

**Zeitschrift:** The Swiss observer : the journal of the Federation of Swiss Societies in the UK  
**Herausgeber:** Federation of Swiss Societies in the United Kingdom  
**Band:** - (1927)  
**Heft:** 282  
  
**Rubrik:** Prepaid subscription rates

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 11.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# The Swiss Observer

Telephone: CLERKENWELL 9595

Published every Friday at 23, LEONARD STREET, LONDON, E.C.2.

Telegrams: FREPRINCO. LONDON.

VOL. 7—No. 282

LONDON, JANUARY 22, 1927.

PRICE 3d.

## PREPAID SUBSCRIPTION RATES

UNITED KINGDOM AND COLONIES	3 Months (13 issues, post free)	3s 6d
	6 Months (26 issues, post free)	6s 6d
	12 Months (52 issues, post free)	12s
SWITZERLAND	3 Months (13 issues, post free)	Fr. 7.50
	6 Months (26 issues, post free)	Fr. 14.—
	12 Months (52 issues, post free)	Fr. 27.—

(Swiss subscriptions may be paid into Postcheck-Konto: Basle V 5718.)

## HOME NEWS

Two new Bills were submitted to the electors of Chur (Grisons) during the week-end. The first one had for its purpose the gradual reduction of the number of licensed houses (restaurants, inns, etc.) and the limitation of same to one per 200 residents; this Bill was accepted by a small majority and will necessitate the closing, within the next three years, of 14 existing restaurants. The second Bill provided for the publication of the assessment registers and was rejected.

During the last twelve months 4,947 Swiss emigrants have settled down in non-European countries, an increase of 613 as compared with the year 1925.

The number of inhabitants to the end of last year of the town of Zürich is given as 212,584, a further increase of about 5,200 during the last twelve months.

The damage caused by the Murren conflagration of November 18th-19th has been officially assessed at Frs. 892,870.

The Appenzell criminal courts have sentenced the former borough treasurer of Herisau, Heinrich Ruegg, to 3½ years' penal servitude for having embezzled over Frs. 75,000, of which about Frs. 17,000 have been recovered.

In memory of his friend, the late Col. Bordan, M. Ed. Sandoz-David (a former director of the well-known chemical factory at Basle) has handed to the Vaudois Government the sum of Frs. 20,000, to be used chiefly for cancer research work.

Prof. Dr. A. de Quervain, one of the official observers at the Swiss Meteorological Institute, died in Zürich at the age of 50; he was a brother of the well-known Bernese surgeon, and gained fame by his scientific explorations of Greenland in 1909 and 1912.

## NOTES AND GLEANINGS.

### The Spahlinger Treatment.

This controversy still rages unabated in the *Daily Express*, and there is no immediate prospect of Mr. Spahlinger "producing the goods." However, the great London daily has evidently made up its mind to probe the matter to the bottom. The letters published from well-known English practitioners, whilst generally sympathetic, dwell on the unjustifiable secrecy observed by Mr. Spahlinger. I reprint a typical letter from Dr. E. Graham Little, M.P., in the issue of Jan. 8th:—

The articles which you are publishing regarding the validity of the claims made for the Spahlinger treatment are of special interest to me, inasmuch as my parliamentary constituency includes such a large and distinguished section of medical voters.

Some remarks which I made upon the Spahlinger treatment at the Hunterian Society in November last have brought me letters containing much first-hand information upon the subject.

I would point out that:—

(1) The material used and the methods of preparing it have been kept rigidly secret by M. Spahlinger, although the method has been before the public for the last twelve years. It is difficult to understand the motives for this secrecy, which is so repugnant to the practice of the medical profession throughout the world.

It would be obviously ridiculous for M. Spahlinger to claim that no bacteriologists other than himself are skilled enough to understand and to follow his methods of preparation. The consequent restriction in supply of the material is surely a grievous wrong to humanity if the treatment is in fact as efficacious as it is claimed to be.

(2) The impossibility of obtaining the material except from M. Spahlinger's laboratory prohibits the testing of its effects upon any large scale, and only tests upon a large

scale can prove its efficacy—or worthlessness, as the case may be.

When the drug known as "606" was introduced to the profession by Ehrlich, although it was patented (an exceptional course to be taken by a medical man) and its composition and preparation were not further disclosed than the patent laws required, the drug itself was immediately placed upon the market and its qualities tested all over the world.

(3) The Swiss Government, one of the most enlightened in Europe in its care for the public welfare, has given no countenance or assistance to promote the use of the Spahlinger "cure." Swiss patients undergoing this treatment, if they exist at all, are the rarest exception; the great majority of patients are foreigners.

(4) Very large sums of English money have been pouring into the "Spahlinger Fund" a nebulous entity for which large subscriptions and donations are invited and received, but no account is rendered of the purposes to which these sums are put.

Vaccine therapy, a designation which must include the Spahlinger treatment, was inaugurated, and has been extensively developed, under the direction of its principal inventor, Sir Almroth Wright, at my school, St. Mary's Hospital, during the last twenty years. The whole expense has not approached the sum which the "Spahlinger Fund" has derived up to date from English sources alone.

Notwithstanding the protracted period which has elapsed since the Spahlinger method was first bruited abroad, the number of cases treated by it has been far too few, and as long as his present solitary attitude persists must remain far too few, to afford the necessary scientific foundation for judgments upon the efficiency of this treatment.

