Zeitschrift: Trans: Publikationsreihe des Fachvereins der Studierenden am

Departement Architektur der ETH Zürich

Herausgeber: Departement Architektur der ETH Zürich

Band: - (2014)

Heft: 24

Artikel: The normed family

Autor: Angéli, Marc / Siress, Cary

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-919427

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 14.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

2/3 The Normed Family *Marc Angélil & Cary Siress*

Norms lay the groundwork for a social order. They are engineered not only to steer group behavior, but also to organize territory. Take the example of Levittown, one of the first planned community projects in the late 1940s devised to answer the need for housing the middle class in post-war America. The prototype was centered on a model group: the young, nuclear, Caucasian family with two to three children - a select social unit to be housed in a mass-produced, free-standing, single-family dwelling on the edge of cities. The norm became a way of life for like generations to come. The formula is seemingly simple: father works downtown and mother is at home to care for the house and kids. Given this rather straightforward blueprint, it is no wonder that the real estate venture known as «suburbia spread like wildfire throughout the country, and later the world. Entire bedroom communities were stamped out, serving in the process to revitalize capitalism by fashioning a new breed of consumers, and thus create new market sectors for the car, insurance, retail, and construction industries to mention but a

few. Suburbia proved to be an ideal model for developers anxious to capitalize on the nuclear family and all its associated conventions. Yet this social order was based on a divisive policy, one that excluded those who did not fit the norm. The ideal family type worked to bracket other ethnic groups - most pointedly African Americans - out of the equation as is by now well known from Levittown, which had discrimination written into its founding legislation.1 The rationale behind this move was that it was in the \best interest for business. Property values could only be maintained with the proper type of residents - no poverty, no crime, no mess. And so, segregation as a value was built into the dream of a new frontier out there beyond the city. In this sense, suburbia is only one of the more obvious missed opportunities to use norms to integrate populations rather than divide them according arbitrary standards, which serve in the end to stigmatize those outside the norm.

