Zeitschrift: The Swiss observer: the journal of the Federation of Swiss Societies in

the UK

Herausgeber: Federation of Swiss Societies in the United Kingdom

Band: - (1956) **Heft:** 1269

Rubrik: Home affairs

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 13.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

HOME AFFAIRS.

by Pierre Béguin.

"Re-organisation of the Army."

Of late, there has been a good deal of talk about a "malaise" in regard to military matters. Discussions on this subject, have not yet quietened down. And they will continue, in any case, so long as the Popular Referendums proposing a reduction in expenditure for national defence and the appropriation of the sums thus economised for social and humanitarian purposes, have not been dealt with by Parliament, and then submitted to the Sovereign People. And this will last for at least two years.

Meanwhile, another debate is taking place, also on the subject of our national defence. This concerns the choice that will have to be made by our authorities, when it has been decided as to the manner in which our Army must be re-organised, in order that it should be equal to carrying out its task, in any situation that might arise. Since the end of the war, a rearmament plan has been drawn up and realised, to a very large part. Our Army has been strengthened by being given new weapons, which it did not possess ten years ago, as for instance jet aircraft and armoured tanks. A certain evolution has taken place. Nevertheless, the fact remains that technical evolution has been such that we are now faced with the necessity for making a choice.

M. Chaudet, the new Head of the Military Department, who has only been in office for a year, has been busily engaged in work, in close collaboration with the heads of our Army. On various public occasions, he has spoken on this subject, explaining the terms of the problem and even expressing some preferences. He has, however, always reserved the opinion of his colleagues on the Federal Council, making it clear, each time, that it was to the Government alone that belonged the competency to orientate the studies which were being engaged upon, and to proceed, in the first instance, with choices which were not solely of a technical or a military character, but also political and psychological.

As a matter of fact, we find that two schools of thought are confronting each other. On the one hand, we have among us traditionalist elements who consider that our Army must remain a defensive one, in the strictest sense of that term, that it must remain attached to the terrain, it must be strengthened, it must defend the national territory and push back any eventual aggressor in short it must practice the tactics of a hedgehog. Obviously, this way of looking at things is very close to what we have in our minds, for, our country, firmly decided, as it is, never to attack anyone, having even forbidden itself to do this, has a great difficulty in thinking in terms of an offensive.

During the last few years, however, another school of thought has come into being. It goes without saying that its adherents do not contemplate for one instant that Switzerland might take the initiative of an attack on one of her neighbours, or even participate in any attack decided upon by some other country. But, placing themselves on a strictly technical point of view, they think that nowadays it is no longer sufficient, in order to repel an invader, to dig oneself in, to wait for him in a fortified position

and to prevent him from entering. They are convinced, on the contrary, that blows should be given before they are received, that steps must be taken to prevent the attack before it has taken place and has deployed all its effects, and that, therefore, an attempt must be made to disorganise the enemy, at the right time. And that is why they are of the opinion that our Army — or, at any rate, an important section of this Army — should be more mobile and possess a greater firing power. Which presupposes a very notable effort being made in order to develope armoured vehicles and the air force.

This second conception meets with a good deal of incomprehension in our country. People find it difficult to abandon the doctrine of simple defence, in order to adopt that of strategical defence, but also of tactical offensive. What is feared most of all is that the development of the mechanised arms, will, in the long run, necessitate a large increase in specialised technicians, and this would not be at all compatible with our traditional idea of a Militia Army. Moreover, it would entail expenditure in no way compatible with our national ressources.

These two conceptions were put forward recently, during a session of the New Helvetic Society. Unquestionably, the traditional idea met with the greatest favour. The discussion, however, made it possible to perceive a solution which would conciliate the two theories. It would appear as if endeavours are being made on both sides to overcome a great many preconceived ideas and to seek for a synthesis. That would indeed show wisdom.



WRITE TODAY FOR A FREE SAMPLE

THE NESTLÉ COMPANY LIMITED, HAYES, MIDDLESEX