Zeitschrift: Trans: Publikationsreihe des Fachvereins der Studierenden am

Departement Architektur der ETH Zürich

Herausgeber: Departement Architektur der ETH Zürich

Band: - (2018)

Heft: 32

Artikel: Plastic love nest

Autor: [s.n.]

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-919040

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 09.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Plastic Love Nest Plasticity Studio

According with the IKEA 2017 catalogue, «Home is the place where we can be ourselves.» Within the post-Fordist western culture, the home became one of the most important status symbols, a preferred stage for an individual's 'habitus', meaning as the system of tendencies that organize the ways in which individuals perceive the social world around them and react to it.

one's wealth and, in a way affecting out our mating flowers. Why, for example, do philosophers frequently potential. The making of the new home, for newly mention flowers as examples of natural beauty (Kant, married couples, is a sort of rite of passage in which for example), but not plant roots? Unlike roots, flowers they try and build their own private heterotopia², a function through the subjective sensory perceptions (love nest).

place they live in-beyond the sheer need of shelter- aesthetic production (and perception) changes in in accordance with a series of needs, resulting from relation to how the humans' evaluation ability their cultural backgrounds, comfort, history... Despite evolves. Hence we can say that aesthetics, in nature, is the efforts of hyperrational modern architects and coevolutionary. Criteria for aesthetic judgment by intellectuals, people still use ornament, decorations and each individual may be determined by genetic, accessories to express their selves within the world.

of Species³, the place of ornament in evolution moved French sociologist Pierre Bourdieu investigated the to the center stage. It soon became a crucial topic as it role of taste within social classes. He expanded Marx's finds itself at the intersection of Science, Architecture, theory of capital and came to the conclusion that there Art and Philosophy. Critics of the natural selection are cultural, economic and symbolic types of capital. theory underlined how the existence of ornaments in Bourdieu stated that «taste classifies», it couples social nature escaped the strictly mechanistic processes and sexual partners.⁶ ostensibly described by Darwin. He explained the

in the possibility that taste «may in the course of time in order to find love. become inherited», for this would explain each race's

Moreover, home is one of the main measures of some- lives-for example, among potential mates, fruits, or and cognitive evaluations of other organisms⁵. Flowers In doing so, the young couple customizes the have evolved to attract pollinators. In the same way, the environmental or cultural factors. In any case, it is a Following the appearance of On the Origin matter of taste. About 100 years after Darwin, the

Dwelling is an aesthetic experience because it «anomaly» of ornament by arguing that ornamental is felt. It is, indeed, both the affirmation of the self and characters were secondary sexual characters used to the mutual recognition between the self and the world. attract the opposite sex.⁴ This argument applied to Besides humans, most species in nature are in the habit both animals and humans: Darwin and others, for of using a series of aesthetic communication codes to instance, were struck by the primitives' urge for tribal elaborate their social and sexual behavioural patterns. tattoos. «That [savages] have a passion for ornament», In this sense, dwelling, is not just a cultural matter, Darwin wrote, and added that they deck themselves but rather a natural condition. Inside this context, with plumes, necklaces, armlets, ear-rings... and paint ornament plays a fundamental role. It is one of the their own bodies in the most diverse ways. According main links between the human and non-human world, to Darwin, even clothing, typically seen in utilitarian since it is a common feature that unites living beings, terms, might have been «first made for ornament and including plants. (Homecare), for example, is a fundanot for warmth». The discovery of tribal and atavistic mental practice within human communities, and it is populations forced the scientists to put humans on the recently proved to be used by some animal species, same level as other natural elements. They unwittingly among birds and fish in particular, who decorate their provided the basis for contemporary post-humanism. nest in order to attract mates. Certain species of fish The role of taste in evolution was one of the show outstanding abilities in designing their own most relevant among Darwin's intuitions. He believed home—a practice which is regarded as purely human—

(Torquigener albomaculosus) is a recently «own innate ideal of beauty». Many animals make discovered (2014) kind of pufferfish⁷. This particular numerous sensory evaluations in the course of their fish has an innate talent for design. The males are



Visualization (home) © Plasticity Studio

created to attract mates through an impressive selection not natural selection, 9. decorative design which influences a female's choice. So the male fish who owns the most beautiful home thesis are birds, with their innate artistic skills such as will probably be the most successful.

