

Homology of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\dots])$.

Autor(en): **Alperin, Roger**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **55 (1980)**

PDF erstellt am: **22.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42382>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Homology of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$

ROGER ALPERIN

In this article we shall describe a simplicial complex which is a natural structure for the action of $GL_2(R)$, the group of 2×2 invertible matrices over the ring R . With strong conditions on R this complex is contractible; it is then possible to give a presentation of $GL_2(R)$ and to compute the homology of $GL_2(R)$ in terms of stabilizer subgroups of the simplices in a fundamental domain for the action. We shall work in detail with the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega^2 = \omega - 1$; similar methods apply to the rings $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, $\theta^2 = \theta + 1$, and $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, $\lambda^3 = \lambda + 1$ but the details are quite elaborate and will be left for a later time. Initial motivation came from Quillen's construction of the tree for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (compare Serre [3]).

§1

Let R be a ring. Consider the set \mathcal{L} of free direct summands of R^2 . Elements of \mathcal{L} are called lines.

DEFINITION. $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ are independent if $L_1 + L_2 = L_1 \oplus L_2 = R^2$.

Let $\mathcal{U}(R)$ be the simplicial complex whose vertices are the elements of \mathcal{L} and whose q -simplices are determined by a set $\{L_0, \dots, L_q\}$, $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$ where L_i, L_j are independent for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq q$.

Let $R(a, b)$ be a vertex of $\mathcal{U}(R)$ and suppose $R(c, d)$ is independent of $R(a, b)$.

LEMMA 1. Any line in R^2 independent of $R(a, b)$ is of the form

$$L = R(ra + c, rb + d)$$

for some $r \in R$.

Proof. Suppose $L = R(c', d')$ is independent of $R(a, b)$. Put $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$,

$B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$; A, B are in $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ Then

$$BA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & t \end{pmatrix}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ (units of \mathbb{R}). Thus $c' = sa + tc$, $d' = sb + td$; hence $R(c', d') = R(ra + c, rb + d)$ with $r = t^{-1}s$.

LEMMA 2. The lines $L_1 = R(r_1a + c, r_1b + d)$ $L_2 = R(r_2a + c, r_2b + d)$ are independent iff $r_1 - r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Proof. Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$; then

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1a + c & r_1b + d \\ r_2a + c & r_2b + d \end{pmatrix} C = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence L_1, L_2 are independent iff $r_1 - r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Consider the link of a vertex $R(a, b)$ in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{Link } R(a, b)$; this is the full subcomplex of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$ containing all lines which are independent of $R(a, b)$. Let \mathcal{R} be the simplicial complex whose vertices are given by the elements of \mathbb{R} and in which a q -simplex is given by a set $\{r_0, \dots, r_q\}$, $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r_i - r_j \in \mathbb{R}^*$ for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq q$. The next lemma follows easily from the previous discussion.

LEMMA 3. $\text{Link } R(a, b) \cong \mathcal{R}$.

Put $M_{\mathbb{R}} = \sup \{m \mid \exists r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{R} \ni \forall i, j, 1 \leq i < j \leq m, r_i - r_j \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$.

LEMMA 4. (Lenstra [2]) $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is finite if \mathbb{R} has an ideal ($\neq \mathbb{R}$) of finite index.

COROLLARY. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$ is finite dimensional if \mathbb{R} is the ring of integers in a number field and $\dim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}) = M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. The ring of integers in a number field has an ideal of finite index, for example (2). It follows easily that the dimension of a simplex in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\leq 1 + \dim \mathcal{R} = M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

When \mathbb{R} is the ring of integers in an algebraic field, and \mathbb{R} has a unit of infinite order then according to a result of Vaserstein [2], $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is generated by

elementary matrices. If R is a Euclidean ring then $SL_2(R)$ is generated by elementary matrices. It follows then in case R is Euclidean or R has a unit of infinite order that $\mathcal{U}(R)$ is connected. The cases excluded by this are the non-Euclidean rings of integers in imaginary quadratic number fields.

