

Duality and minimality in Riemannian foliations.

Autor(en): **Masa, Xosé**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **67 (1992)**

PDF erstellt am: **25.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51081>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Duality and minimality in Riemannian foliations

XOSÉ MASA

In this work we prove that a Riemannian foliation \mathcal{F} defined on a smooth closed manifold M is *minimal*, in the sense that there exists a Riemannian metric on M for which all the leaves are minimal submanifolds, iff \mathcal{F} is *unimodular*, that is, the basic cohomology of \mathcal{F} in maximal dimension is nonzero. This result has been conjectured by Y. Carrière. We use the structure theorem for Riemannian foliations (Molino, [12]) to reduce the problem to transitive foliations, and a parametrix constructed by Sarkaria [15], that permits him to prove a finiteness theorem for transitive foliations. We also prove a duality theorem for the foliated cohomology conjectured in [8].

A good description of the theory of duality and minimality is given in Appendix B, by V. Sergiescu, in the book by P. Molino “Riemannian foliations” [12].

We thank J. A. Álvarez López for very helpful conversations and A. Fugarolas for his guidance through the Riesz theory.

1. The cohomology of transitive foliations

Let M be a smooth closed manifold of dimension $n + m$, which carries a smooth foliation \mathcal{F} of dimension m . We denote by $\Omega(M)$ the algebra of all smooth differential forms on M . A smooth form of degree i is said to be of filtration $\geq p$ if it vanishes whenever $i - p + 1$ of the vectors are tangent to the foliation. We shall denote the subalgebra of all forms of filtration $\geq p$ by $F^p\Omega$. In this way, the de Rham complex of smooth forms becomes a filtered complex and we have the spectral sequence $E_r(\mathcal{F})$ which converges to the real cohomology of M . $E_1^{0,q}(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^q_{\mathcal{F}}$, the cohomology of M with coefficients in the sheaf of germs of locally constant differentiable functions along the leaves of the foliation.

We can define a differential operator

$$d_{\mathcal{F}} : \Omega^i(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1}(M)$$

as follows: we consider a Riemannian metric on M and an orthogonal complement

$v(\mathcal{F})$ of $T\mathcal{F}$; we have

$$\Omega^i(M) \cong \sum_{r+s=i} \Gamma(\Lambda^r(v(\mathcal{F}))^* \otimes \Lambda^s(T\mathcal{F})^*).$$

We say that a differential form α is of “type” (r, s) if $\alpha \in \Omega^{r,s}(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^r(v(\mathcal{F}))^* \otimes \Lambda^s(T\mathcal{F})^*)$, and the exterior differential decomposes as

$$d = d_{0,1} + d_{1,0} + d_{2,-1}.$$

$d_{\mathcal{F}}$ is $d_{0,1}$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = 0$.

We define the *basic forms* $\Omega_B^p(M)$ by

$$\Omega_B^p(M) = (F^p \Omega^p) \cap \text{Ker } d_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

$(\Omega_B^p(M), d)$ is a differential complex, and $E_2^{p,0}(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(\Omega_B^*(M))$ is called the *basic cohomology* of the foliation.

The terms $E_2^{*,m}(\mathcal{F})$ of the spectral sequence are isomorphic to the \mathcal{F} -relative cohomological groups introduced by Rummier (see [14] for the definition, [9] or [10] for the isomorphism).

Let $\chi(M)$ be the algebra of vector fields on M , $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ the Lie sub-algebra of vector fields tangent to the foliation. Let us denote by $\chi(M, \mathcal{F})$ the Lie algebra of the foliated vector fields, that is, the normalizer of $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ in $\chi(M)$. At each point $x \in M$, we get a subspace $\chi(M, \mathcal{F})(x)$ of the tangent space $T_x M$, by evaluating the vector fields at x . The foliation is called *transitive* if $\chi(M, \mathcal{F})(x) = T_x M$ for all x .

