar{f}$  is cc. It is now easily verified that the correspondence that sends  $M$  to  $(\bar{M}, \bar{p})$  and  $f$  to  $\bar{f}$  is a functor  $I_H^G : \mathcal{C}_H(cX, X; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(cY, Y; R)$ .

In particular, we may apply this construction to the pointed space  $S^n(S^0)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) with trivial  $H$ -action to obtain a functor  $B_n : \mathcal{C}_H(cS^n(S^0), S^n(S^0); R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(cS^n(G/H^+), S^n(G/H^+); R)$ . Let  $J$  be the isomorphism of 3.2. The sequence of functors

$$A_n = B_n J^{-1} : \mathcal{C}(cS^n(S^0), S^n(S^0); RH) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(cS^n(G/H^+), S^n(G/H^+); R)$$

induces a map of  $\Omega$ -spectra  $A : \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(S^0; RH) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{K}}^G(G/H^+; R)$ . A straightforward adaptation of the “germs of infinity” argument of [A–M]; Lemma 8.7 ff] shows that  $A_n$  induces an isomorphism

$$A_{n*} : K_q(\mathcal{C}(cS^n(S^0), S^n(S^0); RH)) \rightarrow K_q(\mathcal{C}_G S^n(c(G/H^+), S^n(G/H^+); R)) \quad (4.4)$$

for  $q \geq 1$ . It then follows directly from (4.4) that  $A_* : \tilde{K}_q(S^0; RH) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_q^G(G/H^+; R)$  is an isomorphism for all  $q$ .

For the reader’s convenience, we indicate more completely how to make this adaptation. We make the following interpretations of the categories that appear in the diagram at the beginning of the proof of 8.5 in [A–M; p. 594]:  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{C}(cS^n(S^0), S^n(S^0); RH)$ ;  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{U})$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U})$  whose objects are

are the geometric  $G$ -modules  $M = \{M_x \mid \text{there is a neighborhood } N \text{ of } S^n\{+1\} \text{ in } cS^n(S^0) \text{ such that } M_x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U\}$ ; and  $\mathcal{G}_\infty(\mathbf{U})$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{U})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{U})$  whose objects  $M = \{M_x\}$  have  $M_x = 0$  if  $x \in cS^n\{-1\}$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{U}(A) = \mathcal{C}(cS^n(G/H^+), S^n(G/H^+); RH)$ ;  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  whose objects are the geometric  $G$ -modules  $M = \{M_x \mid \text{there is a neighborhood } N \text{ of } S^n\{+1\} \text{ in } cS^n(G/H^+) \text{ such that } M_x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U\}$ ; and  $\mathcal{G}_\infty(A)$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{U}(A)/\mathcal{B}(A)$  whose objects  $M = \{M_x\}$  have  $M_x = 0$  if  $x \in cS^n\{+\}$ . With these interpretations, the proofs of [A–M; Lemmas 8.7 and 8.5] carry over without change to prove (4.4).

### 5. The proofs of Theorem II and Corollary III

This section gives the proofs of Theorem II and Corollary III. Theorem II will be deduced from the sharper Theorem 5.1 below. Let  $\Gamma$  be a group and  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma} = \mathcal{E}\Gamma \cup \Sigma$  be the compactification of  $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$  given in 1.3. Then for any finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\Gamma$ , by 1.3(3), there is a  $G$ -homeomorphism  $f : (\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma) \rightarrow (c\Sigma, \Sigma)$  where  $\Sigma$  is a  $G$ -linear sphere.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice-like group. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  acts freely, cellularly, and cocompactly on a CW complex  $\tilde{X}$  homotopy equivalent to  $S^{8r+3}$  for any  $r \geq 0$ . If  $\Gamma$  contains a copy of  $Q(2^q, p, 1)$  with  $q \geq 4$  and  $p$  an odd prime satisfying  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{q-1}}$ , then either*

- (1)  $Z_\Gamma(C_p)$  is infinite; or
- (2)  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) \neq 0$  where  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma))$  is the Bredon homology of  $\Sigma$  with coefficient system the functor that sends  $G/H$  to  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}H)$ .