§2

We suppose now that R is a Euclidean ring with respect to the function $|\cdot|: R \rightarrow N$. Suppose also that $|\cdot|$ is multiplicative and thus gives rise to a function on the quotients field of R , K . Define

$$|\cdot|: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow N \quad \text{via}$$

$|R(a, b)| = |b|$. This is independent of the particular representation of the line since units have value one under the Euclidean function. Let $\mathcal{U}(n, R)$ be the full subcomplex of $\mathcal{U}(R)$ containing all vertices L of \mathcal{L} with $|L| \leq n$.

Consider now the link of a vertex $R(a, b)$, $|R(a, b)| = n$, in $\mathcal{U}(n, R)$, denoted $\text{Link}_n R(a, b)$. This link is the full subcomplex of $\mathcal{U}(n, R)$ containing lines L independent of $R(a, b)$ with $|L| \leq n$. Let $R(c, d)$ be independent of $R(a, b)$. It follows from Lemma 1 that this link contains only vertices $R(ra + c, rb + d)$ with $|rb + d| \leq n$. Using the Euclidean algorithm we write $d = qb + d_0$ with $|d_0| < |b| = n$; let $c_0 = c - qa$. Thus the link contains only those lines $R(c_0 + ra, d_0 + rb)$ with $|d_0 + rb| \leq n$.

Now if $x \in K$, put $R_x = \{r \in R \mid |x - r| \leq 1\}$. Let \mathcal{R}_x be the simplicial complex in which a q -simplex is determined by a set $\{r_0, \dots, r_q\}$, $r_i \in R_x$, $r_1 - r_j \in R^*$, $0 \leq i \neq j \leq q$; this is the full subcomplex of \mathcal{R} containing the vertices R_x .

LEMMA 5. $\text{Link}_n R(a, b) \cong \mathcal{R}_x$, $x = d_0/b$.

Proof. The vertices in $\text{Link}_n R(a, b)$ are $R(c_0 + ra, d_0 + rb)$, $|d_0 + rb| \leq |b|$ or equivalently $|d_0/b + r| \leq 1$. Thus there is a 1-1 correspondence between the simplices of the link and the simplices of \mathcal{R}_x , $x = d_0/b$. The incidence relation on \mathcal{R}_x is designed so as to agree with that for the link.

We make the observations below which will be of use later.

LEMMA 6. $\mathcal{R}_x \cong \mathcal{R}_{x+a}$ $x \in K$, $a \in R$.

LEMMA 7. $\mathcal{R}_x \cong \mathcal{R}_{ux}$ $x \in K$, $u \in R^*$.

There are two types of elements of K which we need to distinguish. If $x \in K$ and $R_x = \{r \mid |x - r| < 1\}$ then x will be called of type I; otherwise x is of type II.

§3

In this section we analyze the structure of the complexes \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_x for the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega^2 = \omega - 1$. The simplices of \mathcal{R} are given by sets $\{r_0, \dots, r_q\}$; we shall at times denote this by $r + u\{s_0, \dots, s_q\}$, $r \in R$, $u \in R^*$, $r_i = r + us_i$, $0 \leq i \leq q$.

LEMMA 8. (a) Every 1-simplex of \mathcal{R} is uniquely of the form $r + \omega^i\{0, 1\}$, $0 \leq i < 3$.

(b) Every 2-simplex of \mathcal{R} is uniquely of the form $r + \omega^i\{0, 1, \omega\}$, $0 \leq i < 2$.

Proof. Given a 1-simplex, $\{r_0, r_1\}$, we may write this as $r_0 + (r_1 - r_0)\{0, 1\}$, $r_1 - r_0 \in R^*$. Notice that $\{0, -1\} = -1 + \{0, 1\}$ and this provides the required form. For a 2-simplex we may suppose that it has the form $r + u\{0, 1, \eta\}$ with $\eta, \eta - 1 \in R^*$. Then it follows easily that $\eta = \omega$ or $\eta = \omega^5$. Notice that $\{0, 1, \omega^5\} = \omega^{-1}\{0, 1, \omega\}$. Thus every 2-simplex has the form $r + u\{0, 1, \omega\}$; in order to get the proper restriction on u notice the relations:

$$-\{0, 1, \omega\} = -\omega + \omega\{0, 1, \omega\}. \quad \omega^2\{0, 1, \omega\} = -1 + \{0, 1, \omega\}.$$


