

# Summaries in English

Objekttyp: **Group**

Zeitschrift: **Das Werk : Architektur und Kunst = L'oeuvre : architecture et art**

Band (Jahr): **48 (1961)**

Heft 2: **Neue Formen des Wohnens**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*  
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, [www.library.ethz.ch](http://www.library.ethz.ch)

<http://www.e-periodica.ch>



**Where and how shall we live in the world of tomorrow?** 37  
by Lucius Burckhardt

In this text written for broadcasting, which WERK is using by way of introduction to the current issue on the "New forms in housing", L. B. brings two protagonists together in the form of a dialogue; one dreams of making us live in green belts in contact with Nature, whereas the other, apparently the spokesman of the author, believes, on the other hand, in the town in that it cannot fail to be a habitat which corresponds more closely to modern needs. It is perfectly true that it can be maintained, as the first of the two speakers does not fail to point out, that the decentralization of industry, much of which is being established in the countryside, tends to bring about a way of life which does not fall within the scope of the old categories of rural or urban life, as so many people living in the country today participate in the general urban culture. The second speaker believes that he can counter this by indicating that, generally speaking, this form of "naturism" is always artificial and—this is the main point—that in so far as production becomes more complex, decentralization of factories will go hand in hand with a constant growth in central administrative functions, which of themselves maintain and augment the importance of towns both as places of work and as residential areas. This fact alone leads him to believe that the regeneration of our towns by way of town-planning is a task quite as essential as planning on a regional or national scale.

**"In den Gartenhöfen" Estate of Atrium Houses, Reinach** 40  
1959/60. Architects: U. Löw and Th. Manz FAS, Basle; landscape gardeners: W. Hunziker, Reinach, M. Löw, Muttetz

Thanks to the understanding shown by the competent authorities, it has been possible, by making several exceptions to regulations at present in force, to carry out this idea of using an atrium which, while allowing for a greater utilization of the habitable area and the preservation of the privacy of each house, is nonetheless favourable to a neighbourhood community. A similar but slightly different estate is at present under construction.

**Atrium Houses near Helsingör, Denmark** 46  
1958. Architect: Jörn Utzon MAA, Copenhagen

In this group of houses the architect has struck upon a happy alliance of irrational values (those of aesthetics and atmosphere) and technical advantages. Furthermore, if called upon to be used in towns, the atrium house offers qualities of great value in town-planning in that it could do away with the monotony that a district of traditional houses as a whole creates.

**"Gustacker" Estate of One-Family Houses at Bottmingen** 50  
1958/59. Architects: K. Wicker SIA, A. Senn, H. Roduner, Basle

The tenants of a block of flats formed a cooperative which, after it had bought a plot of land in the suburbs of Basle, divided it up into ten sections on which were built 2 groups of 4 houses and 1 group of 2. In order to prevent any feeling of constriction the rooms have been disposed both vertically and horizontally. Price per m<sup>2</sup>: Fr. 100.

**Terraced Building at Zurich-Witikon** 53  
1959/60. Architects: C. Paillard FAS/SIA and P. Leeman SIA of Messrs. Cramer, Jaray & Paillard, Zurich, in collaboration with H. Tissi, architect, Schaffhausen, and W. Ruprecht, engineer, SIA, Zurich

As can be seen from the section, the fundamental idea is based on the "steplike" arrangement of the housing-units making up this building, which has been built in such a way that it matches the slope of the ground with a gradient of its own culminating in a roof terrace. Thus the plastic effect is accentuated and at the same time each flat (ranging from 5½ rooms to 1½ rooms) possesses the advantages of a detached house. Workshops have also been installed.

**Terraced Houses in Zug** 58  
1957-60. Architects: F. Stucky and R. Meuly, Zug

The tax advantages to be found in Zug have led to a proliferation in the volume of building activities, while at the same time the flexibility shown in the application of regulations has exerted a favourable influence on research into new ideas. Built on sloping ground, the buildings in question can be compared to stairs supported by a number of "stringers", for it was found necessary to devise a system that would correspond to the static demands and be cheap enough to compensate for the costliness of the foundations. Although our civil code forbids the

ownership of individual floors, it does not prohibit the creation of easements allowing for construction above somebody else's house. It has thus been found possible to execute these "terraced houses". Next year their number on the road called, appropriately enough, "Terrassenweg" will amount to 25.

**Louis Moilliet—on the occasion of his 80th birthday** 61  
by Georg Schmidt

The relationship between the urban and the rural, which for so long a time was a defining characteristic of old Switzerland (where only Geneva, Basle and Neuchâtel were truly urban in their essence) has, as from the second half of the 19th century, been shattered. Hodler is an outstanding example of rural vitality moving towards urbane intelligence, whereas in the following generation (Auberjonois, Burckhardt, Meyer-Amden) this antinomy, resolved in favour of one or other of these two terms, continued to play a decisive part. In the case of Louis Moilliet, however, although the date of his birth (1880) makes him one of the aforesaid generation, the problem transcends this opposition, which fact ensures his being a contemporary of ours. Coming from an old Geneva family, he was, nevertheless, brought up in Berne where, from his grammar school days, he was a friend of Klee's. Afterwards, following a fruitful period at Worpswede, he was introduced in Stuttgart to the rigorous method of Adolf Hoelzel. After a short stay in Paris (1905) together with Klee and southern travel (Provence, Rome, Tunisia) the recently married M. set up house at Gunten (on the Lake of Thun), where his closest friend, August Macke (1887-1914), became his neighbour. In 1911 he visits Klee in Munich, where he meets Kandinsky and Franz Marc. 1913—this is the decisive year in Moilliet's art. In the course of this year, shortly after Chagall, Macke and Marc, he sees as the ground theme of his work the union of "Orphic" colour (Delaunay) and Cubist shapes. The canvases "Berlin Music Hall" (1913) and "In the Circus" (1914) are the most important testimony to this acme of mature achievement. Another painting of 1914, "Tunisia Landscape", evokes the trip to Tunisia undertaken in the company of Macke and Klee, where the latter was finally to go over to painting, whereas as from this time Moilliet was to find to an ever-increasing extent that the water colour (carried out, however, as scrupulously as a painting) was the form of expression that corresponded most closely to his personal vision. Unlike Klee, Moilliet does not proceed from a theory of colour and design but from intuition and, whereas Klee often moves from the abstract to the concrete, Moilliet always makes Nature his point of departure, each one of his works being marked, by the way, by a pictorial and rhythmic liberty that makes him strangely at one with certain young artists (for example, de Staël towards the end of his life or Sam Francis). Even if the public at large is not yet fully aware of him, it is, nevertheless, incontestably true that one can, without any form of literary licence, apply to his work that statement which Auberjonois made when standing before one of Moilliet's water colours: "Now, that's what I call great painting."

**Camille Graeser** 68  
by Hans Curjel

Born at Carouge, near Geneva, in 1892, C. G. was educated in Stuttgart and then became an interior decorator, worked with Pankok and then Hoelzel, gave himself up after that to industrial design and the arts. When the Nazis came into power he destroyed the greater part of his work. Living subsequently in Zurich, he turned to "concrete" art, which is for him a means of expressing suprapersonal vital forces and a means of providing creative formal depth for what can be termed the magnificence of the world as interpreted visually.