

Zeitschrift: SPELL : Swiss papers in English language and literature
Herausgeber: Swiss Association of University Teachers of English
Band: 2 (1985)

Vorwort: Editor's note
Autor: Waswo, R.

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 12.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Editor's Note

The papers in this volume have been selected from those offered at the 1983 Geneva symposium on "Poetry and Poetics." Taken together, they constitute, despite a variety of approaches appropriate to that of the contributors to an international gathering, a remarkably sustained and interconnected investigation of the kinds of contexts in which poetry may, or should be, or has been read, by whom, and for what purposes. The essays fairly reflect the kinds of interrogation characteristic of present critical discourse – from the identification of an illuminating context (artistic, intellectual, psychological) for an individual poet's work, through general considerations of the aesthetic theory and practice of a period, to analyses and evaluation of the concepts and practice of criticism itself.

The first four essays deal with British poets, the last four with American ones. The two pivotal essays address, in very different ways, the question of Modernism, which links them to the preceding and following treatments of Hopkins and Williams. The fortuitous symmetry of this arrangement suggests the balanced motion between individual instance and explanatory theory shared by almost all the essays, thus creating among them the coherence that Wittgenstein described as a "family resemblance." While any one member may be wholly unlike any one other, each shares something – here, subject, issue, or method – with some other member, forming a continuum of mutual resemblance. Hence, the problem of the precursor, detected in the way that Milton's versification both recalls and represses that of Spenser, reappears in Berryman's psychological discovery and recreation of Anne Bradstreet. Two-thirds of the essays take matters of form as points of departure: aural and prosodic, as Milton's echoes, Hopkins' "voicings," Herbert's representation of divine speech, Auden's use of the sonnet; or visual, as Williams' use of color, or the general post-typographic phenomenon of iconicity. Another, partly overlapping, group of six essays seeks to define or trace the consequences of some aspect of Modernism – up to

and including contemporary post-structuralism. Of these, four find such consequences negative in varying degrees: two for the reading of individual poets, where the New Criticism is seen as inadequate to Whitman and the "linguistic moment" to Hopkins; and two more generally, where the poetics of "strangeness" and the assimilation of Williams into the academy are seen as unwarrantably ahistorical.

In this latter connection is found the final balance of the collection, a poised tension between the claims of formal analysis and historical contextualization. Whether mediated from various points on the current critical horizon (Bloom's notion of influence, Said's analysis of "career," the linguistics of Jakobson, the sociology of Habermas) or from more traditional perspectives, the essays engage this central dialectic of present debate. In so doing they suggest what may be its most enduring legacy: that criticism has become conscious of itself and of the need to interrogate and clarify its procedures – even to the point, reached by the last essay in the volume, of examining its own assumptions as a "profession."

R. Waswo