For the uniqueness part suppose that $r + u\sigma = s + v\sigma$ where σ is $\{0, 1\}$ in part (a) or $\sigma = \{0, 1, \omega\}$ in part (b) and u, v are restricted suitably. We obtain then a relation $\sigma = v^{-1}(r - s) + v^{-1}u \cdot \sigma$. Thus we may suppose that there is a relation $\sigma = \rho + \tau\sigma$ and show that $\tau = 1$ and $\rho = 0$. This is quite simple in case (a). In case (b) we observe that ρ must be one of $0, 1, \omega$. If $\rho = 1$ then either $\tau = -1$ or $\tau = \omega^2$; both of these are excluded by the form. If $\rho = \omega$ then either $\omega + \tau = 0$ or $\omega + \tau\omega = 0$; one checks that this is impossible.

COROLLARY. If $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ then \mathcal{R} is contractible.


Proof. View R embedded in \mathbb{C} as a lattice, then the simplices $r + \omega\{0, 1, \omega\}$, $r + \{0, 1, \omega\}$ provide \mathbb{C} with a simplicial structure tessellated by these two types of simplices.

Now for the structure of \mathcal{R}_x we may using Lemma 6 assume that $0 \in R_x$; our only concern is with $x \in K - R$. View R embedded in \mathbb{C} and hence also K . The norm $N: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, which is the square of the usual absolute value on \mathbb{C} , provides

the multiplicative Euclidean function on R . Using Lemma 7 we may assume that x belongs to the region below.



If $x \in K - R$ belongs to this region and is not on one of three solid arcs then it is of type I. Following the labeling of the three regions we describe R_x . For region A which includes the two solid arcs we have $R_x = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega^2\}$; for region B which includes the third solid arc $R_x = \{0, 1, \omega, 1 + \omega\}$; for region C , $R_x = \{0, 1, \omega\}$. The complexes \mathcal{R}_x have the following structure:



Notice that for $x \in K - R$ of type II, R_x is a union of two 2-simplices.

§4


THEOREM. $\mathcal{U}(Z[\omega])$ is contractible.

Proof. We filter $\mathcal{U}(Z[\omega])$ by the subcomplexes $\mathcal{U}(n, Z[\omega])$ according the norm. Let $\mathcal{L}_n = \{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid |L| = n\}$. We shall establish that $\mathcal{U}(n, Z[\omega])$ is contractible to $Z[\omega](1, 0)$ by induction on n . Notice first that $\mathcal{U}(0) = Z[\omega](1, 0)$ and that $\mathcal{U}(1)$ has $Z[\omega](1, 0)$ as a cone point; suppose inductively then that $\mathcal{U}(n - 1, Z[\omega])$ is contractible for $n > 1$. We have


$$\mathcal{U}(n - 1, Z[\omega]) \cong \mathcal{U}(n, Z[\omega]) - \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_n} \text{st}(L).$$

where $\text{st}(L)$ is the open star of L in $\mathcal{U}(n, Z[\omega])$. Thus $\mathcal{U}(n, Z[\omega])$ is obtained from $\mathcal{U}(n - 1)$ by attaching the Cone $(\text{Link}_n L)$, $L \in \mathcal{L}_n$ to $\mathcal{U}(n - 1)$ along the

$\text{Link}_n L$. Now the $\text{Link}_n L$ corresponds to one of the complexes \mathcal{R}_x , $x \in K - R$, which if x is of type I has all of its vertices in $\mathcal{U}(n - 1)$; however if x is of type II there is a unique vertex L' in $\text{Link}_n L$ which belongs to \mathcal{L}_n . We diagram this by the picture:



