Zeitschrift:	The Swiss observer : the journal of the Federation of Swiss Societies in the UK
Herausgeber:	Federation of Swiss Societies in the United Kingdom
Band:	- (1974)
Heft:	1698
Artikel:	The limits of common ownership
Autor:	[s.n.]
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-689891

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 08.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

this adds to the local mass of people who have no other way to survive.

In Mr. Rey's view, the criterion for repatriating a young Swiss at tax-payer's expenses should lie in his "change of mind." Like the prodigal son, he should be able to say "Switzerland is a nice place after all." He should have plans for the future, and hopefully, the will to carry them out.

THE LIMITS OF COMMON OWNERSHIP

The Financial Times devoted an analysis on the Scott Bader Commonwealth on the eve of the Ernest Bader 1974 Lecture which took place at the beginning of November. We reported on the Scott Bader Commonwealth, the most important and oldest experiment at industrial co-ownership in Britain, in our issue of 13th September. The Scott Bader Commonwealth was founded in 1951 by a compatriot, Mr. Ernest Bader, who abandoned the chance to become inordinately wealthy by giving the shares in his successful chemical company to a holding company, the Commonwealth, owned by all its employees. The Financial Times article by Roy

The Financial Times article by Roy Levine recognises that this system has proved successful, indeed the most successful in the chemical industry, by most financial yardsticks. Thus the employee turnover at Scott Bader is about 10 per cent whereas it is 15 per cent in the chemical industry as a whole. The firm is in the top of the tables for sales per employee and return on capital employed. But this, says the Financial Times, quoting an economist who is a Scott Bader trustee, "does not prove that the Bader system is superior by conventional (i.e. economic) standards, it merely demonstrates that it is not incompatible with them."

The Financial Times finds a number of shortcomings to the system. According to Roy Levine, the impression gathered from a visit to the firm's 45-acre estate at Wollaston is one of lack of motivation. Levine feels that the Commonwealth, with its missionary expansion behind it, lacks "firm direction."

The arguments put forward against the system is that by spreading out responsibilities, decisions are slow to be made and only as a result of much questioning at all levels. It appears that not all the employees appreciate the responsibility which is vested in them. Many employees are "apathetic" and show little concern about the fortune of a company which is partly theirs. But some of The Secretary others do. the Commonwealth, quoted by the Financial Times, says that "instead of the pitched battle between management and union, I can give undivided loyalty to the firm, which is partly mine. I do not feel wealthier but do feel I am working for This brings sense of myself. а and hence a greater responsibility commitment which has made me a happier man." So it would appear that Ernest Bader's ultimate aim, to promote spiritual development in the working life, attained by some of the is Commonwealth's partners.

The Financial Times believes that the system operates well on a small scale. Scott Bader employs 437 people, which is already above the limit of 350 laid down in its formation. It is doubtful that its example can be applied to the rest of industry in a modern country since creating a labour-intensive industry would economically inefficient, and be reminiscent of the days of the "Great Forward" China, when in Leap innumerable villages had their own blast furnace.

Another disadvantage mentioned by the Financial Times is that the





Commonwealth is not likely to take risks. Its profits are retained, given away to charities, and handed to employees in proportions fixed by the Constitution. The employees being mainly concerned with their share of the profits ($\pounds 123$ in 1973–74) would be more conservative than ordinary shareholders who know that their assets represent a risk capital that can depreciate.

The Commonwealth doesn't provide for redundancies and the Financial Times wonders how the problem will be handled in the face of a likely recession. Finance could also prove a problem since its profits, however high they may be, are taxed at 52 per cent, and with rising costs the Commonwealth may have to find outside resources. But, according to Roy Levine, "the most succinct judgement on the limitations of common-ownership was Ernest Bader's statement to me that 'human nature must change'. Clearly," adds the writer, "any new system must allow for human nature as it exists.'

Levine ends his report by recalling that, in Ernest Bader's own words, the co-operative movement formed in 1844 "is now largely run as a conventional business ... and there is little sense of real democratic ownership or communal This ĥistorical management". fact resulting from the weight of human nature could be inevitably repeated with the Commonwealth, which is meant to develop as a "model of a new social order demonstrating a new industrial way of life." Ending rather sceptically, Levine notes: "If that aim fails for the whole of industry, and it is arguable that it will, Ernest Bader at least deserves a monument for trying."

Some ten common-ownership firms with a combined turnover of around $\pounds 1m$ (excluding Scott Bader, whose turnover is nearly $\pounds 10m$) are grouped in the Industrial Common-Ownership Movement (ICOM). The John Lewis Partnership has preferred to remain outside mainly because of its size (there are some 23,000 "partners") but it is in close liaison. Most of the member companies have been financed by an associate body of ICOM called Industrial Common-Ownership Finance which has so far attracted some $\pounds 50,000$, mainly from Scott Bader.