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In addition to these, a new hoard has been reported («Sicily 1976/77), which contained a number of Carthage series 3 and 5, Agathokles quadriga tetradrachms, Athens IV c tetradrachms, but most of all a large number ( $« 300+»$ ) of Alexander retradrachms, among which the Alexandria «rose» issue (Demanhur 46ıo) was said to be very prominent, though there is reason to believe that numerous other varieties of Alexander coins were probably included also. This new hoard of which few details are yet known is of special interest simply on account of its profusion of Alexander coins, never before met with in a Sicilian hoard. Another new hoard («S. E. Sicily» 1977) also contained many Alexanders of a variety of mints, and at least one Alexander came in a very recent hoard (《S. Sicily 1978»). From the information we have so far, then, it is clear that there was an abundance of possible prototypes from numerous Alexander mints for the engravers of Carthagel series 5 to draw on.

## Commentary

Series 5 a (Plates I-6)
Nos. 273-275
It is not easy to find any plausible position for this short sequence and it is here given at the beginning of series 5 a largely for convenience. The die-linkages are as follows:

| O 86 | O 87 | O 87 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R 227 | R 227 | R 228 |

The Herakles head of O 86-87 are not particularly close to any others of series 5 a although it would be clear from the style alone that it is to this series that they must belong. The peculiar rendering of the horse's mane on R 227 with a parting is a feature that recalls the horse head of 176,178 in series 3 , though without any close stylistic resemblance apart from that. The sudden contrast with the «curvaceous» horse of R 228 is very striking. The latter, anticipated in series 3 (R. 187) is the typical rendering in series 5 a . The general shape of the horse head, also the palm tree with raised branches, recall perhaps those of 224 (series 3).

The die-links of this group are as follows:

| O 88 | O89 O90 |
| :---: | :---: |
| R 229 (fulmen) | (caduceus) |
| R 230 (triangle of dots) | R 230 |
| R 231 (triangle of dots) | R 23 I - R 23 I |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { R } 232 \\ & \text { (triangle of dots) } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { -R } 232$ |

In this die-linked group two of the reverses ( R 229-230) are of the normal style of series 5 a . The other two are totally different ( $\mathrm{R} 23 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{R} 232$ ); their appearance is rather like that of 267,268 in series 3 d . There as in the present case, the confident sculptural modelling of the normal type is absent and the effect is flat and scrappy. Again like 268 of series 3 our R 23I changes direction to the right. On both R 23 I and 232 it is characteristic that the hair on the horse's forehead is shown parted to left and right below the rising tuft on top of the head; this arrangement is strongly reminiscent of the treatment found in many of the series 3 dies of various styles (e.g. 148,254 ) but one which is never seen with the curvaceous style either in series 3 (216) or in series 5. The stylistic diversity of R 229, 230 as against R 231-232 is further emphasised by the comparable difference in the style of the epigraphy, the neat lettering of the former and the rather sprawling letters of the latter in both of which the final tau overlaps on the horse's truncation.

With the reverses appear marks in the field. On R 229 is a fulmen symbol, never found elsewhere on Carthaginian coinage, nor in Carthaginian material of any other kind ${ }^{21}$. This was doubtless copied from Greek coinage such as the pegasi of Ambrakia, Corinth and Syracuse, but is of a distinct form ${ }^{22}$. On the other three dies of this group we have in the field a triangle of dots, such as that which was typical of the gold coins minted at Carthage in the middle and later fourth century. Such a mark does not remain exclusive to the gold however and was also used on bronze coins minted in Sicily during the early third century ${ }^{23}$. Outside the sphere of Carthaginian coins it is difficult to cite the use of such marks except from certain of the eastern mints of Alexander - Tarsus (Demanhur 1974, 2187 etc.), Amathus (Demanhur

[^0]2708, 2710) Damascus (Demanhur 2904) and Aradus (Demanhur 3460 etc.). The triangle of dots as we have it on the tetradrachms (277) cannot in fact form any significant link with the gold minted at Carthage (Jenkins-Lewis group III) and which according to the dates adopted by Jenkins-Lewis ( $350 / 320$ B.C.) was abandoned after group III for other forms of marking by the time this tetradrachm was minted.

With O 89 the caduceus symbol makes its only appearance in this series below the Herakles head, though it is prominent in series 5 b as a symbol on the reverse. The importance of the caduceus as a Punic religious symbol has been commented on in part 3, relating to this symbol as it comes in series $2 / 3$. In series 5 , as will be discussed below, the symbols which occur seem more probably related to the symbols which are typical of Greek coinage practise than of Punic religion, and this may be the case here. At all events there is no obvious connection of the caduceus with the cult of Melqart.

The style of O 89 seems strongly influenced by the Alexander coins of e.g. Alexandria and Sidon of a generation earlier. Note the shape of the lion's mouth as it curves around Herakles' ear, and projecting forward on to the cheek, a central feature of many of the eastern mints of Alexander. Around the lion's mouth is a stylised «frill» of loose flesh; this corresponds to a real feature of the lion's mouth in nature, visible when a lion's mouth is open as a frill of dark flesh contrasting with the lighter colour of the fur; it is feature well noticed by Greek artists and emphasised e.g. in the lion-head spouts from Himera and elsewhere ${ }^{24}$, on the lion-head coins of Leontinoi ${ }^{25}$ and elsewhere, also on the lion-skin worn by Herakles at Kamarina ${ }^{26}$. This «frill» is much emphasised in the dies of series 5 a but is by contrast a rarity in series 5 b where different Alexander prototypes are being followed.

O 89 is followed by O 90 , closely derivative from it, and by $\mathrm{O} 9 \mathrm{I}, 93$ where the general pattern is remarkably similar.

Nos. 284-295
The die-links are as follows:

| $\mathrm{O}_{91} \mathrm{O}_{92}$ | O 93 | O94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { R } 235 \\ & \text { club } \end{aligned}$ |  | R 242 |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { R } 236-\text { R } 236 \\ & \text { corn ear } \end{aligned}$ | R 240 R 24I corn ear | R 243 double corn ear |
| R 237 corn ear |  |  |
| ${ }^{24}$ Langlotz-Hirmer, Art of Magna Graecia pl. 76-80. <br> ${ }^{25}$ Kraay-Hirmer, Greek Coins, 16. <br> ${ }^{26}$ Westermark-Jenkins, Coinage of Kamarina, esp. pl. 12-1 |  |  |

In this group the majority of the reverses are marked by symbols. The club on R 235 here makes its only appearance in series 5 a whereas it is typical of many dies in series 5 b (nos. 326-346). The club would be apt enough in connexion with Herakles-Melqart but perhaps essentially as an attribute belonging to his Greek iconography. But the predominant symbol in the present group is the corn ear ${ }^{27}$, usually standing up prominently in the left field, though once very unobtrusive (29r) and once in the form of a double corn ear on a reverse which is also unusual in that the horse head faces right and is of divergent style (295). Another exceptional detail is one die where the extra foliage is placed around the trunk of the palm tree (294).

The Herakles heads $\mathrm{O}_{91}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{93}$ as mentioned already follow closely the pattern of $89-90$ with its «Alexandrian» influence pl. $14, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ). O94 has some resemblance to certain late Babylon issues e.g. Oxford I406 A.

