The semiregualr polytopes.

Autor(en): Blind, G. / Blind, R.

Objekttyp: Article

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Band (Jahr): 66 (1991)

PDF erstellt am: **20.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-50395

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

The semiregular polytopes

G. BLIND AND R. BLIND

Abstract. A convex d-polytype in E^d is called semiregular, if its facets are regular and its vertices equivalent. A list of semiregular polytopes for $d \ge 4$ is known since 1900. Recently it has been proved by Π . B. Макаров [сб. Вопр. дискр. геом., Мат. исслед. ИМ АН Молд. ССР вып. 103, С. 139–150, Кишинев 1988], that this list is complete for d=4. We present here a simple proof for that this list is complete in any dimension.

1. Introduction

Let P be a convex d-polytope in E^d . P is called **regular-faced**, if all its facets are regular. P is called **semiregular**, if its facets are regular and if its vertices are equivalent (i.e. the group of symmetries of P acts transitively on the vertices of P). Clearly, every semiregular polytope is regular-faced.

For d=3 the semiregular polytopes coincide with the Archimedean solids. For $d \ge 4$ Gosset established a list of semiregular polytopes in [6]. Various constructions of semiregular polytopes have been described since, but all the time it stayed open whether Gosset's enumeration is complete (see [7, p. 413]). Only in 1988 there is published a proof [8], that Gosset indeed found all the semiregular d-polytopes for d=4. This proof uses the complete enumeration of regular-faced 3-polytopes in [9]; the vertex-figure of a semiregular polytope is then carefully examined.

In [1], [2] and [3] we completely enumerated the regular-faced d-polytopes for $d \ge 4$; a wide class of them, however, is only given by a method how to construct them. We shall show, how to deduce from this list of all regular-faced polytopes

THEOREM 1. Gosset's list of semiregular d-polytopes is complete for $d \ge 4$.

2. Gosset' list of semiregular d-polytopes for $d \ge 4$

Besides the regular polytopes Gosset found 7 semiregular polytopes, namely 3 for d = 4 and always one for $d = 5, \ldots, 8$. They are described in [6] by the sets of

d	name in [6]	sets of facets	a $(d-3)$ -face is contained in
4	tetroctahedric	5 octahedra 5 tetrahedra	2 octahedra 1 tetrahedron
	tetricosahedric	24 icosahedra 120 tetrahedra	2 icosahedra or {1 icosahedron tetrahedron} or {3 tetrahedra
	octicosahedric	120 icosahedra 600 octahedra	1 icosahedron 2 octahedra
5, , 8		(d-1)-crosspolytopes $(d-1)$ -simplices	2 $(d-1)$ -crosspolytopes 1 $(d-1)$ -simplex

their facets together with some incidence properties of the following type

3. Regular-faced d-polytopes for $d \ge 4$

In [1], [2] and [3] we showed, that for $d \ge 4$ the following list of regular-faced d-polytopes is complete:

for $d \ge 5$: the regular polytopes,

the polytopes of Gosset's list,

the pyramid with basis a regular (d-1)-crosspolytope, and

the bipyramid with basis a regular (d-1)-simplex.

for d = 4: the regular polytopes,

the polytopes of Gosset's list,

the pyramid with basis an octahedron,

the bipyramid with basis a tetrahedron,

the pyramid and the bipyramid with basis an icosahedron,

the union of a tetroctahedron (see Gosset's list) and of a pyramid, whose

basis is an octahedric facet of the tetroctahedron, and

the set \mathcal{A} of polytopes arising from the regular 600-cell by cutting off vertices in the following way:

Let Z be the 600-cell and let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a set of vertices of Z, such that no two vertices of $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are adjacent, i.e. joined by an edge of Z. Since Z is regular, for every E_i the vertices adjacent to E_i are contained in a hyperplane, which determines a closed halfspace $H(E_i)$ not containing E_i . Then $Z \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^k H(E_i)$ is a convex polytope, which is regular-faced, because Z is simplicial and no two vertices of $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are adjacent. We say that $Z \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^k H(E_i)$ arises from Z by maximally cutting off the vertices of $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$. Thus the set $\mathscr A$ is the set of those regular-faced polytopes which arise from the 600-cell by maximally cutting off a set of vertices, where every two vertices are non-adjacent.

Let us remark that analogously we may maximally cut off a suitable set of vertices from the icosahedron.

4. Proof of the Theorem

Let P be a semiregular polytope, which is not regular and not contained in Gosset's list. Since P is regular-faced and since the vertices of P are equivalent, we immediately deduce from the list of all regular-faced polytopes that P is 4-dimensional and that $P \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now let P be any semiregular polytope with $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Let P arise from Z by maximally cutting off k > 0 vertices of Z. Then, since Z has 120 vertices, the number e of vertices of P is given by

(*)
$$e + k = 120$$
.