In [15] Sarkaria constructs a 2-parametrix for a transitive foliation. We shall topologise $\Omega(M)$ with the usual C^∞ topology. In this way it becomes a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space. If E and F are Hausdorff LCTVSes a linear map $s : E \rightarrow F$ is called *compact* if it maps some neighbourhood U of 0 to a set $s(U)$ with compact closure. A 2-parametrix will be a pair of linear maps $s, h : \Omega(M) \rightarrow \Omega(M)$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } s \text{ is compact,} \\ \text{(b) } 1 - s = dh + hd, \\ \text{(c) } s(F^p \Omega) \subseteq F^p \Omega \text{ for all } p, \\ \text{(d) } h(F^p \Omega) \subseteq F^{p-1} \Omega \text{ for all } p. \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

In order to define s and h , let us fix a finite dimensional vector space $V \subseteq \chi(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that $V(x) = T_x M$ for all $x \in M$. Since M is compact and \mathcal{F} is transitive, we can always extract such a finite dimensional vector subspace. Furthermore, one chooses a Riemannian metric g on V , of volume element $|g|$, and a

smooth function f on V supported in a compact neighbourhood of 0. One defines a map $s : \Omega^i(M) \rightarrow \Omega^i(M)$ by

$$(s\alpha)(x) = \int_V (\phi_X^* \alpha)(x) \cdot f(X) \cdot |g|,$$

where $\phi_{tX} : M \rightarrow M$ denotes the flow of the vector field $X \in V$, and ϕ_X is the diffeomorphism corresponding to $t = 1$. Sarkaria constructs the smooth kernel $K(x, y)$ of s , such that

$$(s\alpha)(x) = \int_M K(x, y) \alpha(y),$$

and so s is a compact operator of trace class.

One normalises the function by $\int_V f(X) |g| = 1$ and one defines h by

$$(h\alpha)(x) = \int_V \int_0^1 (i_X \phi_{tX}^* \alpha)(x) \cdot f(X) \cdot dt \cdot |g|.$$

Now we can use the Riesz theory of compact operators ([6], [13]). Let

$$K = \bigcup_r (1 - s)^{-r}(0), \quad I = \bigcap_r (1 - s)^r(\Omega(M)).$$

Then K and I are topological supplements stable under s and $1 - s$, K finite dimensional. Moreover, $1 - s$ induces a nilpotent operator in K and a TVS automorphism in I . In fact, the sequences $(1 - s)^{-r}(0)$ and $(1 - s)^r(\Omega(M))$ are stationary starting from the same rank v . In this case, we say that $(1 - s)$ has finite ascent (and finite descent) v [13].

$F^p \Omega$ is a closed subspace of $\Omega(M)$, and s defines a compact operator $s : F^p \Omega \rightarrow F^p \Omega$ for each p , $0 \leq p \leq n$. Let k be the maximum of the ascents of each $(1 - s)|_{F^p \Omega}$, $0 \leq p \leq n$. K and I are filtered differential algebras: we define $F^p K$ as $K \cap (F^p \Omega)$, and $F^p I$ as $\bigcap_r (1 - s)^r F^p \Omega$.

Let $u = \{(1 - s)^k|_I\}^{-1}$. We have a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega(M) \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$$

of filtered differential complexes, where $\Omega(M) \rightarrow I$ is $u \circ (1 - s)^k$. In this way, we have

$$F^p \Omega \cong F^p K \oplus F^p I$$

as a topological differential complex. We can define two spectral sequences $E_2(K)$ and $E_2(I)$, and we obtain

$$E_2(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_2(K) \oplus E_2(I).$$

But now the inclusion $E_2(I) \rightarrow E_2(\mathcal{F})$ is zero, because the homotopy h satisfies the condition (d) in (1).

In fact,

$$E_1^{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^q\left(\frac{F^p\Omega^{p+q}}{F^{p+1}\Omega^{p+q}}\right),$$

and

$$d_1 : E_1^{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}(\mathcal{F})$$

is induced by the connecting of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{F^{p+1}\Omega^{p+q}}{F^{p+2}\Omega^{p+q}} \rightarrow \frac{F^p\Omega^{p+q}}{F^{p+2}\Omega^{p+q}} \rightarrow \frac{F^p\Omega^{p+q}}{F^{p+1}\Omega^{p+q}} \rightarrow 0.$$