Let  $f : G \rightarrow Q(2^q)$  be the homomorphism that sends  $x, y,$  and  $z,$  respectively, to  $\bar{x}, \bar{y},$  and  $1,$  respectively. Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow C_p \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{f} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $Q = Q(2^q)$  is the quaternion group. This sequence is split by the monomorphism  $j : Q \rightarrow G$  that sends  $\bar{x}$  to  $x$  and  $\bar{y}$  to  $y$  where we have followed the notation of the introduction. We shall use this notation throughout the next two sections.

Some preliminary results are needed to prove this theorem. Let  $f : (\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma) \rightarrow (c\Sigma, \Sigma)$  be the  $G$ -homeomorphism above. Then  $f$  induces an isomorphism of categories  $f_* : \mathcal{C}_G(\mathcal{E}\Gamma, \Sigma; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)$ .

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice-like group and  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Suppose there is a  $G$ -homeomorphism  $f : (\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma) \rightarrow (c\Sigma, \Sigma)$ . Then there is a commutation diagram with exact row*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{K}_0(RG) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & \tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(\overline{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \Sigma; R)) \\
 \downarrow \eta & & \approx \downarrow f_* \\
 H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(RG_\sigma)) & \xrightarrow{d} & \tilde{K}_0(RG)/\text{im Ind} \xrightarrow{i_*} \tilde{K}_0(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)
 \end{array}$$

Here  $\eta$  is the natural quotient homomorphism and  $\text{Ind}$  is the sum of the homomorphisms  $\text{Ind}_{G_\sigma}^G : K_{q-1}(RG_\sigma) \rightarrow K_{q-1}(RG)$  over the 0-cells  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* A standard argument, using 4.1, allows us to extract the exact sequence

$$H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(RG_\sigma)) \rightarrow K_0(RG)/\text{im Ind} \xrightarrow{i_*} \tilde{K}_0^G(\Sigma; R) \quad (5.3)$$

from the spectral sequence of 4.2. Let  $\sigma$  be a 0-cell  $\Sigma$  and  $G_\sigma$  be its isotropy group. Note that  $K_0(RG)/\text{im Ind} = \tilde{K}_0(RG)/\text{im Ind}$ ; while an examination of the definitions shows that  $\tilde{K}_0^G(\Sigma; R) = K_0\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)$ . Since there is an exact sequence

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)) \rightarrow K_0\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0\mathcal{C}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R) \rightarrow 0$$

and  $K_0(\mathcal{C}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)) = 0$  by [Pe; Remark 1.6],

$$K_0(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)) \approx \tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_G(c\Sigma, \Sigma; R)).$$

Thus the exact sequence (5.3) reduces to the exact row in the diagram. That the square commutes follows by the argument used to prove Theorem 6.3 in [H-P].

When we apply 5.2,  $\mathbb{Z}$  will play the role of  $R$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** *Let  $G = Q(2^q, p, 1)$  with  $q \geq 4$ ,  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{q-1}}$ . Suppose  $G$  acts freely and cellularly on the finite dimensional CW complex  $\tilde{X}$  homotopy equivalent to  $S^{8r+3}$ . Then  $\sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G) \neq 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)/j_*(\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}Q))$  where  $\sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G)$  is the finiteness obstruction of  $\tilde{X}/G$ .*

Proposition 5.4 is an easy consequence of a result of [Da]. Its proof is given in the next section.

*Proof of 5.1.* Suppose  $Z_r(C_p)$  is finite. We show that  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) \neq 0$ . Since  $i_*\eta(\sigma_G(X/G)) = \text{tr}(\sigma_G(\tilde{X}/G)) = 0$  by 5.1 and Theorem I and the row in the diagram of 5.2 is exact,  $i_*\eta(\sigma_G(X/G)) \in \text{im } d$ . On the other hand, by 1.2  $\dim(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^{C_p} = \text{vcd } Z_r(C_p) = 0$ . Since  $(\mathcal{E}\Gamma, \Sigma)$  is  $G$ -homeomorphic to  $(c\Sigma, \Sigma)$  by 1.3(2), it follows that  $C_p$  acts freely on  $\Sigma$  and that every isotropy group of the action of  $G$  on  $\Sigma$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $Q$ . Hence the natural homo-

morphism  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)/j_*\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  factors through  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)/\text{im Ind}$  and  $\eta(\sigma_G(X/G)) \neq 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)\text{im Ind}$ . Hence  $d$  is not trivial and  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) \neq 0$ .

Some additional results are needed to prove Theorem II. Although the following result is well known to the experts, we have not found a proof of it in the literature.

