

**Zeitschrift:** Theologische Zeitschrift  
**Herausgeber:** Theologische Fakultät der Universität Basel  
**Band:** 31 (1975)  
**Heft:** 1

**Artikel:** Ho baptízn and Mark i. 4  
**Autor:** Elliott, James Keith  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-878660>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 08.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

### Ho baptízōn and Mark i.4

In printed editions of the Greek New Testament<sup>1</sup> John the Baptist is named in two different ways in Mark's gospel. At Mark vi. 25 and viii. 28 he is *ho baptistēs*, whereas at vi. 14.24 the form *ho baptízōn* is found. In the rest of the New Testament only *baptistēs* is used.

Mark as a writer is normally insensitive to repetition and it is unlikely he varied his vocabulary for stylistic effect. Thus it is strange to find two titles for John in this gospel. It would be difficult — especially in view of the printed text of vi. 24 and 25 — to argue that the change in vocabulary is due to Mark's adoption of two different sources, the first of which referred to John by one title, the second by the other. The explanation for the alternatives in the printed text lies elsewhere.

There are textual variants at each point in the text where the name "Baptist" occurs in Mark:

vi. 4:	baptízōn	Sin ABC fam <sup>1</sup> and most minuscules
	baptistēs	DSW Theta fam <sup>13</sup> (except 124) and a few other minuscules
vi. 24:	baptízontos	Sin BL Delta Theta 565 1596
	baptistoû	ceteri
vi. 25:	baptízontos	L 700 892
	baptistoû	ceteri
viii. 28:	baptízonta	28 565
	baptistén	ceteri

In view of the prevailing tendency to call John *baptistēs* in Matthew, Luke and later Christian writings<sup>2</sup>, it is likely that the direction of change in the text of Mark is away from an original *baptízōn*. *Baptistēs* was introduced into the text by later scribes possibly because of assimilation to parallels at Matth. xiv. 2,8, xvi. 14 and at Luke ix. 19. Mark used *baptízōn* throughout as the designation for John. This represents the form of John's name before Christian tradition coined the noun *baptistēs* to describe him<sup>3</sup>.

Mark's constancy in this regard may help us solve the textual problem at i. 4. There are four main variants in this verse:

- |                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| a) baptízōn en tê erēmō kaí    | AKPW Pi and the bulk of the minuscules |
| b) ho baptízōn en tê erēmō kaí | Sin L Delta                            |
| c) ho baptízōn en tê erēmō     | B 33 892 and some Coptic mss.          |
| d) en tê erēmō baptízōn kaí    | D Theta 28 and the bulk of Latin mss.  |

Variant a) is the reading followed by UBS<sup>1</sup>, von Soden, Nestle<sup>17</sup>, Merk<sup>7</sup> and the AV; b) is followed by Tischendorf<sup>8</sup>, Souter, Tregelles (*kaí* bracketed), the RV and RSV; and c) by WH, most editions of Nestle and the NEB.

<sup>1</sup> Such as Souter, BFBS, Merk, Nestle, UBS, von Soden, Tischendorf, NEB Greek New Testament and Westcott and Hort.

<sup>2</sup> *Ho baptistēs* is used only by Christian writers, with the exception of Josephus Ant. XVIII. 116 where he is referring to John.

<sup>3</sup> The use of the participle as a substantive is common in the New Testament; e.g. at Luke iii. 14 *strateuómenoi* = *stratiōtai*. Cf. also Matth. ii. 6, xxvi. 46, Mark v. 15f., John vi. 63, viii. 18,50, Acts xvii. 17, Rom. ii. 1, viii. 34, Eph. vi. 28, Phil.iii. 6, 1. Thess. i. 10, ii. 12, iv. 8, Hebr. vii. 9.

Reading c) is the one which explains the origin of the other variants. This reading also conforms to Markan usage. John is here described as *ho baptízōn*. Once *ho baptistēs* became the normal title for John, the participle would be interpreted as a true verbal form. Thus in readings a) and b) *kaí* has been added to make both *baptízōn* and *kērýssōn* dependent on *egéneto*. In a) the definite article before *baptízōn* has been removed, thus preventing the participle being read as a substantive. This process is developed still further in d) where the two linked participles stand closer. Reading b) represents a half-way stage between a) and c) in which *ho* governs both *baptízōn* and *kērýssōn*, but this does not conform to Markan usage, where *kaí* would be possible only if *ho* were absent.

This variant at Mark i.4 is one of the few listed in the very select critical apparatus in the UBS Greek New Testament<sup>4</sup>. The explanatory note on this variant found in B. M. Metzger's companion volume to the third edition of this text<sup>5</sup> is instructive in showing us the methods used in establishing this text. According to Metzger the text of the forthcoming third edition of UBS is to read (*ho*) *baptízōn en tê erēmō kaí*, that is a combination of variants a) and b). UBS<sup>1-2</sup> follow variant a) only. *Ho*, albeit bracketed, seems to have been added in order to reflect the reading of Cod. Sin. — a manuscript which has greatly influenced the editors of the UBS text.

Metzger's note claims that the original *kaí* was omitted by some scribes because *ho baptízōn* was taken as a title, but as we have seen, this is precisely what it was for Mark. Metzger has failed to recognize Markan practice. His note therefore should be seen as a caution against relying on the readings of the so-called "best" mss., or on the weight of ms. support, rather than on the style and usage of the New Testament author himself.

In accepting reading c) as original, *egéneto* can be taken as a verb with a force of its own ("John the Baptist appeared in the desert preaching...") which conforms to Markan usage elsewhere, e.g. ix. 7 (cf. v.l. at Mark i. 39 *êlthe kērýssōn*) and to New Testament practice, e.g. John i. 6, 1 John ii. 18 (cf. Rev. iii. 2 "become watchful", not "be watchful"), although *egéneto kērýssōn* could be seen as periphrastic ("John the Baptist was preaching in the desert..."). This use is also paralleled elsewhere in Mark (e.g. at ix. 3). Probably the former translation should be accepted here, as there is some significance in the context in John's appearance in the desert as the fulfilment of the prophecy in the preceding verses.

A subsidiary argument in favour of our taking reading c) as original may be found in C. H. Turner's comment that baptizing was not done *en tê erēmō* but *en tô Iordánē* (Mark i. 5, cf. Matth. iii. 1.6, Luke iii. 3) and that therefore *ho baptízōn* in i. 4 has to be a title<sup>6</sup>.

*James Keith Elliott, Leeds*

<sup>4</sup> First edition (1966), second edition (1968) edited by Kurt Aland and others.

<sup>5</sup> B. M. Metzger, *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament* (1971), p. 73.

<sup>6</sup> C. H. Turner, *The Text of Mark I: Journ. Theol. Stud.* 28 (1927), p. 150.