We shall see that if $[\eta] \in E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F})$, then $\eta - s(\eta)$ is a coboundary in $E_1^{p,q}(\mathcal{F})$: $\eta \in F^p\Omega$ represents a class in $E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F})$ if $d\eta = \alpha + d\beta$, with $\alpha \in F^{p+2}\Omega$ and $\beta \in F^{p+1}\Omega$. So, $h\alpha \in F^{p+1}\Omega$ and $h d\beta = d(-h\beta) + (\beta - s\beta)$, with $(\beta - s\beta) \in F^{p+1}\Omega$. Hence,

$$\eta - s(\eta) = dh(\eta - \beta) \bmod F^{p+1}\Omega.$$

Since $(1 - s)_2 : E_2(I) \rightarrow E_2(I)$ is an isomorphism, we have proved

$$E_2(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_2(K).$$

With the C^∞ topology the quotients $E_1(\mathcal{F})$ are not always Hausdorff. As the exterior differential d is continuous, we can define a new differential complex $\mathbb{E}_1(\mathcal{F})$ with

$$\mathbb{E}_1(\mathcal{F}) = E_1(\mathcal{F}) / \bar{O}_{\mathcal{F}},$$

where $\bar{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the closure of $\{0\}$ in $E_1(\mathcal{F})$, and $\mathbb{E}_2(\mathcal{F}) = H(\mathbb{E}_1(\mathcal{F}))$.

We have $E_1(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_1(K) \oplus E_1(I)$, and $E_1(K)$ is Hausdorff. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_1(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_1(K) \oplus \mathbb{E}_1(I),$$

where $\mathbb{E}_1(I) \cong E_1(I)/\bar{O}_I$, and \bar{O}_I is the closure of $\{0\}$ in $E_1(I)$. Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}_2(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_2(K) \oplus \mathbb{E}_2(I),$$

and $\mathbb{E}_2(I) = H(\mathbb{E}_1(I)) = 0$ for the same reason that $E_2(I) = 0$. So, we have proved $E_2(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{E}_2(\mathcal{F})$.

2. The cohomology of Riemannian foliations

(A reference for this section, with very detailed calculus, is [2]. For the cohomology of operations and related spectral sequences, see [5]).

Let us consider now a Riemannian foliation \mathcal{F} on M . If \mathcal{F} or M are not orientable we can work in a convenient covering space. We shall use throughout a bundle-like metric on M . Let (P, π, M) be the principal $SO(n)$ -bundle of oriented orthonormal transverse frames, associated to \mathcal{F} and the metric. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the lifted foliation in P , which is transitive (it is transversally parallelizable [12]). $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is invariant by the right action of $SO(n)$ on P .

If $\xi \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$, the Lie algebra of $SO(n)$, we denote by $\theta(\xi)$ the Lie derivative with respect to the fundamental vector field associated to ξ . Let $\Omega(P)_{\theta=0}$ be the subalgebra of $\Omega(P)$ of the differential forms satisfying

$$\theta(\xi)\eta = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{so}(n).$$

Analogously, we denote by $i(\xi)$ the interior product with respect to fundamental field associated to ξ , and $\Omega(P)_{i=0}$ the subalgebra of the differential forms satisfying

$$i(\xi)\eta = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{so}(n).$$

The existence of a connection on P permits to set up an isomorphism

$$\Omega(P) \cong \Omega(P)_{i=0} \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{so}(n)^*.$$

The induced filtration on $\Omega(P)_{i=0}$ defines a spectral sequence $E_r(\Omega(P)_{i=0})$, and we have

$$E_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \cong E_1(\Omega(P)_{i=0}) \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{so}(n)^*$$

because the d_0 -differential is induced by $d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$, and the forms in $\Lambda \mathfrak{so}(n)^*$ are $d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ -closed. As a consequence, we have $E_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})_{i=0} \cong E_1(\Omega(P)_{i=0})$. Let $j : \Omega(P)_{\theta=0} \rightarrow \Omega(P)$

be the inclusion. Since $SO(n)$ is compact and connected, there exist linear maps

$$\rho : \Omega^i(P) \rightarrow \Omega^i(P)_{\theta=0}; \quad h : \Omega^i(P) \rightarrow \Omega^{i-1}(P)$$

compatible with the action of $SO(n)$, and satisfying

$$\rho \circ j = id; \quad id - j \circ \rho = dh + hd.$$

The homotopy h has the property that $h \mid \Omega(P)_{i=0} = 0$. Moreover, as $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is invariant by the right action of $SO(n)$ on P ,

$$h(F^p\Omega(P)) \subseteq F^{p-1}\Omega(P); \quad \rho(F^p\Omega(P)) \subseteq F^p\Omega(P),$$

$$\rho \circ d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \circ \rho; \quad h \circ d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \circ h.$$