Nos. 296-324
Summary of die-links:


In this phase of series 5 a there are no symbols. The legend is normally 'mhmhnt varied only once to 'mmhnt (nos. 310-31I). It is not easy to find plausible Alexander prototypes for the obverses of this group. In $\mathrm{O} 95-97$ we find a rather heavy-featured fleshy style that really has no close parallels in the Alexander coinage: it has something, but not much, in common with some late examples from Sidon of the years 311 , 3 Io B.C. ${ }^{28}$. $\mathrm{O}_{98}$ is almost certainly nothing else than $\mathrm{O}_{97}$ with a number of details re-engraved. With it is linked a strange die, O 99 , which is exceptional in representing the lion scalp in a pattern where the row of tufts protrudes from a scalp cut off in a vertical straight line instead of in the usual curved form; this may reflect the characteristics of certain Phoenician mints of Alexander such as Aradus and Carne (pl. 14.E), though the scheme is one which, as initially at many other eastern mints, derives ultimately from the early issues of Macedonia (pl. 14.A). Quite another style appears at

[^1]O ioo; here the smooth part of the lion's scalp surface from which the tufts depend has more prominence than on most previous dies. O iol and O IO2 are again different, the arrangement of the lion scalp is less rigid but has a restrained array of tufts, O IOI having a rather small face and weak nose, whereas $\mathrm{O}_{102}$ is far more powerful and expressive. These obverses are linked to the foregoing «heavy» group by means of R 253 and $\mathrm{R}_{258}$. Finally there is the work of $\mathrm{O}_{103}-105$ which is far more exuberant; in $\mathrm{O}_{103}-104$ the curls above the forehead as well as the tufts of the lion-skin have a certain abandon. To these $\mathrm{O}_{105}$ is closely related though the facial forms and expression come close to those of O 102. In none of these is it really useful to try to seek parallels from the Alexander coinage, and one can only point to the later issues of Babylon for something of a general analogy (e.g. Copenhagen SNG Macedonia, pl. 22).

The style of the reverses, including their epigraphy, remains comparatively regular apart from variations which merely facilitate the distinction of individual dies.

## Series 5 b - MHSBM (Plates 6-14)

Nos. 325-375
Summary:


| Nos. 376-42 I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O II7 | O in 8 | Oil9 Oi20 | O 12 I | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{O}_{122} & \text { O }_{123} \\ \mathrm{R}_{330}-\mathrm{R}_{330} \end{array}$ | O 124 | O 125 | O I26 |
|  |  | R 317 -R 317 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | R 319-319 |  |  | R 335 | R 335 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | R 342 | -R 342 |  |

Series 5 b falls into two distinct phases. The first is marked by the occurrence of a number of symbols and other marks on the reverse - club, pellet, caduceus, astragalos, poppy (recut as astragalos), astragalos and pellet. The legend in series 5 b is always MHSBM except that towards the end of the first phases come a few intrusive issues marked 'MMHNT. The second phase has no symbols or other marks, but continues to bear the legend MHSBM without exception. In principle there is nothing that enables us to decide whether this second phase might not in fact precede the first phase as we have arranged it. However the arrangement as given seems plausible; it seems natural to place near to the abnormal 'MMHNT issues an obverse $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }} 6$ which is coupled with a reverse 'MMHNT reverting to MHSBM and next to $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }} 6$ has been placed a very similar obverse which has only MHSBM with the remaining no symbol issues following this.

The first phase, whith symbols, is fairly well die-linked. First are placed three obverse $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IO6-10 }}$ where the reverse in most cases carries the club symbol. There is no die-link from this to the next group but there the club symbol continues with the first three obverses O io9-III. At O in i there begin a number of issues with either the caduceus or astragalos symbol. One reverse first marked by a poppy ( R 298 ) was recut to make an astragalos ( $\mathrm{R} 298^{\prime}$ ), others have astragalos with a pellet halfconcealed behind the horse's mane in a manner reminiscent of other Carthaginian coins. The general sequence is attested by the history of R 282 which appears more worn with Oifi than with Oiri, ond R 293 which is more worn with Oilf than with $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II3. }} \mathrm{OII4}$ is an isolated obverse with its own single reverse bearing a caduceus and is to be placed in the same general context though not die-linked.

The abnormal 'MMHNT of R 293 is used first with O II3 then after transferred to OII5 which then used three other 'MMHNT dies (R 301-303), while Oir3 finished its career by reverring to MHSBM issues. The abnormality of those 'MMHNT issues in series 5 b is to be emphasised; only some five dies in all have this legend (R 293, 301, 302, 303, 304), and the last of them precedes a MHSBM die with Oir6. This is a minute proportion out of a total of some eighty reverse dies used for series 5 b as a whole, all the remainder of which are signed MHSBM. The exceptional 'MMHNT dies (nos. 360, 370-374) were certainly made in the same workshop as the great mass of the MHSBM dies; the style of the horse head is in every way characteristic of the mint of series 5 b . The temporary change of control of the mint which seems to be attested by the way in which the long series of MHSBM is interrupted by a handful of 'MMHNT dies must presumably be allowed the mean some-
thing - possibly suggesting that for a moment the «civil» mint was required to produce coins for and the name of the army. It is of course quite impossible to guess why or in what circumstances any of this could have taken place.

In any case the two series 5 a and 5 b are clearly defined and distinct, and any notion of trying to arrange the whole of series 5 so as produce a first series with MHNT followed by a second group with MHSBM, in a simple succession, is quickly doomed.

The occurrence of the symbols on series 5 b in the main serves to add one more factor to the distinct character of the two series. In two cases it is true we find an occurrence of the same symbol in each series; there is a club in 5 a but only once (286) and there in a way that distinguishes it from the numerous club dies of 5 b , being shown with the handle downwards whereas on 5 b it is always upwards. Also the caduceus, common in 5 b occurs once in 5 a on O 89 . For the rest the symbols in 5 b are distinct. By contrast with earlier series where symbols occur which seem to have a relation to Punic religion, those which are found in series 5 a and 5 b seem to be of another kind. The caduceus, as remarked above, is an important symbol in Punic religion and could so be regarded in series $2 / 3^{30}$, but here it is merely one of other symbols, all of which are known in the repertoire of Greek coins but have no specific Punic connotation. Thus the corn ear and astragalos can be found at Gela, the latter also at Abdera; the club, cornear and astragalos at Ainos; the astragalos, club, cornear, fulmen and caduceus on various Corinthian coinage ${ }^{31}$. It seems that in series 5 we should regard the symbols as evidence of the adoption of Greek mint practice in line with the adoption of the Greek Herakles type from the Alexander coins to stand for Melqart. This fits well at a period when hellenisation was specially strong in Punic life and culture generally.

The distinction made above between two mints is born out by the fact that, as mentioned above, the Herakles heads in series 5 b differ widely from those of 5 a . On the whole the style of the MHSBM mint is simpler and less elaborate. The heads are smaller, the lion-skin is treated differently in several respects. For instance the mouth of the lion where it encircles the ear is not adorned with the stylised «frill» of flesh which is always prominent in 5 a , but is simply a plain edge - the single exception is $\mathrm{O}_{115}$. Also it is quite usual in 5 b for the part of the lion-skin where it is cut off behind Herakles' neck to be depicted with emphasis and shown with folds as if of drapery, making the head almost into a draped bust - this neckpiece is specially noticeable on O Io8 and also on O 109, 112, 115 , 118, 121, 123. Even where it is not so clear this neckpiece still seems usually to be present, and it is a treatment quite distinct from that of series 5 a where there is simply an abrupt cutoff of the lion-skin with little attempt to make it into a drapery-like feature. (In this the only exception is $\mathrm{O}_{95}$ of 5 a , which is indeed closer to the 5 b model). It is hardly

[^2]possible to comment on the treatment of the lion's paws as a criterion as this feature is often enough not complete on the flan, but in general we see only the nearer paw the other being indicated very sketchily if at all (e.g. 357, 367); whereas the opposite was the tendency (by no means regular) in 5 a (e.g. 28r). The general difference in character, the treatment of the lion's tufts and the modelling of the Herakles face, are sufficiently different to call for little comment.