other words, for Lorenz and other neo-Darwinists, this collect objects in either one or two colours. excess is the bodily expression of something struggle for survival.

known for creating amazing circular-shaped nests in artistic work. «Territory is artistic, the consequence of the sand, measuring two metres in diameter. Nests are love not war, of seduction not defense, of sexual

The living beings that mostly validate this singing, dancing and architecture. The family of the Torquigeners are not the only fish using Ptilonorhynchidae (better known as (Bowerbirds)) are home-design to get a high-ranking position: as the particularly noteworthy: these birds make large use of ethologist Konrad Lorenz wrote in King Solomon's ornament as a sexual interaction tool. Bowerbirds are Ring, female Sticklebacks pick their sexual partners romantic (and highly skilled) architects, as they build based on their do-it-yourself ability to build homes⁸, intricate structures to seduce females. They are Furthermore, male sticklebacks change their colour renowned for their unique courtship behaviour: the during the breeding season. This is not simply a male bowerbird has a colorful way to seduce females. functional colouring that acts as a camouflage, protect- To attract them, he builds peculiar structures, ing fish from predation. Lorenz suggested that this decorated with colourful ornaments. He collects all spectacular colouring may act as a form of aggression, kinds of brightly colored small objects, and places the vivid and unambiguous marking of territory. In them visibly outside their love nest. Most bowerbirds

The female birds are attracted by these bright like a territorial imperative, a key element in the colors, but before they pick a partner they commonly check out multiple bowers. Once the intercourse took Deleuze and Guattari disagreed with such place, the female bird will leave and raise the chicks on arguments. They stated that territoriality is indeed her own. When they collect more than one colour, they bound up with sexual and artistic production. «It is not also carefully separate them. Bowerbirds have also the mark that is formed to protect a preexisting quickly adapted to the anthropocene era, as they use territory but rather is it the mark that creates territory», human waste (such as plastic fragments) to adorn their they state, intending territory as the consequence of an home. When a bowerbird lives close to a human





Visualization (nest with collected objects) © Plasticity Studio

wider range of colorful materials. The more colorful production of objects for everyday use. the nest, the more attractive the male will be for the females.

dentirostris) is another species from the same family. linked with our culture, it is also no longer an expres-It is also known as stagemaker bowerbird, because of sion of our culture. Ornament as created today has no its architectural seductive strategy. «Every morning the connection with us, has no human connection at all, no Scenopoetes dentirostris cuts leaves, makes them fall connection with the current world-order. 11 » to the ground, and turns them over so that the paler, internal side contrasts with the earth. In this way it nineteenth-century critics besides Loos-Nietzsche, constructs a stage for itself like a ready-made; and for example, condemned (decorative culture) in his directly above, on a creeper or branch, while fluffing its renowned (On the Uses and Disadvantages of History feathers beneath its beak to reveal their yellow roots, it for Life>—but we need to remember that before Loos sings a complex song made up from its own notes and, there was a heated debate revolving around ornament at intervals, those of other birds that it imitates; it is a in architecture. Alois Riegl, architecture theorist and complete artist: colors, postures, and sounds that Semper's successor, re-evaluated the role of ornament sketch out a total work of art.»¹⁰

environment, it has the possibility to interact with a works against the use of decoration in the

Loos's contribution to architecture theory was to tie ornament directly to cultural evolution. He The Tooth-billed Bowerbird (Scenopoeetes stated that «because ornament is no longer organically

Violence against ornament characterized in an evolving world. Riegl regarded ornament as the In the late nineteenth century ornament one and only architectural element not governed became a sharp boundary between the functional and by evolutionary forces—a primitive and inherent the arbitrary, in art and architecture alike. In the element of a building, a sort of natural urge. To justify modern era, intellectuals began to strongly opposed this statement, he used to consider the Maori's taste decoration, and they gave birth to a completely for decoration: according to Riegl, the Maori renewed concept of (design) as a purely functional were an isolated tribe, free from external influences, matter. One of the most important pioneers of this exemplifying contemporary Europeans as if they were «modern thinking» was the famous Austrian/Czech (purified) from all the cultural superstructures. Like architect Adolf Loos who authored several polemical Darwin, Riegl argued that the practice of tattooing even (preceded) that of wearing clothes: «the human and natural elements, such as wood, stone, plants... use in ancient populations, in order to demonstrate the mating. presence of an atavistic and instinctive (will to art) in humankind.