We have noticed here that if L is of type II then for the vertex L' in $\text{Link}_n L$ there must be exactly two 1-simplices meeting at L' in the link. Now to complete the picture we examine $\text{Link}_n L'$; the link of L' contains α, β, L and another vertex $\delta \in \mathcal{U}(n - 1)$, $\delta \neq \gamma$, arranged as in the diagram. Now to contract $\mathcal{U}(n)$ we first contract L to L' along the edge joining them for every pair $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}_n$ which are in each other's links. We obtain then a complex with the same homotopy type as $\mathcal{U}(n)$, $\mathcal{V}(n)$. Now



$$\mathcal{V}(n) \cong \mathcal{U}(n - 1) \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}'_n} \text{Cone}(\text{Link}_n(L))$$

where \mathcal{L}'_n is the subset of \mathcal{L}_n containing all type I vertices and one from each pair of type II vertices as above. The $\text{Link}_n(L)$ is unchanged for type I and for the type II the link has the same homotopy type. Now $\mathcal{U}(n - 1)$ is contractible so we

obtain

$$\mathcal{U}(n) \cong \mathcal{V}(n) \cong \bigvee_{L \in \mathcal{L}'_n} \text{Susp}(\text{Cone}(\text{Link}_n(L))).$$

Hence, since each link is contractible we have that $\mathcal{U}(n)$ is contractible. It follows then that \mathcal{U} is contractible.

We denote by $\mathcal{U}'(Z[\omega])$ the first barycentric subdivision of $\mathcal{U}(Z[\omega])$.

COROLLARY. $\mathcal{U}(Z[\omega]) \cong \mathcal{U}'(Z[\omega]) - \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{st}(L)$

Proof. According to the corollary of Lemma 8, the simplicial complex \mathcal{R} is contractible. We have $\mathcal{R} \cong \text{Link}(L)$ for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Notice:

$$\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}' \cong \left(\mathcal{U}' - \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{st}(L) \right) \cup \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{Cone}(\text{Link}(L))$$

Now the $\text{Link}(L)$ above is computed in \mathcal{U}' but its homotopy type is unchanged, i.e., it's contractible. Thus

$$\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}' - \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{st}(L)$$

is contractible.

The complex $\mathcal{U}' - \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{st}(L)$ may be described as follows: Consider the partially ordered set (by inclusion) of subsets of \mathcal{L} of the type

$$\{L_0, \dots, L_q\}, \quad q \geq 1$$

for which L_i, L_j are independent for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq q$; then $\mathcal{U}' - \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \text{st}(L)$ has the homotopy type of the realization of this poset, say $\mathcal{Y}(Z[\omega])$.

§5

LEMMA 9. For the complex $\mathcal{U}(R)$, $R = Z[\omega]$,


- (a) every vertex is $GL_2(R)$ equivalent to $\{R(1, 0)\}$;
- (b) every 1-simplex is $GL_2(R)$ equivalent to $\{R(1, 0), R(0, 1)\}$;
- (c) every 2-simplex is $GL_2(R)$ equivalent to $\{R(1, 0), R(0, 1), R(1, 1)\}$;
- (d) every 3-simplex is $GL_2(R)$ equivalent to $\{R(1, 0), R(0, 1), R(1, 1), R(1, \omega)\}$.

Proof. The first two parts of the lemma are easy. For part (c), any 2-simplex is equivalent via GL_2 to a simplex which must have the form $\{R(0, 1), R(1, 0), R(1, \alpha)\}$, $\alpha \in R^*$. Multiplication by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ converts this 2-simplex to the required form. For 3-simplices we may by the action of GL_2 bring this to the simplex

$$\{R(0, 1), R(1, 0), R(1, 1), R(1, \alpha)\}.$$


with $\alpha, \alpha - 1 \in R^*$. According to the proof of lemma 8, $\alpha = \omega$ or ω^5 . If $\alpha = \omega^5$ then multiplication by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on this simplex converts it to the required form.

COROLLARY. *The fundamental domain for the action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ is a single 2-simplex.*



Proof. Recall the description of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ at the end of the previous section. Using the previous lemma now, the fundamental domain for GL_2 on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ has vertices $A = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$, $B = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ and $C = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (1, \omega)\}$. (We have given only the generators for the lines in A, B, C .)