**LEMMA 5.5.** *The group  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q(8)) = 0$ . If  $k \geq 4$ , then  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q(2^k)) = \mathbb{F}_2$ , the group with 2 elements, and  $\text{Ind}_{Q_k}^{Q_{k+1}} : K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q(2^k)) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q(2^{k+1}))$  is an isomorphism. Here  $Q_k = Q(2^k)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [Ca; Theorem 3] that we can analyze  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  by using the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2Q) \oplus K_0(\mathbb{Q}Q) \xrightarrow{\rho} K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2Q) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is well known that  $K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2Q)$  is free abelian of rank 1. The decomposition of  $\mathbb{Q}Q$  into simple factors is  $(\mathbb{Q})^4 \oplus \Sigma M_2(\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_{2^i})) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_{2^{q-1}})\langle -1, -1 \rangle$  where the last factor is a quaternionic algebra,  $i = 1, \dots, q - 2$ ,  $\lambda_{2^j} = \zeta_{2^j} + \bar{\zeta}_{2^j}$ , and  $\zeta_k$  is a primitive  $k$ -th root of unity. Over each of these factors there is a unique simple module and  $K_0(\mathbb{Q}Q)$  is free abelian on these simple modules. Since 2 is totally ramified in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^j})$  for any  $j$ , the decomposition of  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2Q$  into simple factors is  $(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2)^4 \oplus \Sigma M_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\lambda_{2^i})) \oplus \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\lambda_{2^{q-1}})\langle -1, -1 \rangle$  and  $K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2Q)$  is again free abelian on the unique simple modules over the factors. Hence  $\text{rk } K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2Q) = \text{rk } K_0(\mathbb{Q}_2Q)$  and  $\text{rk } K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q) = 0$ . Under the homomorphism  $\rho$ , except for the last factor, the simple module over a factor of  $\mathbb{Q}Q$  maps to the simple module over the corresponding factor of  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2Q$ . If  $k \leq 3$ , the same is true of the simple module over the last factors and  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q) = 0$ . If  $k \geq 4$  however, the simple module over  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_{2^{q-1}})\langle -1, -1 \rangle$  is the free module of rank 1 while  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\lambda_{2^{q-1}})\langle -1, -1 \rangle$  splits (i.e. is the matrix algebra  $M_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2(\lambda_2^{q-1}))$ ). Hence  $\rho$  maps this generator of  $K_0(\mathbb{Q}Q)$  to twice the corresponding generator of  $K_0(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_2Q)$ . In this case, then  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q) = \mathbb{F}_2$ . Finally, since  $\text{Ind}_{Q_k}^{Q_{k+1}} K_0(\mathbb{Q}Q(2^k)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{Q}Q(2^{k+1}))$  preserves the last factors of the above decompositions, this map is the identity if  $k \geq 4$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.6.** *Let  $q \geq 4$  and  $p$  be an odd prime. Suppose that  $G = Q(2^q, p, 1)$  acts linearly on the sphere  $\Sigma$  such that the cyclic subgroup  $C_p$  acts freely. Suppose that either  $\dim \Sigma \leq 2$  or  $\dim \Sigma^Q \geq 2$ . Then  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) = 0$ .*

**REMARK 5.7.** Proposition 5.6 also holds under the assumption that for all  $H \subset Q$ , either  $L^H = \emptyset$  or  $\dim L^H \geq 2$  and  $\{H \subset Q \mid \dim \Sigma^H \geq 2\}$  has a unique maximal element. We do not need this result in this paper.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\dim \Sigma \leq 2$ . Since  $C_p$  acts freely on  $\Sigma$ ,  $\dim \Sigma \leq 1$ . If  $\dim \Sigma < 1$ , clearly  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) = 0$ . So suppose  $\dim \Sigma = 1$ . Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow O(2)$  be the

linear representation given by  $\Sigma$ . Since the only finite subgroups of  $O(2)$  are cyclic or dihedral and  $C_p$  is acting freely,  $\text{im } \rho = D$  is dihedral of order  $2^t p$  for some  $t$  and  $N = \ker \rho$  is a normal subgroup of order  $2^s$  for some  $s$ . Hence  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) = H_1^D(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma))$  and we may compute this group by looking at a  $D$ -equivariant cellular decomposition of  $\Sigma$ . This decomposition has a single 1-cell  $\tau$ . If  $N = G_\tau$  is either cyclic or  $Q(8)$ , then  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\tau) = 0$  by [Ba; Theorem 10.6, p. 695] and 5.5. Hence  $H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) = 0$ . If  $N = G_\tau = Q(2^s)$  for some  $s \geq 4$ , then by 5.5 the coefficient system  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)$  is the constant system with value  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $H_1^D(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) = H_1^D(\Sigma; \mathbb{F}_2) = H_1(\Sigma/D; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  since the orbit space is an interval.