Loos has never hidden his will to be part of an 2 elitist society, he intended design as a tool to emancipate people by escaping culture of picturesque. Nevertheless, what happened 5 to the modern rational design is that it became just another kind of minimalist ornament adopted by a 6 group of people to identify themselves within a 7 class taste which is strongly related to both their social position and cultural level.

The absence of ornament works as a form of ornament. It is just an intermediate step in the making of a coevolutionary human aesthetics. And as with other styles before (and after), it has a political meaning: in response to the elegant and rational bourgeois aesthetics, popular classes often react with noisy and flashy signs. This (aesthetic fight) is the making of the territory for contemporary humans. Territory is artistically inscribed, the consequence of an artistic gesture. The first artist, for Deleuze and Guattari, is the architect: the one who distinguishes the inside from the outside, the one who actually draws a boundary. This boundary is not self-protective. It defines a stage of performance, an arena of enchantment, a mise-en-scene for seduction that brings together heterogeneous and otherwise unrelated elements: melody and rhythms, a series of gestures, a nest, an audience of rivals, an audience of desired ones. Since each form of life undertakes its own connections of body and earth, architecture is the most primordial art form, and this awareness is a valid starting point for what Deleuze and Guattari called «becoming animal»: a process that implies a political deconstruction of the western prevailing subject, based on constant factors that are expected to be universal-such as the now famous (white adult male).

Sadly, the western contemporary society seems to be pretty far away from this virtuous process, since our relationship with nature—albeit being very strong on a rhetorical scale—is mainly human-centered. Nature is considered as a non-human system we need to take care about, a Great Outdoor which is external to the world-for-us, our home. «In this home, everything is familiar; we are surrounded by things that belong to us. We open the doors of this circle and go out: there is a second circle there, were animals and plants dwell without thinking and being thought. This is nature as such. [...] We grab something there and go back inside.»¹⁴ Several high class homes, today, have an «earthly» mood: despite being smart and filled with hidden technologies, they are characterized by warm

desire to adorn the body is far more elementary than And what's ironic is that while humans use domesticatthe desire to cover it [...] the decorative motifs that ed natural elements to shape their territory, some satisfy the simple desire for adornment [...] surely animals—as bowerbirds—use human-lifestyle wastes to existed before textiles were used for physical protec- make their nest more appealing. By doing so, they can tion»¹². In his book (Stilfragen. Grundlegung zu einer create ornaments that cannot be found in nature. In a Geschichte der Ornamentik Riegl collected a large sense, we can assume that human's out-of-control number of ornamental motifs and examples of their consumption is increasing male bowerbirds' chances of

- IKEA, Products Catalogue 2017.
- Michel Foucault, Others spaces: Utopias and Heterotopias, 1967.
- Charles Darwin, On the Origin of Species, London 1859.
- Charles Darwin, The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex>, New York 1989.
- Richard O. Prum, «Coevolutionary aesthetics in human and biotic artworlds>, online publication: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC3745613/. Retrieved: March 2017.
- Pierre Bourdieu, (La Distinction. Critique sociale du jugement), 1979.
- Word Register of Marine Species, www.marinespecies.org/aphia. php?p=taxdetails&id=835212. Retrieved: December 2017.
- Konrad Lorenz, «King's Solomon Ring», London 1961.
- Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari, A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia, Paris 1980.
- 10 Ibid.
- Adolf Loos, 'Ornament and Crime', Vienna 1908.
- Alois Riegl, Problems of Style: Foundations for a History of Ornament, Berlin 1893.
- Elizabeth Grosz, (Chaos, Territory, Art), New York 2008.
- e-flux Journal #84, Oxana Timofeeva, Ultra-Black: Towards a Materialist Theory of Oil - online. publication: www.e-flux.com/journal/84/149335/ultra-black-towards-a-materialist-theory-of-oil/. Retrieved: September 2017.