The set of facets of P is a set of tetrahedra and exactly k icosahedra. Let a vertex E of P be incident with $m \ge 0$ icosahedric facets. Then the vertex-figure of P at E is a 3-polytope arising from an icosahedron by maximally cutting off m vertices where every two are non-adjacent. But such 3-polytopes exist only if $m \le 3$. We remark that for m = 3 there exists only one such 3-polytope: its 3 pentagonal faces do not have a common vertex, but every two of them have a common edge; so in case m = 3 the 3 icosahedral facets through E do not have a common edge, but every two of them have a common 2-face.

The vertices of P are equivalent, hence every vertex of P is contained in m icosahedric facets; thus $m \ge 1$. Moreover, every icosahedric facet contains 12 vertices of P, so e = 12k/m. From this and (*) follows

$$\frac{12+m}{m}k = 120, \qquad 1 \le m \le 3.$$

The only integer solution of this equality is m = 3, k = 24; so we have m = 3.

Now let E be a given vertex of P and let I, I_1 , I_2 be the m=3 icosahedric facets through E. Then by the previous remark $I \cap I_1 \cap I_2$ is not an edge of I, but $I \cap I_1$ and $I \cap I_2$ are 2-faces of I. Thus, if \mathscr{F} is the set of those 2-faces of I, which are also contained in another icosahedric facet, then no two elements of \mathscr{F} have a common edge, but every vertex of I is contained in exactly two elements of \mathscr{F} . From this it is easily seen that \mathscr{F} is uniquely determined for given $I \cap I_1$ and $I \cap I_2$, and so are the facets intersecting I in a 2-face. Proceeding in this way we see that P is uniquely determined for given I, I_1 and I_2 .

Three icosahedric facets through a vertex correspond to vertices of Z, which are uniquely determined up to isometries of Z by the previous remark. So there exists at most one semiregular polytope in \mathcal{A} .

The tetricosahedric polytope of Gosset's list is known to be in \mathscr{A} (see e.g. [5, p. 152f.]). Hence it is the only semiregular polytope in \mathscr{A} , which concludes the proof.

5. Concluding remarks

- 1. We tried to make the proof as self-contained as possible. The result would also follow immediately from k = 24 using Theorem 2 in [4].
- 2. It is well known (see e.g. [7, p. 413]) that if the definition of semi-regularity is slightly changed by substituting 'all vertex figures are congruent' for the transitivity of the symmetry group, then the Archimedean solids are no more the only 3-polytopes allowed, but there exists exactly one additional polytope. Further additional 3-polytopes exist, if instead of the congruence of the vertex figures we assume only, that every vertex is contained in the same number of facets (see [9]*). This is in contrast to the situation in higher dimensions:

In the proof of our Theorem we did not really use the transitivity of the symmetry group of a semiregular polytope, but only the fact that every vertex is contained in the same number of facets. Thus we have

THEOREM 2. A regular-faced d-polytope, where every vertex is contained in the same number of facets, is semiregular for $d \ge 4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. BLIND, Konvexe Polytope mit kongruenten regulären (n-1)-Seiten im \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 4)$. Comment. Math. Helv. 54, 304-308 (1979).
- [2] R. BLIND, Konvexe Polytope mit regulären Facetten im R^n ($n \ge 4$). In Contributions to Geometry, J. Tölke and J. M. Wills eds. Birkhäuser, Basel 1979.
- [3] G. BLIND und R. BLIND, Die konvexen Polytope im R⁴, bei denen alle Facetten reguläre Tetraeder sind. Mh. Math. 89, 87-93 (1980).
- [4] G. BLIND und R. BLIND, Über die Symmetriegruppen von regulärseitigen Polytopen, Mh. Math. 108, 103-114 (1989).
- [5] H. S. M. COXETER, Regular Polytopes. New York 1973.
- [6] T. Gosset, On the regular and semiregular figures in space of n dimensions, Mess. Math. 29, 43-48 (1900).

^{*} e.g. $M_6 + M_9$ is such a polytope; note that thus it may happen, that some vertices of such a polytope are contained in a quadrangle and others are not.

- [7] B. GRÜNBAUM, Convex Polytopes, London New York Sydney 1967.
- [8] П. В. Макаров, *О выводе четырехмерных полуправильных многогранников* (*How to deduce the* 4-dimensional semiregular polytopes). сб. Вопр. дискр. геом., Мат. исслед. ИМ АН Молд. ССР вып. 103, С. 139–150, Кишинев 1988.
- [9] V. A. ZALGALLER, Convex polyhedra with regular faces. Seminars in Mathematics, V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Leningrad, Vol. 2.; Consultants Bureau, New York 1969.

G. Blind Math. Institut B Universität Stuttgart Pfaffenwaldring 57 D-7000 Stuttgart 80

R. Blind Waldburgstr. 88 D-700 Stuttgart 80

Received January 29, 1990