Suppose  $\dim \Sigma^\mathcal{Q} \geq 2$ . Since  $C_p$  acts freely on  $\Sigma$ ,  $Q = G/C_p$  acts on  $L = \Sigma/C_p$  and there is an isomorphism

$$H_1^Q(L; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q_\sigma)) \rightarrow H_1^G(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)).$$

Let  $I$  be an isotropy subgroup for the  $Q$  action on  $L$ . Then  $I$  is either cyclic of order  $2^k$  with  $k \leq q - 1$  or quaternionic. If  $I$  is cyclic, then  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}I) = 0$  by [Ba; Theorem 10.6, p. 695]; while  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  is given by 5.5. Hence  $H_1^Q(L; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}Q_\sigma)) = H_1^Q(A; \mathbb{F}_2)$  where  $A$  is the union of the subspaces  $\{L^H \mid H \text{ is a quaternionic subgroup of } Q \text{ of order } > 8\}$  and the coefficient system  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is constant.

We claim that  $H_1^Q(A; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ . To prove this, let  $Q = H_0, H_1, \dots, H_t$  be a set of conjugacy class representatives for  $[H \subset Q \mid H \text{ is quaternionic of order } > 8]$  ordered so that if  $H_i$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $H_j$ , then  $j \leq i$ . For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, t$  set  $L_k = \bigcup \{X^{(H_i)} \mid i \leq k\}$  where  $X^{(H)} = \{x \in X \mid (H) \subset (G_x)\}$ . Then

$$\emptyset \subset L^Q = L_0 \subset \dots \subset L_{k-1} \subset L_k \subset \dots \subset L_t = A$$

is a filtration of  $A$  by  $Q$ -invariant subspaces. We assert that  $H_1^Q(L_0; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  and that  $H_i^Q(L_k, L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  for  $i < 2$  and every  $k > 0$ . Assuming this, a simple induction argument shows that  $H_1^Q(L_k; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  for every  $k$ . Since  $A = L_t$ , the claim will follow.

Since  $L = \Sigma/C_p$  is a mod 2 homology sphere, each  $L^H$  is a mod 2 homology sphere. Since  $Q$  acts smoothly on  $L$ , each  $L^H$  is a manifold. Furthermore  $\dim L^H \geq \dim L^Q \geq 2$ . Hence each  $L^H$  is connected and  $H_1(L^H; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ . In particular  $H_1^Q(L_0; \mathbb{F}_2) = H_1(L_0; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  as asserted above. Furthermore, since  $L_k = L_{k-1} \cup L^{(H_k)}$  and  $H$  acts trivially on  $L^H$ , there are isomorphisms

$$H_i^{W(H)}(L^H, L^H \cap L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_i^{N(H)}(L^H, L^H \cap L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_i^Q(L_k, L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

where  $W(H) = N(H)/H$  and the  $\mathbb{F}_2$  in the leftmost term is the trivial  $\mathbb{F}_2 W(H)$ -module. A standard induction argument using Mayer–Vietoris sequences, shows that if

$B$  is any union of the sets  $\{L^H \mid H \text{ is a quaternionic subgroup of } Q \text{ of order } > 8\}$ , then  $B$  is connected and  $H_1(B; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ . Hence the cellular chain complex  $C_*(L^H, L^H \cap L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$  is acyclic for  $* < 2$ . Since this is a chain complex of free  $\mathbb{F}_2 W(H)$ -modules, the chain complex  $C_*(L^H, L^H \cap L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$  is also acyclic for  $* < 2$ . Here  $\otimes$  is tensor over  $\mathbb{F}_2 W(H)$ . Hence  $H_i^{W(H)}(L^H, L^H \cap L_{k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  for  $i < 2$ , the second assestion above holds, and the claim is established.