COROLLARY. *The fundamental domain for the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ is the 2-complex*



Proof. Given a vertex $\{R(a, b), R(c, d)\}$ we may multiply a, b, c, d , by $u = (ad - bc)^{-1}$ assume that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$ is in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$. Hence this vertex is SL_2 equivalent to $A = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$. For any vertex containing exactly three

lines there is an SL_2 equivalent having the form $\{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, \alpha)\}$, $\alpha \in Z[\omega]^*$. Multiplication by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} -\omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$ converts $(1, -1)$, $(1, \omega)$ or $(1, \omega^2)$ to $(1, 1)$ and preserves A . Thus any vertex containing three lines is SL_2 equivalent to $B = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$. Finally any vertex containing four independent lines is SL_2 equivalent to $\{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, \alpha)\}$, $\alpha, \alpha - 1 \in Z[\omega]^*$. Hence $\alpha = \omega$ or $-\omega^2$; these two vertices C_1, C_2 corresponding to $\alpha = \omega$ and $\alpha = -\omega^2$ respectively are easily seen to be inequivalent.

§6

Let $R = Z[\omega]$; the vertices in the fundamental domain for $\mathcal{Y}(R)/SL_2(R)$ are $A = \{R(0, 1), R(1, 0)\}$, $B = \{R(0, 1), R(1, 0), R(1, 1)\}$, $C_1 = \{R(1, 0), R(0, 1), R(1, 1), R(1, \omega)\}$ and $C_2 = \{R(1, 0), R(0, 1), R(1, 1), R(1, -\omega^2)\}$. Put $\Gamma = SL_2(R)$; denote by Γ_v the stabilizer of the vertex v . Each vertex is determined by a collection of pairwise independent lines $\mathcal{L}(v)$. Consequently we have homomorphisms

$$\Gamma_v \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}(v)}$$

(Σ_S denotes the symmetric group on the set S) with kernel denoted K_v .

In case $v = A$ then Γ_A contains $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma$ and $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ which induces the transposition of the elements of A . Consequently there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_6 \rightarrow \Gamma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to see then that Γ_A is the dicyclic group of order 12:

$$\Gamma_A = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \tau^2 = \sigma^3 = (\sigma\tau)^2 \rangle$$

In case $v = B$ then an analysis yields the fact that Γ_B contains the matrices $s = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ together with $t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ which induces a 3-cycle on the lines in B . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_B \rightarrow Z_3 \rightarrow 0$$

so that Γ_B is a cyclic group generated by t of order 6.


In the last case where $v = C_1$ or C_2 then it is easy to see that K_{C_i} is cyclic of order 2 generated by $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. It is not difficult to see that the image of Γ_{C_i} in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}(C_i)}$ contains no transpositions; however there are 3 cycles and double transpositions. In Γ_{C_1} a 3 cycle is afforded by $t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and a double transposition by $r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We find that Γ_{C_1} is the binary tetrahedral group:

$$\Gamma_{C_1} = \langle t, r \mid t^3 = r^2 = (t^{-1}r)^3 \rangle$$


The group Γ_{C_2} is $\alpha\Gamma_{C_1}\alpha^{-1}$, $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

From this information it is then easy to describe the stabilizers of the edges and 2-simplices in the fundamental domain for $SL_2(R)$. We summarize this data: ($\Gamma_{xy} = \Gamma \cap \Gamma_y$, etc.) $\Gamma_{AB} = \langle t^3 \rangle$ is cyclic of order 2, $\Gamma_{AC_1} = \langle r \rangle$ is cyclic of order 4, $\Gamma_{BC_1} = \langle t \rangle$ is cyclic of order 6, $\Gamma_{AC_2} = \langle \alpha r \alpha^{-1} \rangle = \langle \tau \sigma^4 \rangle$ is cyclic of order 4, $\Gamma_{BC_2} = \langle \sigma \tau \alpha^{-1} \rangle = \langle t^{-1} \rangle$ is cyclic of order 6, $\Gamma_{ABC_1} = \Gamma_{ABC_2} = \langle t^3 \rangle$ is cyclic of order 2. Observe that $\alpha r \alpha^{-1} = t \sigma^4$, and $\alpha t \alpha^{-1} = t^{-1}$.