The results, favourable or otherwise, in so small a series of cases as have been reported, are completely insufficient to form a decision from a scientific standpoint; it must be emphasised that special caution is needed in such a disease as tuberculosis, which undergoes such surprising fluctuations in its normal evolution.

While the production of the material is limited to one laboratory it is impossible to make such a wide and independent test of it as is obviously called for. Unless and until the fullest revelation is furnished of the laboratory methods employed, the British public would surely be well advised to suspend their judgment, and, which is more important, to withhold any further subscriptions to the "Spahlinger Fund."

In the meantime Mr. Spahlinger has made a feeble reply to Sir Warden Chilcott's challenge in which he states *inter alia* that his formula "will be published the moment he thinks publication advisable," promising at the same time an early visit to England. On January 11th the following reply to Dr. Little's letter above quoted was published:—

I quite agree with Dr. Little that no further public money should be subscribed towards my treatment. I dislike intensely this kind of charity. It was I who asked Mrs. Brunner to discontinue her appeal, which had been started without my knowledge. It was I who last week asked Sir Warden Chilcott not to start a subscription with the £1,000 which he so kindly offered to give.

Dr. Little objects that I have kept my methods secret. But those who have thoroughly investigated my work have advised me not to publish the detailed formulae until the value of the results already achieved has been admitted by everyone. That there is still a great amount of scepticism is shown by the fact that only those who have used my sera and vaccines speak in favour of them, whereas those who have never used them—such as Dr. Nelson, Dr. Little and others—seem to believe that they are of no consequence.

As for Dr. Little's remark about the Swiss Government, I may say that my first cases were treated in London hospitals, and since then, in gratitude for the moral and other support I have received from England, I have treated virtually only English patients.

That the expenses of my institute are necessarily so heavy that much more than the sums given me has been absorbed can be demonstrated without the slightest difficulty.

I have given up my life to this work. The lives of others dear to me have been similarly devoted. If my achievements are to be so easily

## ANNIVERSARIES OF SWISS EVENTS.

January 23rd, 1656.—Beginning of the first Villmergen War in consequence of a split of the two religious parties concerning the revision of the Confederate League. A patriotic endeavour to re-unite the divided religious camps failed, and the old Borromean League was renewed. Zürich, under General Rudolf Werdmüller, marched against Rapperswil and invested the strongly fortified town, which was successfully defended. Berne, led by Sigismund van Erlach, invaded the Freiamt, encamping near the village of Villmergen; though 7,500 strong—but undisciplined—they were routed on January 23rd by a Catholic force of 4,600 men from Lucerne and the Freiamt, led by the standard-bearer Christopher Pflyfer.

January 24th, 1639.—Jürg Jenatsch, then Governor of Chiavenna, is murdered during a banquet by a troop of masked men, the deed being instigated by Rudolf Planta as the result of a blood feud. His body was buried the next day in the episcopal cathedral of Chur. Jürg Jenatsch, whose life was devoted to the liberation of the Grisons, is immortalised in one of the novels bearing his name, written by C. F. Meyer.

January 25th, 1798.—Last Diet of the Old Confederation, when a solemn federal oath was sworn at Aarau in the hope of frightening away the French battalions, which were standing ready to invade Switzerland.

January 26th, 1653.—Unsuccessful peasants' rising against the patricians. Ostensibly caused by a trifling incident—certain coins were declared by the Lucerne government to be no longer legal tender though the holders had been given no opportunity of changing them into good money—the rising started in the Entlebuch and spread through the canton of Lucerne to the cantons of Berne, Solothurn, Basel and Aargau. A People's League was formed as opposed to the "Lords' League," and Nicholas Leuenberger, of the Emmenthal, was appointed president (Obmann) while Hans Emmenegger, of the Entlebuch, was chosen commander of the forces.

discredited, I should be glad to burn my "secrets," go back to the Bar—for which I am fully qualified—and lead for the first time in fifteen years a life owning an ordinary man's share of sunshine.

There are three points which strike me rather forcibly. First of all Mr. Spahlinger resents, as unauthorised, the appeal made on his behalf by the late Mrs. Roscoe Brunner and presumably by others: it is, on the other hand, not disputed that the large sums thus placed at his disposal have been accepted and used by him to develop his theory and formulas. He therefore owes his financial supporters some sort of a balance-sheet and what is actually claimed by them is that Mr. Spahlinger should formulate conditions under which the treatment could be tested in this country by the Ministry of Health or some other unbiased authority. The second point is the facile way in which he explains the apathy of the Swiss Government, as if any public body could afford to ignore such an epoch-making discovery in the sphere of national health. Thirdly, his tragi-comic remark that "if his achievements are to be so easily discredited he should be glad to burn his secrets and go back to the Bar" is reminiscent of the tactics of a schoolboy who could not have his own way in the playground. The *Daily Express* is extremely charitable in its critical comments, which are as follows:—

The letter from M. Spahlinger published in the *Daily Express* to-day, is a rather dramatic document. The bacteriologist around whom such a highly important medical controversy rages declares that if his achievements are to be "so easily discredited" he will burn his secrets and go back to the Bar. It is proper to make allowances for the nerves and the temperaments of scientists and research workers, strained and troubled as they must be in the task of discovery. But, making all such allowances, we would urge M. Spahlinger to recognise that burning his secrets and returning to the Bar would not make a fitting conclusion to the years he has devoted to investigation.