The treatment of the lion-skin in series 5 b is best exemplified by O 108 (334); it seems to suggest that coins of this type were influenced by prototypes from the early Macedonian mints of Alexander (notably Amphipolis, pl. 14 A). If so, the engravers of these Punic coins were simply making their own adaptation and the result is distinct enough from the Amphipolis coins in any case. Another prototype which seems to have had some influence on series 5 b would be the mint of Babylon, where in its earlier phase (to 317 B.C.) the typical treatment of the lion's mane is in a long swag curving downwards (pl. 14F); and we see something like this on O IIo, II6, 117. Then in the later (post 317) phases at Babylon there were quite other styles prevalent (pl. 14 G) which perhaps influenced Punic dies such as O 124, 125. Apart from these examples, it is not easy to find Alexander coins which are similar enough to our series to be worth mentioning. In series 5 b , only O II5 has some affinity to the «Alexandrian» model of series 5 a (e.g. O 91, 93).

| ＇MMHNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 301 | fヶF\％ |
| 273 tyFu）（0） |  |  | $\rightarrow^{4}$ |
| 310360 | 371－373 see below | 303 | f 9 F 7 ， |
| ＇MHMḤNT |  | 304 | fYA4＊ |
| 275 |  | 305 | F4F4才40 |
| 277 |  | 308 |  |
| 278 | － | 306 | $H \int A^{4} \lambda 川 0$ |
| 281 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 316 | 4 ¢4 シッU |
| 289 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 317 | ¢ $A^{4} \lambda 4$ |
| $290 \text { FदF4 } 3 \text { 多 } 318$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 294 ¢4P41才 325 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 326 | $79^{-1}$ |
| 296 |  |  |  |
|  | MU | 327 | $49+1$ |
| 297 fyAM代 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 328 | $79+1 \times$ |
| $298$ <br> から月ヶキッし |  |  |  |
|  |  | 330 | $\cdots 9$ \％${ }^{\prime}$ |
| $299$ <br> 月4うヶは |  |  |  |
| 300 | $\angle 4 日 43 \% 0$ | 331 | $794 \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ |


| ${ }_{3}^{33}$ | 990朖 | ${ }_{36}$ | $79+147$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{334}$ |  | ${ }_{36}$ | ฯ9゙肘 |
| ${ }^{335}$ | シ9ット4 | ${ }_{36}$ | 77ハトリ |
| ${ }_{3}^{336}$ | 》9ヵ月4 | ${ }_{36} 6$ | カ9＋114 |
| ${ }_{3}$ | 49ヶ月4\％ | ${ }_{36} 6$ | 4ッカイター |
| ${ }_{34}{ }^{2}$ | ワタカイッ | ${ }^{367}$ | 49ヶ月年 |
| ${ }_{36}$ |  | ${ }^{368}$ | ¢9月采 |
| ${ }^{34}$ | 4，97月4 | Mant |  |
| ${ }_{34} 6$ | ¢9力㱜 | 372 |  |
| ${ }^{34}$ | 494月454 | ${ }^{37}$ | ＋5月440 |
| 349 | บ97月年 | мпниеплт |  |
| 330 35 3 |  | ${ }^{374}$ | カら月ヶスツー |
| ${ }_{358}=360$ | カッツ日ッ | мн\％sam |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{375}$ | $49+$ 月4 |
| ${ }^{35}$ | ワッカ腥 | ${ }_{36}^{36}$ | ち9カ月年 |
| ${ }_{36}$ | －タカロッ | 392 | 4 4サ118 |
| ${ }_{37}$ | 4）＂Hム |  |  |

$360=370$ f19月444～


79の肠
79カ月年
79ッ月出
497 月4
$9 \rightarrow$ 月4
リーッ月年
$49+14$
$79 n \ldots$
サタッチワ
ソのカ月年
ッグガク


9ガク
ヶのカ月4
49744
ケカッ月グ

Series 5 a

273 O 86 Head of Melqart-Herakles in lionskin with thick shaggy mane; dotted border. Long break across die.
R 227 Horse's head to left, with mane parted into two lines; on the right, palm tree with ascending branches; linear border. Legend 'mmhnt. Die break on neck.
16.78* Glasgow Hunter II

274 O 87 Head more compact, tufts of lion's mane parted.
R 227 Die of 273. Die break extended. 17.25 * Paris 2325

275 O 87 Die of 274.
R 228 Horse's head of different style with bulging throat, neat mane and more curving truncation; palm tree with compact bush of branches; dotted border. Legend 'mmhnt.
16.76 * MMAG * 43, 36

- Syracuse

276 O 88 Head of refined style, tufts of lion's mane falling in a thick mass.
R 229 Similar, palm tree smaller; in left field, thunderbolt. Legend 'mhmhnt (partly off-flan).
16.88 * London $=$ Sotheby
2. 5. 1905, I95

277 O 88 Die of 276.
R 230 Similar; three pellets in left field.
Legend 'mhmhnt.
15.35 * Paris Luynes 1448

- Paris Vogué 650
- Platt collection A, 1930, 810

278 O 88 Die of 276.
R 23I Horse's head to right, flat sketchy style, very shallow truncation; palm
tree on left; three pellets in right field. Legend 'mhmhnt.

- ${ }^{*}$ Palermo

279 O 89 Similar, slightly smaller, tight curls on forehead, neck more exposed. Below lion's paws, caduceus.
Bar-shaped die break at 2 o'clock.
R 230 Die of 277.
I6.96 * Gustav VI Adolf, late King of Sweden, SNG $46=$ Sotheby
6. 7. $192 \mathrm{I}, 222$
16.32 * London

- Priv. coll. Y
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

280 O 89 Die of 279.
R 231 Die of 278. 16.43 * Paris Luynes 145 I

281 O 89 Die of 279.
R 232 Horse's head of sketchy style to left, locks parted on forehead, shallow curved truncation; on right palm tree; three pellets in left field. Linear border. Legend 'mhmhnt

- $\quad$ * Palermo

282 O 90 Closely similar to O 89 but tufts of lion's mane straighter; caduceus below?
R 231 Die of 278, 280. 16.66 Lewis (Jenkins-Lewis pl. 26, 10 )
16.82 * London

283 O 90 Die of 282.
R 232 Die of 281 .
17.30 Allotte de le Fuye 1925, 135
16.80 * ANS
16.82 ANS
17.12 Nav. 10, 353

- Priv. coll. Y
- Spink 1968
* MMAG $=$ Münzen und Medaillen AG.

284 O 91 Similar to O 89-90, slightly larger, lion's mane with thicker tufts. No border.
R 233 Horse's head to left, similar to R 229-230, truncation pointed in front. Dotted border.
Legend 'mhmhnt? (incomplete)
16.51 * Priv. coll. Y = AC 12, 1075
17.00 SC 1927, 1160
$285 \mathrm{O}_{91}$ Die of 284. Breaks around neck.
R 234 Horse's head smaller, truncation deeply arched. Legend 'mhmhnt 16.96 AC 12, 1074
16.37 Auctiones 5, 1975, 244

-     * Berlin
- Philadelphia
17.28* Priv. coll. X

286 O 91 Die of 284. More worn.
R 235 Similar, truncation is smooth shallow curve; club in left field. Legend 'mhmhnt

-     * Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

287 O 91 Die of 284.
R 236 Similar, palm tree larger; corn ear in left field. Legend 'mhmhnt - Berlin
16.60 * Lockett SNG $1055=$ Nav. 10, 354
16.76 London

- Paris 2328
16.78 Pennisi

288 O 92 More expressive face, lion's mane in long vertical tufts. No border.
R 236 Die of 287.
16.82 * Boston 499
16.34 London Lloyd SNG 1654 (NC 1925 pl . VII), Cefalú hoard

289 O 92 Die of 288.
R 237 Similar, horse's throat more prominent, palm tree closer; corn ear in left field. Legend 'mhmhnt

-     * Burlington Fine Arts 20I, 207
16.65 Glasgow Hunter 13
- MMAG list 326, 15, 1971 hoard
16.73 MMAG 43, 37
16.78 Naples 4817
17.30 Schlessinger 26. 2. 1934, 375

290 O 93 Cloșely similar to O 91, lion's mane with thick short tufts downwards. Dotted border.
R 238 Horse's head massive, thick tufts on top, truncation in regular curve.
Legend 'mhmhnt

- $\quad$ Berlin
17.02 Cambridge McC 3047
17.08 Hamburger 29. 5. 1929, 497
$16.76 \mathrm{LH}^{*}$ 1958, $116=$ Morgan 194
16.72 London
- Martinetti 805
14.30 (sic) Naples 4808
17.40 Pennisi
17.35 * seen 1977