We have the fundamental domain as below.



so that $\chi(SL_2(R)) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$. Since $\Gamma_{C_1 C_2} = \Gamma_B$ we may regard the fundamental domain as a single 2 simplex



Using the presentation of $\Gamma_A, \Gamma_{C_1}, \Gamma_{C_2}$ we may obtain a presentation for $SL_2(\text{Soule [5]})$ viz.,

$$SL_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau, t \mid \tau^2 = \sigma^3 = (\sigma\tau)^2 = t^3 = (t^{-1}\sigma\tau)^3 = (t^{-1})^3 = (t\tau^{-1}\sigma)^3 \rangle.$$

§7

If X is an acyclic space on which a group Γ acts there is a spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_q(\Gamma, C_p) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\Gamma, Z)$$

where C_p are p -chains on X (Serre [4]). If Γ acts with fundamental domain \bar{X} then

$$C_p = \bigoplus_i Z \Gamma \otimes_{Z \Gamma_{p_i}} Z$$

where p_i are the p -simplices in \bar{X} and Γ_{p_i} is the stabilizer of p_i in Γ . Thus

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_i H_q(\Gamma_{p_i}, Z).$$

Now in the case $X = \mathcal{Y}(Z[\omega])$ with fundamental domain as above, $\Gamma_A, \Gamma_{C_1}, \Gamma_{C_2}$ are all subgroups of the three sphere S^3 and hence have periodic homology of period 4.

PROPOSITION. *If G is a finite subgroup of S^3 then $H_{4l+k}(G) = H_k(G)$ $k = 1, 2, 3$ $l \geq 0$; $H_{4l}(G) = 0$ $l \geq 1$; $H_3(G) = Z_{|G|}$, $H_2(G) = 0$.*

Proof. The action of G on S^3 implies that the homology of G is periodic of period 4. The determination of $H_3(G)$ is well known (See Cartan-Eilenberg [1]). If G is a cyclic or dicyclic then $H_2(G) = 0$ [1]. Otherwise G is one of the binary polyhedral groups. In this case S^3/G is an orientable 3-manifold; using Poincare duality $H_2(G) \cong \text{torsion } H_0(G) = 0$.

PROPOSITION. *If G is a cyclic group of order n then*

$$H_0(G) = Z, \quad H_k(G) = Z_n, \quad H_{k+1}(G) = 0, \quad k \text{ odd.}$$

COROLLARY. *The homology of $SL_2(Z[\omega])$ in dimensions greater than zero is annihilated by 24.*

Recall from §6 that $\Gamma_A = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \tau^2 = \sigma^3 = (\sigma\tau)^2 \rangle$,

$$\Gamma_{C_1} = \langle t, r \mid t^3 = r^2 = (t^{-1}r)^3 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{C_2} = \alpha \Gamma_{C_1} \alpha^{-1}.$$

LEMMA. Γ_A/Γ'_A is cyclic of order 4 generated by the image of τ , say $\bar{\tau}$ and $2\bar{\tau} = \bar{\sigma}$. $\Gamma_{C_1}/\Gamma_{C_1}'$ is cyclic of order 3 generated by the image of \bar{t} and $2\bar{t} = \overline{t^{-1}\tau}$.

The table below indicates the effects on the first homology of the indicated maps

Inclusion Map	1st Homology Map
$\Gamma_{AC_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_A$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \xrightarrow{3} \mathbb{Z}_4$
$\Gamma_{AC_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}_3$
$\Gamma_{AC_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_A$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{Z}_4$
$\Gamma_{AC_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}_3$
$\Gamma_{C_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_6 \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{Z}_3$
$\Gamma_{C_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_6 \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}_3$
$\Gamma_{AC_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_A$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}_4$
$\Gamma_{AC_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}_3$
$\Gamma_{AC_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}_3$
$\Gamma_{AC_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{AC_1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}_4$
$\Gamma_{AC_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{AC_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}_4$
$\Gamma_{AC_1C_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C_1C_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{3} \mathbb{Z}_6$

We analyze the spectral sequence in the steps below.