So, the action of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ on $\Omega(P)$ defines also an action on $E_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, and we have:

$$\text{LEMMA. } E_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})_{i=0, \theta=0} \cong E_1(\mathcal{F}).$$

In fact, let $[\alpha] \in E_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})_{i=0, \theta=0}$. We can write

$$d\alpha = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\alpha + d_{1,0}\alpha + d_{2,-1}\alpha.$$

But $d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\alpha = 0$ and $d_{2,-1}\alpha \in F^{p+2}\Omega(P)$, $hd_{2,-1}\alpha \in F^{p+1}\Omega(P)$ and it is zero in E_1 . Finally, $d_{1,0}\alpha$ has two parts. One of them belongs to $\Omega(P)_{i=0}$, and their image by h is zero. The other, $d_{\pi}\alpha$, the derivative along the fibres of π , is as follows: if $\{\xi_i\}$ is a basis of the fundamental vector fields and $\{\xi_i^*\}$ is the dual basis of differential forms, we have

$$d_{\pi}\alpha = \sum_i \xi_i^* \wedge \theta(\xi_i)\alpha.$$

But $\theta(\xi)[\alpha] = 0$, i.e., $\theta(\xi)\alpha = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\beta$, $\beta \in F^p\Omega(P)_{i=0}$, and $d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\xi_i^* = 0$, then, if we put $\theta(\xi_i)\alpha = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\beta_i$, then

$$hd_{\pi}\alpha = hd_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_i \xi_i^* \wedge \beta_i = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}h \sum_i \xi_i^* \wedge \beta_i,$$

with $h(\xi_i^* \wedge \beta_i) \in \Omega(P)_{i=0}$ and

$$\alpha - j \circ \rho(\alpha) = d_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}h \sum_i \xi_i^* \wedge \beta_i.$$

Now, to compute $E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{F})$ we construct the spectral sequence $E(q)$ associated to the action of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ on $E_1(\mathcal{F})$,

$$E_2^{r,s}(q) = E_2^{s,q}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes H^r(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow H(E_1^{*,q}(\mathcal{F})_{\theta=0}) \cong E_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{F}).$$

All the maps that we have used are continuous, then we also have a spectral sequence

$$\mathbb{E}_2^{r,s}(q) = \mathbb{E}_2^{s,q}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes H^r(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_2^{*,q}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Finally, the Zeeman's comparison theorem permits us to conclude:

THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{F} be a Riemannian foliation. Then $E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{E}_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F})$.*

We can also conclude that $E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F})$ is finite dimensional, which is the principal theorem in [2].

3. A criterion for minimality

For a Riemannian foliation of codimension n on a compact manifold, we have $E_2^{n,0}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ or $E_2^{n,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{R}$ [4]. It is a well known fact that $E_2^{n,0}(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ is a necessary condition for minimality (vid., for instance, [12, Appendix B]). This is a consequence of the following Rummier–Sullivan criterion [14], [16], [7]:

“Let g_0 be a smooth scalar product on $T\mathcal{F}$. It is induced by a Riemannian metric g on M for which the leaves are minimal submanifolds iff the volume m -form χ_0 on the leaves defined by g_0 (and the orientation) is the restriction to the leaves of an m -form χ on M which is relatively closed, namely, $d\chi(X_1, \dots, X_{m+1}) = 0$ if the first m vector fields X_i are tangent to the leaves.”

So, we shall assume that $E_2^{n,0}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{R}$.

Now, we consider the star operator $*$ associated to the bundle-like metric on M and the scalar product

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \int_M \alpha \wedge * \beta.$$

The star operator takes forms of type (p, q) into forms of type $(n - p, m - q)$. If we denote $\delta_{\mathcal{F}} = *d_{\mathcal{F}}*$, we have

$$\overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}} = (\text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}})^{\perp}, \tag{2}$$

where \perp denotes the orthogonal complement with respect to the scalar product. Obviously, $\overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}} \subseteq (\text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}})^{\perp}$ and $(\overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}})^{\perp} \subseteq \text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$. We have also $\Omega = (\text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}})^{\perp} \oplus \text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}} \oplus \text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$. In fact, if $\alpha \notin \overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}} \oplus \text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$, by the Hahn–Banach theorem there exists a closed hyperplane L that contains $\overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}} \oplus \text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and such that $\alpha \notin L$. If β is orthogonal to L , $\beta \in (\overline{\text{Im } d_{\mathcal{F}}})^{\perp}$ and $\beta \notin \text{Ker } \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$, contradiction. Now, an analogous argument finishes the proof of (2).