291 O 93 Die of 290.
R 239 Closely similar, at front tip of truncation close to border, small corn ear. Legend 'mhmhnt 16.90 Cahn 68, 1763 16.38 Cambridge SNG 1495
16.13 London Lloyd SNG 1655
17.05 Münzh. Basel 8, 187
16.45 MMAG, 1971 hoard
17.29 * Nav. 6, $578=$ Benson 800
17.15 Priv. coll. X
17.20 Schulman 30.3. 1936, 48

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967
16.00 Yale $=$ Ciani 17. 12. 1921. 99

292 O 93 Die of 290.
R 240 Similar, throat more bulging, palm tree taller; in left field large corn ear upright. Legend 'mhmhnt 17.12 * ANS

293 O 93 Die of 290.
R 24 I Similar, tufts of mane longer; in left field corn ear slightly slanting. Legend 'mhmhnt

294 O 94 Compact head with tufts of lion's mane thick and short. Dotted border.
R 242 Strongly bulging throat and curvaceous truncation, tall palm tree with extra foliage around trunk; in left field large corn ear.
Legend 'mmhnt
$1742^{*}$ London
16.92 Nav.5, 2985

- Paris 2329

295 O 94 Die of 294.
R 243 Horse's head to right, angular truncation; palm tree on left; double corn ear in right field.
Legend 'mmhnt (?)
16.53* Naples 48ı8
16.59 Nav. 5, 2986

296 O 95 Larger head; jaw of lion's mane with wide frill, compact row of tufts. Dotted border.
R 244 Head to left; shallow arched truncation, long mane; palm tree very close. Legend 'mhmhnt
17.12 Cambridge SNG 1497
16.86 Feuardent I6. II. 1937, 140
16.54 Galerie Monnaies de Genève, New York, 1976, 702

- Hamburger in. 6. 1930, 653
16.48 London Lloyd SNG I647, Cefalú hoard
16.70* Naples 4807
- $\quad$ * Private collection Y
16.50 SC 1927, II 55
* $\mathrm{LH}=$ Leu-Hess.
* $\mathrm{SC}=$ Sambon-Canessa.

297 O 95 Die of 296
R 245 Similar slightly larger, dense mane, taller palm tree.
Legend 'mhmhnt
17.10 Glendining-Seaby III, 1185
17.29 * Lewis CCCC SNG 428
17.00 SC* 1927, 1161

- Syracuse Gagliardi iooi


## Plate 3

- $\quad$ *Glendining 24. I I. 1950, 1538

298 O 96 Closely similar, smaller eye, lion's jaw closer to ear.
R 246 Similar, bulging throat, truncation more concave. Legend 'mhmhnt
16.52 AC 12, 1060
17.09 ANS
16.49 Boston 498
16.77 Copenhagen 90

- Hamburger 20. 2. 1928, 210
17.20 Hess 226, 164
- Hindamian 293
16.83* Naples 4806
16.93 Naples S 8087

I6.70 Paris Luynes 1452

- Paris 2326
- Platt I92I, 122

299 O 96 Die of 298
R 247 Similar, palm tree lower.
Legend 'mhmhnt
16.80 Baranowsky 1929, $1565=$ Brandis 38 I

- $\quad$ * Bourgey 20. 12. 1921, 64
17.16 London

300 O 96 Die of 298
R 248 Similar, bulging throat, shallow truncation, palm tree not so close.
Legend 'mhmhnt
16.20 Cambridge SNG 1494
17.28* London

- Myers
16.85 Naples S 8088
- $\quad$ Syracuse 24742, Mineo hoard
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

301 O96 Die of 298
R 249 Similar; legend ends beyond truncation. Legend 'mhmhnt

- $\quad$ Berlin
- Berlin
16.95 Princeton, Firestone

302 O 96 Die of 298
R 250 Similar, truncation in regular curve, legend ending beyond.
Legend 'mhmhnt
16.33 Cambridge SNG 1493
16.67 * Private collection X
16.50 * Private collection X

Plate 4

303 O 96 Die of 298
R 25 I Similar, truncation in shallower curve, taller palm tree.
Legend 'mhmhnt
16.99 * Stockholm SNG 662

- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

304 O 96 Die of 298
R 252 Slightly smaller, truncation with deeper curve, shorter palm tree. Legend 'mhmhnt 16.66 Nav. 5, 2987 I 5.90 * Private collection X

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

305 O 97 Head with larger features, especially the eye; tufts of lion skin backwardturning.
R 253 Head long-nosed, broad neck with truncation strongly curved; tall palm tree. Legend 'mhmhnt
16.83 Naples 4804
16.88 * Private collection X

306 O 97 Die of 305
R 254 Compact shallow truncation, long mane tufts. Legend 'mhmhnt 17.70 Hamburger 27. 5. 1929, $178=$ Kondylis 207
17.I I * London Lloyd SNG 1643
16.34 London formerly (rev. die break on palm tree)
16.28 MMAG i97I hoard
16.80 Vienna

307 O 97 Die of 305
R 255 Long-tufted mane, broad-topped palm tree. Legend ?

- $\quad$ ANS

308 O 97 Die of 305. Breaks on eye (Hunter)
R 256 Similar to R 249 but legend within truncation. Legend 'mhmhnt. Diebreaks by mouth.

- Berlin
16.77 * Glasgow Hunter 12

Paris Smith-Lesouef 20
309 O 97 Die of 305
R 252 Die of 304. Flaw in field low left.
17.09 ANS
16.70 Cambridge SNG 1496
$16.53^{*}$ Gustav VI Adolf, late King of Sweden, SNG 47
16.99 Hague = Nav. 10, $352=$ Nav. 5, 2984
17.10 Hess 194, 166

- Nobleman 232
16.29 Naples 4809
- Paris 2327
16.50 Ratto 8. II. 1928, 2926

310 O 98 Probably originally the same as O 97 but reworked, notably the details of the lion's jaw.
R 257 Horse head upright, truncation curves to right.
Legend 'mmhnt 16.39 * Nav. 5, 2982

3 II O 99 Lion's jaw has large smooth area, behind which straight tufts; large eye.
R 257 Die of 310

-     * ANS

312 O 100 Smaller features, especially the eye, tufts of lion skin attached to broad smooth band behind ear. No border.
R 253 Die of 310. 16.79 ANS

- Collignon 156
16.77 * Nav. 5, 2983

313 O 100 Die of 312
R 258 Similar to R 253 but head slightly higher, shallower truncation.
Legend 'mhmhnt
15.97* Glasgow Coats 3457

314 O ror Head smaller, wide open eye, lion skin more compact. Dotted border.
R 258 Die of 313. 16.04 * London Lloyd SNG 1645 , Cefalú hoard

## Plate 5

315 O ion Die of 314
R 259 Similar to R 256, legend ends beyond truncation.
Legend 'mhmhnt
17.00 Brandis 379
15.43 * Naples 4799

316 O ioi Die of 314
R 260 Similar to R 258 but larger.
Legend 'mhmhnt

-     * Private collection Y

317 O ror Die of 314
R 261 Similar to R 259 but smaller and truncation less arched, palm tree taller. Legend 'mhmhnt
16.75 Brandis 380
16.44 Copenhagen 89

- Glendining-Seaby II $253=$

Helbing 8. II. 1928, 3719
17.14 LH 1956, 241
16.22 London
16.76 Naples 4802
16.90 Paris Luynes 1449
16.8I * Pennisi

318 O 102 Larger than $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IoI, tufts }}$ of lion skin more spread. Dotted border.
R 261 Die of 317 . Flaw on lower neck. 16.84* London Lloyd SNG I642, Cefalú hoard

319 O 103 Finer and richer in detail, especially curls above brow and tufts of lion's mane protruding sharply behind.

R 262 Large horse's head similar to R. 258 less bulging throat and truncation more curved. Legend 'mhmhnt

- ${ }^{*}$ Brussels
16.65 Cahn 84,470 $=$ Hamburger 29. 5. 1929, 496
16.96 Hess 1954, 208
16.78 Hirsch $20,446=$ do. 14 , 599
16.97 LH 1960, 107
16.74 London Lloyd SNG 1644 , Cefalú hoard
16.65 * Naples 4805
16.93 Oxford SNG 2165
16.95 Rosenberg 8.9. 1924, 229
16.89 Ward 361