*Proof of Theorem II.* The proof is by contradiction. So suppose that  $\Gamma$  acts freely, cellularly, and cocompactly on a  $CW$  complex  $\tilde{X}$  homotopy equivalent to  $S^{8r+3}$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . Since  $Z_\Gamma(C_p)$  is finite,  $H_1^\Gamma(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) \neq 0$  by 5.1. In addition, since  $0 = \text{vcd } Z_\Gamma(C_p) = \dim (\mathcal{E}\Gamma)^{C_p}$  by 1.2,  $C_p$  acts freely on  $\Sigma$ . Hence if either  $\dim \Sigma = \text{vcd } \Gamma - 1 \leq 2$  or  $2 \leq \text{vcd } Z_\Gamma(Q) - 1 = \dim \Sigma^\mathcal{Q}$ , then  $H_1^\Gamma(\Sigma; K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}G_\sigma)) = 0$  by 5.6. This is a contradiction and Theorem II follows.

*Proof of Corollary III.* Let  $C_p$  act on  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  by multiplication by a  $p$ -th root of unity and  $A_0 = (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$  (or if  $p = 3, k \geq 2$ ). Then  $\text{rk } A_0 = (p - 1)k$ . Let  $A = \text{Ind}_C^G A_0$  and  $\Gamma$  be the semidirect product  $A \rtimes G$ . We show that  $\Gamma$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem II. Since  $\Gamma$  is crystallographic, it is lattice-like. A simple Mackey double coset argument shows that  $C_p$  acts freely on  $A$ . Hence  $Z_\Gamma(C_p)$  is contained in  $G$  and is finite. Another double coset argument shows that

$$\text{Res}_Q^G A = \text{Res}_Q^G \text{Ind}_C^G A_0 = \text{Ind}_{\{1\}}^Q \mathbb{Z}^{(p-1)k} = (\mathbb{Z}Q)^{(p-1)k}.$$

Since  $(p, k)$  either has  $p \geq 5$  and  $k \geq 1$  or has  $p = 3$  and  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\text{vcd } (Z_\Gamma(Q)) = \text{rk } A^\mathcal{Q} = (p - 1)k \geq 4$  and (1) also holds. Corollary III now follows from Theorem II.

### 6. The Proof of 5.4

After proving some preliminary results, this section gives the proof of Proposition 5.4. The authors want to thank Jim Davis for several discussions about the material in this section that have greatly clarified its presentation.

Let  $H$  be any finite group and  $p$  be any prime. Let

$$LD'(\hat{Z}_p H) = \frac{\text{im } \{K_1(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_p) \rightarrow K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H)\}}{\text{im } \{K_1(\hat{Z}_p H) \rightarrow K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H)\}} \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_p$  is any maximal  $\hat{Z}_p$ -order in  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H$ . This group is called the  $p$ -local defect group of  $H$ . By choosing  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_p$  to contain  $\hat{Z}_p H$ , we see that the numerator contains the denominator. On the other hand, the numerator is well defined for suppose  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,1}$  and

$\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,2}$  are two maximal  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -orders in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H$ . Then by [Re; Theorem 17.3] there is a unit  $u \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H)^\times$  such that  $u\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,1}u^{-1} = \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,2}$ . Hence there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,1}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H) \\ \downarrow \phi_{u*} & & \downarrow c_{u*} \\ K_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,2}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H) \end{array}$$

where  $c_u : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H$  is conjugation by  $u$  and  $\phi_u = c_u|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_{p,1}}$ . Since  $c_{u*}$  is the identity, the numerator is well defined.

In the sequel it is convenient to denote the images in the numerator and denominator of (6.1) by  $K'_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_p)$  and  $K'_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H)$  respectively.

**Lemma 6.2.** *The correspondence that sends  $H$  to  $LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H)$  is a functor from finite groups to abelian groups.*

*Proof.* Let  $g : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a homomorphism and  $g_* : K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H_1) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H_2)$  be the induced homomorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  be a maximal  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -order in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H_1$ . We claim there is a maximal  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -order  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  with  $g(\mathfrak{M}_1) \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ ; for  $L = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_2 \otimes \mathfrak{M}_1$  is a  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -lattice in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H_2$  where  $\otimes$  is tensor over  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_r(L) = \{\lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H_2 \mid L\lambda < L\}$ . It is well known (cf. [Re; p. 109]) that  $\mathfrak{D}_r(L)$  is a  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -order in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H$  and it is clear that  $g(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset \mathfrak{D}_r(L)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  be a maximal  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -order in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H_2$  containing  $\mathfrak{D}_r(L)$ . Then  $g_* K'_1(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset K'_1(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ . Since it is clear that  $g_* K'_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_1) \in K'_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_2)$ ,  $g$  induces a homomorphism  $g_* : LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_1) \rightarrow LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_2)$ . The rest of the proof is trivial.