We are assuming, first, that \mathcal{F} is transversally parallelizable, that is, there exist foliated vector fields Z_1, \dots, Z_n such that their images generate $T_x M / T_x \mathcal{F}$ for all $x \in M$. The closures of the leaves of the foliation are the fibres of the *basic fibration* associated to \mathcal{F} [12],

$$\pi : M \rightarrow W,$$

and the foliation defines by restriction to each of the fibres a Lie \mathfrak{g} -foliation, where \mathfrak{g} is the *structural Lie algebra*.

Let v be an invariant transverse volume for \mathcal{F} , $v \in \Omega_B^n(M)$, defining the nonzero class in $E_2^{n,0}(\mathcal{F})$. We can choose the form v to be orthogonal to $d(\Omega_B^{n-1}(M))$. This is trivial if the leaves of \mathcal{F} are dense, as then $d(\Omega_B^{n-1}(M)) = 0$. The general case requires the use of the structure of the fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow W$ defined by the closures of the leaves of \mathcal{F} . Consider the filtration defined in Section 1, but now associated to the fibration π , and so we can speak about the forms of type $(p, q)_{\pi}$, and differentials $d_{\pi}, {}_{\pi}d_{1,0}$, and so on.

Let ω be the image by π of the volume form on W . The condition $E_2^{n,0}(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ is equivalent to the following [4]: \mathfrak{g} is unimodular and there exists a form λ such that $v = \lambda \wedge \omega$ is an invariant transverse volume for \mathcal{F} and satisfying

- (1) λ is of type $(0, s)_{\pi}$, $s = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, and
- (2) $d_{\pi} \lambda = 0$ and ${}_{\pi}d_{1,0} \lambda = 0$.

Let $f = \int_{\pi} *(\lambda \wedge \omega) \wedge \lambda$, where \int_{π} is the integral along the fibres. Then $f \in C^{\infty}(W)$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for all x . Now, the volume $v_0 = (\lambda \wedge \omega)/f$ is orthogonal to $d(\Omega_B^{n-1}(M))$. In fact, a form $\gamma \in \Omega_B^{n-1}(M)$ can be written as

$$\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^n \{(i_{Z_k} \lambda) \wedge f_k \omega + \lambda \wedge g_k i_{Z_k} \omega\}$$

where $f_k, g_k \in C^{\infty}(W)$. Then,

$$d\gamma = \pm \lambda \wedge d\left(\sum_{k=1}^n g_k i_{Z_k} \omega\right) = \lambda \wedge d(\pi^* \eta)$$

with $\eta \in \Omega^*(W)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{1}{f}(\lambda \wedge \omega), d\gamma \right\rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{f}(\lambda \wedge \omega), \lambda \wedge d(\pi^*\eta) \right\rangle \\ &= \pm \int_M \left\{ * \frac{1}{f}(\lambda \wedge \omega) \right\} \wedge \lambda \wedge d\pi^*\eta \\ &= \int_W \frac{1}{f} d\eta \int_\pi *(\lambda \wedge \omega) \wedge \lambda = \int_W d\eta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

With this choice of v_0 , the form $\chi = *v_0$ is positive along the leaves and defines a nonzero class in $\mathbb{E}_2^{0,m}(\mathcal{F})$, i.e., $d_1(\chi) \in \bar{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^{1,m}$. In fact, let γ be a $(n-1)$ -basic form. We have

$$\langle d_{1,0}(\chi), *\gamma \rangle = \langle d(\chi), *\gamma \rangle = \pm \langle v_0, d\gamma \rangle = 0,$$

and, by (2),

$$d_{1,0}(\chi) \in \overline{d_{\mathcal{F}} \Omega^{1,m-1}(M)}.$$

Let $[\Gamma] \in E_2^{0,m}(\mathcal{F})$ be the class corresponding to χ by the isomorphism $E_2^{0,m}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{E}_2^{0,m}(\mathcal{F})$. We have

$$\Gamma = \chi + \eta, \quad \text{with } \eta \in \bar{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^{0,m}.$$

Since χ is positive along the leaves, we can take some form $\alpha \in d_0(E_0^{0,m-1}(\mathcal{F}))$ such that $\Gamma + \alpha$ is close enough to χ so that $\Gamma + \alpha$ is also positive along the leaves. But $\Gamma + \alpha$ also defines $[\Gamma]$ and then \mathcal{F} is minimal by the criterion of Rummmler–Sullivan.