320 O 103 Die of 319
R 263 Similar but more bulging throat and mane longer.
Legend 'mhmhnt

- Palermo

32 O IO4 Larger head, bolder details throughout.
R 263 Die of 320

- Bourgey 5. 12. 1932, 290
- Feuardent 9.6.1913, IO7
16.46 Delbeke 243
16.81 Gulbenkian $375=$ Jameson $916=$ Hirsch 19,637
16.84 Hirsch 29, 870
17.19* MMAG 43, 35
16.84 Nav. I, $3303=$ Carfrae 357
$=\mathrm{AC}$ 13, 304

322 O 104 Die of 321
R 264 More compact, truncation more regular curve. Legend 'mhmhnt
16.77 * ANS
16.2I * Naples 8084

323 O ios Similar, heavy face, lion's skin all denser and more compact. Dotted border.
R 264 Die of 322.
17.00 London $=$ Glendining
23. II. 1928, 184
17.17 Montagu I 809
16.04 Oxford SNG 2162
16.75 SC 1927, I 156
16. 10 * Vatican 936

324 O 105 Die of 323
R 265 Horse's head taller and nose held lower, steeply arched truncation, long tufts. Legend 'mhmhnt

- Berlin

Plate 6

Series 5 b
325 O ro6 Head of Melqart-Herakles in lion skin, which has regular radiating tufts, jaw without frill and flat neckpiece.
R 266 Compact horse's head with shallowcurved truncation; palm tree on right; in left field, club sloping outwards. Legend mhsbm 16.80 * Paris Vogué 649

326 O 106 Die of 325
R 267 Similar, truncation more concave; in left small club sloping inwards. Legend mhsbm 16.29 * Paris 2340

327 O 106 Die of 325
R 268 Similar; in left field, club sloping inwards. Legend mhsbm

- $\quad$ Berlin
17.14 Egger 46, $2771=$ Merzbacher 1910, 893
16.67 London
- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

328 O 106 Die of 325
R 269 Similar; in left field, short club sloping inwards. Legend mhsbm - Glendining-Seaby III II86 16.95 * Pennisi

329 O 107 Similar to O 106, face slightly taller, tufts of lion's mane stop short of neck in line with lion's paw.
R 269 Die of 328 I6.6I * Naples 48 I5

330 O 107 Die of 329
R 270 Smaller, front of truncation lower; in left field, club sloping outwards. Legend mhsbm

> 16.74 * London Lloyd SNG 1651 , Cefalú hoard

331 O 107 Die of 329
R 27 I Normal size; in left field, club rupright. Legend mhsbm

- Berlin
16.43 Cambridge SNG 149 I
16.46 * MMAG, 197 I hoard
16.49 Ratto 1934, $262=A C_{12}$, 1061

332 O 107 Die of 329
R 272 Large head, long nose raised, thick palm tree; in left field, large club sloping inwards. Legend mhsbm 16.50 * Cambridge SNG $1492=$ Nav. r, 3305
16.75 Copenhagen 92

333 O 108 Very compact head and lion skin with short tufts and neckpiece in folds.
R 272 Die of 332
16.82 Hamburger 27. 5. 1929, 179
16.57* Naples S 8086

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

334 O ro8 Die of 333
R 273 Small head, short palm tree; in left field, small club sloping outwards. Legend mhsbm 15.64* London Lloyd SNG 1650, Cefalú hoard

335 O 108 Die of 333
R 274 Similar, club touching horse's neck. Legend mhsbm
16.60 * ANS = Sambon I9. I2. 1907, 520
16.10 Baranowsky VI 513

## Plate 7

336 O ro9 Similar but lion skin tufts longer, neckpiece less visible.
R 275 Truncation very shallow; club sloping inwards. Legend mhsbm 16.26 Cambridge SNG 1490
17.17 Glasgow Coats 3458
16.67 * Naples 4816

337 O Io9 Die of 336
R 276 Larger, no symbol. Legend mhsbm 16.78 * Egger 40, 1270

338 O ro9 Die of 336
R 277 Similar, head up more than R 276. Legend mhsbm 16.70 * Schulman 30. 3. 1936, 208

339 O ro9 Die of 336
R 278 Similar, head less raised than R 277; in left field, club near horse's nose. Legend mhsbm
15.96 * MMAG, 197 I hoard $=$ Genève 10. 10. 1977, 127

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967 (rev. flaw across club)

340 O ro9 Die of 336
R 279 Similar but no symbol, truncation down in front. Legend mhsbm 17.16 * MMAG, 197 I hoard

341 O i io Broader head, tufts of lion skin cascading downwards, flat neckpiece, lion's jaw with frill.
R 279 Die of 340

- Baranowsky 1934, 4674
- Engel-Gros Paris 1921, 23
16.30 * Naples 4800
- Peus 280, 1972, $66=$ Baranowsky VI 512

342 O IIo Die of 341
R 280 Similar, truncation straighter.
Legend mhsbm
16.68 London
16.00 * MMAG, I97 I hoard
16.99 Pennisi

343 O ino Die of 341
R 281 Similar, truncation more curved; in left field pellet. Legend mhsbm - * Private collection Y

344 O iro Die of 341
R 282 Head held lower, palm tree close; in left field long club sloping outwards. Legend mhsbm 16.42 * MMAG list 326, 16, 197 I hoard

- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

345 O II I Similar to O iog but tufts of lion skin reach to neck touching paw, jaw without frill.
R 282 Die of 344 . Signs of wear below truncation.
16.56 * London

346 O III Die of 345
R 283 Similar, smaller especially horse's nose, truncation straighter; in field left, caduceus. Legend mhsbm 16.74 * Paris Luynes 1455

Plate 8

347 O IIf Die of 345
R 284 Head of normal size, curved truncation; in left field, astragalos. Legend mhsbm 17.20* Pennisi

348 O in 2 Head broader, face more ample, lion skin tufts denser, neckpiece with folds.
R 284 Die of 347

- Berlin
- $\quad$ Hartwig i910, 687
17.17 * London Lloyd SNG 1653
17.40 MMAG list 351, 6
16.97 Naples 48ir

349 O II2 Die of 348
R 283 Die of 346

- $\quad$ Helbing 8.9. 1928,3718
16.57 Hess 202, 2682
16.84* MMAG list 326, 14, 1971 hoard
16.89 Naples 4813
16.42 Naples 4814

350 O 112 Die of 348
R 285 Horse with more protrusive throat; in left field, caduceus.
Legend mhsbm
16.92 * Paris Luynes 1456
16.70 Vienna

351 O 112 Die of 348
R 286 Truncation low in front, restless mane; in left field, astragalos. Legend mhsbm
16.60 * Hague
17.09 Pennisi
16.69 Pennisi

352 O 112 Die of 348
R 287 Similar, nose lower, neater mane; in left field, astragalos.
Legend mhsbm 17.10 * Private collection X := Hamburger 98, 458

353 O 112 Die of 348
R 288 Similar to R 288 but truncation in smoother curve; in left field astragalos. Legend mhsbm

- ANS
17.29 * Nap'es 48 Io

354 O II 3 Similar but more compact and finer style, lion's mane with fewer short tufts, neckpiece flat.
R 285 Die of 351
15.9I * London Lloyd SNG 1652, Cefalú hoard

355 O II3 Die of 354
R 289 Truncation sharply indented; in left field, caduceus.
Legend mhsbm
17.39 * ANS

356 O II3 Die of 354
R 290 Similar, truncation shallower; in left field, caduceus. Legend mhsbm 16.37 Naples 48I2

- $\quad$ * Private collection Y

357 O II3 Die of 354
R 29I Truncation more angular; in left field caduceus, not so close. Legend mhsbm 16.67 * Paris 2341

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

358 O 113 Die of 354
R 288 Die of 353
17.30 * Bourgey 14. 12. 1911, 48 $=$ Sandeman 265

Plate 9
36I O II3 Die of 354 (Signs of wear arnund nose, mouth and chin).
R 294 Normal type with curved truncation; in left field caduceus sloping outwards. Legend mhsbm 17.41* London