- (1) $E_{2,p}^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{1,p}^1$. This map corresponds to $H_p(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{Z}_4) \oplus H_p(\mathbb{Z}_4) \oplus H_p(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ from the stabilizer of the 2 simplex to the stabilizers of the edges. This is injective; hence $E_{2,p}^2 = 0$.
- (2) Since all the edges have cyclic stabilizers $E_{1,p}^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{0,p}^1$ is zero for p even. Thus $E_{1,p}^2 = 0$ for p even.
- (3) If $p = 1$ (4) then $E_{1,p}^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{0,p}^1$ corresponds to the map

$$H_p(\mathbb{Z}_6) \oplus H_p(\mathbb{Z}_4) \oplus H_p(\mathbb{Z}_4) \rightarrow H_p(\Gamma_{C_1}) \oplus H_p(\Gamma_{C_2}) \oplus H_p(\Gamma_A)$$

or

$$\mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$$

$$(a, b, c) \rightarrow (a, 2a, b - c)$$

so that the kernel is of order 8 generated by $(3, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 1)$. The image of $d_1: E_{2,p}^1 \rightarrow E_{1,p}^1$ is generated by $(3, 2, 2)$ so that $E_{1,p}^2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 / (1, 2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ generated by $(0, 1, 1)$.

- (4) If $p = 3$ (4) then $E_{1,p}^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{0,p}^1$ corresponds to the map

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12}$$

$$(a, b, c) \rightarrow (4b + 6c, -6a - 4b, 3a + 3c).$$

If (a, b, c) is in the kernel then $4 \mid a + c$, $12 \mid 2b + 3c$, $12 \mid 3a + 2b$. One finds then that the kernel is generated by $(2, 3, 2)$ which is precisely the image of $E_{2,p}^1 \rightarrow E_{1,p}^1$. Hence $E_{1,p}^2 = 0$ for $p = 3$ (4).

(5) $E_{0,4k}^2 = 0$ $k \geq 1$.

(6) If $p = 1$ (4) then $E_{1,p}^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{0,p}^1$ is the same as in the map in step 3

$$Z_6 \oplus Z_4 \oplus Z_4 \xrightarrow{d_1} Z_3 \oplus Z_3 \oplus Z_4$$

The kernel is of order 8 so that the image is of order 12, hence $E_{0,p}^2 =$ cokernel of $d_1 \cong Z_3$.

(7) If $p = 3$ (4) then $E_{0,p}^2$ is the cokernel of

$$E_{1,p}^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{0,p}^1$$

which from step 4 is the cokernel of

$$Z_4 \oplus Z_6 \oplus Z_4 \rightarrow Z_{24} \oplus Z_{24} \oplus Z_{12}$$

$$(a, b, c \rightarrow (4b + 6c, -6a - 4b, 3a + 3c))$$

If x, y, z generate Z_{24}, Z_{24}, Z_{12} respectively then the cokernel has relations $-6y + 3z$, $4x - 4y$, $6x - 3z$ or equivalently $6x + 3z$ and $10x + 2y$. Consequently, $E_{0,p}^2 \cong Z_{24} \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_3$ for $p = 3$ (4).

(8) If $p = 2$ (4) then $E_{1,p}^1 \rightarrow E_{0,p}^1$ is zero. It follows now that $E^2 = E^\infty$ and the next result is then immediate.

THEOREM. For $\Gamma = SL_2(Z[\omega])$,

$$H_n(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} Z & n = 0 \\ Z_3 & n = 1 \text{ (4)} \\ Z_4 & n = 2 \text{ (4)} \\ Z_{24} \oplus Z_6 & n = 3 \text{ (4)} \\ 0 & n = 0 \text{ (4), } n \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] CARTAN, H. and EILENBERG, S.; *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press (1956).
 [2] LENSTRA, H. W., Jr., *Euclidean Number Fields of Large Degree*, *Inventiones Math.*, 38, 237-254 (1977).

- [3] SERRE, J.-P.; *Arbres, Amalgames et SL_2* , *Asterisque*, 46, (1977).
- [4] ——— *Cohomology des Groupes Discrets*, *Annals of Math. Studies*, 70, 77–169, Princeton University Press (1977).
- [5] SOULE, C.; *Groupes Operant sur un Complexe Simplicial avec Domain Fondamental*, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t. 276 (19 Fevrier 1973), Serie A, 607–609.

*Department of Mathematics
The University of Oklahoma
Norman, Oklahoma 73019*

Received June 27, 1979