

A Problem of Mapping a Finite Set into a Set of Positive Measure

Autor(en): **Djokovic, D.Z.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **46 (1971)**

PDF erstellt am: **22.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35512>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

A Problem of Mapping a Finite Set into a Set of Positive Measure¹⁾

D. Ž. DJOKOVIĆ, *University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada*

1. Let E^n be the Euclidean n -dimensional space and m_n the Lebesgue measure in E^n . Let G be a group of transformations acting on E^n . Let $A \subset E^n$ be a measurable set having positive measure and $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k \in E^n$. One can ask the following question: Does there exist $g \in G$ such that $g(P_i) \in A$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$?

We shall denote by h_λ the homothety $h_\lambda: E^n \rightarrow E^n$ defined by $h_\lambda(P) = \lambda P$ for all $P \in E^n$. The group of all homotheties h_λ ($\lambda > 0$) will be denoted by H and the group of translations by T . By SO_n we shall denote the special orthogonal group of degree n . The elements of SO_n are the proper rotations of E^n fixing the origin O .

It follows from a result of Hadwiger [3] that the answer to the question mentioned above is positive when $G = HT$. In this note we shall prove that the answer is positive in the following situation: the origin $O \in E^n$ is a density point of A , $P_i \neq O$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and $G = H \times SO_n$.

2. Let us recall some definitions. $B(P, r)$ will denote the open ball in E^n with center P and radius $r > 0$. A point $P \in E^n$ is a *density point* of a measurable set $A \subset E^n$ if:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m_n(B(P, r) \cap A)}{m_n(B(P, r))} = 1.$$

The Lebesgue density theorem ([4], Lemma 9, p. 194) asserts that almost every point of A is a density point of A .

The sphere in E^n with center O and radius $r > 0$ will be denoted by $S(r)$. In particular, $S = S(1)$ is the unit sphere in E^n . Each point $P \in E^n \setminus \{O\}$ can be written uniquely in the form $P = r(P) \sigma(P)$ where $r(P) > 0$ and $\sigma(P) \in S$. The number $r(P)$ and the point $\sigma(P)$ are sometimes called the polar coordinates of P (see, for instance, [5], p. 149). If $A \subset E^n$, we define

$$A_r = \{\sigma(P) \mid P \in A \cap S(r)\}$$

for every $r > 0$. Evidently, $A_r \subset S$.

Every $g \in SO_n$ is a linear transformation of E^n . The matrix of g with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis is an orthogonal matrix with determinant one. Hence, SO_n can be identified with a compact subset of E^{n^2} . With respect to the induced topology, SO_n is a compact topological group. A subgroup of SO_n fixing a point other than O

¹⁾ This work was supported in part by NRC Grant A-5285.

is closed and isomorphic to SO_{n-1} . The homogeneous space SO_n/SO_{n-1} is homeomorphic to the unit sphere S if $n \geq 2$ ([2], p. 33).

It is known ([1], p. 116–117) that there exists a Borel measure m on S which is invariant under the rotations. This measure is uniquely determined up to a multiplicative constant. If m is suitably normalized, then:

$$m_n(A) = \int_0^\infty m(A_r) r^{n-1} dr \quad (1)$$

holds for every Borel set $A \subset E^n$ (see, for instance, [5], p. 149–150).

3. Our main result is contained in the following:

THEOREM: *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \subset E^n$ be measurable sets having the origin O as a common density point. Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k be points in E^n (not necessarily distinct) such that $P_i \neq O$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, k$). Then there exists $g \in G = H \times SO_n$ such that $g(P_i) \in A_i$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, k$).*

We need first to prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 1: *Let $A \subset E^n$ be a Borel set such that the origin O is a density point of A . Let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k be positive reals. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$m(A_{\lambda r_i}) > m(S)(1 - \varepsilon) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Proof: Let, for instance, $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_k$ and

$$F = \{r > 0 \mid m(A_r) \leq m(S)(1 - \varepsilon)\}.$$

If the assertion of the lemma is false, then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k r_1 r_i^{-1} F = (0, \infty).$$