362 O 113 Die of 354, worn.
R 295 Similar, truncation low in front; in left field, caduceus upright.
Legend mhsbm
16.41 * London

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

363 O II3 Die of 354, worn.
R 296 Truncation in shallow curve; in left field caduceus upright, not so close. Legend mhsbm

- $\quad$ * Paris 2342

364 O II4 Compact head of beautiful style, lion skin tufts in restless mass, neckpiece with folds.
R 297 Similar but smaller; caduceus short, sloping outwards. Legend mhsbm 16.13 * Lewis

365 O IIs Broad head with refined features, small eye and mouth, lion skin rich in detail, thick interweaving tufts, jaw with frill, neckpiece with fo!ds partly revealed.
R 298 Small head with narrow neck, S-curved truncation; in left field poppy. Legend mhsbm 17.04 * London Lloyd SNG $1649=$ Feuardent 19. 12. 1921, 67

- $\quad$ Syracuse Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967 (rev. doublestruck)

366 O 115 Die of 365
R 298' Die of 365 but poppy recut as astragalos.
16.72 * ANS $=$ Benson 802
16.55 Brandis 377

-     * Private collection Y
16.60 Schlessinger 26. 2. 1934, 376
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967
- $\quad$ Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

367 O IIs Die of 365
R 299 Similar, truncation low in front; $=$ in left field, astragalos; between horse's mane and palm tree, pellet. Legend mhsbm 16.8I * Paris 2343

368 O 115 Die of 365
R 300 Horse's neck shorter; astragalos and pellet as R 299. Legend mhsbm 16.27 * London

369 O 115 Die of 365
R 288 Die of 353,358
16.78* Münzh. Basel ıо, $148=$ Hess 207, 223

## Plate io

370 O IIs Die of 365 , signs of wear.
R 293 Die of 360 (legend 'mmhnt), more worn - breaks around horse's nose and throat (Hindamian).
17.40 HL 1960, 108

- $\quad$ Hindamian $290=$ Luneau 940
- Mionnet cast (uncertain original)
$16.37^{*}$ MMAG 197 I hoard
- Paris 2354
16.64* Sambon 19. 12. 1907, 522 $=$ Schulman 16. 12. 1926, 212

371 O 115 Die of 365
R 301 Similar to R 293
Legend 'mmhnt
16.69* London (PCG IV. C. 19)

372 O 115 Die of 365
R 302 Similar. Legend 'mmhnt
16.52 ANS
16.66 * Hess 209, $47=$ Rosenberg 72, 803

373 O 115 Die of 365
R 303 Similar, mane more restless.
Legend 'mmhnt

- $\quad$ * Castro Maya 1957, 37

374 O in6 Very small head, lion skin has dense mass of tufts curving down to the neck and paws. Dotted border.
R 304 Compact horse head; die-flaw across neck gives the impression that horse is tethered to tree. Legend 'mhmhnt

- *Boudin 15.4. 1912, 329
16.62 * Paris Luynes 1450

375 O in6 Die of 374 . Signs of wear on the face.
R 305 Similar, head held lower.
Legend mhsbm
16.42 Egger 45, 839
17.10 * Hess 194, 168

376 O 117 Closely similar to O II6, slimmer face, lion skin tufts finish closer to neck.
R 306 Similar but a little larger, same shallow S-curve truncation, smaller tree. Legend mhsbm
17.59 Benson $803=$ Morgan 195

- $\quad$ Naples 4803
17.20 Paris Luynes 1453
$377 \mathrm{O}_{117}$ Die of 376 . Wear on face and in front.
R 307 Similar, truncation down more in front. Legend ? (small traces visible)
16.8I * Glendining 18.4. 1955, 233
$=$ Sotheby 9.3.1936, 149
$=$ Helbing 8. II. 1928, $3720=$ Nav. I, 3304

378 O II7 Die of 376 . Cracks also above and behind.
R 308 Strongly curved truncation.
No legend
16.45 Copenhagen 93
16.65 * London $=$ Münzh. Basel 4, II57
16.98 London (formerly)
15.94 MMAG, 197 I hoard

379 O 117 Die of 376
R 309 Similar, truncation less curved.
Legend mhsbm

-     * Private collection Y
16.79 H. Weber $1776=$ Nav. 4, 1008


## Plate II

380 O II 8 Face similar to O in6 but different lion skin neckpiece with prominent folds and tufts in looser arrangement.
R 310 Truncation almost straight.
Legend mhsbm
17.13 * Lewis CCCC SNG 429

381 O II8 Die of 380 . Flaw in front.
R 3II Small short-nosed horse, larger palm tree, S-curve truncation.
Legend mhsbm

- Berlin (Regling MaK 836)
16.79 * Paris 2351

382 O II8 Die of 380 . More worn in front.
R 312 Similar, truncation straighter.
Legend mhsbm
16.07 Ahlström 6, 1974, 88
17.01 * Stockholm SNG 66I

383 O II8 Die of 380 . Heavily worn in front.
R 313 Similar, palm tree taller.
Legend mhsbm
17.26 Glasgow Hunter 15
16.95* London

384 O if9 Broader head, regular curls on forehead; lion skin with thick tufts, mouth plain, neckpiece with fold.
R 314 Similar, truncation with a different
shallow curve. Legend mhsbm
16.76 * Ciani 20. 11. 1935, $87=$ Allotte de la Fuye 134
16.65 Copenhagen 91
17.4 I HL 1957, 144
16.58 Lewis = Glendining 19. 7. 1950, 62

-     * Private collection Y
16.5 I Schweiz. Bankverein Zürich 2, 1977, 280
16.63 Vatican

385 O II9 Die of 384
R 315 Similar but narrow neck, truncation down in front.
Legend mhsbm

| 17.44 | Cahn $71,699=$ Cahn 66, |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 483 |
| 16.95 | Hess 194, 167 |
| - | Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia |
|  | hoard 1967 |
| - | Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia |
| hoard 1967 |  |

17.44 * Cahn 71, $699=$ Cahn 66, 483
16.95 Hess 194, I 67

- Syracuse, Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967 hoard 1967

386 O 119 Die of 384. Break on nose.
R 316 Horse with normal wide neck, truncation low in front.
Legend mhsbm

- Guadan
- Mionnet cast (uncertain original)
16.86 MMAG 197I hoard $=$ Münzschätze 5 (Bayer. Vereinsbank 1977), 78
16.48 Naples S 8085
16.91 * Pennisi
16.90 SC 1927, 1158

387 O in9 Die of 384
R 317 More compact, shallow truncation.
Legend mhsbm
16.42 ANS

- Berlin
16.99 Cancio
16.84 * London Lloyd SNG 1646

Cefalú hoard
16.68 London

388 O il9 Die of 384
R 318 Horse's head lower, mouth open, truncationn more curved. Die
break across mane and palm trunk.
Legend mhsbm (partly visible)

-     * Syracuse Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

389 O ri9 Die 384
R 319 Similar to R 316.
Legend mhsbm
16.46 ANS

- Bourgey 3. 12. 1928, 128
17.13 Cahn 66, 484
- $\quad$ Canessa 12.6.1928, 728
- $\quad$ Ratto 4. 4. 1927, 2927
- Syracuse Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967
16.70 Vienna

390 O 120 Flaw on eye. Closely similar to O ing, face larger, lion skin tufts looser.
R 317 Die of 387

- $\quad$ Luneau 939
16.30 Riechmann 1921, 1120
- St. Louis, Washington University, Wulfing coll.
-     * Syracuse 25286, Mineo hoard

391 O i20 Die of 390
R 319 Die of 389
17.2 I * Hague
17.33 Headlam 82
16.75 London $=$ GlendiningSeaby III II87

392 O 120 Die of 390
R 320 Similar to R. 319 but truncation in shallower curve. Legend mhsbm 16.47 Hamburger 98, 456 17.12 * Lewis

- Ratto 8. II. 1928 (Paris), 823

393 O 120 Die of 390
R 32 I Similar to last. Legend mhsbm 17.44 * Private collection Sicily

394 O 120 Die of 390
R 322 Similar to R 315 (385), small
differences in palm tree and truncation

- Numismatica Toderi 4, 1973, 148

395 O 12 I Closely similar to O II9-120 but altogether larger, tufts of lion's mane long and loose, neckpiece with folds more prominent, lion's mouth very wide open with thin edge.
R 323 Horse head small, truncation shallow. Legend hardly visible (offflan).