Finally, if \mathcal{F} is an arbitrary Riemannian foliation, we consider the principal bundle (P, π, M) and the transversally parallelizable foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, as in Section 2. Integration along the fibres of $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, after exterior multiplication with the invariant volume form along the fibres, assigns m -forms on M positive along the fibres of \mathcal{F} to m -forms on P positive along the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. The computations in Section 2 permits us to conclude the

MINIMALITY THEOREM. *Let M be a smooth closed orientable manifold and \mathcal{F} an oriented Riemannian foliation. There exists a Riemannian metric on M for which the leaves are minimal submanifolds iff the basic cohomology of maximal dimension is nonzero.*

4. Duality theorem

DUALITY THEOREM. *If M is a smooth closed orientable manifold and \mathcal{F} is a Riemannian foliation, then*

$$E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_2^{n-p,m-q}(\mathcal{F}).$$

This Theorem reduces now to the Duality Theorem proved by J. A. Álvarez López [1], [3]. He defines a filtration in the complex of currents (Ω', d') in M , obtaining a spectral sequence (E^r, d^r) which converges to $H(\Omega', d')$, and he proves that there exist regularization operators which are adjoint of continuous filtration-preserving operators in $\Omega(M)$, resulting in an isomorphism between E_2 and E^2 . Finally, he has duality isomorphisms $E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) \cong E_2^{n-p,m-q}(\mathcal{F})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ÁLVAREZ-LÓPEZ, J. A., "Sucesión espectral asociada a foliaciones Riemannianas". Publ. del Dpto. de Geometría y Topología de Santiago de Compostela 72 (1987).
- [2] ÁLVAREZ-LÓPEZ, J. A., *A finiteness theorem for the spectral sequence of a Riemannian foliation*. Illinois J. of Math. 33 (1989), 79–92.
- [3] ÁLVAREZ-LÓPEZ, J. A., *Duality in the spectral sequence of Riemannian foliations*. Amer. J. of Math. 111 (1989) 905–926.
- [4] EL KACIMI-ALAOUI, A. and HECTOR, G., *Decomposition de Hodge basique pour un feuilletage Riemannien*. Ann. Inst. Fourier de Grenoble 36 (1986), 207–227.
- [5] GREUB, W., HALPERIN, S. and VANSTONE, R., "Connections, Curvature and Cohomology". Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [6] GROTHENDIECK, A., "Topological Vector Spaces". Gordon and Breach, London, 1973.
- [7] HAEFLIGER, A., *Some remarks on foliations with minimal leaves*. J. Diff. Geom. 15 (1986), 269–284.
- [8] KAMBER, F. and TONDEUR, Ph., *Foliations and metrics*. Proc. of the 1981–1982 Year in Differential Geometry, Univ. Maryland, Birkhäuser. Progress in Math. 32 (1983), 103–152.
- [9] MACIAS, E., "Las cohomologías diferenciable, continua y discreta de una variedad foliada". Publ. del Dpto. de Geometría y Topología de Santiago de Compostela 60 (1983).
- [10] MACIAS, E. and MASA, X., *Cohomología diferenciable en variedades foliadas*. Actas de las IX Jornadas Matemáticas Hispano-Lusas. Universidad de Salamanca, 1982, vol. II, pp. 533–536.
- [11] MASA, X., *Cohomology of Lie foliations*. Research Notes in Math. vol. 32. Differential Geometry. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program (1985), pp. 211–214.
- [12] MOLINO, P., *Riemannian foliations*. Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 1988.
- [13] ROBERTSON, A. P. and ROBERTSON, W. J., "Topological Vector Spaces". Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973.
- [14] RUMMLER, H., *Quelques notions simples en géométrie Riemannienne et leurs applications aux feuilletages compacts*. Comment. Math. Helvetici 54 (1979), 224–239.
- [15] SARKARIA, K. S., *A finiteness theorem for foliated manifolds*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 30 (1978), 687–696.

- [16] SULLIVAN, D., *A homological characterization of foliations consisting of minimal surfaces*. Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), 218–223.

*Departamento de Xeometria e Topoloxia
Faculdade de Matemáticas
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela
15771-Santiago de Compostela
Galiza (Spain)*

Received January 17, 1990