To each $r > 0$ there corresponds at least one i such that

$$m_1(r_1 r_i^{-1} F \cap (0, r)) \geq \frac{r}{k}$$

where m_1 is the Lebesgue measure on the real line. We infer that:

$$m_1\left(F \cap (0, r)\right) \geq m_1\left(F \cap \left(0, \frac{r r_i}{r_1}\right)\right) \geq \frac{r r_i}{k r_1} \geq \frac{r r_k}{k r_1}. \quad (2)$$

By (1) we have

$$m_n(B(O, r_0) \setminus A) = \int_0^{r_0} m(S \setminus A_r) r^{n-1} dr \geq \varepsilon m(S) \int_{F \cap (0, r_0)} r^{n-1} dr$$

for every $r_0 > 0$. If $a = m_1(F \cap (0, r_0))$ then

$$\int_{F \cap (0, r_0)} r^{n-1} dr \geq \int_0^a r^{n-1} dr = \frac{a^n}{n}.$$

Using (2) we get the estimate

$$m_n(B(O, r_0) \setminus A) > \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon m(S) \left(\frac{r_0 r_k}{k r_1} \right)^n.$$

This contradicts our hypothesis that O is a density point of A .

LEMMA 2: *Let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k be Borel subsets of S such that*

$$m(B_i) > m(S) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

If $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k \in S$ then there exists $g_0 \in SO_n$ such that

$$g_0(Q_i) \in B_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Proof: For $B = B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_k$ we have the following inequality:

$$m(B) > m(S) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \right).$$

We shall show that in fact there exists $g_0 \in SO_n$ such that

$$g_0(Q_i) \in B \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Let $Q \in S$ be fixed. The mapping $\phi: SO_n \rightarrow S$ defined by

$$\phi(g) = g(Q) \quad \text{for all } g \in SO_n$$

is continuous. If $C \subset S$ is a Borel set, then also $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is a Borel set in SO_n . We define

$$m'(C) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(C))$$

where μ is the Haar measure on SO_n normalized so that $\mu(SO_n) = m(S)$. It is immediate that m' is a Borel measure on S and that m' is invariant under rotations. Therefore we must have $m' = m$.

In particular, we take $Q = Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$ successively to get

$$\mu(U_i) = m(B) > m(S) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$$

where

$$U_i = \{g \in SO_n \mid g(Q_i) \in B\}.$$

It follows that

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i\right) > 0,$$

and we can choose $g_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i$ arbitrarily.

Lemma 2 is proved.

Proof of the Theorem: Since O is a common density point of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k it is also a density point of $A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k$ (see [4], Lemma 11, p. 194). Therefore we can assume that $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_k = A$. For any measurable set $C \subset E^n$, there exists a Borel set B such that $B \subset C$ and $m_n(C \setminus B) = 0$ (see [4], Lemma 8, p. 194). Using this fact, we can assume (without loss of generality) that the set A is a Borel set.

By Lemma 1 choose $\lambda > 0$ so that

$$m(A_{\lambda r_i}) > m(S) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

where $r_i = r(P_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Let $Q_i = \sigma(P_i)$ and choose $g_0 \in SO_n$ so that

$$g_0(Q_i) \in A_{\lambda r_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Such g_0 exists by Lemma 2.

It is clear that $g = h_\lambda g_0$ satisfies

$$g(P_i) \in A \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, *Éléments des mathématiques, Intégration*, Chap. 7 (Hermann et Cie, 1963).
- [2] C. CHEVALLEY, *Theory of Lie Groups*, I (Princeton University Press, 1946).
- [3] H. HADWIGER, *Eine Erweiterung eines Theorems von Steinhaus-Rademacher*, Comment. Math. Helvet. 19 (1946/47), 236–239.
- [4] T. RADÓ and P. V. REICHELDERFER, *Continuous Transformations in Analysis* (Springer-Verlag, 1955).
- [5] W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis* (McGraw-Hill, 1966).

Received November 7, 1969.