- $\quad$ * Feuardent I8.6. 1924, 54

396 O I21 Die of 395 . Signs of wear in front on some specimens.
R 324 Horse head larger. Legend mhsbm 16.97 AC 16, 929

- Brussels
16.90 Glasgow Hunter 14
- Grabow 9.6.1930, $607 \quad 15.90$ Helbing 24. 10. 1927, 3171
16.70 London Lloyd SNG I648,
16.94 Naples 480 Cefalú hoard
16.28 * MMAG 1971 hoard
16.54 MMAG 197 I hoard

397 O 121 Die of 395
R 325 Horse head smaller than last, palm tree larger. Legend mhsbm 16.36* Private collection X

398 O r2r Die of 395 . Breaks in front of face.
R 326 Similar, truncation more curved and palm tree closer. Legend mhsbm - Berlin
16.35* MMAG 1971 hoard
17.29 MMAG 1971 hoard
16.84 Oxford SNG 2164

400 O 121" Same die further recut - inlet below lion's jaw wider. Breaks in front.
R 328 Similar but horse's neck narrower, base of palm tree closer to mane. Legend mhsbm

- $\quad$ * Palermo

401 O 122 Different style; face with short nose and bulging brow; lion skin has mouth in broad band, tufts fewer but thicker, plain neckpiece, second paw not shown.
R 329 Similar, straight shallow truncation.
Legend mhsbm
16.75 * Paris Luynes 1454
16.82 Paris Delepierre

399 O 12 I' Die of 395 , now partly recut, especially the eye, brow and nose.
R 327 Truncation more deeply curved in front, palm tree tall.
Legend mhsbm
17.14 * AC 16, 928

- Ahlström 14. 1977,655

402 O 122 Die of 401
R 330 Truncation more concave.
Legend mhsbm
17.10 * Paris Luynes 1457
16.95 Syracuse 13206, Scoglitti hoard

Plate 13

403 O 122 Die of 401
R 331 Similar, truncation more curved, mane more curly. Legend mhsbm 17.25* Hague

404 O 122 Die of 401 . Heavy flaw on cheek.
R 332 Similar, details and legend not visible.

* Naples S 8089

405 O 122 Die of 401
R 333 Smaller, truncation shallow.
Legend mhsbm

- $\quad{ }^{*}$ Ciani 14.6. 1934, 88
-     * Syracuse 25287, Mineo hoard

406 O 123 Small compact head, lion skin with short snaky tufts and very prominent neckpiece with foids.
R 330 Die of 402
17.05 Glendining 21.6.1972, 108
15.76 London
16.70 * MMAG list 396, 12

407 O 123 Die of 406. Break across chin.
R 334 Similar to R 331. Legend mhsbm

-     * ANS
- $\quad$ Sambon 19.2.1907, 521
- Santamaria 1934, I60

408 O I24 Closely similar to style of O 122 but more compact; lion skin has neckpiece with folds, single paw. Die flaw across head.
R 335 Horse's neck rather narrow, shallow truncation. Legend mhsbm 16.93 * London

- Munich

409 O 124 Die of 408
R 336 Similar, more curved truncation. Legend mhsbm

- $\quad$ Delmonte I8. I I. 1933, 207

Guadan
16.60 Helbing 70, 536
17.36 * Pennisi

410 O 124 Die of 408, neck narrow.
R 337 Horse's nose up, truncation very shallow. Legend mhsbm

-     * Syracuse 25288, Mineo hoard

4II O 124 Die of 408
R 338 Horse's head not raised, neck wider, fairly shallow truncation.
Legend mhsbm

-     * Syracuse 48. 326, Camarina hoard 1928

412 O 124 Die of 408. Die-break worse.
R 339 Similar, horse wide-necked.
Legend mhsbm

- Grabow 9.6.1930, 606
16.90 Helbing 1911, 796
17.14 Lockett SNG 1056
16.48 * MMAG 1971 hoard
16.85 SC 1927, $1157=$ Rosenberg 64, $1504=$ Brandis 378
- $\quad$ Syracuse Megara Hyblaia hoard 1967

413 O 124 Die of 408. Additional breaks in front of mouth.
R 340 Similar, horse's neck not so wide.
Legend mhsbm
16.18* London
16.95 Oxford, Miss., USA

```
Plate 14
```

414 O 124 Die of 408
R 34 I Similar to R 338. Legend mhsbm 17.06 * Allotte de la Fuye 136

415 O 124 Die of 408
R 342 Similar, truncation down in front. Legend mhsbm

- $\quad$ * ANS
16.87 Oxford SNG 2163

416 O $_{125}$ Similar style to $\mathrm{O}_{124}$, lion skin has fewer but thicker tufts, plain neckpiece and single large paw.
R 335 Die of 409 16.23 * Schweiz. Bankverein Zürich 2, 1977, 281

417 O 125 Die of 416
R 342 Die of 415 16.38* London

418 O 125 Die of 4 16 . Vertical flaws across middle and on right.

R 343 Similar to R 339 but less wide.
Legend mhsbm
16.63 ANS $=7.3 .1935,284$
16.33 * Pennisi

419 O 125 Die of 416
R 344 Similar to R 340, truncation more concave. Legend mhsbm - $\quad$ * de Nicola, March 1972, 192

420 O 126 Closely similar to O I24 but the face quite different and neckpiece of lion skin smooth and without folds.
R 345 Very shallow truncation.
Legend mhsbm
16.72 * London

42 I O 126 Die of 420
R 346 Closely similar to R 345 .
Legend mhsbm ? (little visible)

- $\quad$ Helbing 8. ri. 1928, 3722

Series 6
(Plates I5-20)
The following group of coins belongs to a later period than any of those previously discussed, and is included here on account of the apparent connexion with Sicily. One important element is the issue of electrum triple-shekels of low gold content which formed group VIII in Jenkins-Lewis and which are here re-listed with some revision and additions. The remaining coins are large silver denominations, a small issue of 6 -shekels and 3 -shekels, with another and larger issue of 5 -shekels; a I-shekel of the same types as the 6 -shekel is also included on the assumption of being genuine. What may have been a half-shekel of the same types as the 3 -shekel coins is noted by Müller ${ }^{32}$ from an older publication but the specimen has not come to light since and nothing can be said about it. The group as a whole has a certain stylistic homogeneity; its approximate date can only be deduced from a comparison with other Carthaginian coins, and there are no finds to give an association with other coins of known date.

At first sight there seems to be a division into two groups, first the 6 -shekel and 3 -shekel without legend, and second the 5 -shekel and the electrum (Jenkins-Lewis group VIII ${ }^{*}$ ) which both have the legend B'RSTT. However the division is not so clear stylistically. Of the large silver, the 6 -shekel has a head which is close to that of the Carthage shekels ( pl .16 E ) in its rather plain aspect as in the general arrangement of the hair though lacking the curl on top behind the corn ears, and having a triple not single earring and a plain not pendant necklace; the truncation is however more or less S-shaped like the shekels. The 3 -shekel coins seem to be by another hand and are closely related to the group VIII electrum, with a rich treatment of the curls above the forehead and behind the ear, a top curl (but no top spray as on the electrum), triple earring, pendant necklace and S-shaped truncation (the latter also similar to the Carthage shekels). The 5 -shekel coins may be said to be linked to the Carthage shekels by having a single earring and a top curl (but no top spray) but the neck and truncation are markedly different as on the 5 -shekels there is really no necklace and the truncation is mostly indeterminate (where visible), running into the dotted border and once (on $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ ) making a shallow S-curve; the 5 -shekels thus stand rather apart from the rest. However it remains true that the whole group seems to hang loosely together and that the only points of contact with other coins are formed by the Carthage shekels and the electrum staters of JenkinsLewis group VII; the latter have a general similarity to the coins we are concerned with though differing markedly in the form of the pendant necklace and truncation which remains convex as on previous gold and electrum issues. The Carthage shekels are not closely datable except to the earlier third century, the Group VII electrum

[^3]staters should be of about 270 . For what this indication is worth, it should suggest that the group of large silver and electrum coins belongs to about the early years of the first Punic war ( $\pm 264$ B.C.).