Let  $D(H) = \ker \{\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}H) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(\mathfrak{M})\}$  where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -order in  $\mathbb{Q}H$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}H$  and let  $K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{im} \{K_1(\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}H)\}$ .

**LEMMA 6.3.** *There is an exact sequence*

$$K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) \longrightarrow \sum LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H) \xrightarrow{\partial} D(H) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the sum runs over all primes  $p$  dividing  $|H|$ . Hence  $\partial$  induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{\partial} : \sum LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H) / \text{im } K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow D(H).$$

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}H & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{\mathbb{Z}}H & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathfrak{M}} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathbb{Q}}H \end{array}$$



in which  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}$  order in  $\mathbb{Q}H$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}H$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}H = \prod \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_p H$ ,  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} = \prod \mathfrak{M}_p$ , where  $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_p = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}H = \prod \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H$ , and all products run over all primes  $p$  dividing  $|H|$ . Since the left hand square in the above diagram maps into the outer square, there is an induced map of Mayer–Vietoris sequences. Swan’s theorem that projective modules over  $\mathbb{Z}H$  are locally free shows that the following commutative diagram has exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_1(\mathfrak{M}) \oplus \sum K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H) & \longrightarrow & \sum K_1(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_p) & \longrightarrow & D(H) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K_1(\mathbb{Q}H) \oplus \sum K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H) & \longrightarrow & \sum K_1(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p H) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}H) & & \end{array}$$

Here the sums are again over all primes  $p \mid |H|$ . Hence there is an exact sequence

$$K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) \oplus \sum K'_1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H) \longrightarrow \sum K'_1(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_p) \xrightarrow{\partial} D(H) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{im} \{K_1(\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}H)\}$ , from which the given exact sequence is easily derived.

REMARK 6.4. If  $g : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a homomorphism, then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sum LD'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D(H_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \Sigma g_* & & \downarrow g_* \\ \sum LD'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p H_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D(H_2) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We now specialize the discussion to the case when  $G = Q(2^q, p, 1)$ . Let  $\rho : D(G) \rightarrow LD'(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)/\text{im } K'_1(\mathfrak{M})$  be the composite of  $\bar{\partial}^{-1}$  and projection on the indicated factor.

THEOREM 6.5 (Davis). *If  $q \geq 4$ ,  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{q-1}}$ , then  $\rho(\sigma_G(X/G)) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since this result does not appear explicitly in [Da], we indicate how it follows from the results that are there. In particular, by using the decomposition of  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G$  into blocks (i.e. two sided ideals that are direct summands), Davis constructs another projection  $\bar{\rho}$  that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D(G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G) / \text{im } K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) \\
 \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} \\
 D(G) & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \frac{LD'(B_{n-2}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -p \end{bmatrix}}{\text{im } K'_1(\mathfrak{M}_q) \oplus K'_1(\mathfrak{M}_{q_T})}
 \end{array}$$

(The denominator in the lower right term arises since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is also decomposed in blocks of which only the indicated pieces map non-trivially into  $LD'(B_{n-2})$ .) Since [Da; Proof of Theorem 6.1, p. 47] shows that  $\rho'(\sigma_G(X/G)) \neq 0$ , the stated theorem follows.

*Proof of 5.4.* We show first that  $\rho$  factors through  $D(G)/j_*D(Q)$  and then that this group is a subgroup of  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)/j_*\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . To see the first statement, consider the commutation diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 Q) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D(Q) \\
 \downarrow j_* & & \downarrow j_* \\
 K'_1(\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 G) \oplus LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & D(G) \\
 & \downarrow \text{proj} & \downarrow \rho \\
 & \frac{LD'(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)}{\text{im } K'_1(\mathfrak{M})} & \leftarrow \frac{D(G)}{j_*D(Q)}
 \end{array}$$

Since  $Q = Q(2^q)$  is a 2-group, the map at the top is onto. Since  $j_*$  maps into the first factor and  $\text{proj}$  is projection on the second factor,  $\text{proj}j_* = 0$  and the first claim follows. A simple chase of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D(Q) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}Q) \\
 j_* \downarrow \uparrow f_* & & j_* \downarrow \uparrow f_* \\
 D(G) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)
 \end{array}$$

using the fact that  $f_*j_*$  is the identity shows that  $j_*D(Q) = D(G) \cap j_*(\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}Q))$  establishing the second claim and completing the proof of 5.4.