The legend B'RSTT on the decadrachms and electrum tristaters has been discussed many times. Older references are given by Müller and Gsell. One theory is that this legend somehow corresponds to Byrsa, the name of the citadel of Carthage or at least that part of the city including the citadel. The name is known only from classical sources in its Greek form $\beta$ v́go $\alpha$ ( $=$ ox-hide), on the basis of which the story went that Dido-Elissa when founding the African colony was to claim such territory as could be covered by an ox-hide; however by cleverly cutting the ox-hide into small strips she was able to measure out a sizeable area of ground. It is uncertain whether there is any real significance in the «ox-hide» name or whether it is, as has been suggested, an attempt to turn into Greek a semitic word meaning a «fortified space», on the analogy of the Hebrew BṢRH (with an interchange of the middle letters) ${ }^{33}$ - a similar root which is behind the name of e.g. ancient Bostra.

The connection between the coin legend B'RSTT and the Byrsa of Carthage has most recently been accepted again by Cintas ${ }^{34}$, but most opinion in recent times has preferred the interpretation as «in the land» ${ }^{35}$. This interpretation is unexceptionable, on the basis of the word 'RS or 'RSTT plus the prefix B (= «in»), a word familiar from the phrase «Eretz Israel». 'RS is a term attested from a North African inscription from the area of Maktar mentioning 'RST TSK'T. the territory of Tusca corresponding to what was later called «Pagus Tuscae» as an administrative division in Roman Africa ${ }^{36}$. The Greek equivalent of 'RS is, as Picard has pointed out, $\chi \omega \varrho \alpha$. The Carthaginian domain in Africa appears to have been made up of seven or eight quite large 'RSTT each under a prefect, the whole under the command of a Boetharch ${ }^{37}$.

How far this throws any direct light on the coin legend b'rṣt is not certain. It was argued in Jenkins-Lewis that if the correct general sense of the legend is «in the land» then it should at least imply that the mint in question was not at Carthage. Bisi considers that the implication of 'RS is such as to mean not only «territory» but «national territory» and that this indicates that the coins were for circulation in the area surrounding Carthage ${ }^{38}$. However it can hardly have been necessary for coins to be so designated as all Carthaginian coins could have circulated in the whole area in any case (though these apparently did not - see below). If the coins are to be thought of as having an intimate connection with the African 'RSTT it might be

[^4]necessary to suppose the existence of another mint in Africa, which must surely have been quite superfluous and makes little sense.

In fact I still think that the solution is more probably the one indicated by the find spots of the coins; and this clearly suggests Sicily rather than Africa. It is true that apart from the finds the indications might be held to be equivocal. The weight standard now used both for the silver and the electrum denominations is no longer the Attic standard as had been previously used in Sicily, but the Punic shekel standard, hitherto only used at the mint of Carthage. But this is hardly a cogent objection against Sicily as possible mint at the date in question, for in Greek Sicily too since the latter days of Agathokles the Attic standard had been abandoned ${ }^{39}$, and was not resumed except briefly by Hieronymos, for the minting of silver, though it was retained for gold. Another factor is the style of the B'RSTT group of coins; it is not entirely uniform, but can hardly be called specifically Sicilian since all the close parallels are typical of styles which were or had been current at the mint of Carthage. On the other hand, there is the question of the die-axis, and this criterion does really seem to distinguish the coins apart from those of the Carthage mint. At Carthage the regular upright axis $\uparrow \uparrow$ had come in with the electrum issue of c. $320 / 310$ B.C. ${ }^{40}$ and thence remained constantly in use there until the last days of Carthage, as opposed to an irregular axis still found in other issues, e.g. of bronze, in Sardinia and Sicily (the regular axis being otherwise found very rarely and notably in Barcid Spain). There is a slight degree of variation in the regular axis of for instance the silver shekels of Carthage J-L pl.26. I4 but less than that shown by the silver of the B'RSTT group. Above all, the electrum coins of the B'RSTT group have a decidedly irregular axis. This supports the deduction made in Jenkins-Lewis that the group of coins in question should have been minted elsewhere than at Carthage, as indeed is implied by the legend.

In fact the finds known are exclusively from Sicily. Isolated specimens of the electrum were recorded as found near or at Palermo (J-L 368 and 372.5) which is also the case for the silver 3 -shekel Jameson 2349 . Then a number of other electrum pieces, together with specimens of the various large silver denominations, came from a hoard discovered in 1896/97, allegedly at Porto Empedocle near Agrigento (IGCH 2207; J-L hoard XVI). Finally as many as fifty to eigthy of the silver 5 -shekel coins (decadrachms) came from a hoard found at or near Palermo in 1958 (IGCH 2208; possibly from Termini Imerese). As against this, no specimen of any of these coins has ever, it seems, been reported from Africa.

Naturally it is impossible always to be sure that find spots give an exclusive indication for place of mintage - it is only necessary to think of the great finds of Carthaginian gold and electrum staters found in Sardinia but certainly minted at Carthage. However the possible Sicilian origin of the B'RSTT coins must be taken seriously, in view of the find evidence. If so, what can be the significance of the

[^5]legend B'RSTT? Having in mind the circumstances of the early third century and the probability that Carthage was viewing herself at the time as more or less the «great power» of the western mediterranean, it seems reasonable to wonder: does B'RṢT here apply specifically to the Sicilian province and if so does it mean that the latter was regarded at this period as an integral part of the Carthaginian state in the same way as the several 'RST of Africa? The question may be raised, but hardly answered, for lack of any direct evidence ${ }^{41}$.

[^6]
[^0]:    21 It is apparently unknown on the stelai. - If the fulmen had any connexion with Punic religion, it could be related either to Baal Shamin or to Resef (cf. Gsell IV 294, 326-327) whose very name signifies the "flame» or "lightning-flash» and who was incidentally identified with the Greek Apollo (especially in Cyprus, cf. CIS 89, trilingual inscription from Idalion).
    ${ }_{22}$ Cf. Cammann, ANS NNM 53, no. 47.
    23 Jenkins-Lewis group III, also (bronze) appendix 3.

[^1]:    27 The corn ear though rare is not entirely unknown on Punic stelai, C. Picard, Karthago XVII, 1976, I09 s. v. épis (examples of III/II c. B.C.).

    28 Newell, Dated Alexander Coinage of Sidon and Ake, pl. IV. 16, 18.
    29 E.g. Jennkins-Lewis group X b, with dot on leaf..

[^2]:    30 Cf. SNR 1977, 16.
    31 Jenkins, Gela, no. 205 etc., 541 etc.; May, Abdera group CXV; May, Ainos, no. 8, 125, 325, 442; Cammann ANS NNM 53, no. 12, 23, 26, 47, 67.

[^3]:    32 Müller II p. 92 note 2 «D'après Bull. Sardo IV p. 68 no. 3 il semble qu'il existe aussi une drachme aux mêmes types.»

    * For a revised list see Appendices.

[^4]:    33 Cf. R. E. io. 2, col. 2205 f.
    ${ }^{34}$ Cintas Manuel I, 172 f.
    35 Bisi, Annali 16-17, 1969-1970, 103 ff .
    36 G. C. Picard, Mélanges Piganiol III, 1966, 1257 ff.
    37 Cf. Gsell II, 302.
    38 Bisi cf. note 34 above.

[^5]:    39 Cf. Essays Robinson, 153.
    40 Jenkins-Lewis group IV.

[^6]:    ${ }^{41}$ It is true that in their treaties with Rome the Carthaginians did not treat Sicily as a forbidden zone in the same way as Sardinia or Africa; but it seems uncertain how much we could deduce from this in respect of their precise relation with Sicily, since, like Sicily, Carthage itself was not a forbidden zone. On the Carthaginian attitude to Sicily in 24r B.C., see recently F. Decret, Carthage ou l'empire de la mer (Paris 1977), 167 f .