REFERENCES

[An] D. R. ANDERSON, *Generalized Product Theorems for Torsion Invariants with Applications to Flat Bundles*, Bull. A.M.S. 78 (1972), 465–469.  
 [A-C-F-P] D. R. ANDERSON, F. X. CONNOLLY, S. C. FERRY and E. K. PEDERSEN, *Algebraic K-Theory with Continuous Control at Infinity*, Journal for Pure and Applied Algebra, to appear.

- [A–M] D. R. ANDERSON and H. J. MUNKHOLM, *Geometric Modules and Algebraic K-Homology Theory*, *K-Theory* 3 (1990), 561–602.
- [Ba] H. BASS, *Algebraic K-Theory*, W. A. Benjamin. New York, 1968.
- [Br] G. E. BREDON, *Equivariant Cohomology Theories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 34, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1967.
- [C–E] H. CARTAN and S. EILENBERG, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Mathematical Series Number 19, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [Ca] D. W. CARTER, *Localization in Lower Algebraic K-Theory*, *Comm. in Alg.* 8 (1980), 603–622.
- [Ch–E] J. CHEEGER and D. G. EBIN, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [C–K] F. X. CONNOLLY and T. KOŹNIEWSKI, *Finiteness Properties of Classifying Spaces of Proper  $\Gamma$ -Actions*, *Jour. of Pure and Applied Algebra* 41 (1986), 17–36.
- [C–P] F. X. CONNOLLY and S. PRASSIDIS, *Groups Which Act Freely on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times S^{n-1}$* , *Topology* 28 (1989), 133–148.
- [Da] J. F. DAVIS, *Evaluation of the Swan Finiteness Obstruction*, Thesis, Stanford University, 1981.
- [Fa] F. T. FARRELL, *An Extension of Tate Cohomology to a Class of Infinite Groups*, *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra* 10 (1977), 153–161.
- [F–H] F. T. FARRELL and W. C. HSIANG, *On Novikov's Conjecture for Non-positively Curved Manifolds I*, *Ann of Math.* 113 (1981), 199–209.
- [Fr] P. FREYD, *Abelian Categories*, Harper and Row, New York, 1966.
- [Gr] D. GRAYSON, *Higher Algebraic K-Theory (after D. Quillen)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 551, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1976, 217–240.
- [H–P] I. HAMBLETON and E. K. PEDERSEN, *Bounded Surgery and Dihedral Group Actions on Spheres*, *Jour. of the A.M.S.* 4 (1991), 105–126.
- [He] S. HELGASON, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [Le] R. LEE, *Semicharacteristic Classes*, *Topology* 12 (1973), 183–199.
- [M–T–W] I. MADSEN, C. B. THOMAS and C. T. C. WALL, *The Topological Spherical Space Form Problem – II*, *Topology* 15 (1976), 375–382.
- [Mi] J. W. MILNOR, *Groups which Act on  $S^n$  without Fixed Points*, *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957), 623–630.
- [Pe] E. K. PEDERSEN, *On the  $K_{-i}$ -Functors*, *Jour. of Alg.* 90 (1984), 461–475.
- [P–W 1] E. K. PEDERSEN and C. A. WEIBEL, *A Non-Connective Delooping of Algebraic K-Theory*, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology; Proceedings*, Rutgers, 1983, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1126, Springer-Verlag, New York (1985), 166–181.
- [P–W 2] E. K. PEDERSEN and C. A. WEIBEL, *K-Theory Homology of Spaces*, *Algebraic Topology. Proceedings*, 1986, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1370, Springer-Verlag, New York (1989), 346–361.
- [Rag] M. S. RAGHUNATHAN, *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete* 68, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1972.
- [Ran] A. RANICKI, *The Algebraic Theory of Finiteness Obstruction*, *Math. Scand.* 57 (1985), 105–126.
- [Re] I. REINER, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Syracuse University*  
*Syracuse, N.Y. 13244*

and

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Notre Dame*  
*Notre Dame, In. 46556*

Received December 31, 1991